Final California 2	010 Integrated Report(303(d) List/305(b) Report)		
Supporting Information			
Regional Board 1 - No	orth Coast Region		
Water Body Name: Water Body ID: Water Body Type:	<u>Trinity River HU, South Fork HA</u> CAR1062302019990216114308 River & Stream		
DECISION ID	17508 Region 1		
Trinity River HU, South Fo	ork HA		
Pollutant:	Hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH), alpha Hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH), beta Aldrin Atrazine Azinphos, Ethyl (Ethyl Guthion) Bolstar Carbofuran Chlordane Chlorothalonil Chlorpyrifos Chlorpyrifos, methyl Ciodrin Dacthal Demeton s Dichlofenthion Dichlorvos Dieldrin Dimethoate Dioxathion Dyfonate (Fonofos or Fonophos) Endrin Endrin Ketone Ethion Ethoprop Famphur Fenchlorphos Fenitrothion Fensulfothion Fenthion Glyphosate Heptachlor Heptachlor epoxide Hexachlorobenzene/ HCB Leptophos Lindane/gamma Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma-HCH) Merphos Methidathion Methoxychlor Methyl Parathion Mevinphos Molinate Naled Oxadiazon Oxychlordane Phorate Phosmet Phosphamidon Prometon (Prometone) Prometryn Propazine Secbumeton Simazine Simetryn Sulfotep Tedion Terbufos Terbuthylazine Terbutryn Tetrachlorvinphos Thiobencarb/Bolero Thionazin Tokuthion Toxaphene Trichlorfon Trichloronate beta-BHC (Benzenehexachloride or beta-HCH) cis-Nonachlor delta-BHC (Benzenehexachloride or delta-HCH) o,p?-DDD (Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane) o,p?-DDE (Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene) p,p'-DDD (Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane) p,p'-DDE p,p?-DDMU trans- Nonachlor Do Not List on 303(d) list (TMDL required list)		
Last Listing Decision: Last Listing Cycle's Final Listing Decision: Revision Status Impairment from Pollutant or Pollution:	New Decision Revised		
Conclusion:	These pollutants are being considered for placement on the section 303(d) list under section 3.1 of the Listing Policy. Under section 3.1 a single line of evidence is necessary to assess listing status. Two lines of evidence are available in the administrative record to assess this pollutant. None of the samples in LOE #30172 exceed the water quality objective. The pollutants in LOE #30067 do not have water quality objectives and, therefore, a decision could not be made. The samples were analyzed for 95 pesticides, pesticide constituents, isomers, or metabolites. For each of the 95 pesticide analytes, 8 to 9 samples were collected. The samples were collected as part of the Surface Water Ambient Water Monitoring Program (SWAMP). The data are found in the 5-Year Monitoring Report (NCRWQCB 2008). Based on the readily available data and information, the weight of evidence indicates that there is sufficient justification against placing this water segment-pollutant combination on the section 303(d) list in the Water Quality Limited Segments category. This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: 1. The data used satisfies the data quality requirements of section 6.1.4 of the Policy. 2. The data used satisfies the data quantity requirements of section 6.1.5 of the Policy. 3. None of 742 samples for both LOEs, #30067, without criteria, or LOE #30172 with criteria, exceeded the water quality guidelines and this does not exceed the allowable frequency listed in Table 3.1 of the Listing Policy. 4. Pursuant to section 3.11 of the Listing Policy, no additional data and information are available indicating that standards are not met.		

RWQCB Board Staff Decision:	After review of the available data and information, RWQCB staff concludes that the water body-pollutant combination should not be placed on the section 303(d) list because applicable water quality standards are not being exceeded.		
SWRCB Board Staff Decision:	After review of this Regional Board decision, SWRCB staff recommend the decision be approved by the State Board.		
USEPA Action (if applicable):			
Line of Evidence (LOE) for Trinity River HU, South For	Decision ID 17508, Multiple Pollutants Region 1 k HA		
LOE ID:	30067		
Pollutant: LOE Subgroup: Matrix: Fraction:	Azinphos, Ethyl (Ethyl Guthion) Bolstar Carbofuran Chlorothalonil Chlorpyrifos, methyl Ciodrin Demeton s Dichlofenthion Dichlorvos Dimethoate Dioxathion Dyfonate (Fonofos or Fonophos) Endrin Ketone Ethion Ethoprop Famphur Fenchlorphos Fenitrothion Fensulfothion Fenthion Leptophos Lindane/gamma Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma- HCH) Merphos Methidathion Methyl Parathion Mevinphos Naled Oxadiazon Oxychlordane Phorate Phosmet Phosphamidon Prometon (Prometone) Prometryn Propazine Secbumeton Simetryn Sulfotep Tedion Terbufos Terbuthylazine Terbutryn Tetrachlorvinphos Thiobencarb/Bolero Thionazin Tokuthion Trichlorfon Trichloronate beta- BHC (Benzenehexachloride or beta-HCH) cis-Nonachlor delta-BHC (Benzenehexachloride or delta-HCH) o,p?-DDD (Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane) o,p?-DDD (Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane) p,p'-DDE p,p?-DDMU trans-Nonachlor Pollutant-Water Water Dissolved		
Beneficial Use:	Municipal & Domestic Supply		
Number of Samples: Number of Exceedances:	742 0		
Data and Information Type: Data Used to Assess Water	PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL MONITORING Quality: None of the 742 pesticides samples collected in the South Fork Trinity River exceed the objective as all of the samples were either measured as non- detect or were measured as detected but not quantified with reporting limits below objectives or evaluation guidelines. The samples were analyzed for 95 pesticides, pesticide constituents, isomers, or metabolites. For each of the 95 pesticide analytes, 8 to 9 samples were collected. The samples were collected as part of the Surface Water Ambient Water Monitoring Program (SWAMP). The data are found in the 5-Year Monitoring Report (NCRWQCB 2008).		
Data Reference:	Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP). Summary Report for the North Coast Region (RWQCB-1) for years 2000-2006. North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. March 2008		
Water Quality Objective/Crite	erion: Per the Basin Plan (NCRWQCB 2007): No individual pesticide or combination of pesticides shall be present in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses. There shall be no bioaccumulation of pesticide concentrations found in bottom sediments or aquatic life. Waters designated for use as domestic or municipal supply shall not contain concentrations of pesticides in excess of		

the limiting concentrations set forth in California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Division 4, Chapter 15, Article 4, Section 64444, and listed in Table 3.2 of the

Objective/Criterion Reference:	Basin Plan. No evaluation guidelines for the dissolved fractions of the pesticides assessed for this waterbody for the Municipal & Domestic Supply beneficial use could be found that meet the requirements of Section 6.1.3 of the Listing Policy. Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) - North Coast Region (Region 1)			
Evaluation Guideline: Guideline Reference:				
Spatial Representation:	Samples were collected from the South Fork Trinity River near Salyer (SWAMP Station ID 106TRINSF). Samples were collected from well-mixed			
Temporal Representation:	flows in glides or riffles. Samples were collected from February 2002 to June 2005. Site visits corresponded to fall, winter, spring and early summer seasonal conditions.			
Environmental Conditions:	There are no known environmental conditions (e.g., seasonality, land use practices, fire events, storms, etc.) that are related to these data.			
QAPP Information:	Quality control was conducted in accordance with the SWAMP Quality Assurance Management Plan (SWAMP 2002).			
QAPP Information Reference(s):				
Line of Evidence (LOE) for Decision ID 17508, Multiple Pollutants Region 1 Trinity River HU, South Fork HA				
LOE ID:	30172			
Pollutant:	Hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH), alpha Hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH), beta Aldrin Atrazine Carbofuran Chlordane Chlorpyrifos Dacthal Dieldrin Endrin Glyphosate Heptachlor Heptachlor epoxide Hexachlorobenzene/ HCB Lindane/gamma Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma-HCH) Methoxychlor Molinate Simazine Thiobencarb/Bolero Toxaphene			
LOE Subgroup: Matrix: Fraction:	Pollutant-Water Water Dissolved			
Beneficial Use:	Municipal & Domestic Supply			
Number of Samples: Number of Exceedances:	742 0			
Data and Information Type: Data Used to Assess Water Quality:	PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL MONITORING None of the 742 pesticides samples collected in the South Fork Trinity River exceed the objective as all of the samples were either measured as non- detect or were measured as detected but not quantified with reporting limits below objectives or evaluation guidelines. The samples were analyzed for 95 pesticides, pesticide constituents, isomers, or metabolites. For each of the 95 pesticide analytes, 8 to 9 samples were collected. The samples were collected as part of the Surface Water Ambient Water Monitoring Program (SWAMP). The data are found in the 5-Year Monitoring Report (NCRWQCB 2008).			
Data Reference:	Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP). Summary Report for the North Coast Region (RWQCB-1) for years 2000-2006. North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. March 2008			
Water Quality Objective/Criterion:	Per the Basin Plan (NCRWQCB 2007): No individual pesticide or combination of pesticides shall be present in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses. There shall be no bioaccumulation of pesticide concentrations found in bottom sediments or aquatic life. Waters designated for use as domestic or municipal supply shall not contain concentrations of pesticides in excess of the limiting concentrations set forth in California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Division 4, Chapter 15, Article 4, Section 64444, and listed in Table 3.2 of the Basin Plan. No evaluation guidelines for the dissolved fractions of the pesticides assessed			

	for this waterbody for the Municipal & Domestic Supply beneficial use could be found that meet the requirements of Section 6.1.3 of the Listing Policy.	
Objective/Criterion Reference	Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) - North Coast Region (Region 1)	
Evaluation Guideline:	Hexachlorocyclohexane, alpha (0.0026 ug/l) Hexachlorocyclohexane, beta (0.0091ug/l) Aldrin (0.000049 ug/l) Atrazine (0.001 ug/l) Carbofuran (0.04 mg/l) Chlordane (0.0001 ug/l) Chlorpyrifos (0.083 ug/l) Dacthal (70 ug/l) Dieldrin (0.00014 ug/l) Endrin (0.002 ug/l) Glyphosate (700 ug/l) Heptachlor (0.01 ug/l) Heptachlor epoxide (0.01 ug/l)	
Guideline Reference:	Lindane/gamma Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma-HCH) (.98 ug/l) Methoxychlor (0.03 mg/l) Molinate (0.02 mg/l) Simazine (0.04 mg/l) Thiobencarb/Bolero (0.07 mg/l) Toxaphene (0.003 mg/l) National recommended water quality criteria: 2002. EPA-822-R-02-047 Washington, D.C. USEPA Water Quality Standards 2000. Establishment of numeric criteria for priority toxic pollutants for the State of California: Rules and regulations. Federal Register Vol. 65, No. 97. Washington, D.C.: Environmental Protection Agency California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Division 4, Chapter 15. Domestic Water Quality and Monitoring. http://www.cdph.ca.gov/certlic/drinkingwater/Pages/Lawbook.aspx	
Spatial Representation:	Samples were collected from the South Fork Trinity River near Salyer (SWAMP Station ID 106TRINSF). Samples were collected from well-mixed	
Temporal Representation:	flows in glides or riffles. Samples were collected from the South Fork Trinity River near Salyer (SWAMP Station ID 106TRINSF). Samples were collected from well-mixed	
Environmental Conditions:	flows in glides or riffles. There are no known environmental conditions (e.g., seasonality, land use practices, fire events, storms, etc.) that are related to these data.	
QAPP Information:	Quality control was conducted in accordance with the SWAMP Quality Assurance Management Plan (SWAMP 2002).	
QAPP Information Reference(s):		
DECISION ID Trinity River HU, South Fo	12319 Region 1 ork HA	
Pollutant: Final Listing Decision: Last Listing Cycle's Final Listing Decision: Revision Status Impairment from Pollutant or Pollution: Conclusion:		
	This pollutant is being considered for placement on the Section 303(d) List under Section 3.1 of the Listing Policy. Under Section 3.1, a single line of evidence is necessary to assess listing status. One line of evidence is available in the administrative record to assess this pollutant. None of the 15 aluminum samples	

	exceed the water quality objective. Based on the readily available data and information, the weight of evidence indicates that there is sufficient justification against placing this water segment-pollutant combination on the section 303(d) list in the Water Quality Limited Segments category (i.e., sufficient justification to not list). This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: (1) The data used satisfies the data quality requirements of Section 6.1.4 of the Policy. (2) The data used satisfies the data quality requirements of Section 6.1.5 of the Policy. (3) None of the 15 samples exceeded the aluminum objective, and this does not exceed the allowable frequency listed in Table 3.1 of the Listing Policy. (4) Pursuant to Section 3.11 of the Listing Policy, no additional data and information are available indicating that standards are not met.
RWQCB Board Staff Decision:	After review of the available data and information, North Coast Regional Water Board staff concludes that the water body-pollutant combination should not be placed on the Section 303(d) List because applicable water quality standards are being attained.
SWRCB Board Staff Decision:	After review of this Regional Board decision, SWRCB staff recommend the decision be approved by the State Board.
USEPA Action (if applicable):	

Line of Evidence (LOE) for Decision ID 12319, Aluminum Trinity River HU, South Fork HA

LOE ID:	25366
Pollutant: LOE Subgroup: Matrix: Fraction:	Aluminum Pollutant-Water Water Total
Beneficial Use:	Municipal & Domestic Supply
Number of Samples: Number of Exceedances:	15 0
Data and Information Type: Data Used to Assess Water Quality:	PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL MONITORING None of the 15 aluminum samples collected from the South Fork Trinity River exceed the objective. The samples were collected as part of the Surface Water Ambient Water Monitoring Program (SWAMP). The data are found in the SWAMP Summary Report for the North Coast Region for Years 2000- 2006 (NCRWQCB 2008).
Data Reference:	Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP). Summary Report for the North Coast Region (RWQCB-1) for years 2000-2006. North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. March 2008
Water Quality Objective/Criterion:	Per the Basin Plan (NCRWQCB 2007): The Maximum Contaminant Level for aluminum is 1.0 mg/l (1,000 ug/L).
Objective/Criterion Reference:	Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) - North Coast Region (Region 1)
Evaluation Guideline: Guideline Reference:	
Spatial Representation:	Samples were collected from the South Fork Trinity River near Salyer (SWAMP Station ID TRINSF). Samples were collected from well-mixed flows in glides or riffles.
Temporal Representation:	Samples were collected from February 2002 to June 2005. Site visits corresponded to fall, winter, spring and early summer seasonal conditions.
Environmental Conditions:	

QAPP Information: QAPP Information Reference(s):		There are no known environmental conditions (e.g., seasonality, l practices, fire events, storms, etc.) that are related to these data. Quality control was conducted in accordance with the SWAMP Q Assurance Management Plan (Puckett 2002). Quality Assurance Management Plan for the State of California's Water Ambient Monitoring Program. Sacramento, CA. State Water Control Board. SWAMP. December 2002 (1st version)	uality Surface
DECISION ID	15731		Region 1
Trinity River HU, South For	rk HA		
Pollutant: Final Listing Decision: Last Listing Cycle's Final Listing Decision: Revision Status Impairment from Pollutant or Pollution:	Do Not I New Dec Revised		
Conclusion:	Section 3 necessa administ samples weight of water se Limited 5 based or requirem quantity the wate Table 3.	utant is being considered for placement on the Section 303(d) List 3.1 of the Listing Policy. Under Section 3.1, a single line of evidence ry to assess listing status. One line of evidence is available in the rative record to assess this pollutant. None of the 15 ammonia as exceed the objective. Based on the readily available data and info f evidence indicates that there is sufficient justification against plac gment-pollutant combination on the Section 303(d) List in the Wat Segments category (i.e., sufficient justification to not list). This com- n the staff findings that: (1) The data used satisfies the data quality ients of Section 6.1.4 of the Policy. (2) The data used satisfies the requirements of Section 6.1.5 of the Policy. (3) None of the 15 sar r quality objective, and this does not exceed the allowable frequen 1 of the Listing Policy. (4) Pursuant to Section 3.11 of the Listing P al data and information are available indicating that standards are not plated as a standards are not stated and information are available indicating that standards are not plated as a standards are not stated and information are available indicating that standards are not plated as a standards are not stated as a standard as a standards are not stated as a standard and information are available indicating that standards are not stated as a standard as a standards are not stated as a standard and information and an an information are available indicating that standards are not stated as a standard as a st	ce is nitrogen ormation, the cing this er Quality clusion is / data nples exceed ncy listed in Policy, no
RWQCB Board Staff Decision:	staff con	iew of the available data and information, North Coast Regional W cludes that the water body-pollutant combination should not be pla 303(d) List because applicable water quality standards are being a	aced on the
SWRCB Board Staff Decision:		iew of this Regional Board decision, SWRCB staff recommend the d by the State Board.	e decision be
USEPA Action (if applicable):			
Line of Evidence (LOE) for	Decisior	n ID 15731, Ammonia as Nitrogen	Region 1
Trinity River HU, South For	rk HA		
LOE ID:		26302	
Pollutant: LOE Subgroup: Matrix: Fraction:		Ammonia as Nitrogen Pollutant-Water Water Total	
Beneficial Use: Aquatic Life Use:		Cold Freshwater Habitat Fish Migration Fish Spawning Freshwater Replenishment Pre Rare & Endangered Species Wildlife Habitat	eservation of

Number of Samples: Number of Exceedances:		15 0		
Data and Information Type: Data Used to Assess Water	Quality:	PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL MONITORING None of the 15 ammonia as nitrogen samples collected from the Trinity exceed the objective. The samples were collected as part of the Surface Water Ambient Water Monitoring Program (SWAMP). The data are four the SWAMP Summary Report for the North Coast Region for Years 20 2006 (NCRWQCB 2008).	ce Ind in	
Data Reference:		Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP). Summary Rep the North Coast Region (RWQCB-1) for years 2000-2006. North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. March 2008		
Water Quality Objective/Criterion:		Per the Basin Plan (NCRWQCB 2007): All waters shall be maintained toxic substances in concentrations that are toxic to, or that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquation	c life.	
Objective/Criterion Reference	e:	Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) - North Coast Region (Region	<u>1)</u>	
Evaluation Guideline: Guideline Reference:		Per the National Recommended Water Quality Criteria (USEPA 2006): hour average concentration (acute criterion or CMC) of total ammonia nitrogen (in mg N/L) for freshwater where salmonid fish are present, wh not to be exceeded more than once every three years on average, is calculated using the following equation: CMC=0.275/(1+10^(7.204 - pH 39.0/(1+10^(pH - 7.204)). National Recommended Water Quality Criteria. United States Environment	hich is 1)) + <u>mental</u>	
		Protection Agency. Office of Water. Office of Science and Technology. The Blue Book	<u>4304 I</u>	
Spatial Representation:		Samples were collected from the South Fork Trinity River near Salyer (SWAMP Station ID 106TRINSF). Samples were collected from well-m flows in glides or riffles.	lixed	
Temporal Representation:		Samples were collected from February 2002 to June 2005. Site visits		
Environmental Conditions:		corresponded to fall, winter, spring and early summer seasonal condition There are no known environmental conditions (e.g., seasonality, land upractices, fire events, storms, etc.) that are related to these data.		
QAPP Information:		Quality control was conducted in accordance with the SWAMP Quality		
QAPP Information Reference(s):		Assurance Management Plan (Puckett 2002). Quality Assurance Management Plan for the State of California's Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program. Sacramento, CA. State Water Resources Control Board. SWAMP. December 2002 (1st version)		
DECISION ID	10641	Regi	ion 1	
Trinity River HU, South Fo		i kegi		
<i>.</i>				
Pollutant:		: Cadmium Chromium (total) Copper Lead Mercury Nickel m Silver Zinc		
Final Listing Decision: Last Listing Cycle's Final Listing Decision:		List on 303(d) list (TMDL required list)		
Revision Status Impairment from Pollutant or Pollution:	Revised Pollutan			
Conclusion:	Section necessa administ the wate weight c	lutant is being considered for placement on the Section 303(d) List unde 3.1 of the Listing Policy. Under Section 3.1, a single line of evidence is ary to assess listing status. One line of evidence is available in the trative record to assess this pollutant. None of the 148 metals samples e er quality objective. Based on the readily available data and information, of evidence indicates that there is sufficient justification against placing the egment-pollutant combination on the section 303(d) list in the Water Qua	exceed the nis	

RWQCB Board Staff	based o requiren quantity exceede per the b to Section indication After rev	Segments category (i.e., sufficient justification to not list). This conc in the staff findings that: (1) The data used satisfies the data quality ments of Section 6.1.4 of the Policy. (2) The data used satisfies the or requirements of Section 6.1.5 of the Policy. (3) None of the 148 sate of the metal objectives, and this does not exceed the allowable freq binomial distribution described in Section 3.1 of the Listing Policy. (4 on 3.11 of the Listing Policy, no additional data and information are g that standards are not met.	data mples uency of 13 4) Pursuant available ater Board
Decision:		icludes that the water body-pollutant combination should not be plac 303(d) List because applicable water quality standards are being at	
SWRCB Board Staff Decision:	After review of this Regional Board decision, SWRCB staff recommend the decision be approved by the State Board.		decision be
USEPA Action (if applicable):			
Line of Evidence (LOE) for Trinity River HU, South Fo		n ID 10641, Multiple Pollutants	Region 1
LOE ID:		21514	
Pollutant:		Arsenic Cadmium Chromium (total) Copper Lead Mercury Selenium Silver Zinc	Nickel
LOE Subgroup: Matrix: Fraction:		Pollutant-Water Water Total	
Beneficial Use:		Municipal & Domestic Supply	
Number of Samples: Number of Exceedances:		148 0	
Data and Information Type: Data Used to Assess Water Quality:		PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL MONITORING None of the 148 metals samples collected in the South Fork Trinity exceed the objectives. There were 14 to 15 samples collected for 10 metal parameters. The samples were collected as part of the S Water Ambient Water Monitoring Program (SWAMP). The data are the SWAMP Summary Report for the North Coast Region for Year 2006 (NCRWQCB 2008).	each of the ourface e found in
Data Reference:		Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP). Summary the North Coast Region (RWQCB-1) for years 2000-2006. North C Regional Water Quality Control Board. March 2008	
Water Quality Objective/Crit	erion:	Per the Basin Plan (NCRWQCB 2007): Arsenic objective is 0.05 m Cadmium objective is 0.01 mg/L. Chromium objective is 0.05 mg/L objective is 0.05 mg/L. Mercury objective is 0.002 mg/L. Selenium 0.01 mg/L. Silver objective is 0.05 mg/L. Per 22 CCR 64431: Nicke contaminant level is 0.1 mg/L. Per 22 CCR 64449: Copper second maximum contaminant level is 1.0 mg/L. Zinc secondary maximum contaminant level is 5.0 mg/L.	Lead objective is el maximum lary
Objective/Criterion Reference	e:	Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) - North Coast Region (Re Title 22. Division 4. Chapter 15. Sections 64400 et seq. California Regulations	

Evaluation Guideline: Guideline Reference:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water issues/programs/tmdl/2010state ir reports/00544.sh... 9/10/2014

Regulations

Spatial Representation:	Samples were collected from the South Fork Trinity River near Salyer (SWAMP Station ID 106TRINSF). Samples were collected from well-mixed flows in glides or riffles.
Temporal Representation:	Samples were collected from February 2002 to June 2005. Site visits corresponded to fall, winter, spring and early summer seasonal conditions.
Environmental Conditions:	There are no known environmental conditions (e.g., seasonality, land use practices, fire events, storms, etc.) that are related to these data.
QAPP Information:	Quality control was conducted in accordance with the SWAMP Quality Assurance Management Plan (SWAMP 2002).
QAPP Information Reference(s):	Quality Assurance Management Plan for the State of California's Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program. Sacramento, CA. State Water Resources Control Board. SWAMP. December 2002 (1st version)

DECISION ID	12440
Trinity River HU, South	Fork HA

Pollutant: Final Listing Decision: Last Listing Cycle's Final Listing Decision: Revision Status Impairment from Pollutant or Pollution:	Revised			
Conclusion:	This pollutant is being considered for placement on the Section 303(d) List Section 3.2 of the Listing Policy. Under Section 3.2, a single line of evidence necessary to assess listing status. One line of evidence is available in the administrative record to assess this pollutant. None of the 15 chloride samp the evaluation guideline. Based on the readily available data and information weight of evidence indicates that there is sufficient justification against place water segment-pollutant combination on the section 303(d) list in the Water Limited Segments category (i.e., sufficient justification to not list). This conce based on the staff findings that: (1) The data used satisfies the data quality requirements of Section 6.1.4 of the Policy. (2) The data used satisfies the data quantity requirements of Section 6.1.5 of the Policy. (3) None of the 15 same exceeded the chloride evaluation guideline used to interpret the water quali and this does not exceed the allowable frequency listed in Table 3.2 of the Policy. (4) Pursuant to Section 3.11 of the Listing Policy, no additional data information are available indicating that standards are not met.	e is les exceed in, the ing this Quality dusion is data nples ty objective, Listing		
RWQCB Board Staff Decision:	After review of the available data and information, North Coast Regional Wastaff concludes that the water body-pollutant combination should not be pla Section 303(d) List because applicable water quality standards are being at	ced on the		
SWRCB Board Staff Decision:	After review of this Regional Board decision, SWRCB staff recommend the approved by the State Board.	decision be		
USEPA Action (if applicable):				
· · · ·	Line of Evidence (LOE) for Decision ID 12440, Chloride Region 1 Trinity River HU, South Fork HA			

LOE ID:	
---------	--

25455

Pollutant: LOE Subgroup: Matrix: Chloride Pollutant-Water Water

Fraction:		Not Recorded
Beneficial Use:		Municipal & Domestic Supply
Number of Samples: Number of Exceedances:		15 0
Data and Information Type: Data Used to Assess Water	Quality:	PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL MONITORING None of the 15 chloride samples collected in the South Fork Trinity River exceed the evaluation guideline. The samples were collected as part of the Surface Water Ambient Water Monitoring Program (SWAMP). The data are found in the SWAMP Summary Report for the North Coast Region for Years 2000-2006 (NCRWQCB 2008).
Data Reference:		Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP). Summary Report for the North Coast Region (RWQCB-1) for years 2000-2006. North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. March 2008
Water Quality Objective/Crite	erion:	Per the Basin Plan (NCRWQCB 2007): Waters shall not contain taste- or odor -producing substances in concentrations that impart undesirable tastes or odors to fish flesh or other edible products of aquatic origin, or that cause
Objective/Criterion Reference	e:	nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses. Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) - North Coast Region (Region 1)
Evaluation Guideline:		Per 22 CCR 64449: The recommended Secondary Maximum Contaminant
Guideline Reference:		Level for chloride is 250 mg/L. <u>Title 22. Division 4. Chapter 15. Sections 64400 et seq. California Code of</u> <u>Regulations</u>
Spatial Representation:		Samples were collected from the South Fork Trinity River near Salyer (SWAMP Station ID 106TRINSF). Samples were collected from well-mixed flows in glides or riffles.
Temporal Representation:		Samples were collected from February 2002 to June 2005. Site visits corresponded to fall, winter, spring and early summer seasonal conditions.
Environmental Conditions:		There are no known environmental conditions (e.g., seasonality, land use practices, fire events, storms, etc.) that are related to these data.
QAPP Information:		Quality control was conducted in accordance with the SWAMP Quality
QAPP Information Reference(s):		Assurance Management Plan (SWAMP 2002). Quality Assurance Management Plan for the State of California's Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program. Sacramento, CA. State Water Resources Control Board. SWAMP. December 2002 (1st version)
DECISION ID	12483	Region 1
Trinity River HU, South Fo	rk HA	
Pollutant: Final Listing Decision: Last Listing Cycle's Final Listing Decision: Revision Status Impairment from Pollutant or Pollution:	Do Not New De Revised	
Conclusion:	Section necessa	lutant is being considered for placement on the Section 303(d) List under 3.1 of the Listing Policy. Under Section 3.1, a single line of evidence is ary to assess listing status. One line of evidence is available in the trative record to assess this pollutant. None of the 400 polychlorinated biphenyl

necessary to assess listing status. One line of evidence is available in the administrative record to assess this pollutant. None of the 400 polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) samples exceed the evaluation guideline. Based on the readily available data and information, the weight of evidence indicates that there is sufficient justification against placing this water segment-pollutant combination on the section 303(d) list in the Water Quality Limited Segments category (i.e., sufficient justification to not list).

	This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: (1) The data used satisfies the data quality requirements of Section 6.1.4 of the Policy. (2) The data used satisfies the data quantity requirements of Section 6.1.5 of the Policy. (3) None of the 400 samples exceeded the PCB evaluation guideline used to interpret the water quality objective, and this does not exceed the allowable frequency of 35 per the binomial distribution described in Section 3.1 of the Listing Policy. (4) Pursuant to Section 3.11 of the Listing Policy, no additional data and information are available indicating that standards are not met.
RWQCB Board Staff Decision:	After review of the available data and information, North Coast Regional Water Board staff concludes that the water body-pollutant combination should not be placed on the Section 303(d) List because applicable water quality standards are being attained.
SWRCB Board Staff Decision:	After review of this Regional Board decision, SWRCB staff recommend the decision be approved by the State Board.
USEPA Action (if applicable):	

Line of Evidence (LOE) for Decision ID 12483, PCBs (Polychlorinated biphenyls) Trinity River HU, South Fork HA

LOE ID:	25462
Pollutant: LOE Subgroup: Matrix: Fraction:	PCBs (Polychlorinated biphenyls) Pollutant-Water Water Total
Beneficial Use:	Municipal & Domestic Supply
Number of Samples: Number of Exceedances:	400 0
Data and Information Type: Data Used to Assess Water Quality:	PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL MONITORING None of the 400 polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) analytes collected in the South Fork Trinity River exceed the evaluation guideline. Each of the 8 samples were analyzed for 50 PCB cogeners. The samples were collected as part of the Surface Water Ambient Water Monitoring Program (SWAMP). The data are found in the 5-Year Monitoring Report (NCRWQCB 2008).
Data Reference:	Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP). Summary Report for the North Coast Region (RWQCB-1) for years 2000-2006. North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. March 2008
Water Quality Objective/Criterion:	Per the Basin Plan (NCRWQCB 2007, p. 3-4.00): All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that are toxic to, or that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.
Objective/Criterion Reference:	Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) - North Coast Region (Region 1)
Evaluation Guideline:	Per the "Public Health Goal for Water Soluble Polychlorinated Biphenyls Expected to Found in Drinking Water" (OEHHA 2007): The health-protective concentration of water-soluble PCBs in drinking water associated with a one in one million extra lifetime cancer risk is
Guideline Reference:	0.09 ug/L. <u>Public Health Goal for Water Soluble Polychlorinated Biphenyls Expected to</u> <u>Be Found in Drinking Water. Pesticide and Environmental Toxicology Branch,</u> <u>Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, California Environmental</u> <u>Protection Agency</u>

Spatial Representation:	Samples were collected from the South Fork Trinity River near Salyer (SWAMP Station ID 106TRINSF). Samples were collected from well-mixed flows in glides or riffles.
Temporal Representation:	Samples were collected from February 2002 to June 2005. Site visits corresponded to fall, winter, spring and early summer seasonal conditions.
Environmental Conditions:	There are no known environmental conditions (e.g., seasonality, land use practices, fire events, storms, etc.) that are related to these data.
QAPP Information:	Quality control was conducted in accordance with the SWAMP Quality Assurance Management Plan (SWAMP 2002).
QAPP Information Reference(s):	Quality Assurance Management Plan for the State of California's Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program. Sacramento, CA. State Water Resources Control Board. SWAMP. December 2002 (1st version)
DECISION ID 12849	Region 1

Trinity River HU, South Fork HA

Pollutant: Final Listing Decision: Last Listing Cycle's Final Listing Decision:	Pesticides Do Not List on 303(d) list (TMDL required list) New Decision	
Revision Status Impairment from Pollutant or Pollution:	Revised Pollutant	
Conclusion:	This pollutant is being considered for placement on the Section 303(d) Liss Section 3.1 of the Listing Policy. Under Section 3.1, a single line of eviden necessary to assess listing status. Two lines of evidence are available in t administrative record to assess this pollutant. One of the 743 pesticide sar the water quality objective. Based on the readily available data and inform weight of evidence indicates that there is sufficient justification against pla- water segment-pollutant combination on the Section 303(d) List in the Wat Limited Segments category (i.e., sufficient justification to not list). This com- based on the staff findings that: (1) The data used satisfies the data quality requirements of Section 6.1.4 of the Policy. (2) The data used satisfies the quantity requirements of Section 6.1.5 of the Policy. (3) One of the 743 sa the pesticide water quality objective, and this does not exceed the allowab of 65 per the binomial distribution described in Section 3.1 of the Listing P Pursuant to Section 3.11 of the Listing Policy, no additional data and inform available indicating that standards are not met.	ce is he mples exceed ation, the cing this ter Quality inclusion is y e data mples exceed ble frequency olicy. (4)
RWQCB Board Staff Decision:	After review of the available data and information, North Coast Regional V staff concludes that the water body-pollutant combination should not be pl Section 303(d) List because applicable water quality standards are being a	aced on the
SWRCB Board Staff Decision:	After review of this Regional Board decision, SWRCB staff recommend the approved by the State Board.	e decision be
USEPA Action (if applicable):		
Line of Evidence (LOE) for Trinity River HU, South Fo	r Decision ID 12849, Pesticides rk HA	Region 1
LOE ID:	25600	

Pollutant:DDE (Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene)LOE Subgroup:Pollutant-WaterMatrix:Water

Fraction:	None
Beneficial Use:	Municipal & Domestic Supply
Number of Samples: Number of Exceedances:	1 1
Data and Information Type: Data Used to Assess Water Quality:	PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL MONITORING One DDE sample collected in the South Fork HA had a detectable level of DDE and the sample exceeds the evaluation guideline. The sample concentration was reported as .004 ug/l (method detection limit of 0.001 ug/l, reporting limit of .002 ug/l). There were also an additional 7 samples from the South Fork HA, which were non-detect. However, these non-detect data could not be utilized in this assessment because the detection limit for DDE is above the evaluation guideline. Per the listing policy, when a sample value is less than the quantitation limit, and the quantitation limit is greater than the evaluation guideline, the result shall not be used in the analysis. The samples were collected as part of the Surface Water Ambient Water Monitoring Program (SWAMP) (NCRWQCB 2008).
Data Reference:	Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP). DDT, DDE, and DDD data from SWAMP Sampling for Years 2001-2006. North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board.
Water Quality Objective/Criterion:	Per the Basin Plan (NCRWQCB 2007): No individual pesticide or combination of pesticides shall be present in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses. There shall be no bioaccumulation of pesticide concentrations found in bottom sediments or aquatic life. Waters designated for use as domestic or municipal supply shall not contain concentrations of pesticides in excess of the limiting concentrations set forth in California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Division 4, Chapter 15, Article 4, Section 64444, and listed in Table 3.2 of the Basin Plan.
Objective/Criterion Reference:	Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) - North Coast Region (Region 1)
Evaluation Guideline: Guideline Reference:	Per the National Recommended Water Quality Criteria (USEPA 2006): The recommended criterion for DDE for the protection of source waters for human health consumption of water and organisms is 0.00022 ug/l. National Recommended Water Quality Criteria. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Office of Water. Office of Science and Technology. 4304T The Blue Book
Spatial Representation:	Samples were collected from the South Fork Trinity River near Salyer (SWAMP Station ID 106TRINSF). Samples were collected from well-mixed
Temporal Representation:	flows in glides or runs. Samples were collected from October 2002 to June 2005. Site visits
Environmental Conditions:	corresponded to fall, winter, spring and early summer seasonal conditions. There are no known environmental conditions (e.g., seasonality, land use
QAPP Information:	practices, fire events, storms, etc.) that are related to these data. Quality control was conducted in accordance with the SWAMP Quality Assurance Management Plan (SWAMP 2002).
QAPP Information Reference(s):	Quality Assurance Management Plan for the State of California's Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program. Sacramento, CA. State Water Resources Control Board. SWAMP. December 2002 (1st version)

DECISION ID	10021
Trinity River HU, So	uth Fork HA

Pollutant:Specific ConductivityFinal Listing Decision:Do Not List on 303(d) list (TMDL required list)Last Listing Cycle's FinalNew DecisionListing Decision:New Decision

Revision Status Revised Impairment from Pollutant Pollutant or Pollution:

Conclusion:	This pollutant is being considered for placement on the Section 303(d) list under Section 3.2 of the Listing Policy. Under Section 3.2 a single line of evidence is necessary to assess listing status. One line of evidence is available in the administrative record to assess this pollutant. None of the 15 specific conductivity samples exceed the water quality objective. Based on the readily available data and information, the weight of evidence indicates that there is sufficient justification against placing this water segment-pollutant combination on the section 303(d) list in the Water Quality Limited Segments category (i.e., sufficient justification to not list). This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: (1) The data used satisfies the data quality requirements of Section 6.1.4 of the Policy. (2) The data used satisfies the data quantity requirements of Section 6.1.5 of the Policy. (3) None of the 15 samples exceed the specific conductivity objective, and this does not exceed the allowable frequency listed in Table 3.2 of the Listing Policy. (4) Pursuant to Section 3.11 of the Listing Policy, no additional data and information are available indicating that standards are not met.
RWQCB Board Staff Decision:	After review of the available data and information, North Coast Regional Water Board staff concludes that the water body-pollutant combination should not be placed on the Section 303(d) List because applicable water quality standards are being attained.
SWRCB Board Staff Decision:	After review of this Regional Board decision, SWRCB staff recommend the decision be approved by the State Board.
USEPA Action (if applicable):	
Line of Evidence (LOE) for Trinity River HU, South For	Decision ID 10021, Specific Conductivity Region 1 rk HA
LOE ID:	21228
LOE ID: Pollutant: LOE Subgroup: Matrix: Fraction:	21228 Specific Conductivity Pollutant-Water Water None
Pollutant: LOE Subgroup: Matrix:	Specific Conductivity Pollutant-Water Water
Pollutant: LOE Subgroup: Matrix: Fraction:	Specific Conductivity Pollutant-Water Water None
Pollutant: LOE Subgroup: Matrix: Fraction: Beneficial Use: Number of Samples:	Specific Conductivity Pollutant-Water Water None Municipal & Domestic Supply 15 0 PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL MONITORING

Water Quality Objective/Criterion:Per the Basin Plan (NCRWQCB 2007): The 90% upper limit specific
conductance objective at 77 F is 275 micromhos (or mS/cm2). The 50% upper
limit specific conductance objective at 77 F is 200 micromhos (or mS/cm2).
The 90% and 50% upper limits represent the 90/50 percentile values for a

Objective/Criterion Reference	e:	calendar year. 90% or 50% or more of the values must be less th to the upper limit. <u>Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) - North Coast Region (R</u>	•
Evaluation Guideline: Guideline Reference:			
Spatial Representation:		Samples were collected from the South Fork Trinity River near Samples were collected from well- (SWAMP Station ID TRINSF). Samples were collected from well- in glides or riffles.	
Temporal Representation:		Samples were collected from February 2002 to June 2005. Site v corresponded to fall, winter, spring and early summer seasonal c	
Environmental Conditions:		There are no known environmental conditions (e.g., seasonality, practices, fire events, storms, etc.) that are related to these data.	land use
QAPP Information:		Quality control was conducted in accordance with the SWAMP Q Assurance Management Plan (Puckett 2002).	
QAPP Information Referenc	e(s):	Quality Assurance Management Plan for the State of California's Water Ambient Monitoring Program. Sacramento, CA. State Water Control Board. SWAMP. December 2002 (1st version)	
DECISION ID	12510		Region 1
Trinity River HU, South Fo	rk HA		
Pollutant: Final Listing Decision: Last Listing Cycle's Final Listing Decision: Revision Status Impairment from Pollutant or Pollution:	Sulfates Do Not List on 303(d) list (TMDL required list) New Decision Revised t Pollutant		
Conclusion:	This pollutant is being considered for placement on the Section 303(d) List under Section 3.2 of the Listing Policy. Under Section 3.2, a single line of evidence is necessary to assess listing status. One line of evidence is available in the administrative record to assess this pollutant. None of the 15 sulfate samples exceed the evaluation guideline. Based on the readily available data and information, the weight of evidence indicates that there is sufficient justification against placing this water segment-pollutant combination on the Section 303(d) List in the Water Quality Limited Segments category (i.e., sufficient justification to not list). This conclusion is based on the staff findings that: (1) The data used satisfies the data quality requirements of Section 6.1.4 of the Policy. (2) The data used satisfies the data quantity requirements of Section 6.1.5 of the Policy. (3) None of the 15 samples exceed the sulfate evaluation guideline used to interpret the water quality objective, and this does not exceed the allowable frequency listed in Table 3.2 of the Listing Policy. (4) Pursuant to Section 3.11 of the Listing Policy, no additional data and information are available indicating that standards are not met.		
RWQCB Board Staff Decision:	staff cor	view of the available data and information, North Coast Regional W includes that the water body-pollutant combination should not be pla 303(d) List because applicable water quality standards are being a	aced on the
SWRCB Board Staff Decision:		view of this Regional Board decision, SWRCB staff recommend the d by the State Board.	e decision be

USEPA Action (if applicable):

Line of Evidence (LOE) for Decision ID 12510, Sulfates

Region 1

Trinity River HU, South Fork HA

LOE ID:	25527
Pollutant: LOE Subgroup: Matrix: Fraction:	Sulfates Pollutant-Water Water Not Recorded
Beneficial Use:	Municipal & Domestic Supply
Number of Samples: Number of Exceedances:	15 0
Data and Information Type: Data Used to Assess Water Quality:	PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL MONITORING None of the 15 sulfate samples collected in the South Fork Trinity River exceed the evaluation guideline. The samples were collected as part of the Surface Water Ambient Water Monitoring Program (SWAMP). The data are found in the 5-Year Monitoring Report (NCRWQCB 2008).
Data Reference:	Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP). Summary Report for the North Coast Region (RWQCB-1) for years 2000-2006. North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. March 2008
Water Quality Objective/Criterion:	Per the Basin Plan (NCRWQCB 2007, p. 3-3.00): Waters shall not contain taste- or odor-producing substances in concentrations that impart undesirable tastes or odors to fish flesh or other edible products of aquatic origin, or that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
Objective/Criterion Reference:	Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) - North Coast Region (Region 1)
Evaluation Guideline:	Per 22 CCR 64449 (Table 64449-B): The recommended secondary maximum contaminant level for sulfate is 250 mg/L.
Guideline Reference:	Title 22. Division 4. Chapter 15. Sections 64400 et seq. California Code of Regulations
Spatial Representation:	Samples were collected from the South Fork Trinity River near Salyer (SWAMP Station ID 106TRINSF). Samples were collected from well-mixed flows in glides or riffles.
Temporal Representation:	Samples were collected from February 2002 to June 2005. Site visits corresponded to fall, winter, spring and early summer seasonal conditions.
Environmental Conditions:	There are no known environmental conditions (e.g., seasonality, land use practices, fire events, storms, etc.) that are related to these data.
QAPP Information:	Quality control was conducted in accordance with the SWAMP Quality
QAPP Information Reference(s):	Assurance Management Plan (SWAMP 2002). Quality Assurance Management Plan for the State of California's Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program. Sacramento, CA. State Water Resources Control Board. SWAMP. December 2002 (1st version)

DECISION ID 5491

Region 1

Trinity River HU, South Fork HA

0,	Temperature, water List on 303(d) list (TMDL required list) List on 303(d) list (TMDL required list)(2006)
Listing Decision:	
Revision Status	Original
Sources:	Habitat Modification Range Grazing-Riparian Removal of Riparian Vegetation Streambank Modification/Destabilization Water Diversions
Expected TMDL Completion Date:	2019

Impairment from Pollutant Pollutant or Pollution:

Conclusion:	303(d) listing decisions made prior to 2006 were not held in an assessmen The Regional Boards will update this decision when new data and informat available and are assessed.	
RWQCB Board Staff Decision:	No new data were assessed for 2008. The decision has not changed.	
SWRCB Board Staff Decision:	After review of this Regional Board decision, SWRCB staff recommend the approved by the State Board.	decision be
USEPA Action (if applicable):	USEPA approved the listing of this water body as a water quality limited se requiring a TMDL for this pollutant.	gment
Line of Evidence (LOE) for Decision ID 5491, Temperature, water Region Trinity River HU, South Fork HA		

LOE ID:		4782		
Pollutant: LOE Subgroup: Matrix: Fraction:		Temperature, water Pollutant-Water Water None		
Beneficial Use:		Cold Freshwater Habitat		
Number of Samples: Number of Exceedances:		0 0		
Data and Information Type: Data Used to Assess Water of	Quality:	Not Specified This LOE is a placeholder to support a 303(d) listing decision made p 2006.	rior to	
Data Reference:		Placeholder reference pre-2006 303(d)		
Water Quality Objective/Criterion: Objective/Criterion Reference:				
Evaluation Guideline: Guideline Reference:				
Spatial Representation: Temporal Representation: Environmental Conditions: QAPP Information: QAPP Information Reference	e(s):	Unspecified		
DECISION ID	6925	Reg	gion 1	
Trinity River HU, South Fork HA				
Last Listing Cycle's Final Listing Decision:	List on 3 List on 3 Original Nonpoin	ntation/Siltation 303(d) list (being addressed by USEPA approved TMDL) 03(d) list (being addressed by USEPA approved TMDL)(2006) t Source Range Grazing-Riparian Silviculture iver Sediment		

TMDL Project Code: Date TMDL Approved by USEPA:	505 12/20/2001	
Impairment from Pollutant or Pollution:	Pollutant	
Conclusion:	This pollutant is being considered for listing under section 2.2 of the Listing Policy. Under this section of the Policy, a minimum of one line of evidence is needed to assess listing status.	
	US EPA was the lead agency for development of the technical TMDL for the Trinity River TMDL. US EPA established the Trinity River Technical TMDL for Sediment on December 20, 2001.	
	Based on the readily available information, the weight of evidence indicates that there is sufficient justification in favor of placing this water segment-pollutant combination in the Water Quality Limited Segments Being Addressed portion of the section 303(d) list.	
RWQCB Board Staff Decision:	This is a decision made by the State Water Resources Control Board and approved by the USEPA in 2006 . No new data were assessed by the Regional Board for 2008. The decision has not changed.	
SWRCB Board Staff Decision:	After review of this Regional Board decision, SWRCB staff recommend the decision be approved by the State Board.	
USEPA Action (if applicable):		
Line of Evidence (LOE) for Decision ID 6925, Sedimentation/Siltation Region 1 Trinity River HU, South Fork HA		
LOE ID:	1670	
Pollutant: LOE Subgroup: Matrix: Fraction:	Sedimentation/Siltation Pollutant-Water Water None	
Beneficial Use:	Cold Freshwater Habitat	
Number of Samples: Number of Exceedances:	0 0	
Data and Information Type:	Not Specified	

Data and Information Type: Data Used to Assess Water Quality:

Not Specified Unspecified?This LOE is a placeholder to support a 303(d) listing decision made prior to 2006. <u>Placeholder reference 2006 303(d)</u>

Water Quality Objective/Criterion: Objective/Criterion Reference:

Evaluation Guideline: Guideline Reference:

Data Reference:

Spatial Representation: Temporal Representation: Environmental Conditions: QAPP Information: QAPP Information Reference(s): QAPP Information Reference(s):