# **Table of Contents**

## Contents<sup>1</sup>

Ex	ecutive Su	ummary	ES-1
	ES.1	Introduction	ES-1
	ES.2	Project Background	ES-1
	ES.3	Document Overview	ES-4
	ES.3	3.1. Purpose of This Final EIR/EIS	ES-4
	ES.3	3.2. Intended Use of This Final EIR/EIS	ES-5
	ES.4	Scoping and Public Involvement Process	ES-5
	ES.4	1.1. 2017 Draft EIR/EIS Public Comments	ES-5
	ES.4	1.2. 2021 RDEIR/SDEIS Public Comments	ES-6
	ES.4	1.3. Ongoing Public Involvement	ES-6
	ES.5	Project Overview	ES-6
	ES.6	CEQA Objectives and NEPA Purpose and Need	ES-11
	ES.7	Project Alternatives	ES-13
	ES.7	7.1. No Project Alternative and No Action Alternative	ES-13
	ES.7	7.2. Action Alternatives	ES-14
	ES.8	Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures	ES-26
	ES.9	Areas of Known Controversy	ES-27
	ES.10	Final EIR/EIS Review and Approval	ES-28
Ch	apter 1	Introduction	1-1
	1.1	Sites Project Authority	1-7
	1.2	Project Background	1-7
	1.2.1	CALFED Record of Decision	1-8
	1.2.2	Proposition 1 of 2014—Water Storage Investment Program	1-8
	1.2.3	Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act of 2016	1-9
	1.2.4	Governor's Executive Order N-10-19 and the Water Resiliency P	ortfolio 1-9
	1.2.5	Value Planning Process	1-10
	1.3	Scoping and Public Comments	1-11
	1.3.1	Scoping	1-11
	1.3.2	Comments Received on the 2017 Draft EIR/EIS	1-11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tables of content are provided at the beginning of each volume of the Final EIR/EIS; see also Volumes 2 and 3.

		1.3.3	Comments Received on the 2021 RDEIR/SDEIS	1-12
	1.4		CEQA Objectives and NEPA Purpose and Need	1-12
	1.5		Type and Intended Use of This EIR/EIS	1-14
		1.5.1	Type of Document	1-14
		1.5.2	Intended Use of This Final EIR/EIS	1-14
	1.6		Level of Detail and Scope of This Final EIR/EIS	1-15
	1.7		Areas of Known Controversy	1-15
	1.8		Document Organization	1-16
	1.9		References	1-18
		1.9.1	Printed References	1-18
Cha	apte	er 2	Project Description and Alternatives	2-1
	2.1		Alternatives Development Process	2-1
		2.1.1	Evaluation Prior to 2019	2-1
		2.1.2	Value Planning Process and Alternatives Post-2019	2-2
	2.2		CEQA and NEPA Requirements	2-3
		2.2.1	CEQA Requirements	2-3
		2.2.2	NEPA Requirements	2-4
	2.3		Overview of Alternatives	2-4
	2.4		No Project Alternative/No Action Alternative	2-13
	2.5		Elements Common to Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-14
		2.5.1	Facilities	2-14
		2.5.2	Operations and Maintenance Common to Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-77
		2.5.3	Construction Considerations Common to Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-98
		2.5.4	Project Commitments and Best Management Practices	2-109
		2.5.5	Proposition 1 Benefits Common to Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-111
	2.6		Alternative 1 Specific Elements	2-112
		2.6.1	Sites Reservoir and Related Facilities	2-113
		2.6.2	TRR East Facilities	2-113
		2.6.3	New and Existing Roadways	2-114
		2.6.4	Operations and Maintenance	2-117
	2.7		Alternative 2 Specific Elements	2-117
		2.7.1	Sites Reservoir and Related Facilities	2-118
		2.7.2	TRR West Facilities	2-118
		2.7.3	Conveyance to Sacramento River	2-119
		2.7.4	New and Existing Roadways	2-122
		2.7.5	Operations and Maintenance	2-123
	2.8		Alternative 3 Specific Elements	
	2.9		References	2-124
		2.9.1	Printed References	2-124

Chapter 3	Environmental Analysis	3-1
3.1	Introduction	3-1
3.2	Analysis	3-1
3.2.	Existing Conditions and No Project Alternative/No Action Alternative	3-1
3.2.2	Regulations and Regulatory Setting	3-6
3.2.3	Study Areas	3-7
3.2.4	4 Methods	3-7
3.2.5	5 Determination of Impacts	3-8
3.2.0	Mitigation Measures	3-10
3.3	Additional Analyses	3-11
3.4	Other Required Analyses	3-11
3.5	References	3-12
3.5.	Printed References	3-12
Chapter 4	Regulatory and Environmental Compliance: Project Permits, Appro	vals,
	and Consultation Requirements	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.2	References	4-9
4.2.	Printed References	4-9
Chapter 5	Surface Water Resources	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Environmental Setting	5-3
5.2.	1. River and Hydrologic Systems	5-3
5.2.2	2. Water Supply and Service Areas	5-28
5.3	Hydrologic Modeling Methods	5-31
5.4	Hydrologic Modeling Results	5-33
5.4.	I. CALSIM	5-33
5.4.2	2. CBD Hydraulic Modeling	5-53
5.5	Methods of Analysis	5-53
5.5.	1. Construction	5-53
5.5.2	2. Operation	5-55
5.5.3	3. Thresholds of Significance	5-55
5.6	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	5-56
5.7	References	5-65
5.7.	Printed References	5-65
5.7.2	2. Personal Communications	5-70
Chapter 6	Surface Water Quality	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Environmental Setting	6-4
6.2.	1. Overview of Surface Water Quality Objectives	6-4

	6.2.2	Constituents	6-5
6	5.3	Methods of Analysis	6-30
	6.3.1	Construction	6-32
	6.3.2	Operation	6-33
	6.3.3	Thresholds of Significance	6-53
6	5.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	6-54
6	5.5	References	6-122
	6.5.1	Printed References	6-122
	6.5.2	Personal Communication	6-138
Chaj	pter 7	Fluvial Geomorphology	7-1
7	7.1	Introduction	7-1
7	7.2	Environmental Setting	7-3
	7.2.1	Drainages in Proximity to Antelope Valley	7-3
	7.2.2	Other Valley Drainages	7-5
	7.2.3	Sacramento River	7-5
	7.2.4	Colusa Basin Drain	7-7
	7.2.5	Delta and Yolo Bypass	7-9
7	7.3	Methods of Analysis	7-9
	7.3.1	Construction	7-10
	7.3.2	Operation	7-10
	7.3.3	Thresholds of Significance	7-12
7	7.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	7-12
7	7.5	References	7-28
	7.5.1	Printed References	7-28
Chaj	pter 8	Groundwater Resources	8-1
8	3.1	Introduction	8-1
8	3.2	Environmental Setting	8-2
8	3.3	Methods of Analysis	8-5
	8.3.1	Construction	8-6
	8.3.2	Operation	8-6
	8.3.3	Thresholds of Significance	8-8
8	3.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	8-8
8	3.5	References	8-23
	8.5.1	Printed References	8-23
Chaj	pter 9	Vegetation and Wetland Resources	9-1
9	9.1	Introduction	9-1
9	0.2	Environmental Setting	9-6
9	0.3	Physical Setting	9-6
	9.3.1	Vegetation and Wetland Resource Types in the Study Area	9-8

9.3	3.2	Sensitive Natural Communities	9-8
9.3	3.3	Wetlands and Non-Wetland Waters	9-9
9.3	3.4	Special-Status Plant Species	9-10
9.3	3.5	Invasive Plant Species	9-12
9.4	Meth	ods of Analysis	9-12
9.4	l.1	Construction	9-13
9.4	1.2	Operation	9-16
9.4	1.3	Thresholds of Significance	9-17
9.5	Impa	ct Analysis and Mitigation Measures	9-18
9.6	Refe	rences	9-64
9.6	5.1	Printed References	9-64
9.6	5.2	Personal Communications	9-67
Chapter 1	0	Wildlife Resources	10-1
10.1	Intro	duction	10-1
10.2	Envi	ronmental Setting	10-7
10	.2.1.	Methods for Assessing Wildlife Resources in the Study Area	10-7
10	.2.2.	Land Cover Types and Associated Common Wildlife Species	10-8
10	.2.3.	Special-Status Wildlife Species	10-15
10.	.2.4.	Wildlife Corridors	10-29
10.3	Meth	ods of Impact Analysis	10-32
10.	.3.1.	Construction	10-33
10	.3.2.	Operation	10-36
10.	.3.3.	Thresholds of Significance	10-37
10.4	Impa	ct Analysis and Mitigation Measures	10-37
10.5	Refe	rences	10-151
10	.5.1.	Printed References	10-151
10	.5.2.	Personal Communications	10-161
Chapter 1	1	Aquatic Biological Resources	11-1
11.1	Intro	duction	11-1
11.2	Envi	ronmental Setting	11-9
11.	.2.1.	Fish and Aquatic Species of Management Concern	11-9
11.	.2.2.	Habitat Conditions and Environmental Stressors	11-11
11.	.2.3.	Delta and Suisun Bay/Marsh	11-11
11.	.2.4.	Sacramento River Flood Bypasses (Butte Basin, Sutter Bypass, and	
		Yolo Bypass)	
11.	.2.5.	Upstream of Delta	
11.	.2.6.	San Pablo and San Francisco Bays	
	.2.7.	Local Drainages	
11.3	Meth	ods of Analysis	11-57

	11.3.	.1. Construction	11-58
	11.3.	.2. Operations	11-61
	11.3.	.3. Maintenance	11-69
	11.3.	.4. Thresholds of Significance	11-70
	11.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	11-70
	11.5	References	11-372
	11.5.	.1. Printed References	11-372
	11.5.	.2. Personal Communications	11-411
Ch	apter 12	Geology and Soils	12-1
	12.1	Introduction	12-1
	12.2	Environmental Setting	12-6
	12.2.	.1. Geology	12-6
	12.2.	.2. Seismicity	12-16
	12.2.	.3. Soils	12-25
	12.2.	.4. Paleontological Resources	12-26
	12.3	Methods of Analysis	12-30
	12.3.	.1. Thresholds of Significance	12-33
	12.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	12-34
	12.5	References	12-78
	12.5.	.1. Printed References	12-78
	12.5.	.2. Personal Communications	12-80
Ch	12.5. napter 13	.2. Personal Communications	
		Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS	13-1
	apter 13	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS	13-1
	napter 13 napter 14	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS  Land Use	
	napter 13 napter 14 14.1	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS  Land Use  Introduction  Environmental Setting	
	napter 13 napter 14 14.1 14.2	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS  Land Use  Introduction  Environmental Setting	13-114-114-2
	napter 13 napter 14 14.1 14.2 14.2.	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS  Land Use  Introduction  Environmental Setting  1. Glenn County  2. Colusa County	13-114-114-214-3
	napter 13 napter 14 14.1 14.2 14.2.	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS  Land Use  Introduction  Environmental Setting  1. Glenn County  2. Colusa County	13-114-114-214-3
	14.1 14.2 14.2 14.2. 14.2.	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS  Land Use  Introduction  Environmental Setting  .1. Glenn County  .2. Colusa County  .3. Yolo County  Methods of Analysis	
	14.1 14.2 14.2. 14.2. 14.2. 14.3	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS  Land Use  Introduction  Environmental Setting  .1. Glenn County  .2. Colusa County  .3. Yolo County  Methods of Analysis	13-1
	14.1 14.2 14.2. 14.2. 14.3 14.3.	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS  Land Use	13-114-114-214-314-614-7
	14.1 14.2 14.2. 14.2. 14.2. 14.3 14.3.	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS  Land Use  Introduction  Environmental Setting  .1. Glenn County  .2. Colusa County  .3. Yolo County  Methods of Analysis  .1. Thresholds of Significance  Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures  References	
Ch	14.1 14.1 14.2 14.2. 14.2. 14.3 14.3 14.4 14.5	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS  Land Use  Introduction  Environmental Setting  .1. Glenn County  .2. Colusa County  .3. Yolo County  Methods of Analysis  .1. Thresholds of Significance  Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures  References	13-1
Ch	14.1 14.1 14.2 14.2. 14.2. 14.3 14.3 14.4 14.5	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS  Land Use  Introduction  Environmental Setting  .1. Glenn County .2. Colusa County .3. Yolo County .Methods of Analysis .1. Thresholds of Significance .1. Thresholds of Mitigation Measures .1. References .1. Printed References	13-1
Ch	14.1 14.1 14.2 14.2. 14.2. 14.3 14.3 14.4 14.5 14.5. 14.5.	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS  Land Use  Introduction  Environmental Setting  .1. Glenn County .2. Colusa County .3. Yolo County  Methods of Analysis .1. Thresholds of Significance Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures References .1. Printed References  Agriculture and Forestry Resources	13-1
Ch	14.1 14.1 14.2 14.2. 14.2. 14.3 14.3 14.4 14.5 14.5 14.5. 14.5	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS  Land Use	13-1
Ch	14.1 14.1 14.2 14.2. 14.2. 14.3 14.3 14.4 14.5 14.5 14.5. 15.1	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS  Land Use	13-1
Ch	14.1 14.1 14.2 14.2. 14.2. 14.3 14.3 14.4 14.5 14.5 14.5. 15.1 15.2	Minerals—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS  Land Use  Introduction  Environmental Setting  .1. Glenn County .2. Colusa County .3. Yolo County  Methods of Analysis .1. Thresholds of Significance Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures References .1. Printed References .1. Printed References Introduction Environmental Setting .1. Glenn County .2. Colusa County	13-1

15.3 Me	ethods of Analysis	15-22
15.3.1.	Thresholds of Significance	15-25
15.4 Im	pact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	15-26
15.5 Re	ferences	15-49
15.5.1.	Printed References	15-49
15.5.2.	Personal Communications	15-52
Chapter 16	Recreation Resources	16-1
16.1 Int	roduction	16-1
16.2 En	vironmental Setting	
16.2.1.	Project Setting	
16.2.2.	Regional Setting	
16.3 Me	ethods of Analysis	
16.3.1.	Construction	
16.3.2.	Operation	16-14
16.3.3.	Thresholds of Significance	16-14
16.4 Im	pact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	16-15
16.5 Re	ferences	16-24
16.5.1.	Printed References	16-24
Chapter 17	Energy	17-1
17.1 Int	roduction	17-1
17.2 En	vironmental Setting	17-3
17.2.1.	Electricity	17-3
17.2.2.	Petroleum Products	17-10
17.3 Me	ethods of Analysis	17-11
17.3.1.	Construction	17-11
17.3.2.	Operations	17-12
17.3.3.	Thresholds of Significance	17-14
17.4 Mo	odeling Results	17-14
17.4.1.	Electricity	17-15
17.4.2.	Petroleum Products	17-25
17.5 Im	pact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	17-26
17.6 Re	ferences	17-46
17.6.1.	Printed References	17-46
17.6.2.	Personal Communications	17-47
Chapter 18	Navigation, Transportation, and Traffic	18-1
18.1 Int	roduction	
18.2 En	vironmental Setting	
18.2.1.	Project Access Roads	
18.2.2.	Roadway Classification and Roadway Capacity	18-10

18.2	2.3.	Regional Study Area	18-13
18.2	2.4.	Modes of Transportation Other Than Private Vehicles	18-17
18.2	2.5.	Navigation	18-23
18.3	Met	hods of Analysis	18-23
18.3	3.1.	Construction	18-26
18.3	3.2.	Operations	18-27
18.3	3.3.	Other Modes of Transportation	18-29
18.3	3.4.	Geometric Design Hazards and Emergency Access	18-30
18.3	3.5.	Navigation	18-31
18.3	3.6.	Transportation Topics Eliminated from Further Analysis	18-31
18.3	3.7.	Thresholds of Significance	18-32
18.4	Imp	act Analysis and Mitigation Measures	18-32
18.5	Refe	erences	18-59
18.5	5.1.	Printed References	
18.5	5.2.	Personal Communications	18-61
Chapter 19	)	Noise—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS	19-1
Chapter 20	)	Air Quality	20-1
20.1	Intro	oduction	20-1
20.2	Env	ironmental Setting	20-4
20.2	2.1.	Criteria Pollutants	20-4
20.2	2.2.	Toxic Air Contaminants	20-8
20.2	2.3.	Valley Fever	20-9
20.2	2.4.	Regional Climate and Meteorology	20-9
20.2	2.5.	Existing Air Quality Conditions	20-11
20.2	2.6.	Sensitive Receptors	20-16
20.3	Met	hods of Analysis	20-18
20.3		Construction	
20.3	3.2.	Operation	20-20
20.3		CEQA Thresholds of Significance	
20.4	_	act Analysis and Mitigation Measures	
20.5		erences	
20.5		Printed References	
20.5	5.2.	Personal Communications	20-71
Chapter 21		Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
21.1		oduction	
21.2	Env	ironmental Setting	
21.2		Global Climate Change	
21.3		hods of Analysis	
21.3	3.1.	Construction	21-5

21	3.2. Operations	21-7
21	3.3. Thresholds of Significance	21-9
21.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	21-11
21.5	References	21-32
21.:	5.1. Printed References	21-32
Chapter 22	Cultural Resources	22-1
22.1	Introduction	22-1
22.2	Environmental Setting	22-3
22.	2.1. Cultural Resources Study Area	22-4
22.	2.2. Cultural Resources Background	22-4
22.2	2.3. Methods for Identifying Cultural Resources	22-14
22.2	2.4. Summary of Archaeological Resources in the Study Area	22-15
22.2	2.5. Summary of Historic Built Resources in the Study Area	22-18
22.3	Methods of Analysis	22-22
22	3.1. Thresholds of Significance	22-22
22	3.2. Resources Occurrence by Project Components	22-23
22.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	22-27
22.5	References	22-45
22.:	5.1. Printed References	22-45
Chapter 23	Tribal Cultural Resources	23-1
23.1	Introduction	23-1
23.2	Environmental Setting	23-7
23.	2.1. Yocha Dehe Wintun Nation	23-9
23.2	2.2. Cachil Dehe Band of Wintun Indians (Colusa Indian Community)	23-9
23.2	2.3. Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians (Nomlāqa Bōda)	23-10
23.3	Methods of Analysis	23-10
23	3.1. AB 52 Consultation Efforts	23-11
23	3.2. Construction and Operation	23-18
23	3.3. Thresholds of Significance	23-19
23.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	23-19
23.5	References	23-25
23.	5.1. Printed References	23-25
Chapter 24	Visual Resources—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS	24-1
Chapter 25	Population and Housing—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS	25-1
Chapter 26	Public Services and Utilities	26-1
26.1		
	Introduction	26-1
26.2	Introduction Environmental Setting	

26.2	.2. Utilities	26-6
26.3	Methods of Analysis	26-14
26.3	.1. Construction	26-14
26.3	.2. Operation	26-17
26.3	.3. Thresholds of Significance	26-19
26.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	26-20
26.5	References	26-40
26.5	.1. Printed References	26-40
26.5	.2. Personal Communications	26-43
pter 27	Public Health and Environmental Hazards	27-1
27.1	Introduction	27-1
27.2	Environmental Setting	27-5
27.2	.1. Hazards and Hazardous Materials	27-6
27.2	.2. Wildfire Hazards	27-10
27.2	.3. Public Health Hazards Related to Methylmercury and HABs	27-17
27.2	.4. Mosquitos and Vectors	27-21
27.3	Methods of Analysis	27-21
27.3	.1. Hazards and Hazardous Materials	27-21
27.3	.2. Wildfire Hazards	27-23
27.3	.3. Bioaccumulation of Methylmercury in Fish	27-24
27.3	.4. Harmful Algal Blooms	27-24
27.3	.5. Mosquitos and Vectors	27-25
27.3	.6. Thresholds of Significance	27-25
27.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	27-26
27.5	References	27-56
27.5	.1. Printed References	27-56
pter 28	Climate Change	28-1
28.1	Introduction	28-1
28.2	Affected Environment	28-3
28.2	.1. Climate	28-3
28.2	.2. Global Climate Trends	28-4
28.2	.3. Climate Change Effects on California	28-5
28.2	.4. Water Management and Climate	28-7
28.3	Methods of Analysis	28-9
28.3	.1. Indicators	28-10
28.4	Surface Water Resources, the Project, and Climate Change	28-12
28.4	.1. Modeling Results	28-13
28.5	Potential Project-Related Climate Change Effects	28-37
28.5	.1. Surface Water Resources and Fluvial Geomorphology	28-37
	26.3 26.3 26.3 26.3 26.4 26.5 26.5 26.5 26.5 27.1 27.2 27.2 27.2 27.3 28.2 28.2 28.2 28.2 28.2 28.2 28.2 28.3 28.3 28.4 28.4 28.5	26.3.1. Construction 26.3.2. Operation 26.3.2. Operation 26.3.3. Thresholds of Significance 26.4. Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures 26.5. References 26.5.1. Printed References 26.5.2. Personal Communications 27.2. Public Health and Environmental Hazards 27.2.1. Introduction 27.2.1. Hazards and Hazardous Materials 27.2.2. Wildfire Hazards 27.2.3. Public Health Hazards Related to Methylmercury and HABs 27.2.4. Mosquitos and Vectors 27.3. Methods of Analysis 27.3.1. Hazards and Hazardous Materials 27.3.2. Wildfire Hazards 27.3.3. Bioaccumulation of Methylmercury in Fish 27.3.4. Harmful Algal Blooms 27.3.5. Mosquitos and Vectors 27.3.6. Thresholds of Significance 27.4. Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures 27.5. References 27.5.1. Printed References 27.5.1. Printed References 28.2.1. Climate 28.2.1. Climate 28.2.2. Global Climate Trends 28.2.3. Climate Change Effects on California 28.2.4. Water Management and Climate 28.3.1. Indicators 28.3.1. Indicators 28.4.1. Modeling Results.

	28.5	.2.	Surface Water Quality	28-41
	28.5	.3.	Groundwater Resources	28-42
	28.5	.4.	Wildlife and Vegetation Resources	28-43
	28.5	.5.	Aquatic Biological Resources	28-45
	28.5	.6.	Public Health, Environmental Hazards, Environmental Justice, and Socioeconomics	28-49
	28.5	.7.	Energy, Air Quality, and Greenhouse Gas Emissions	28-50
	28.5	.8.	Geology, Soils, and Minerals	28-52
	28.5	.9.	Land Use, Population, and Housing	28-53
	28.5	.10.	Agriculture and Forestry Resources	28-53
	28.5	.11.	Recreation Resources	28-54
	28.5	.12.	Navigation, Transportation, and Traffic	28-55
	28.5	.13.	Noise and Visual Resources	28-56
	28.5	.14.	Cultural Resources, Tribal Cultural Resources, and Indian Trust Assets	28-56
	28.5	.15.	Public Services and Utilities	28-57
	28.6	Refe	rences	28-57
	28.6	.1.	Printed References	28-57
Ch	apter 29		Indian Trust Assets	29-1
	29.1	Intro	oduction	29-1
	29.2	Affe	cted Environment	29-2
	29.3	Met	hods of Analysis	29-2
	29.3	.1.	Construction	29-2
	29.3	.2.	Operations	29-2
	29.3	.3.	Evaluation Criteria	29-2
	29.4	Env	ironmental Consequences	29-3
	29.5	Refe	erences	29-5
	29.5	.1.	Printed References	29-5
Ch	apter 30		Environmental Justice and Socioeconomics	30-1
	30.1	Intro	oduction	30-1
	30.2	Affe	cted Environment	30-6
	30.2	.1.	Minority Populations	30-6
	30.2	.2.	Income and Poverty	30-7
	30.2	.3.	Population and Demographics	30-7
	30.2	.4.	Employment	30-8
	30.2	.5.	Property Taxes and County Revenue	30-9
	30.2	.6.	Agriculture	30-9
	30.2	.7.	Municipal and Industrial Water Use	30-10
	30.3	Met	hods of Analysis	30-10
	30.3	.1.	Environmental Justice	30-10

30	3.2. Socioeconomics	
30	3.3. Evaluation Criteria	
30.4	Environmental Consequences	
30.5	References	
30.:	5.1. Printed References	
Chapter 31	Cumulative Impacts	31-1
31.1	Regulatory Requirements for Analysis	31-1
31.2	Cumulative Project Selection and Approach	31-1
31.3	Cumulative Impacts Analysis by Resource	
31	3.1. Surface Water Resources and Water Quality.	
31	3.2. Fluvial Geomorphology	
31	3.3. Groundwater Resources	
31	3.4. Vegetation and Wetland Resources	
31	3.5. Wildlife Resources	
31	3.6. Aquatic Biological Resources	31-38
31	3.7. Geology and Soils	
31	3.8. Minerals	
31	3.9. Land Use	
31	3.10. Agriculture and Forestry	31-50
31	3.11. Recreation Resources	
31	3.12. Energy	
31	3.13. Navigation, Transportation, and Traffic	
31	3.14. Noise	31-61
31	3.15. Air Quality	
31	3.16. Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
31	3.17. Cultural Resources	
31	3.18. Tribal Cultural Resources	
31	3.19. Visual Resources	
31	3.20. Population and Housing	
31	3.21. Public Services and Utilities	
31	3.22. Public Health and Environmental Hazards	
31	3.23. Indian Trust Assets	
31	3.24. Environmental Justice and Socioeconomics	
31.4	References	
31.4	4.1. Printed References	
31.4	4.2. Personal Communications	
Chapter 32	Other Required Analyses	
32.1	Introduction	
32.2	Growth-Inducing Impacts	

32.	.2.1.	Introduction	32-1
32.	.2.2.	Construction	32-1
32.	.2.3.	Operation and Maintenance	32-2
32.	.2.4.	Operation and Water Supply	32-3
32.3	Rel	ationship Between Short-Term Uses and Long-Term Productivity	32-11
32.4	Irre	versible or Irretrievable Resource Commitments	32-13
32.	.4.1.	Introduction	32-13
32.	.4.2.	Commitments of Resources	32-13
32.	.4.3.	Potential Environmental Accidents	32-14
32.	.4.4.	Commitment of Future Generations to Similar Uses	32-15
32.5	Env	vironmentally Superior/Environmentally Preferable Alternative	32-15
32.6	Ref	erences	32-23
32.	.6.1.	Printed References.	32-23
Chapter 3.	3	Consultation and Coordination and List of Preparers	33-1
33.1	Cor	nsultation and Coordination	33-1
33.	.1.1.	Consultation	33-1
33.	.1.2.	Coordination	33-1
33.	.1.3.	RDEIR/SDEIS and Project Approval Process	33-6
33.2	Lis	t of Preparers and Contributors	33-7
33.	.2.1.	Introduction	33-7
33.	.2.2.	Contributors to the Final EIR/EIS	33-7
Chapter 34	4	Final EIR/EIS Document Distribution	34-1

## Appendix 1

Appendix 1A, Introduction to Appendices and Models

## Appendix 2

- Appendix 2A, Alternatives Screening and Evaluation—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS
- Appendix 2B, Additional Alternatives Screening and Evaluation
- Appendix 2C, Construction Means, Methods, and Assumptions—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS
- Appendix 2D, Best Management Practices, Management Plans, and Technical Studies
- Appendix 2D1, Assessment of Mystery Snail Technical Memorandum

## Appendix 4

Appendix 4A, Regulatory Requirements

## Appendix 5

Appendix 5A, Surface Water Resources Modeling of Alternatives

Appendix 5A1, Model Assumptions

Appendix 5A2, CALSIM II Model Assumptions Callouts

Appendix 5A3, DSM2 Model Assumptions Callouts

Appendix 5A4, HEC5Q and Reclamation Temperature Model Assumptions Callouts

Appendix 5A5, CALSIM II Model Delivery Specifications

Appendix 5A6, Model Limitations and Improvements

Appendix 5A7, Daily Pattern Development for the Estimation of Daily Flows and Weir Spills in CALSIM II

Appendix 5B, Water Resources Modeling System

Appendix 5B1, Project Operations

Appendix 5B2, River Operations

Appendix 5B3, Delta Operations

Appendix 5B4, Regional Deliveries

Appendix 5B5, Water Supply

Appendix 5C, Upper Sacramento River Daily River Flow and Operations Model

## Appendix 6

Appendix 6A, Water Quality Constituents and Beneficial Uses

Appendix 6B, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Modeling

Appendix 6B1, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Modeling, Salinity Results (DSM2-QUAL)

Appendix 6B2, Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Modeling, Chloride Results (DSM2-QUAL)

Appendix 6B3, Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Modeling, X2 Results (DSM2-QUAL)

Appendix 6B4, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Modeling, Export Loading

Appendix 6B5, Water Quality Compliance

Appendix 6C, River Temperature Modeling Results

Appendix 6D, Sites Reservoir Discharge Temperature Modeling

Appendix 6D1, Reservoir Surface Water and Release Temperature

Appendix 6D2, Water Temperature at Downstream Locations

Appendix 6E, Water Quality Data

Appendix 6F, Mercury and Methylmercury

Appendix 6F1, Mercury and Methylmercury Supplemental Analysis

## Appendix 7

Appendix 7A, Fluvial Geomorphic Setting Information

Appendix 7B, Hydrodynamic Geomorphic Modeling Results

## Appendix 8

Appendix 8A, Groundwater Resources Basin Setting

Appendix 8B, Groundwater Modeling—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS

## Appendix 9

Appendix 9A, Special-Status Plant Species—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS

Appendix 9B, Vegetation and Wetland Methods and Information—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS

## **Appendix 10**

Appendix 10A, Wildlife Species Lists, Special-Status Wildlife Table, and Non-Listed Wildlife Species Accounts

Appendix 10B, Wildlife Habitat Models and Methods—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS

Appendix 10C, Special-Status Wildlife Impacts Tables—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS

## **Appendix 11**

Appendix 11A, Aquatic Species Life Histories

Appendix 11A1, Juvenile Salmonid Monitoring, Sampling, and Salvage Timing Summary from SacPAS

Appendix 11B, Upstream Fisheries Impact Assessment Quantitative Methods

Appendix 11D, Fisheries Water Temperature Assessment

Appendix 11E, Reservoir Fish Species Analysis

Appendix 11F, Smelt Analysis

Appendix 11H, Salmonid Population Modeling (SALMOD)

Appendix 11I, Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Life Cycle Modeling

Appendix 11I1, IOS Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Life Cycle Model

Appendix 11I2, OBAN Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Life Cycle Model

Appendix 11J, Through-Delta Survival and Delta Rearing Habitat of Juvenile Chinook Salmon

Appendix 11K, Weighted Usable Area Analysis

Appendix 11L, Sturgeon Analyses

Appendix 11M, Yolo and Sutter Bypass Flow and Weir Spill Analysis

Appendix 11M1, Acres of Yolo Bypass with Limiting Habitat Suitability Criteria (Depth < 1 meter deep and/or Flow Velocity < 1.5 feet per second) for Rearing Salmonids under Three Different Fremont Weir Spills Levels

Appendix 11M2, Yolo Bypass Spill Events

Appendix 11M3, Average Monthly and Average Annual Number of Yolo Bypass Inundation Events with Three Different Ranges of Duration and Four Ranges of Suitable Habitat Acreages for the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3

Appendix 11M4, Sutter Bypass Weir Spill Events

Appendix 11M5, Average Monthly and Average Annual Number of Sutter Bypass Inundation Events with Three Different Ranges of Duration and Four Ranges of Suitable Habitat Acreages for the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3

Appendix 11M6, Average Monthly and Average Annual of Sacramento River Side-Channel Inundation Events (Three River Reaches Combined) with Three Different Ranges of Duration and Four Ranges of Suitable Habitat Acreages for the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3

Appendix 11M7, Sacramento River Side-Channel Inundation Events for Reach 1

Appendix 11M8, Sacramento River Side-Channel Inundation Events for Reach 2

Appendix 11M9, Sacramento River Side-Channel Inundation Events for Reach 3

Appendix 11N, Other Flow-Related Upstream Analyses

Appendix 11O, Anderson-Martin Models

Appendix 11P, Riverine Flow-Survival

Appendix 11P1, Sites Reservoir Daily Divertible & Storable Flow Tool

Appendix 11Q, Other Delta Species Analyses

## Appendix 12

Appendix 12A, Soil Survey Map of the Study Area—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS

Appendix 12B, Soil Map Units in the Study Area—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS

#### Appendix 15

Appendix 15A, Natural Resources Conservation Service Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Explanations and Calculations for the Sites Reservoir Project

Appendix 15A1, Farmland Conversion Impact Ratings

Appendix 15A2, Site Assessment Calculations

#### Appendix 17

Appendix 17A, CVP/SWP Power Modeling

## Appendix 19

Appendix 19A, Noise Definitions and Noise Calculations—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS

## Appendix 20

Appendix 20A, Methodology for Air Quality and GHG Emissions Calculations

Appendix 20C, Ambient Air Quality and Health Risk Analysis Technical Report

Appendix 20C1, AERMOD Equivalency Model Demonstration

Appendix 20C2, Model Construction Figures

Appendix 20C3, Modeled Emissions

Appendix 20D, Photochemical Modeling Study to Support a Health Impact Analysis

## Appendix 21

Appendix 21A, Greenhouse Gas Support Appendix

## Appendix 22

Appendix 22A, Cultural Resources

## Appendix 24

Appendix 24A, Landscape Character Photos and Associated Maps—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS

Appendix 24B, Regional and Project Landscape Description—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS

## Appendix 27

Appendix 27A, Environmental Records Search—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS

## Appendix 28

Appendix 28A, Climate Change

## Appendix 30

Appendix 30A, Regional Economics Modeling—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS

Appendix 30B, Comparison of Regional Hydrologic Model Results to Inform Economic Analyses

## **Appendix 33**

Appendix 33A, 2017 Draft EIR/EIS Chapter 36, Consultation and Coordination—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS

Appendix 33B, Previous Scoping Processes—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS

Appendix 33C, Planning Aid Memorandum—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS

## **Tables**

		Page
ES-1	Defining Characteristics of Action Alternatives	ES-14
ES-2	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	ES-29
2-1	Summary of Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-11
2-2	Summary of I/O Tower Design Characteristics	2-55
2-3	Main Dams, Saddle Dams, and Saddle Dikes for Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-56
2-4	Sites Project Roads and Purposes Common to Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-72
2-5	Summary of Project Diversion Criteria	2-80
2-6	Pumping Summary for Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-93
2-7	Potential Generating Summary for Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-93
2-8	General Construction Timing and Sequencing	2-104
2-9	Estimated Temporary Construction Power Requirements	2-107
2-10	General Reservoir Characteristics of Alternative 1	2-113
2-11	General Reservoir Characteristics of Alternative 2	2-118
4-1	Federal Permits, Approvals, Reviews, and Consultation Requirements	4-2
4-2	State Permits, Approvals, Reviews, and Consultation Requirements	4-7
4-3	Local Permits, Approvals, Reviews, and Consultation Requirements	4-8
5-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Surface Water Reso	ources . 5-2
5-1b	Summary of Operation Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Surface Water Resource	ces5-2
5-2	Summary of Daily Flow Measured in the CBD Discharging to the Sacramento Rive Knights Landing between 1984 and 2012.	
5-3	Summary of Daily Flow Measured (cfs) in the Sacramento River below Wilkins Slobetween 1985 and 2020.	_
5-4	Summary Flood Control Facilities and Management of the SRFCP	5-20
5-5	Lower Sacramento River Leveed Capacity.	5-21
5-6	Probability of Flows Exceeding Leveed Capacity, Sacramento River	5-22

5-7	Summary of Feather River Flow Requirements in NMFS 2016 Biological Opinion	5-24
5-8	Summary of Daily Flow Measured (cfs) in the Yolo Bypass near Woodland between 1 and 2020	
5-9	Types and Examples of CVP Water Recipients	5-28
5-10	Storage Partner Summary Table	5-30
5-11	Simulated Shasta Lake Storage: No Project Alternative (TAF) and Percent Change bet No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	
5-12	Simulated Sacramento River Flow at Bend Bridge: No Project Alternative (cfs) and Pe Change between No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	
5-13	Simulated Sacramento River Diversion at Red Bluff: No Project Alternative (cfs) and in cfs between No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (cfs, Not Percent Change)	_
5-14	Simulated Flow in Sacramento River below Red Bluff Pumping Plant: No Project Alte (cfs) and Percent Change between No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	
5-15	Simulated Hamilton City Diversion: No Project Alternative (cfs) and Change in cfs be No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (cfs, Not Percent Change)	
5-16	Simulated Sacramento River Flow downstream of Hamilton City near Wilkins Slough Project Alternative (cfs) and Percent Change between No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	
5-17	Simulated Sites Reservoir Storage for All Alternatives (TAF)	5-40
5-18	Simulated Sites Reservoir Release for All Alternatives (cfs)	5-40
5-19	Simulated Sites Reservoir Release to Sacramento River (Release to Dunnigan Pipeline Release to Yolo Bypass) for All Alternatives (cfs)	
5-20	Simulated Sites Reservoir Release to Yolo Bypass for All Alternatives (cfs)	5-42
5-21	Simulated Total Yolo Bypass Flow: No Project Alternative (cfs) and Percent Change by No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	
5-22	Simulated Lake Oroville Storage: No Project Alternative (TAF) and Percent Change b No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	
5-23	Simulated Feather River Flow at Mouth: No Project Alternative (cfs) and Percent Charbetween No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	_
5-24	Simulated Folsom Lake Storage: No Project Alternative (TAF) and Percent Change be No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	
5-25	Simulated American River Flow at H Street: No Project Alternative (cfs) and Percent 6 between No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	Change 5-44

5-26	Simulated Sacramento River Flow at Freeport: No Project Alternative (cfs) and Percent Change between No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3
5-27	Simulated Delta Outflow: No Project Alternative (cfs) and Percent Change between No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3
5-28	Simulated Delta Exports (Banks and Jones): No Project Alternative (cfs) and Percent Change between No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 35-46
5-29	Simulated San Luis Reservoir Storage: No Project Alternative (TAF) and Percent Change between No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3
5-30	Simulated Sites Water Supply Deliveries5-48
5-31	Simulated CVP and SWP Water Supply Deliveries: No Project Alternative (TAF) and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 Minus No Project (TAF)
5-32	CALSIM II Modeled Flood Flows
5-33	Summary of Expected Construction Water Use for Alternatives 1, 2, and 35-56
5-34	Percent Change between the No Project Alternative and Alternatives 1, 2, and 35-59
6-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Surface Water Quality Resources
6-1b	Summary of Operation Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Surface Water Quality Resources
6-2	Monthly Average and Average of the Daily Maximum Water temperatures (°F) in the Yolo Bypass at Lisbon Weir, the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, and the Sacramento River at Rio Vista during 2015–2020
6-3	Nutrients, Organic Carbon and Dissolved Oxygen
6-4	Water Quality Criteria and Numeric Objectives for Mercury and Methylmercury Applicable to the Study Area
6-5	Total Mercury Concentrations in Surface Waters in the Study Area6-19
6-6	Total Methylmercury Concentrations in Surface Waters in the Study Area6-20
6-7	Potential Mechanisms of Operational Effects on Water Quality6-31
6-8a	Sites Reservoir Average End-of-Month Water Surface Elevation (ft) as Simulated by CALSIM for Dry Water Years
6-8b	Sites Reservoir Average End-of-Month Water Surface Elevation (ft) as Simulated by CALSIM for Critically Dry Water Years
6-9	Metals Water Quality Standards6-48

6-10	Approach for Evaluating Significance of Operations Effects on Water  Quality (Impact WQ-2)
6-11	Estimated Concentrations of Total Mercury and Methylmercury in Sites Reservoir in the Short-Term
6-12a	Estimated Change in Sacramento River Water Temperature (°F) when Sites Reservoir Water is Released to the Dunnigan Pipeline under Alternative 1A
6-12b	Estimated Change in Sacramento River Water Temperature (°F) when Sites Reservoir Water is Released to the Dunnigan Pipeline under Alternative 1B6-70
6-12c	Estimated Change in Sacramento River Water Temperature (°F) when Sites Reservoir Water is Released to the Dunnigan Pipeline under Alternative 2
6-12d	Estimated Change in Sacramento River Water Temperature (°F) when Sites Reservoir Water is Released to the Dunnigan Pipeline under Alternative 3
6-13	Estimated Electrical Conductivity (EC in $\mu$ S/cm) of Reservoir Release If Salt Pond Water Were to Mix Directly with the Release
6-14	Clifton Court Forebay (SWP Banks Pumping Plant) Electrical Conductivity: No Project Alternative ( $\mu$ S/cm) and Percent Change between No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (positive value indicates an increase)
6-15	Jones CVP Pumping Plant Electrical Conductivity: No Project Alternative (µS/cm) and Percent Change between No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (positive value indicates an increase)
6-16	X2: No Project Alternative (km) and Change between No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (km)
6-17	Mallard Island Electrical Conductivity: No Project Alternative (μS/cm) and Percent Change between No Project and Alternatives 1, 2, and 36-78
6-18	Estimated Long-Term Average Concentrations of Total Mercury and Methylmercury in Sites Reservoir
6-19	Arsenic Concentrations in the Sacramento River, Sites Reservoir, and Regulatory Standards
6-20	Modeled Monthly Average Sites Reservoir Near-Surface Water Temperatures (°F)6-101
7-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Fluvial Geomorphology 7-1
7-1b	Summary of Operation Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Fluvial Geomorphology7-2
7-2	Drainage Geomorphic Characteristics Summary
7-3	Percent Exceedance Values of USRDOM Modeled Monthly Average Flow for No Project Alternative and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3

/-4	and 3	7-19
8-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts between Alternatives	.8-1
8-1b	Summary of Operation Impacts between Alternatives	8-2
8-2	Summary of Groundwater Resources in the Study Area	.8-4
9-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Vegetation and Wetland Resources	9-1
9-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Vegetation and Wetland Resources	9-5
9-2a	Alternatives 1 and 3 Acreages of Permanent Impacts on Special-Status Plant Habitats, Sensitive Natural Communities, and Wetland and Non-Wetland Water Types in Project Component Areas	9-19
9-2b	Alternatives 1 and 3 Acreages of Temporary Impacts on Special-Status Plant Habitats, Sensitive Natural Communities, and Wetland and Non-Wetland Water Types in Project Component Areas	9-21
9-3	Acreages of Permanent and Temporary Impacts on Modeled Special-Status Plant Species Habitat in the Study Area	
9-4a	Alternative 2 Acreages of Permanent Impacts on Special-Status Plant Habitats, Sensitive Natural Communities, and Wetland and Non-Wetland Water Types in Project Component Areas	
9-4b	Alternative 2 Acreages of Temporary Impacts on Special-Status Plant Habitats, Sensitive Natural Communities, and Wetland and Non-Wetland Water Types in Project Component Areas	
9-5	Comparison of Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 Permanent and Temporary Impacts on Special-Sta Plant Habitats, Sensitive Natural Communities, and Wetland and Non-Wetland Water Typerson.	pes
10-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Wildlife Resources	10-1
10-1b	Summary of Operation Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Wildlife Resources	10-6
10-2a	Acreages of Permanent and Temporary Impacts on Modeled Special-Status Vernal Pool Branchiopod Habitat in the Study Area	0-39
10-2b	Acreages of Permanent and Temporary Impacts on Modeled Special-Status Terrestrial Invertebrate Habitat in the Study Area	0-44
10-2c	Acreages of Permanent and Temporary Impacts on Modeled Special-Status Amphibian ar Reptile Habitats in the Study Area1	

10-20	the Study Area
10-2e	Acreages of Permanent and Temporary Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Special-Status  Mammals in the Study Area
11-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Aquatic Biological Resources
11-1b	Summary of Operations and Maintenance Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Aquatic Biological Resources
11-2	Aquatic Species of Management Concern by Area of Occurrence
11-3	Stone Corral Creek Daily and Monthly Flows Near Sites, USGS 1139067211-57
11-4	Methods for Analysis of Potential Effects on Fish and Aquatic Resources
11-5	Interim Criteria for Injury to Fish from Impact Pile Driving Activities11-72
11-6	Red Bluff Diversion as Percentage of Sacramento River Flow, Averaged by Month and Water Year Type, from CALSIM Modeling
11-7	Hamilton City Diversion as Percentage of Sacramento River Flow, Averaged by Month and Water Year Type, from CALSIM Modeling
11-8	Number and Proportion of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Released and Recaptured at the Hamilton City Intake, 2007
11-9	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Winter-run Chinook Salmon, Sacramento River
11-10	Distributions of Spawning Redds among WUA River Segments as Percent of Total in the Sacramento River for Chinook Salmon Runs
11-11	Mean Annual Number of Days in January–June With Yolo Bypass Floodplain Inundation by Alternative and Water Year Type
11-12	Mean Annual Number of Days in September–June With Yolo Bypass Floodplain Inundation by Alternative and Water Year Type
11-13	Estimated Mean Daily Inundated Habitat (Thousands of Acres <1 Meter Deep) for Juvenile Salmonids in the Yolo Bypass and the Absolute Differences (Acres, in parentheses) for the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3
11-14	Estimated Mean Daily November through May Inundated Habitat (Acres <1 Meter Deep) for Juvenile Salmonids in the Yolo Bypass and the Differences (in parentheses) for the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3
11-15	Proportion of Flow Entering Yolo Bypass via Fremont Weir

11-16	Proportion of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Entering Yolo Bypass Via Fremont Weir, Based on Acierto et al. (2014)
11-17	Proportion of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Entering Yolo Bypass Via Fremont Weir, Based on U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (2017)11-128
11-18	Proportion of Flow Entering Sutter Bypass via Moulton Weir
11-19	Proportion of Flow Entering Sutter Bypass via Colusa Weir
11-20	Proportion of Flow Entering Sutter Bypass via Tisdale Weir
11-21	Number of Days Meeting Adult Chinook Salmon and Sturgeon Passage Criteria at Fremont Weir
11-22	Number of Days Meeting Adult Chinook Salmon Passage Criteria at Colusa Weir 11-132
11-23	Mean and Median of Estimated Change in Colusa Basin Drain Water Temperature (°F) from Sites Reservoir Releases, August–October
11-24	Probability of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Through-Delta Survival, Averaged by Month and Water Year Type, Based on Perry et al. (2018)
11-25	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-26	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-27	Mean Female Adult Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Escapement by Water Year Type Based on IOS
11-28	Mean Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Proportional Egg Survival by Water Year Type Based on IOS
11-29	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Proportional Fry Survival by Water Year Type Based on IOS
11-30	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Proportional Juvenile River Migration Survival by Water Year Type Based on IOS
11-31	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Proportional Juvenile Through-Delta Migration Survival by Water Year Type Based on IOS11-144
11-32	Abundance and Percentage of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Adult Escapement Upstream and Downstream of the Red Bluff and Hamilton City Intakes, 2009–202011-153
11-33	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Spring-run Chinook Salmon, Sacramento River

11-34	Weir, Based on Acierto et al. (2014)11-165
11-35	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Spring-run Chinook Salmon, Feather River
11-36	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-37	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-38	Abundance and Percentage of Fall-Run and Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Adult Escapement Upstream and Downstream of the Red Bluff and Hamilton City Intakes, 2009–2020.
11-39	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Fall-run Chinook Salmon, Sacramento River
11-40	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Late Fall—run Chinook Salmon, Sacramento River
11-41	Proportion of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Entering Yolo Bypass Via Fremont Weir, Based on Acierto et al. (2014)
11-42	Number of Unmarked and Marked Chinook Salmon Collected at Wallace Weir, in Relation to Fish Trap Capture Effort (Hours)
11-43	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Fall-run Chinook Salmon, Feather River
11-44	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Fall-run Chinook Salmon, American River
11-45	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-46	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-47	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-48	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method

11-49	Mean Number of Days with Delta Cross Channel Open in October and November 11-213
11-50	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Steelhead, Sacramento River
11-51	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Steelhead, Feather River
11-52	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Steelhead, American River
11-53	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Steelhead At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-54	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Steelhead At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-55	Rotary Screw Trap Catches of Sturgeon at GCID
11-56	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Green Sturgeon, Sacramento River
11-57	CALSIM II Monthly Average Flow (cfs) by Month and Water Year Type at Bend Bridge for the NAA and Alternatives 1A, 1B, 2, and 3, and Percent Differences between Them (in Parentheses)
11-58	CALSIM II Monthly Average Flow (cfs) by Month and Water Year Type Below Red Bluff Diversion Dam for the NAA and Alternatives 1A, 1B, 2, and 3, and Percent Differences between Them (in Parentheses)
11-59	CALSIM II Monthly Average Flow (cfs) by Month and Water Year Type at Hamilton City for the NAA and Alternatives 1A, 1B, 2, and 3, and Percent Differences between Them (in Parentheses)
11-60	CALSIM II Monthly Average Flow (cfs) by Month and Water Year Type at Wilkins Slough for the NAA and Alternatives 1A, 1B, 2, and 3, and Percent Differences between Them (in Parentheses)
11-61	Number of Days Meeting Adult Sturgeon Passage Criteria at Colusa Weir11-260
11-62	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Green Sturgeon, Feather River
11-63	CALSIM II Monthly Average Flow (cfs) by Month and Water Year Type in the Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay Outlet for the NAA and Alternatives 1A, 1B, 2, and 3, and Percent Differences between Them (in Parentheses)

11-04	Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-65	Salvage of Juvenile Green Sturgeon At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-66	Salvage of Juvenile White Sturgeon At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-67	Salvage of Juvenile White Sturgeon At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-68	Year-Class Strength of White Sturgeon Based on April–May Regression with Delta Outflow
11-69	Year-Class Strength of White Sturgeon Based on March–July Regression with Delta Outflow
11-70	Density of Adult Eurytemora affinis Based on March–May Regression with X211-288
11-71	Density of Adult + Juvenile Eurytemora affinis Based on March–June Regression with Delta Outflow (Hennessy and Burris 2017)
11-72	Mean June–September Delta Inflow (Cubic Feet per Second) by Alternative and Water Year Type
11-73	Mean March–May South Delta Exports (Cubic Feet Per Second) by Alternative and Water Year Type
11-74	Mean July–September Delta Outflow (Cubic Feet Per Second) by Alternative and Water Year Type
11-75	Density of Adult + Juvenile Pseudodiaptomus forbesi Based on June–September Regression with Delta Outflow (Hennessy and Burris 2017)
11-76	Mean X2 (km upstream of Golden Gate Bridge) by Water Year Type, September–November. 11-292
11-77	Mean March–May Delta Exports to Inflow Ratio (E:I) by Water Year Type11-293
11-78	Mean June-August Delta Outflow (Thousand Acre-Feet) by Water Year Type 11-293
11-79	Mean January QWEST (Cubic Feet Per Second) by Alternative and Water Year Type
11-80	Mean February QWEST (Cubic Feet Per Second) by Alternative and Water Year Type
11-81	Mean March QWEST (Cubic Feet Per Second) by Alternative and Water Year Type 11-298

11-82	Mean December–March X2 (Kilometers Upstream of Golden Gate Bridge) by Alternative and Water Year Type
11-83	Density of Neomysis mercedis Based on March–May Regression with Delta Outflow
11-84	Mean Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index by Water Year Type from Delta Outflow-Abundance Index Model
11-85	Mean Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index Probability of Alternatives being less than the NAA by Water Year Type from Delta Outflow-Abundance Index Model
11-86	Mean Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index by Water Year Type from Nobriga and Rosenfield (2016) Model, Based on Good Juvenile Survival Scenario
11-87	Mean Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index by Water Year Type from Nobriga and Rosenfield (2016) Model, Based on Poor Juvenile Survival Scenario11-304
11-88	Mean Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index Based on January–June X2 11-304
11-89	Tidal Habitat Restoration Mitigation for Longfin Smelt (Acres)
11-90	Percentage of Pacific Lamprey Spawning Months with >50% Flow Reduction in the Next Month, Used as a Proxy for Redd Dewatering Risk for Locations in the Sacramento River, and Percent Differences (in parentheses) between the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3.
11-91	Percentage of River Lamprey Spawning Months with >50% Flow Reduction in the Next Month, Used as a Proxy for Redd Dewatering Risk for Locations in the Sacramento River, and Percent Differences (in parentheses) between the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3
11-92	Percent of Pacific and River Lamprey Ammocoetes Stranded During 7-Year Rearing Period in the Sacramento River at Keswick Dam and Differences in the Percentages for the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3. January through August Results Pertain to Pacific Lamprey and the February through June Results Pertain to River Lamprey
11-93	Percent of Pacific and River Lamprey Ammocoetes Stranded During 7-Year Rearing Period in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge and Differences in the Percentages for the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3. January through August Results Pertain to Pacific Lamprey and the February through June Results Pertain to River Lamprey
11-94	Percent of Pacific and River Lamprey Ammocoetes Stranded During 7-Year Rearing Period in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City and Differences in the Percentages for the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3. January through August Results Pertain to Pacific Lamprey and the February through June Results Pertain to River Lamprey
11-95	Percentage of Pacific and River Lamprey Spawning Months with >50% Flow Reduction in the Next Month, Used as a Proxy for Redd Dewatering Risk in the Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay, and Percent Differences (in parentheses) between the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3

11-96	in the Feather River at Gridley Gage and Differences in the Percentages for the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3. January through August Results Pertain to Pacific Lamprey and the February through June Results Pertain to River Lamprey
11-97	Percentage of Pacific Lamprey Spawning Months with >50% Flow Reduction in the Next Month, Used as a Proxy for Redd Dewatering Risk for Locations in the American River, and Percent Differences (in parentheses) between the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3
11-98	Percentage of River Lamprey Spawning Months with >50% Flow Reduction in the Next Month, Used as a Proxy for Redd Dewatering Risk for Locations in the American River, and Percent Differences (in parentheses) between the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3
11-99	Percent of Pacific and River Lamprey Ammocoetes Stranded During 7-Year Rearing Period in the American River at Nimbus Dam and Differences in the Percentages for the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3. January through August Results Pertain to Pacific Lamprey and the February through June Results Pertain to River Lamprey
11-100	Salvage of Pacific Lamprey At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-101	Salvage of Pacific Lamprey At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-102	Salvage of River Lamprey At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-103	Salvage of River Lamprey At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-104	Salvage of Unknown Species of Lamprey At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-105	Salvage of Unknown Species of Lamprey At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-106	Estimated Mean Daily Inundated Habitat (Thousands of Acres <1 Meter Deep) for Sacramento Splittail in the Yolo Bypass and the Absolute Differences (Acres, in parentheses) for the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3
11-107	Mean Daily November through May Inundated Habitat (Acres <1 Meter Deep) for Juvenile Salmonids in the Yolo Bypass and the Absolute Differences (in parentheses) for the NAA and Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3
11-108	Salvage of Sacramento Splittail At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year
-1 100	Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method

11-109	Salvage of Sacramento Splittail At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-110	Salvage of Starry Flounder At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-111	Salvage of Starry Flounder At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-112	Starry Flounder Bay Otter Trawl Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean March–June X2
11-113	Salvage of Striped Bass At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-114	Salvage of Striped Bass At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-115	Striped Bass Summer Townet Abundance Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean April–June X2
11-116	Striped Bass Fall Midwater Trawl Abundance Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean April–June X2
11-117	Striped Bass Bay Midwater Trawl Abundance Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean April–June X2
11-118	Striped Bass Bay Otter Trawl Abundance Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean April–June X2
11-119	Mean Fall (September–December) X2, Averaged by Water Year Type11-350
11-120	Salvage of American Shad At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-121	Salvage of American Shad At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-122	American Shad Fall Midwater Trawl Abundance Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean February–May X2
11-123	American Shad Bay Midwater Trawl Abundance Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean February–May X211-357
11-124	Salvage of Threadfin Shad At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-125	Salvage of Threadfin Shad At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method

11-126	Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-127	Salvage of Largemouth Bass At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-128	California Bay Shrimp Bay Otter Trawl Abundance Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean April–June X2
12-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Geology and Soils Resources
12-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Geology and Soils Resources
12-2	Regional and Local Fault Information
12-3	Paleontological Sensitivity Ratings
12-4	University of California Museum of Paleontology Vertebrate Fossil Records, by Formation Extent and Study Area Counties, and Paleontological Sensitivity of Geologic Units in the Study Area
12-5	Society of Vertebrate Paleontology's Recommended Treatment for Paleontological Resources
12-6	Location of Faults Relative to Alternative 1 or 3 Structures
12-7	Ground-Disturbing Construction Activities and the Geologic Units Affected12-65
13-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Mineral Resources—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS
13-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Mineral Resources—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS
14-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Land Use Resources 14-
14-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Land Use Resources14-2
14-2	Summary of Glenn County Land Use and Zoning Designations
14-3	Summary of Colusa County Land Use and Zoning Designations
14-4	Summary of Yolo County Land Use and Zoning Designations
14-5	Summary of Alternatives 1 and 3 Components in Glenn, Colusa, and Yolo Counties14-10
14-6	Summary of Alternative 2 Components in Glenn, Colusa, and Yolo Counties14-14
15-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Agriculture Resources 15-2

15-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Agriculture Resources 15
15-2	Important Farmland, Farmland of Local Importance, and Grazing Land as Designated under the FMMP in Glenn County in 2006, 2016, and 2018 (acres)
15-3	Land Designated under FPPA in Glenn County (acres)
15-4	Zoned Agricultural Land in Glenn County (acres)
15-5	Land under Williamson Act and Farmland Security Zone Contracts in Glenn County (acres)
15-6	Important Farmland, Farmland of Local Importance, and Grazing Land as Designated under the FMMP in Colusa County in 2006 and 2016 (acres)
15-7	Land under FPPA in Colusa County (acres)
15-8	Zoned Agricultural Land in Colusa County (acres)
15-9	Land under Williamson Act and Farmland Security Zone Contracts in Colusa County (acres)
15-10	Important Farmland, Farmland of Local Importance, and Grazing Land as Designated under the FMMP in Yolo County in 2006 and 2016 (acres)
15-11	Land under FPPA in Yolo County (acres)
15-12	Zoned Agricultural Land in Yolo County (acres)
15-13	Land under Williamson Act and Farmland Security Zone Contracts in Yolo County (acres)
15-14	Williamson Act Minimum Parcel Size Requirements by County
15-15	FMMP Important Farmland Temporarily Disturbed and Permanently Converted by Project Facilities under All Alternatives (acres)
15-16	Land Zoned for Agricultural Use Permanently Disturbed by Project Facilities under Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (acres)
15-17	Land under Williamson Act Contract Permanently Disturbed by Project Facilities under Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (acres)
15-18	Acreage of Remnant Parcels of Williamson Act Contracted–Land below County Thresholds Permanently Created by Project Facilities under Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (acres)
15-19	Important Farmland as Designated under FPPA outside FMMP Important Farmland Temporarily Affected and Permanently Used by Project Facilities under Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (acres)
15-20	Modeled Average Release Water Temperatures by Alternative (°F)

15-21	Modeled 90% Exceedance (Tenth Percentile) for Release Water Temperatures by Alternative (°F)
16-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Recreation Resources16-2
16-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Recreation Resources16-3
16-2	Key Recreational Characteristics of Recreation Areas Potentially Affected by Project-Related Changes to SWP or CVP Operations
16-3	Federal and State Wildlife Refuges and Wildlife Areas in the Sacramento Valley along the Sacramento River
16-4	Average Modeled Water Surface Elevation in Shasta Lake (feet)
16-5	90th Percent Exceedance Values of Modeled Water Surface Elevation in Shasta Lake (feet)
16-6	Average Modeled Water Surface Elevation in Lake Oroville (feet)
16-7	90th Percent Exceedance Values of Modeled Water Surface Elevation in Lake Oroville (feet)
16-8	Average Modeled Water Surface Elevation in Folsom Lake (feet)
16-9	90th Percent Exceedance Values of Modeled Water Surface Elevation in Folsom Lake (feet)
16-10	Average Modeled Water Surface Elevation in San Luis Reservoir (feet)16-19
16-11	90th Percent Exceedance Values of Modeled Water Surface Elevation in San Luis Reservoir (feet)
16-12	Sites Reservoir Delivery of Level 4 Water to North-of-Delta Refuges (thousand acre-feet)
17-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Energy Resources17-2
17-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Energy Resources17-2
17-2a	2019 Total System Electricity Generation
17-2b	2018 Total System Electricity Generation
17-3	In-State Electricity Generation by Fuel Type (GWh)
17-4	CEDU 2020 Mid-, High-, and Low-Case Demand Baseline—Statewide Consumption (GWh) and Net Peak Demand (MW)
17-5	Annual Electricity Consumption by County for the Electricity Supply Study Area in 2019 (GWh)

1/-6	per year)
17-7	Annual Diesel Fuel Sales for the Petroleum Products Study Area (millions of gallons per year)
17-8	Temporary Electricity Requirements and Consumption for Construction of Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (kVA, kW, and kWh per year)
17-9	CVP, SWP, and Project Facilities Operation Energy Consumption (GWh/year)—No Action Alternative (NAA), Alternative 1A and Alternative 1B
17-10	CVP, SWP, and Project Facilities Operation Energy Consumption (GWh/year) —No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternative 2
17-11	CVP, SWP, and Project Facilities Operation Energy Consumption (GWh/year)1—No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternative 3
17-12a	Diesel Fuel and Gasoline Consumption for Construction of Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (gallons per year and total gallons) for Construction Period
17-12b	Diesel Fuel and Gasoline Consumption for Operation of Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (gallons per year and total gallons) for 2030-2040 Modeled Operating Period
17-13	Project Operations Electricity Demand and Net Reduction in CVP/SWP System Electricity Generation for Alternatives as Percentages of Statewide and Regional Electricity Demand
18-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Navigation, Transportation, and Traffic
18-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Navigation, Transportation, and Traffic
18-2	Sites Reservoir Project Access Roads
18-3	Baseline Conditions Average Daily Traffic
18-4	Roadway Classifications
18-5	Roadway Segment Level of Service Characteristics
18-6	Existing Roadway Segment Level of Service
18-7	Existing Recreation Areas in the Regional Study Area
18-8	Regional Urban Populations Likely to Make Recreational Trips to Sites Reservoir 18-17
18-9	Roadway Segment Level of Service Thresholds
18-10	Sites Reservoir Recreational Trip Origins

18-11	Alternatives 1 and 3 Estimated Construction Daily Trips	18-33
18-12	Alternatives 1 and 3 Roadway Levels of Service during Construction	18-35
18-13	Alternatives 1 and 3 Roadway Level of Service during Peak Recreation, Operations, and Maintenance	
18-14	Alternative 2 Estimated Construction Daily Trips	18-40
18-15	Alternative 2 Roadway Level of Service during Construction	18-42
18-16	Sites Reservoir Estimated Recreational Daily Trips from Population Centers	18-45
18-17	Daily Trips Relocated to Sites Reservoir from Other Recreation Locations	18-46
18-18	Alternatives 1 and 3 Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled Change	18-47
18-19	Alternative 2 Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled Change	18-49
19-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Noise Resources—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS	19-1
19-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Noise Resources—No Ch from RDEIR/SDEIS	
19-2	Summary of Sensitive Receptors—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS	19-5
19-3	Commonly Used Construction Equipment Noise Levels—No Changes from RDEIR/SD	
19-4	Caltrans Vibration Guidelines for Potential Damage to Structures—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS	19-8
19-5	Caltrans Guidelines for Vibration Annoyance Potential—No Changes from RDEIR/SDE	
19-6	Typical Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS	19-9
19-7	Construction Noise Levels by Project Component—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS	19-12
19-8	Construction Noise Abatement Plan and Construction Noise Attenuation—No Changes RDEIR/SDEIS	
20-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality Resources	20-1
20-1b	Summary of Operation Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality Resources	20-3
20-2	Sources and Potential Health and Environmental Effects of Criteria Pollutants	20-5
20-3	Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Data (2017–2019)	20-13

20-4a	Federal Attainment Status of Four Counties in the Study Area	20-15
20-4b	State Attainment Status of Four Counties in the Study Area	20-15
20-5	Summary of Sensitive Receptors	20-16
20-6	CEQA Emissions Thresholds for Air Districts in the Study Area	20-24
20-7	NEPA Thresholds for Nonattainment Areas in the Study Area (tons per year)	20-24
20-8	Localized Ambient Air Quality Significant Impact Levels (µg/m³)	20-25
20-9	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternatives 1–3 – wit Best Management Practices	
20-10	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternatives 1–3 – wit Management Practices	
20-11	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Maintenance Activities and Recreation Activity (Worst-Case Year)	
20-12	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternatives 1–3 in Nonattainment Areas of the SVAB – without Best Management Practices	20-49
20-13	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternatives 1–3 in the Nonattainment Areas of the SVAB – with Best Management Practices	
20-14	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Operations in the Nonattainment Area the SVAB	
20-15	Excess Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Project Construction	20-52
20-16	Maximum CAAQS and NAAQS Criteria Pollutant Concentration Impacts During Construction ( $\mu g/m^3$ ) [Non-Particulate Matter Pollutants]	20-56
20-17	Alternative 1 and 3 Maximum Particulate Matter Concentration Impacts During Construction (µg/m³)	20-57
20-18	Alternative 2 Maximum Particulate Matter Concentration Impacts During Construction (µg/m³)	20-61
21-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts between Alternatives	21-1
21-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts between Alternatives	21-2
21-2	Lifetimes and Global Warming Potentials of Key Greenhouse Gases	21-3
21-3	Global, National, State, and Local Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventories	21-5
21-4	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Construction Alternatives 1, 2 and 3 (metric tons CO2e)	21-12

21-5	Annual Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Alternative 1 Operations (metric tons CO2e)21-15
21-6	Summary of Metric Ton Reduction (metric tons CO2e)
21-7	Annual Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Alternative 2 Operations (metric tons CO2e)21-28
21-8	Annual Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Alternative 3 Operations (metric tons CO2e)21-31
22-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Cultural Resources22-2
22-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Cultural Resources22-3
22-2	Archaeological Periods of the Sacramento Valley
22-3a	Early Native American Archaeological Property Types
22-3b	Post-1808 Archaeological Property Types
22-4	Significant Historic Built Resources
22-5	Ineligible Built Environment Resources
22-6a	Alternatives 1 and 3 Project Components and Cultural Resources
22-6b	Alternative 2 Project Components and Cultural Resources
22-7a	Summary of Potentially NRHP/CRHR-Eligible Built Resources Types Within or Outside of the Alternatives 1 and 3 Inundation Areas
22-7b	Summary of Potentially NRHP/CRHR-Eligible Built Resources Types Within or Outside of the Alternative 2 Inundation Areas
22-8	Summary of Early Native American Archaeological Property Types Within or Outside of the Alternative 1 and 3 Inundation Areas
22-9	Summary of Post-1808 Archaeological Property Types Within or Outside of the Alternative 1 and 3 Inundation Areas
22-10	Summary of Early Native American Archaeological Property Types Within or Outside of the Alternative 2 Inundation Areas
22-11	Summary of Post-1808 Archaeological Property Types Within or Outside of the Alternative 2 Inundation Areas
23-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Tribal Cultural Resources
23-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Tribal and Cultural Resources23-5
23-2	Summary of AB 52 Consultation June 2019 through May 2023

23-3	Additional Outreach to California Native American Tribes
24-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Visual Resources—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS
24-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Visual Resources—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS
24-2	Summary of Existing Project Landscape—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS24-7
25-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Population and Housing Resources—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS
25-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Population and Housing Resources—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS
25-2	Current and Projected Populations of Colusa, Glenn, and Yolo Counties—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS
25-3	Housing Units in the Study Area—No Changes from RDEIR/SDEIS25-3
26-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Public Service and Utilities Resources
26-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Public Service and Utilities Resources
26-2	Summary of Glenn County Wastewater Treatment
26-3	Summary of Colusa County Wastewater Treatment
26-4	Estimates of Solid Waste as a Result of Demolition
27-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Public Health and Environmental Hazards
27-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Public Health and Environmental Hazards
27-2	Project Facilities and Associated Responsibility Areas
28-1	Summary of Project Operation Effects with Climate Change by Alternative28-3
28-2	Baseline Climate Conditions in Glenn, Colusa, Tehama, and Yolo Counties (Historical Modeled Baseline from 1961 to 1990)
28-3	Climate Change Trends for Hydrologic Regions Participating with Sites Reservoir28-6
28-4	Variables Analyzed in Climate Change Model and Benefit Criteria for Climate Change Model Variables

28-5	Effect of Climate Change on Shasta Lake Storage (TAF) in Critically Dry Water Yea	ırs.28-14
28-6	Shasta Lake Storage: Alternatives Compared with NAA (a) without Climate Change (b) with Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change in 2070—Critically Dwater Years	Ory
(a)	Without future climate change	28-15
(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-15
(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-15
28-7	Effect of Climate Change on Oroville Storage (TAF) in Critically Dry Water Years	28-16
28-8	Lake Oroville Storage: Alternatives Compared with NAA (a) without Climate Change 2035, (b) with Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change in 2070—Critic Water Years	cally Dry
(a)	Without future climate change	28-17
(b)	With climate change by 2035	28-17
(c)	With climate change by 2070	28-17
28-9	Effect of Climate Change on Folsom Storage (TAF) in Critically Dry Water Years	28-18
28-10	Folsom Storage: Alternatives Compared with NAA (a) without Climate Change in 20 with Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change in 2070—Critically Dry Years	Water
(a)	Without future climate change	28-18
(b)	With climate change 2035	28-19
(c)	With climate change 2070	28-19
28-11	Sacramento River Flow at Bend Bridge: Alternatives Compared with NAA (a) witho Climate Change, (b) with Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change in 2 Critically Dry Water Years	070—
(a)	Without future climate change	28-20
(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-20
(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-20
28-12	Sacramento River Flow at Bend Bridge: Alternatives Compared with NAA (a) witho Climate Change, (b) with Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change in 2 Wet Water Years	070—
(a)	Without future climate change	28-21

(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-21
(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-21
28-13	Sacramento River Flow near Wilkins Slough: Alternatives Compared with NAA Future Climate Change in 2035, (b) with Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with C	limate
	Change in 2070— Critically Dry Years	28-22
(a)	Without future climate change	28-22
(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-22
(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-23
28-14	Sacramento River Flow near Wilkins Slough: Alternatives Compared with NAA Future Climate Change in 2035, (b) with Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with C	limate
	Change in 2070—Wet Water Years	28-23
(a)	Without future climate change	28-23
(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-23
(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-24
28-15	Feather River Flow at Mouth: Alternatives Compared with NAA (a) without Future Change, (b) with Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change in 2070–Dry Water Years	—Critically
(a)	Without future climate change	28-24
(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-25
(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-25
28-16	American River Flow at H Street: Alternatives Compared with NAA (a) without Climate Change, (b) with Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change Critically Dry Water Years	in 2070—
(a)	Without future climate change	28-26
(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-26
(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-26
28-17	Yolo Bypass Flow: Alternatives Compared with NAA (a) without Future Climate with Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change in 2070—Critically I	Ory Water
	Years	28-27
(a)	Without future climate change	28-27
(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-27

(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-27
28-18	Yolo Bypass Flow: Alternatives Compared with NAA (a) without Climate Charle Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change in 2070—Wet Water Ye	
(a)	Without future climate change	28-28
(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-28
(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-29
28-19	Delta Outflow: Alternatives Compared with NAA (a) without Climate Change, Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change in 2070—Critically Dry	Water Years
(a)	Without future climate change	28-29
(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-30
(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-30
28-20	RBPP Diversions: Alternatives Compared with NAA (a) without Climate Chan Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change in 2070 —Wet Water Ye	
(a)	Without future climate change	28-31
(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-31
(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-31
28-21	Hamilton City Diversions: Alternatives Compared with NAA (a) without Clima (b) with Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change in 2070—Wet V	Water
	Years	
(a)	Without future climate change	28-32
(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-32
(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-32
28-22	Sites Reservoir (Total) Storage: Alternatives Compared with NAA (a) without Change, (b) with Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change in 2070 Dry Water Years.	O—Critically
(a)	Without future climate change	28-33
(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-33
(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-34

28-23	Climate Change, (b) with Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change Critically Dry Water Years	in 2070—
(a)	Without future climate change	28-34
(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-34
(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-35
28-24	Sites Reservoir Release to Sacramento River: Alternatives Compared with NAA (Climate Change, (b) with Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change Critically Dry Water Years	in 2070—
(a)	Without future climate change	28-35
(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-35
(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-36
28-25	Total SWP and CVP Exports: Alternatives Compared with NAA (a) without Clin (b) with Climate Change in 2035, and (c) with Climate Change in 2070—Critical Water Years	ly Dry
(a)	Without future climate change	28-36
(b)	With climate change in 2035	28-37
(c)	With climate change in 2070	28-37
29-1a	Summary of Construction Effects on ITAs by Alternative	29-1
29-1b	Summary of Operations Effects on ITAs by Alternative	29-1
30-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Environmental Ju Socioeconomics Resources	
30-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Environmental Just Socioeconomics Resources	
30-2	Population by Race and Ethnicity in 2019 of Glenn, Colusa, and Yolo Counties at California (percent)	
30-3	2019 Income Levels and Poverty Rates in Glenn, Colusa, and Yolo Counties and California	30-7
30-4	2019 Socioeconomic Population Characteristics for Glenn, Colusa, and Yolo Cou California	
30-5	Summary of 2019 Average Employment Rates for Glenn, Colusa, and Yolo Coun Statewide	

30-6	Substantial Adverse Effects Summary and Mitigation Measures	30-12
30-7	Summary of Socioeconomic Effects Approaches	30-17
31-1	Cumulative Project List	31-5
32-1	Summary of Simulated Sites Reservoir Annual Averages of Agricultural Deliveries (Thousand Acre Feet/Year)	32-4
32-2	Sites Reservoir Agricultural Deliveries Compared to Total Agricultural Deliveries	32-5
32-3	Summary of Simulated Sites Reservoir Annual Averages of Municipal and Industrial Deliveries (Thousand Acre Feet/Year)	32-7
32-4	Simulated Sites Reservoir Municipal and Industrial Deliveries Compared to Total Munand Industrial Deliveries	
32-5	Simulated Metropolitan Water District Water Deliveries (TAF/Year)	32-10
32-6	Population Growth from 2000 to 2020	32-11
32-7	Projected Population Growth from 2020 to 2040	32-11
32-8	Summary of Significant Impacts of Each Alternative Before and After Implementation Mitigation Measures	
33-1	Reclamation Staff Contributors	33-7
33-2	Authority Staff Contributors	33-8
33-3	Project Integration Team Contributors	33-9
33-4	Consultant and Subconsultant Contributors	33-9
34-1	Locations of Available Copies of the Sites Reservoir Project Final EIR/EIS	34-1

## **Figures**

		Page
ES-1	Regional Map	ES-7
ES-2	Vicinity Map	ES-8
ES-3	Local Vicinity	ES-9
ES-4	Sites Reservoir Project Storage Partners Service Areas	ES-12
ES-5	Alternatives 1 and 3 Regulating Reservoirs and Conveyance and Sites Reservoir Facilities	ES-21
ES-6	Alternatives 1 and 3 Conveyance to Sacramento River Components	ES-22
ES-7	Alternative 2 Regulating Reservoirs and Conveyance and Sites Reservoir Facilities	ES-23
ES-8	Alternative 2 Conveyance to Sacramento River Components	ES-24
1-1	Regional Map	1-3
1-2	Vicinity Map	1-4
1-3	Local Vicinity	1-5
2-1	Alternatives 1 and 3 Regulating Reservoirs and Conveyance and Sites Reservoir Facilities	2-7
2-2	Alternatives 1 and 3 Conveyance to Sacramento River Components	2-8
2-3	Alternative 2 Regulating Reservoirs and Conveyance and Sites Reservoir Facilities	2-9
2-4	Alternative 2 Conveyance to Sacramento River Components	2-10
2-5	Sacramento River Conveyance Components	2-17
2-6	Red Bluff Pumping Plant	2-18
2-7	GCID Main Canal Head Gate Structure	2-19
2-8	GCID System Upgrades	2-23
2-9	GCID System Upgrades Continued	2-24
2-10A	Terminal Regulating Reservoir East Facilities Site Plan	2-27
2-10B1	Terminal Regulating Reservoir West Main Reservoir Plan	2-28
2-10B2	Terminal Regulating Reservoir West Reservoir Extension Plan	2-29
Sites Res	servoir Project Final EIR/EIS	i-44

2-10B3	Terminal Regulating Reservoir West Inlet/Outlet Canal Plan	2-30
2-11A	Terminal Regulating Reservoir East and West Alts Pumping Generating Plant	2-31
2-11B	Terminal Regulating Reservoir East and West Alts Pumping Generating Plant	2-32
2-12	Terminal Regulating Reservoir East or West Substation	2-34
2-13A	Terminal Regulating Reservoir East Pipelines	2-35
2-13B	Terminal Regulating Reservoir West Pipelines	2-36
2-14	Funks Reservoir Facilities Site Plan	2-38
2-15	Funks Reservoir Stockpile and Haul Route Plan	2-39
2-16A	Funks Pumping Generating Plant Facilities	2-40
2-16B	Funks Pumping Generating Plant Facilities	2-41
2-17	Alternatives 1 and 3 Conveyance Complex Facilities	2-43
2-18	WAPA Schematic Sketch	2-45
2-19	PG&E Schematic Sketch	2-46
2-20	Double-Circuit Source Transmission Poles	2-48
2-21	Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 Funks Reservoir to Terminal Regulating Reservoir East or West Electrical Interconnection	2-49
2-22	Administration and Operations Building	2-50
2-23	Maintenance and Storage Building	2-51
2-24	Plan of Inlet/Outlet Works Site	2-53
2-25	Profile of Inlet/Outlet Works Site	2-54
2-26	Sites Dam Plan	2-58
2-27	Sites Dam Section	2-59
2-28	Golden Gate Dam Plan	2-60
2-29	Golden Gate Dam Section	2-61
2-30	Saddle Dike Section	2-63
2-31	Saddle Dam 8B Spillway	2-64

2-32	TC Canal Intake Site Plan	2-66
2-33	Dunnigan CBD Discharge Site Plan	2-67
2-34	Recreation Areas	2-69
2-35	Local Access, Construction Access, and Maintenance Access Roads	2-71
2-36	Available Diversion Capacity versus Streamflow at Red Bluff Pumping Plant	2-84
2-37	Available Diversion Capacity versus Streamflow at the GCID Hamilton City Pump Station	2-85
2-38	Onsite Borrow Area Details	. 2-106
2-39	Sites Lodoga Road Realignment and Bridge	. 2-116
2-40	Dunnigan Sacramento River Discharge Site Plan	. 2-121
5-1	Mean Daily Flow in Stone Corral Creek near Sites (cfs)	5-5
5-2	100-Year Inundation Areas Relative to the Project Facilities	5-7
5-3	100-Year Inundation Areas Relative to Northern California's Central Valley	5-13
5-4	100-Year Inundation Areas Relative to the Dunnigan Pipeline	5-14
5-5	100-Year Inundation Areas Relative to the GCID	5-15
6-1	Electrical Conductivity Measurements from the Sacramento River and Colusa Basin Drain	6-9
6-2A	Estimated Effect of Evaporation from Sites Reservoir on a Hypothetical Constituent the Time as Derived from CALSIM Results	_
6-2B	Sites Reservoir Storage and Releases for Water Supply during Period of Peak Evapoconcentration for Alternative 3 as derived from CALSIM Results	6-37
6-3	Relationships between Flow Metric and Total Aluminum Concentrations	6-50
6-4	Estimated Total Concentration of Aluminum Before and After Settling of Suspended Sediment	6-52
6-5A	Daily Average Dissolved Oxygen Measured in the Yolo Bypass Toe Drain near Lisbon Weir	6-83
6-5B	Daily Average Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) vs. Flow (cfs) Measured in the Yolo Bypass Drain near Lisbon Weir during July - October	

0-0	and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 for Annual Average Flows6-90
6-7	Estimated Fish Tissue Methylmercury Concentrations at Freeport for the No Project Alternative and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 for Annual Average Flows6-91
6-8	Estimated Aqueous Methylmercury Concentrations at Freeport for the No Project Alternative and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 for Mean Monthly Flows in May–November of Dry and Critically Dry Water Years
6-9	Estimated Fish Tissue Methylmercury Concentrations at Freeport for the No Project Alternative and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 for Mean Monthly Flows in May–November of Dry and Critically Dry Water Years
6-10	Estimated Total Aluminum Concentration in Inflow to Sites Reservoir, in Sites Reservoir, and in the Sacramento River at the Sites Discharge Location
6-11	Estimated Total Copper Concentration in Inflow to Sites Reservoir, in Sites Reservoir, and in the Sacramento River at the Sites Discharge Location6-97
6-12	Estimated Total Iron Concentration in Inflow to Sites Reservoir, in Sites Reservoir, and in the Sacramento River at the Sites Discharge Location
6-13	Estimated Total Lead Concentration in Inflow to Sites Reservoir, in Sites Reservoir, and in the Sacramento River at the Sites Discharge Location6-98
7-1	Sacramento River Reaches
8-1	Subbasins of the Sacramento Valley Groundwater Basin
10-1	Wildlife Movement Corridors
10-2	Areas of Conservation Emphasis: Terrestrial Connectivity
11-1	Aquatic Biological Resources Study Area
11-2	Stone Corral Creek and Funks Creek Drainages
11-3	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 164 and River Mile 298, 1981–199111-88
11-4	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 71 and River Mile 144, 1981–201911-89
11-5	Daily Percentage of Sacramento River Flow Entering the Oxbow Containing the Hamilton City Intake, 2018, Divided into Five Groups Based on Percentage of Hamilton City River Flow Diverted by the Intake
11-6	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Fork Length (a) Capture Proportions, (b) Cumulative Capture Size Curve, and (c) Average Weekly Median Boxplots, As Sampled at Red Bluff Diversion Dam Rotary Screw Traps, July 2002–June 2013

11-/	Encountering the Red Bluff and Hamilton City Fish Screens at Approach Velocity of 0.33 Feet per Second During the Day and Night
11-8	Predicted Screen Passage Time for Juvenile Chinook Salmon (79-mm Standard Length) Encountering the Red Bluff and Hamilton City Fish Screens at Approach Velocity of 0.33 Feet per Second During the Day and Night
11-9	Predicted Number of Screen Contacts for Juvenile Chinook Salmon (44-mm Standard Length) Encountering the Red Bluff and Hamilton City Fish Screens at Approach Velocity of 0.33 Feet per Second During the Day and Night
11-10	Predicted Number of Screen Contacts for Juvenile Chinook Salmon (79-mm Standard Length) Encountering the Red Bluff and Hamilton City Fish Screens at Approach Velocity of 0.33 Feet per Second During the Day and Night
11-11	Streamflow Overtopping the Fish Screen Structure at Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District Hamilton City Pumping Plant, February 18, 201711-108
11-12	Segments 2–6 of the Sacramento River Used in U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Studies to Determine Spawning and Rearing Weighted Usable Area (WUA)
11-13	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 164 and River Mile 298, 1981–199111-150
11-14	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 71 and River Mile 144, 1981–201911-151
11-15	Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Fork Length (a) Capture Proportions, (b) Cumulative Capture Size Curve, and (c) Average Weekly Median Boxplots, As Sampled at Red Bluff Diversion Dam Rotary Screw Traps, October 2002–June 2013
11-16	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 164 and River Mile 298, 1981–199111-180
11-17	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 71 and River Mile 144, 1981–201911-181
11-18	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 164 and River Mile 298, 1981–199111-182
11-19	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 71 and River Mile 144, 1981–201911-183
11-20	Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Fork Length (a) Capture Proportions, (b) Cumulative Capture Size Curve, and (c) Average Weekly Median Boxplots, As Sampled at Red Bluff Diversion Dam Rotary Screw Traps, July 2002–June 2013.
11-21	Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Fork Length (a) Capture Proportions, (b) Cumulative Capture Size Curve, and (c) Average Weekly Median Boxplots, As Sampled at Red Bluff Diversion Dam Rotary Screw Traps, July 2002–June 201311-189

11-22	Steelhead Fork Length (a) Capture Proportions, (b) Cumulative Capture Size Curve, and (c) Average Weekly Median Boxplots, As Sampled at Red Bluff Diversion Dam Rotary Screw Traps, July 2002–June 2013
11-23	Overview of Flow-Tolerance Limitations of Green (GS) and White (WS) Sturgeon Throughout the Sacramento-San Joaquin Watershed According to Location and Time of Year, Based on Critical Swimming Speed
11-24	Green Sturgeon a) Annual Total Length Capture Boxplots and b) Annual Cumulative Capture Trends with 10-Year Mean Trend Line, from Rotary Screw Trap Sampling at Red Bluff Diversion Dam, 2003–2012
11-25	Nocturnal Distribution Pattern of Capture of Larval Green Sturgeon at Red Bluff Diversion  Dam Outfall and Tehama Bridge in 2010
11-26	Mean Daily Discharge at U.S. Geological Survey site 11453000 Yolo Bypass near Woodland for Summer and Fall 2016, 2017, and 2018
11-27	Managed Flow Pulse in the Yolo Bypass Toe Drain at Lisbon Weir and Chlorophyll Concentration at Rio Vista During 2016 Pilot North Delta Food Subsidy From Colusa Basin Drain Action
11-28	Managed Flow Pulse in the Yolo Bypass Toe Drain at Lisbon Weir and Chlorophyll Concentration from North (RCS) to South (STTD) in the Yolo Bypass During 2018 Pilot North Delta Food Subsidy From Colusa Basin Drain Action
11-29	Chlorophyll Concentration at Rio Vista Before, During, and After 2018 Pilot North Delta Food Subsidies Action
11-30	Dissolved Oxygen in the Yolo Bypass Toe Drain at Liberty Island During 201811-283
11-31	Dissolved Oxygen in the Yolo Bypass Toe Drain at Lisbon Weir (Blue Line) and Sacramento River at Rio Vista (Black Line) During 2019
11-32	Dissolved Oxygen Cache Slough at Liberty Island During 2018
11-33	Dissolved Oxygen Cache Slough at Liberty Island During 2019
11-34	Water Temperature in the Yolo Bypass Toe Drain at Liberty Island During 2018 11-285
11-35	Water Temperature in the Yolo Bypass Toe Drain at Lisbon Weir (Blue Line) and Sacramento River at Rio Vista (Black Line) During 2019
11-36	95% Probability Intervals of Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index by Water Year Type from Delta Outflow-Abundance-Index Model
11-37	95% Confidence Intervals of Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index by Water Year Type from Nobriga and Rosenfield (2016) Model
12-1A	Geologic Map of the Study Area (Central Portion)
12-1B	Geologic Map of the Study Area (Northern Portion)

12-1C	Geologic Map of the Study Area (Southern Portion)	12-9
12-2	Detailed Geologic Map of Dam and Inundation Area	12-14
12-3	Cross Section of Geologic Structures	12-18
12-4	Regional and Sites Reservoir Faults	12-21
12-5	Regional Seismicity	12-22
12-6	Major Structural Features in the Region and the Site-Specific Faults Mapped in the Study Area	12-23
12-7	Division of Safety of Dams' Fault Slip Consequence-Hazard Matrix	12-38
12-8	Median and 84 <sup>th</sup> Percentile Deterministic Seismic Response Spectra	12-42
15-1A	Cropland in the Project Area	15-7
15-1B	Cropland in the Project Area	15-8
15-1C	Cropland in the Project Area	15-9
15-2A	Important Farmland and Grazing Land in the Project Area	15-10
15-2B	Important Farmland and Grazing Land in the Project Area	15-11
15-2C	Important Farmland and Grazing Land in the Project Area	15-12
15-3A	Agricultural Zoning in Project Area	15-13
15-3B	Agricultural Zoning in Project Area	15-14
15-3C	Agricultural Zoning in Project Area	15-15
15-4A	Land under Williamson Act and Farmland Security Zone Contracts in the Project Area	15-16
15-4B	Land under Williamson Act and Farmland Security Zone Contracts in the Project Area	15-17
15-4C	Land under Williamson Act and Farmland Security Zone Contracts in the Project Area	15-18
16-1	Reservoirs and Other Recreation Near the Study Area	16-5
18-1	Reservoirs and Other Recreation Near the Study Area	18-15
18-2	Population Centers Near the Study Area	18-19
20-1	Project Area to Study Area	20-10

20-2	Ozone and PM2.5 Federal Attainment and Non-Attainment Areas in the Study Area	20-47
27-1	State Responsibility Areas and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	27-11
27-2	Fire Hazard Severity Zones	27-15
30-1	Minority-Based Environmental Justice Populations	30-21
30-2	Low Income-Based Environmental Justice Populations	30-23

## **Acronyms**

Acroynm	Definition
°F	Fahrenheit
μg/L	micrograms per liter
μg/m <sup>3</sup>	micrograms per cubic meter
μS/cm	microsiemens per centimeter
μmhos/cm	micromhos per centimeter
1D	one-dimensional
2017 Draft EIR/EIS	Public Draft EIR/EIS for the Project in 2017
AAQA	Ambient Air Quality Analysis
AASHTO	Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ACID	Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District
ACM	asbestos-containing materials
ADIs	areas of potential direct impacts
ADL	aerially deposited lead
AF	acre-feet
AFY	acre-feet per year
AFSP	Anadromous Fish Screen Program
AICP	American Institute of Certified Planners
AIPCP	Aquatic Invasive Plant Control Program
AP-42	EPA's AP-42 Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors
APN	Assessor's Parcel Number
AST	aboveground storage tank
ATLs	Advisory Tissue Levels
Authority	Sites Project Authority
Bay-Delta Plan	Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary
BGEPA	Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act
bgs	below ground surface
BiOp	biological opinion
BMOs	Basin Management Objectives
BMPs	best management practices
BRWL	blue-rich white light

Acroynm	Definition
C&HRR	Colusa & Hamilton Railroad
C&LRR	Colusa and Lake Railroad
C.F.R.	Code of Federal Regulations
CAAQS	California ambient air quality standards
CAL FIRE	California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
Cal. Code Regs	California Code of Regulations
CalEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model
CalGEM	California Geologic Energy Management Division
CalGreen	California Green Building Standards Code
CalISO	California Independent System Operator
CalOES	Governor's Office of Emergency Services
Cal-OSHA	California-Occupational Safety and Health Administration
Caltrans	California Department of Transportation
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CBD	Colusa Basin Drain
CCAPCD	Colusa County Air Pollution Control District
ССНАВ	California Cyanobacteria and Harmful Algal Bloom
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CCWD	Contra Costa Water District
CDFW	California Department of Fish and Wildlife
CDSM	cement deep soil mixing
CEC	California Energy Commission
Central Valley Basin Plan	Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins
Central Valley RWQCB	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
CEP	Certified Environmental Planner
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality's
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CERS	California Environmental Reporting System
CESA	California Endangered Species Act
CFNR	California Northern Railroad
cfs	cubic feet per second

Acroynm	Definition
CGA	Colusa Groundwater Authority
CGS	California Geological Survey
CH <sub>4</sub>	methane
СНР	California Highway Patrol
CHRIS	California Historical Resources Information Center
CMIP5	Coupled Model Intercomparison Project 5
CNDDB	California Natural Diversity Database
CNEL	community noise equivalent level
CNPS	California Native Plant Society
СО	carbon monoxide
CO <sub>2</sub>	carbon dioxide
CO <sub>2</sub> e	carbon dioxide equivalent
СРТ	cone penetration test
CPUC	California Public Utilities Commission
CPUE	catch per unit effort
CRHR	California Register of Historical Resources
CRPR	California Rare Plant Rank
CRSBZ	Coast Ranges – Sierran Block Boundary Zone
CT	central tendency
CT 2035	2035 Central Tendency
CTR	California Toxics Rule
CUPA	Certified Unified Program Agencies
CVFPB	Central Valley Flood Protection Board
CVHM	Central Valley Hydrologic Model
CVJV	Central Valley Joint Venture
CVP	Central Valley Project
CVPIA	Central Valley Project Improvement Act
CWA	Clean Water Act
CWC	California Water Commission
d-1641	Decision 1641
dB	decibels
dBA	A-weighted decibel

Acroynm	Definition
DBPs	disinfection byproducts
DBW	Division of Boating and Waterways
DCC	Delta Cross Channel
DCP	Delta Conveyance Project
DDT	dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
DEI	diversity, equity, and inclusion
Delta	Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta
DO	dissolved oxygen
DOC	California Department of Conservation
dph	days post hatch
DPM	diesel particulate matter
DPR	California Department of Parks and Recreation
DPS	distinct population segment
DRMS	Delta Risk Management Strategy
DSOD	California Department of Water Resources, Division of Safety of Dams
DWR	California Department of Water Resources
EC	electrical conductivity
EDWPA	El Dorado Water & Power Authority
EFH	essential fish habitat
EID	El Dorado Irrigation District
EIR/EIS	Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement
ELT	early long-term
EMF	Electric Magnetic Field
EMFAC	Emissions Factors
EMTs	emergency medical technicians
EO	Executive Order
ERP	Ecosystem Restoration Program
ERS	emergency release structures
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESU	evolutionarily significant unit
ЕТо	evapotranspiration
FCWCD	Flood Control and Water Conservation District

Acroynm	Definition
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FHSZs	fire hazard severity zones
Final EIR/EIS	Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement
FL	fork length
FMMP	Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program
FMUs	future mitigation units
FPPA	Farmland Protection Policy Act
ft/s	feet per second
FR	Federal Register
FRSA	Feather River Service Area
g	acceleration speed of gravity
gallons/day	gallons per day
GCAPCD	Glenn County Air Pollution Control District
GCID	Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District
GHG	greenhouse gas
GIS	geographic information system
GPS	Global Positioning System
GSPs	Groundwater Sustainability Plans
Guthion	azinphos-methyl
GWh	gigawatt-hours
GWMPs	Groundwater Management Plans
GWP	global warming potential
H:V	horizontal: vertical
HABs	harmful algal blooms
HAPC	Habitat Area of Particular Concern
НСМ	Highway Capacity Manual
НСР	Habitat Conservation Plan
HEC-SSP	Hydraulic Engineering Center's Statistical Software Package
HFC	high-flow channel
HFCs	hydrofluorocarbons
HMMPs	Hazardous Materials Management Plans

Acroynm	Definition
HOR	Head of Old River
HOS	hypolimnetic oxygenation system
hp	horsepower
HRA	health risk assessment
HSC	Health and Safety Code
HSC	habitat suitability criteria
I-	Interstate
I/O	Inlet/Outlet
I/O Works	Inlet/Outlet Works
I:E	inflow to exports
I-5	Interstate 5
IHN	Infectious Hematopoietic Necrosis
in/sec	inches per second
IPaC	Information, Planning, and Consultation
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ISWEBE	Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries
ITAs	Indian Trust Assets
ITP	Incidental Take Permit
kg	kilogram
KLOG	Knights Landing Outfall Gates
kV	kilovolt
kVA	kilovolt-amperes
kW	kilowatts
LBP	lead-based paint
LCPSIM	Least Cost Planning Simulation
LESA	Land Evaluation and Site Assessment
LFC	low-flow channel
LMP	Land Management Plan
LOS	level of service
LRA	Local Responsibility Area
LRFD	Load and Resistance Factor Design
M&I	municipal and industrial

Acroynm	Definition
MAF	million acre-feet
MAR	Managed Aquifer Recharge
MCLs	maximum contaminant levels
MCRP	Master of Community and Regional Planning
METS	Medical Transportation Service
MFPD	Maxwell Fire Protection District
mg	milligrams
mg/L	milligrams per liter
MGD	million gallons per day
mm	millimeters
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
MOU	memorandum of understanding
MP	Mile Post
mph	miles per hour
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organizations
MRR	minimum release requirement
MRZs	Mineral Resource Zones
msl	mean sea level
MT	metric tons
MUTCD	Manual for Uniform Traffic Control Devices
MVA	megavolt amperes
MW	megawatt
MWI	Maxwell Water Intertie
$N_2O$	nitrous oxide
NAA	No Action Alternative
NAAQS	national ambient air quality standards
NCCP	Natural Community Conservation Plan
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NFH	National Fish Hatchery
ng/l	nanogram/liter
NGOs	nongovernmental organizations
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act

Acroynm	Definition
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NO	nitric oxide
NO <sub>2</sub>	nitrogen dioxide
NOA	naturally occurring asbestos
NOD	Notice of Determination
NODOS	North-of-Delta Offstream Storage
NOI	Notice of Intent
NOP	Notice of Preparation
$NO_X$	nitrogen oxides
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NTU	nephelometric turbidity units
NWR	National Wildlife Refuge
NZE	near-zero emission
OBJ-1	Objective 1
OBJ-3	Objective 3
ОЕННА	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
OES	Office of Emergency Services
OHP	Office of Historic Preservation
OMWEM	Other Municipal Water Economics Model
OPR	Office of Planning and Research
Pb	lead
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
PECs	potential environmental concerns
PFCs	perfluorocarbons
PG&E	Pacific Gas and Electric Company
PGP	pumping generating plant
PM	particulate matter
PM10	particulates 10 microns in diameter or less
PM2.5	particulates 2.5 microns in diameter or less
PMF	probable maximum flood
POD	Pelagic Organism Decline

Acroynm	Definition
POI	point of interconnection
Portfolio	2020 Water Resilience Portfolio
ppm	parts per million
ppt	parts per thousand
PPV	peak particle velocity
PRMMP	paleontological resources monitoring and mitigation plan
Project	Sites Reservoir Project
Proposition 1	Water Quality, Supply, and Infrastructure Improvement Act of 2014
PSPS	Public Safety Power Shutoff
pTms	pre-Tertiary
RBDD	Red Bluff Diversion Dam
RBPP	Red Bluff Pumping Plant
RCP	Representative Concentration Pathway
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RDEIR/SDEIS	Revised Draft Environmental Impact Report/Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Reclamation	U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation
RM	River Mile
RMP	Reservoir Management Plan
RMS	root mean square
ROC ON LTO	Reinitiation of Consultation on the Long-Term Operation of the Central Valley Project and the State Water Project
ROD	Record of Decision
ROGs	reactive organic gases
ROV	remote operated vehicle
RPAs	Reasonable and Prudent Actions
RPS	Renewable Portfolio Standard
RTP	regional transportation plan
RTS	reservoir-triggered seismicity
RV	recreational vehicle
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
RWQCB model	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board TMDL model
SALMOD	Salmonid Population Modeling

Acroynm	Definition
SB	Senate Bill
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
SDC	California Department of Transportation Seismic Design Criteria
SEL	sound exposure level
Settlement	Stipulation of Settlement
SF <sub>6</sub>	sulfur hexafluoride
SGMA	Sustainable Groundwater Management Act
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SIL	significant impact level
SLCPs	short-lived climate pollutants
SMAQMD	Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District
SO <sub>2</sub>	sulfur dioxide
SOI	Secretary of Interior
SPCCPs	Spill Prevention, Containment, and Countermeasure Plans
SPFC	State Plan of Flood Control
SPRR	Southern Pacific Railroad
SR	State Route
SRA	shaded riverine aquatic
SRBPP	Sacramento River Bank Protection Project
SRFCP	Sacramento River Flood Control Project
SST	Salmonid Scoping Team
SSURGO	Soil Survey Geographic
State Water Board	State Water Resources Control Board
SURF	California Department of Pesticide Regulation's Surface Water Database
SVAB	Sacramento Valley Air Basin
SVP	Society of Vertebrate Paleontology
SWAMP	Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program
SWAP	Statewide Agricultural Production
SWP	State Water Project
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
SWP-ITP	Incidental Take Permit for Long-Term Operation of the SWP in the Sacramento—San Joaquin Delta

Acroynm	Definition
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
TACs	toxic air contaminants
TAF	thousand acre-feet
TAF/yr	thousand acre-feet per year
TC	Tehama-Colusa
TCCA	Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority
TC Canal	Tehama-Colusa Canal
TCAPCD	Tehama County Air Pollution Control District
TCD	temperature control device
TDS	total dissolved solids
TL	trophic level
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
TMP	Traffic Management Plan
TOC	total organic carbon
TRAX	Tehama Rural Area Express
TRR	Terminal Regulating Reservoir
U.S.C.	United States Code
UCMP	University of California Museum of Paleontology
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
USFS	U.S. Forest Service
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
USRDOM	Upper Sacramento River Daily Operations Model
USTs	underground storage tanks
VHFHSZ	Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone
VMT	vehicle miles traveled
VP	Value Planning
WA	Wildlife Area
WAPA	Western Area Power Administration
WCG	wildlife crossing species guild
WDL	Water Data Library

Acroynm	Definition
WEAP	Worker Environmental Awareness Program
WIIN Act	Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act
WNV	West Nile virus
WRLCM	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Life Cycle Model
WSE	water surface elevation
WSIP	Water Storage Investment Program
WUA	weighted usable area
ww	wet weight
WWD	Westlands Water District
YBHR	Yolo Bypass Habitat Restoration
Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area LMP	Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area Land Management Plan
YSAQMD	Yolo Solano Air Quality Management District
ZE	zero emission
ZEV	zero-emission vehicles