

1  
2  
3

Appendix 3.G  
**Proposed Interim  
Delta Salmonid Survival Objectives**

---

1 **DRAFT TECH MEMO**  
2 **Oct 29, 2012**

3 **BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN:**  
4 **Proposed Interim Delta Survival Objectives for Juvenile Salmonids**  
5 **NOAA Fisheries, Southwest Region, Central Valley Office**

6 **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

7 The purpose of this memorandum is to introduce Interim Juvenile Salmonid Delta Survival  
8 Objectives (Interim Survival Objectives) and to explain the process used to develop them. Bay Delta  
9 Conservation Plan (BDCP) covered salmonids are defined as winter-run, spring-run, fall-run and late-  
10 run Chinook salmon and steelhead spawning in the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers. Although  
11 empirical data on current through-Delta survival for each of the covered salmonids are not available,  
12 there are some survival data for selected species on which to base initial survival objectives for the  
13 BDCP to make a meaningful contribution to recovery. This memo also serves to introduce a  
14 framework for revising and refining objectives for Delta survival. The objectives presented are  
15 interim, and will be refined as additional data become available. These BDCP survival objectives  
16 would provide 50% of the total improvement in overall survival necessary to meet target cohort  
17 replacement rates (CCR). The remaining 50% of the necessary improvements in juvenile survival are  
18 expected to be achieved through recovery actions distributed throughout the salmonid life-cycle.

19 A simple deterministic, stage-based life cycle model and ultimate CRRs of 1.4 for spring-run, fall-run,  
20 late fall-run Chinook salmon and steelhead, and 1.5 for winter-run Chinook salmon were used to  
21 develop the Interim Survival Objectives. We established a progressive schedule of intermediate CRR  
22 targets through the span of the BDCP permit period to simulate the expected progressive  
23 improvements in salmonid survival as BDCP benefits are realized through plan implementation. This  
24 timeline starts with the signing of the Record of Decision (Year-0), with the primary benefits from  
25 BDCP implementation expected to commence following initial operation of the North Delta  
26 Diversion in Year-10. Using average fish generations (3-years) as the unit of time, we identified  
27 intermediate time steps at BDCP Year-19 (three generations after initiation of dual conveyance) with  
28 a CRR target of 1.2; Year-28 (another three fish generations) with a CRR target of 1.3; and a final  
29 time step at Year-40 (four more generations) with a CRR of 1.4, for spring-run, fall-run, and late fall-  
30 run Chinook salmon and steelhead. CRR targets of 1.3, 1.4, and 1.5 at the same respective time  
31 steps were used for winter-run Chinook salmon based on recognition of their endangered status.  
32 The intermediate and final Interim Survival Objectives relating to these CRR targets are summarized  
33 in **Table 1** below.

34 Current Delta survival estimates for Chinook salmon and steelhead originating in the Sacramento  
35 River range from 0.35 to 0.50. The calculated Interim Survival Objectives for winter-run Chinook  
36 salmon are 0.52, 0.54, and 0.57 for the BDCP Year-19, -28, and -40 time steps, respectively. For  
37 spring-run Chinook salmon, the calculated Interim Survival Objectives are 0.49, 0.52, and 0.54,  
38 respectively. The calculated Interim Survival Objectives for fall-run Chinook salmon are 0.42, 0.44,

1 and 0.46, respectively. The calculated Interim Survival Objectives for late fall-run Chinook salmon  
 2 are 0.49, 0.51, and 0.53, respectively. Using a current survival of 0.45, the calculated Interim  
 3 Survival Objectives for Sacramento River steelhead (Battle Creek population) are 0.54, 0.56, and  
 4 0.59 for the BDCP Year-19, -28, and -40 time steps, respectively. The Battle Creek population was  
 5 selected as representative of Sacramento River steelhead, as the survival studies will likely use  
 6 hatchery steelhead smolts from Coleman National Fish Hatchery, which is located on Battle Creek.

7 Current Delta survival rates for Chinook salmon and steelhead originating in the San Joaquin River  
 8 range from 0.02 to 0.10 (VAMP Annual Reports, R. Buchanan pers. comm.). For fall-run Chinook  
 9 salmon current survival was set at 0.05 and the calculated Interim Survival Objectives are 0.27, 0.29,  
 10 and 0.31 for the BDCP Year-19-year,-28, and -40 time steps, respectively. Using an initial survival  
 11 estimate of 0.07, the calculated Interim Survival Objectives for San Joaquin spring-run Chinook  
 12 salmon are 0.33, 0.35, and 0.38, respectively. For San Joaquin steelhead, the current survival was  
 13 set at 0.10, and we calculated Interim Survival Objectives of 0.44, 0.47, and 0.51, respectively.  
 14 NMFS anticipates periodically reviewing and updating these Interim Survival Objectives as new  
 15 empirical data become available, and plans to work collaboratively with resource agencies and  
 16 stakeholders to monitor progress toward meeting the objectives.

17 For all species, these Interim Survival Objectives represent 50% of the estimated increase in Delta  
 18 survival required to achieve the modeled CRRs, based on improvements in through-Delta survival  
 19 alone. That is, we held pre- and post-Delta survival constant, and calculated the improvement in  
 20 Delta survival needed to achieve the target CRRs, and assigned half of that improvement as the  
 21 objective for BDCP conservation measures. The balance of the improvements required to achieve  
 22 the modeled CRRs are expected to be derived from other recovery actions distributed throughout  
 23 the entire range of covered salmonids, which could occur upstream, in the Delta, or in the ocean.

24 **Table 1. Estimated current Delta survival rates and proposed Interim Delta Survival Objectives for**  
 25 **each of the BDCP covered salmonids.**

Species	Population	Estimated Through-Delta Survival	Interim BDCP Delta Survival Objectives:		
			After 19 Years	After 28 Years	After 40 Years
Chinook salmon	Sac winter-run	0.40	0.52	0.54	0.57
	Sac spring-run	0.40	0.49	0.52	0.54
	Sac fall-run	0.35	0.42	0.44	0.46
	SJ fall-run	0.05	0.27	0.29	0.31
	Sac late fall-run	0.40	0.49	0.51	0.53
	SJ spring-run	0.07	0.33	0.35	0.38
Steelhead	Sacramento	0.45	0.54	0.56	0.59
	San Joaquin	0.10	0.44	0.47	0.51

1 **INTRODUCTION**

2 Chinook salmon and steelhead in the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers have been in decline for  
3 over 100 years, and two Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESUs) of Chinook salmon (Sacramento River  
4 winter-run and Central Valley spring-run) and a single Distinct Population Segment (DPS) of  
5 steelhead (California Central Valley) are listed as threatened or endangered under the federal  
6 Endangered Species Act. Two additional populations of Central Valley Chinook salmon (fall-run and  
7 late fall-run) have been combined in a single ESU by the National Marine Fisheries Service and are  
8 currently classified as a Species of Concern.

9 One of several factors responsible for salmonid decline and limiting their recovery is high mortality  
10 of juvenile salmonids as they pass through the labyrinth of canals, channels, and sloughs comprising  
11 the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta (hereafter the Delta). Water quality and physical habitat in the  
12 Delta have been severely degraded over time, and populations of non-native predators have  
13 become well established. Exacerbating the perilous journey through the Delta are the two industrial  
14 scale pumping facilities located in the southern Delta that provide water for a large portion of  
15 California’s human population and irrigation of arid agricultural lands located in the country’s most  
16 populous state. Not only are fish entrained at the pumping facilities, but the sheer volume of water  
17 exported can substantially affect the hydrodynamics of the central Delta.

18 In order to make a meaningful contribution to recovery of Central Valley salmonids, NMFS is  
19 working with interested parties to develop the Bay Delta Conservation Plan (BDCP). A key  
20 component of the BDCP is establishment of biological goals and objectives which will help guide  
21 conservation measures and the adaptive management process. Among these goals and objectives,  
22 one of the most important is the effort to improve migratory conditions and survival of juvenile  
23 salmonids passing through the Delta. Additional BDCP actions, such as efforts to restore salmonid  
24 habitat in the Delta and improve overall ecosystem productivity, will also be considered as measures  
25 contributing to recovery, but have separate objectives not considered here.

26 The purpose of this memorandum is to introduce Interim Juvenile Salmonid Delta Survival  
27 Objectives for each of the BDCP covered salmonids and to explain the approach used to develop  
28 these Objectives. Although empirical data on through-Delta survival for each of the covered  
29 salmonids are not available, there are survival data for selected populations and life stages, and in  
30 total there exists a body of information upon which to base initial scientific judgments about  
31 baseline survivals and the percentage improvement required for the BDCP to make a meaningful  
32 contribution to recovery. An equally important purpose of this memorandum is to introduce a  
33 simple deterministic, stage-based life cycle approach to define BDCP objectives, periodically review  
34 and update them, and monitor progress toward achieving the intermediate and final Cohort  
35 Replacement Rate (CRR) milestones. Although further consideration and effort is needed to inform  
36 these targets, it is imperative to establish interim objectives in order to guide monitoring and the  
37 management decision-making process in the near term.

1 **BACKGROUND**

2 *Species and Populations.* There are four generally recognized runs of Chinook salmon in  
3 California’s Central Valley that are endemic to either the Sacramento or San Joaquin rivers, or both:  
4 winter-run, spring-run, fall-run, and late fall-run Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), and  
5 multiple geographically defined populations of steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) (Meyers et al.  
6 1995, Busby et al. 1996). For the purposes of the BDCP, covered salmonids are defined as winter-  
7 run, spring-run, fall-run and late fall-run Chinook salmon, and steelhead spawning in the Sacramento  
8 and San Joaquin rivers (collectively referred to as California Central Valley Steelhead). As noted  
9 above, the Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon ESU is listed as threatened and the Sacramento  
10 River winter-run Chinook salmon ESU is listed as threatened. Spring-run Chinook salmon were  
11 historically present in both the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers but have been extirpated from  
12 the San Joaquin and will be reintroduced over the next several years. Historically, winter-run  
13 Chinook salmon were only present in the Sacramento River, spawning in the upper tributaries above  
14 the current location of Shasta Dam. Fall-run Chinook salmon are present in both rivers. It is  
15 uncertain whether the San Joaquin River ever supported a late fall-run Chinook salmon population  
16 (Yoshiyama et al. 1998).

17 As defined by their Endangered Species Act (ESA) listing, the Sacramento River winter-run Chinook  
18 salmon ESU includes all naturally spawned populations of winter-run Chinook salmon in the  
19 Sacramento River and its tributaries, as well as winter-run Chinook salmon reared at the Livingstone  
20 Stone National Fish Hatchery. Designated critical habitat for the Sacramento winter-run Chinook  
21 salmon includes: the Sacramento River from Keswick Dam downstream to Chipps Island at the  
22 westward margin of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, all waters from Chipps Island westward to  
23 Carquinez Bridge, and all waters of San Pablo Bay north of the San Francisco/Oakland Bay Bridge.

24 The Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon ESU includes all naturally spawned populations of  
25 spring-run Chinook salmon in the Sacramento River and its tributaries in California, including the  
26 Feather River. One artificial propagation program, the Feather River Hatchery spring-run Chinook  
27 salmon program, is considered part of the ESU. Designated critical habitat for the Central Valley  
28 spring-run Chinook salmon ESU includes 1,158 miles of stream habitat in the Sacramento River basin  
29 and 254 square miles of estuary habitat in the San Francisco-San Pablo-Suisun Bay complex.

30 The California Central Valley (CCV) steelhead DPS includes all naturally spawned populations of  
31 steelhead in the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers and their tributaries. Two artificial propagation  
32 programs—the Coleman National Fish Hatchery and Feather River Hatchery steelhead programs—are  
33 considered to be part of the DPS. Designated critical habitat includes 2,308 miles of stream habitat  
34 in the Central Valley and an additional 254 square miles of estuary habitat in the San Francisco-San  
35 Pablo-Suisun Bay complex.

36 *Life histories.* From a life history perspective, California’s Central Valley supports perhaps the most  
37 diverse populations of Chinook salmon in the world. Named for their adult run-timing, but  
38 displaying substantial diversity throughout their life cycles, the four runs of Chinook salmon and

1 Central Valley steelhead enter the Delta at different sizes, at different times, and reside for variable  
2 time periods, although there is overlap among populations. **Table 2** summarizes life history  
3 information for the covered salmonids based on information synthesized from a variety of sources,  
4 including Vogel and Marine (1991), Fisher (1994), and Williams (2006).

5 *Current Delta Survival Estimates.* Despite efforts by many researchers to estimate juvenile  
6 salmonid survivals in the Delta over the past several decades, only recently have the necessary tools  
7 and statistical models become available to rigorously address the task. At this time the most robust  
8 Delta survival estimates are limited to late fall-run hatchery Chinook salmon emigrating from the  
9 Sacramento River, and to a lesser extent fall-run hatchery Chinook salmon emigrating from the San  
10 Joaquin River. However, population-specific estimates are needed for all Chinook salmon and  
11 steelhead populations migrating from the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers. Accordingly, these  
12 initial survival objectives and the percentage improvements are necessarily interim, with the  
13 expectation that they will be revised as new empirically derived survival estimates become available.  
14 The following are brief summaries of the studies that were considered in developing baseline  
15 survival estimates.

16 *Michel 2010*—Estimated survival of Sacramento River juvenile late fall-run Chinook salmon for  
17 three consecutive years between 2007 to 2009 using acoustic tag methods; 200 to 300 fish were  
18 tagged and released per year and detected at multiple locations during their downstream migration.  
19 Late fall-run Chinook were selected because of their availability at Coleman National Fish Hatchery  
20 as yearling smolts at a size large enough to carry an acoustic tag (minimum size 160 mm). In 2007,  
21 tagged fish were released into Battle Creek at Coleman National Fish Hatchery in January. In the  
22 two subsequent years tagged fish were released in the upper mainstem Sacramento River in  
23 January. Final detection locations were at the Golden Gate Bridge, at which point the migrants were  
24 considered to have entered the ocean. Total survival from Rkm 518 to Rkm 2 ranged from 3.1 to  
25 6.1%; the 3-year average was 3.9%. Partitioning the migration route into sections, the upper  
26 reaches (Rkm 581 to 325) supported the lowest survival; the lower riverine reaches supported the  
27 highest survival (Rkm 325 to 169); and the Delta and estuary (Rkm 169 to 2) supported intermediate  
28 lower survival. Based on an estimated 93.7% survival per 10 Km of Delta (Rkm 169 to 70), Delta  
29 survival was 52.6%. This estimate is consistent with those of Perry et al. cited below.

30 *Perry et al. 2009; Perry 2010; Perry et al. 2012a; Perry et al. 2012b*—Estimated Delta survival of  
31 acoustically-tagged late fall-run hatchery Chinook salmon in a series of studies conducted between  
32 2007 and 2010. Survival estimates ranged from a low of 0.174 (SE 0.031) for a release made in  
33 December 2007 to a high of 0.543 (SE 0.070) release made in January 2007. The arithmetic average  
34 of ten survival estimates was 38%. Most of these releases were made in relatively dry water years  
35 (except for 2010), but still represent some of the best estimates of Delta survival presently available,  
36 and were used to select baseline survivals of 0.40 to 0.50 for Sacramento River Chinook salmon and  
37 steelhead for the purposes of developing interim survival objectives.

38 *Kjelson and Brandes (1989) and Brandes and McLain (2001)*—Working under the Interagency  
39 Ecological Program for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta (IEP), conducted numerous mark-

1 recapture studies in the lower Sacramento River, lower San Joaquin River, and Delta beginning in  
2 the early 1970s. Based on available technology and methods they used single- and paired-releases  
3 of coded-wire-tagged hatchery fall-run Chinook salmon and relied on a mid-water trawl near Chipps  
4 Island and Antioch and ocean harvest data for recapture locations/sources. Paired-release  
5 estimates were reported as relative survivals, whereas single release estimates were reported as  
6 “survival indices.” Although results of these studies, summarized in Kjelson and Brandes (1989),  
7 Brandes and McLain (2001), Newman and Rice (2002) and Newman (2008) made a substantial early  
8 contribution to understanding survival bottlenecks in the Delta, the more recent studies employing  
9 acoustically-tagged smolts have yielded more precise information on Delta and within-Delta route-  
10 specific survivals. In general, the recapture rates of coded wire tagged (CWT) fish in all of these  
11 studies were quite low, and survival estimation required multiple assumptions regarding recovery  
12 efficiency. Accordingly, NMFS placed greater emphasis on the more recent estimates to inform  
13 selection of baseline survivals. However, even acoustic telemetry estimates are not without  
14 limitations. For instance, survival measured using acoustic tags can be biased high if tagged fish are  
15 eaten by predators that subsequently move past receiver locations. Presently, there is no definitive  
16 way of determining if a tag detected at a receiver is in a live target species or in a predator.

17 *VAMP Studies*—Are a series of studies conducted under the aegis of the Vernalis Adaptive  
18 Management Program (VAMP), and provide the best available insight into survival of San Joaquin  
19 fall-run Chinook salmon during their sojourn through the Delta. A cornerstone of the San Joaquin  
20 River Agreement (SJRA) and commitment to implement the State Water Resources Control Board  
21 (SWRCB) 1995 Water Quality Control Plan (WQCP) for the lower San Joaquin River and the San  
22 Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary, the VAMP studies were initiated in 2000 and conducted annually  
23 through 2011. A primary objective of the VAMP was to document how salmon survival changes in  
24 response to alterations in San Joaquin River flows and State Water Project (SWP)/Central Valley  
25 Project (CVP) exports with the installation of the Head of Old River Barrier (HORB). Studies  
26 conducted through 2006 employed CWT hatchery fall-run Chinook and Chipps Island mid-water  
27 trawl recoveries to estimate survival. Because of a shortage of hatchery fish and concern over high  
28 incidental take of Delta smelt in the mid-water trawl, the approach to estimating survival shifted to  
29 acoustic tagging and a release-detection framework to estimate survival, route selection, and  
30 detection probabilities among three migration pathways through the Delta. Results from 2010 and  
31 2011 were considered to establish baseline Delta survivals of San Joaquin Chinook salmon and  
32 steelhead of 0.05 and 0.10.

### 33 **GENERAL APPROACH AND ASSUMPTIONS**

34 Meaningful improvements in Delta survival of juvenile salmonids must be measureable and  
35 contribute to recovery. Accordingly, baseline survivals must be established and routine monitoring  
36 implemented to track progress toward achieving the survival objectives. Because migration through  
37 the Delta is only one of several life stages where survival improvements will be required for species  
38 recovery, many additional studies and detailed life cycle models will be required. These studies are  
39 needed to identify life stage-specific survival rates, prioritize opportunities to improve life stage-  
40 specific survival rates, and ultimately the needed changes throughout the freshwater, estuarine, and

1 ocean phases of the salmonid life cycle that will allow recovery of these species. Furthermore,  
2 actions not directly linked to Delta survival, such as supporting life history diversity and improving  
3 salmon growth and condition while emigrating, may also contribute to recovery. There is limited  
4 scientific understanding to weigh and compare effectiveness of such actions, which necessitates a  
5 flexible initial approach when allocating recovery efforts.

6 Although detailed, species-specific life cycle models are a preferred method of estimating the  
7 contributions of habitat changes and changes to life stage-specific survival, particularly in the  
8 context of recovery, those available at this time have limitations when focusing on the BDCP actions.  
9 For example, the Oncorhynchus Bayesian Analysis (OBAN) Model is just now being modified to  
10 consider reduced Sacramento River flow expected with construction and operation of a North Delta  
11 Diversion. As a retrospective statistical model, any predictions it makes based on conditions outside  
12 of those observed could have low confidence. The Interactive Object-Oriented Simulation (IOS)  
13 Model appears somewhat insensitive to changes in environmental conditions. Neither model uses  
14 empirical survival estimates from Red Bluff Diversion Dam to the ocean to validate their results, as  
15 survival to the ocean is not measured. Finally, results from the two models, as reported in the BDCP  
16 Effects Analysis of February 2012, were not consistent; whereas OBAN predicted significant impacts  
17 from increased upstream water temperatures, IOS predicted declines largely due to changing  
18 conditions in the ocean. Ongoing efforts will be focused on further development and application of  
19 these and other models to inform revisions to current objectives. Furthermore, through the  
20 adaptive management process and monitoring further development of objectives will occur.

21 Accordingly, to develop these Interim Survival Objectives we employed a simplified Excel  
22 spreadsheet approach in which we divided the life cycles into Pre-Delta, Delta, and Post-Delta life  
23 phases and assigned average survivals to each phase (**Table 3**). By populating the model with  
24 species-specific fecundities and selecting target CRRs that will substantially contribute to recovery,  
25 we estimated changes in Delta survivals needed to achieve the target CRRs at multiple time steps.  
26 To monitor progress, we established a BDCP timeline for interim and final CRR targets beginning  
27 with the signing of the Record of Decision (Year-0), and construction and initial operation of the  
28 Northern Delta Diversion to support dual conveyance beginning in Year-10. Using average fish  
29 generations (3-years) as the unit of time, we identified intermediate time steps at BDCP Year-19  
30 (three generations past dual conveyance) and a CRR target of 1.2; another intermediate time step at  
31 Year-28 (another three generations) and a CRR target of 1.3; and a final time step at Year-40 (four  
32 more generations) and a CRR target of 1.4, for spring-run, fall-run, and late fall-run Chinook salmon  
33 and steelhead. CRR targets of 1.3, 1.4, and 1.5 at the same respective time steps were used for  
34 winter-run Chinook salmon based on recognition of their endangered status. These CRR targets  
35 were selected to put the covered salmonids on a population growth trajectory to achieve the  
36 previously published BDCP Global Goals (BDCP 2012) identified in **Table 4**. While the selection of  
37 CRRs was integral to calculating Interim Survival Objectives that represent a meaningful contribution  
38 to recovery, it is the through-Delta survival rates assigned to the BDCP that constitute the  
39 Objectives.



1 The general approach to establishing these Interim Survival Objectives follows:

- 2 1. Compile life stage-specific survival estimates for each of the covered salmonids; sort by  
3 Sacramento and San Joaquin river populations;
- 4 2. Consolidate and reduce survival estimates to three life phases: Pre-Delta, Delta, and Post-  
5 Delta;
- 6 3. Populate an Excel spreadsheet model with pre-, through-, and post-Delta survival estimates  
7 and calculate CRRs (or more precisely 3-Year Replacement Rates) for each covered salmonid  
8 under current Delta conditions;
- 9 4. Solve for the through-Delta survival needed to achieve a CRR of 1.2 (1.3 for winter-run) after  
10 BDCP Year-19, a CRR of 1.3 (1.4 for winter-run) after BDCP Year-28, and a CRR of 1.4 (1.5 for  
11 winter-run) after BDCP Year-40;
- 12 5. Take one-half of the necessary increase in Delta survival needed to meet these CRRs, add  
13 this to the baseline rate, and set the sum as the Interim Survival Objectives for each covered  
14 salmonid;
- 15 6. Assign responsibility for actions needed to achieve the Interim Survival Objectives to the  
16 BDCP. The remaining improvement in survival required to achieve the target CRRs (i.e., the  
17 balance after the BDCP survival improvement) is expected to accrue from other recovery  
18 actions implemented throughout the entire range of the species, and the percentage  
19 improvement will depend on the life phase affected.

20 The life stage-specific survival estimates were compiled from a variety of existing sources, including  
21 the NMFS winter-run Juvenile Production Estimate (JPE), recent acoustic tag survival studies, and  
22 trends in escapement and harvest records. Currently, the only empirical estimates of Delta survival  
23 are for Sacramento River late fall-run Chinook and San Joaquin River fall-run Chinook salmon;  
24 however, estimates based on acoustic tag studies for other Sacramento and San Joaquin species are  
25 expected to be available over the next five years. Where species-specific data were available they  
26 were used directly. More often, this was not the case, and adjustments were made based on how  
27 different life history characteristics would be expected to influence survival. In making these  
28 adjustments we assumed the following:

- 29 • Yearling migrants are expected to be actively smolting and will migrate more rapidly  
30 downstream through the Delta than will subyearling migrants. At a larger size smolts will  
31 also be less vulnerable to predation.
- 32 • The longer a salmonid life-stage resides in the Delta the higher the mortality.
- 33 • The later in the spring a salmonid life-stage transits the Delta the higher the mortality  
34 (because of warming temperatures and more active predators).

1 Specific examples of these kinds of adjustments were considered for steelhead spawning and  
2 rearing in Battle Creek and the American River. Battle Creek steelhead likely exhibit a lower  
3 tributary growth rate than American River steelhead, but exhibit higher survival to the smolt stage  
4 than do American River steelhead. In contrast, American River steelhead tend to smolt at a larger  
5 size, but exhibit lower tributary survival (Sogard et al. 2012). The larger-sized American River smolts  
6 would be expected survive Delta transit and ocean entry at a higher rate than the smaller Battle  
7 Creek steelhead smolts (Ward and Slaney 1988, Bond et al. 2008). While these kinds of assumptions  
8 and adjustments are no substitute for species-specific empirical data, they were necessary to  
9 constructing a life cycle context in which to approximate needed improvement to achieve  
10 sustainability and establish survival objectives.

11 Cohort replacement rates were used to establish a life cycle context for estimating changes in life  
12 stage-specific survivals needed to increase abundance and reduce risk, and to estimate the overall  
13 increase in Delta passage survival needed to achieve them. In their simplest form, CRRs use age-  
14 structured returns to calculate the number of returning adults in one generation produced by the  
15 previous generation. A CRR of 1.0 indicates a population is exactly replacing itself, not growing but  
16 also not declining in abundance. A CRR less than 1.0 indicates the population is not replacing itself  
17 and hence declining, and a CRR greater than 1.0 indicates the population is growing. For the  
18 purposes of establishing these Interim Survival Objectives we used the terms CRR and 3-Year  
19 Replacement Rates (3-YRRs) interchangeably, but acknowledge that to simplify this analysis we  
20 assumed an equal escapement of males and females, and assume all adults return at age 3. Neither  
21 of these assumptions markedly affect their use in our simplified model used to estimate the  
22 magnitude of needed life stage-specific improvements. We used CRRs of 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4 (1.3, 1.4,  
23 and 1.5 for winter-run) to calculate survival rates that need to be progressively achieved over the  
24 life of the BDCP, with check-ins at BDCP Year-19, -28, and -40. These CRR targets are generally  
25 accepted as representative of healthy population dynamics, but are not necessarily NMFS final  
26 recovery goals, and will be refined and revisited as further information becomes available. As noted  
27 above, one-half of the improvement in survival necessary to meet these CRR targets is expected to  
28 be achieved by the BDCP in the Delta.

29 The current cohort replacement rates for each covered salmonid were not explicitly matched to  
30 empirical data, but instead were set to levels below 1.0, but not so low as to predict rapid extinction  
31 of the species. This matches the slow but steady decline observed in these species over the last  
32 several decades. The San Joaquin species were an exception to this, as they had very low CRRs,  
33 largely due to the very low current Delta survival estimates used in the model. This suggests that  
34 the San Joaquin populations may currently be considered dependent populations, i.e., they are  
35 supported by a combination of hatchery fish, strays, and episodic successful natural reproduction.

36 Explicitly matching the predicted current cohort replacement rate to empirical data could be done in  
37 a future version of the model, but there are several challenges to doing so. One is to decide on the  
38 year or range of years of empirical data to match, and the CRRs for some species such as winter-run  
39 Chinook salmon have fluctuated greatly over the last 10–20 years. Another is to account for the  
40 large proportion of hatchery fish present in most escapement estimates, which is not currently part

1 of the model. The large proportion of hatchery fish in most Central Valley salmonid species has the  
2 effect of keeping CRRs higher than they would be if the stock was solely comprised of naturally  
3 produced fish. The other effect is to increase the annual variation in escapement, as the return of  
4 hatchery fish stocked in the bays is largely dependent upon ocean survival, which can vary  
5 dramatically, as seen in the crash of Sacramento River fall-run Chinook salmon from 2007–2009.

6 With regard to incorporating interannual variability in the model, we considered using a method  
7 such as drawing a random number from a distribution with a specified mean and variance to the  
8 survival rates, both in the Delta and at other stages. Ultimately, we decided such an approach  
9 would still be focused around the mean survival rates, and since the shape of such a survival  
10 distribution is unknown at this time, it would require us to make more assumptions in a process that  
11 is already rich in assumptions, and would likely complicate the interpretation of the objectives  
12 without adding much value.

13 In selecting the specific CRRs for Year-19, Year-29, and Year-40 time steps, we also considered the  
14 relationships among the target CRRs and the previously established BDCP Global Abundance Goals  
15 for these species. In developing these projections we made the conservative assumption that the  
16 populations would respond slowly (i.e., remain near baseline CRRs) during the first 9 years following  
17 dual conveyance (BDCP Year-19). Beginning in BDCP Year-20 and extending for the next 20 years to  
18 BDCP Year-40, we estimated abundance based on the target CRR of 1.2 (1.3 for winter-run). Finally,  
19 we estimated abundance at BDCP Year-50, using the target CRR of 1.4 (1.5 for winter-run) for the  
20 period between BDCP year 41 and 50. The results of these projections and comparisons to the BDCP  
21 Global Abundance Goals are summarized in **Table 4**. Based on these projections, the estimated  
22 abundance of seven of the eight covered salmonids considered in this analysis would remain below  
23 their Global Abundance Goals at year 40, at which point abundance would be expected to increase  
24 rapidly over the next 10 years under a target CRR of 1.4 (1.5 for winter-run), leading to seven of the  
25 eight covered salmonids exceeding their global goal by the end of the BDCP permit period.

26 Of the eight covered salmonids, only the San Joaquin spring-run Chinook salmon was not projected  
27 to meet their global abundance target, but as there is no currently existing population, this  
28 projection is highly speculative. It is also clear from these projections that the future existence of  
29 naturally sustaining populations of San Joaquin River fall-run Chinook salmon and steelhead is  
30 uncertain. To the extent that our current placeholder survival estimates and CRRs are generally  
31 accurate, five additional generations at CRRs well below replacement would place both populations  
32 at high risk of extirpation. However, NMFS anticipates more immediate improvements in survival of  
33 San Joaquin-origin Chinook salmon and steelhead to accrue based on early conservation actions,  
34 including RPAs required by the NMFS and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Opinions,  
35 improved Delta inflows, habitat restoration projects such as Dutch Slough, and improvements in  
36 water quality from the upgraded Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant.

37 Finally, among ESA listed species, it is an exceptionally rare circumstance for a single factor affecting  
38 a single life stage to be a survival bottleneck such that eliminating the bottleneck will put the species  
39 on a trajectory to recovery, and the role of Delta survival in the demise of CV Chinook salmon and

1 steelhead is no exception. However, because it is well established that the magnitude of mortality  
2 during Delta passage can be high (e.g., Brandes and McLain 2001, VAMP studies), it is highly unlikely  
3 that CV salmonids can be recovered without major improvement in Delta survival. This is  
4 particularly the case for salmon and steelhead emigrating from the San Joaquin River and transiting  
5 the southern Delta. In recognition that the BDCP cannot be responsible for producing the entire  
6 increase in survival deemed necessary to achieve sustainability, these Interim BDCP Survival  
7 Objectives are approximately one-half of the estimated overall improvement needed to achieve the  
8 long term CRR targets. This is based on the assumption that other restoration and recovery efforts  
9 will result in substantial improvements in survival throughout the salmonids range.

## 10 INTERIM SURVIVAL OBJECTIVES

11 Because salmonids emigrating from the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers enter the Delta at  
12 different locations, they traverse the Delta via different routes, and are subject to different sources  
13 and magnitudes of mortality. Accordingly, baseline survival estimates and survival objectives are  
14 considered separately for the different watersheds. Further, because improvements in Delta  
15 survivals are expected to accumulate over time, survival objectives are presented in multiple time  
16 steps during the expected 50-year timeline of the BDCP: BDCP Year-19 (19 years after the signing of  
17 the BDCP ROD and 9 years after the start of dual conveyance); BDCP Year 28 ( 9 years or 3 fish  
18 generations after the initial time step); and BDCP Year-40 years (12 years or 4 fish generations after  
19 the second time step when many of the habitat restoration and other BDCP benefits are expected to  
20 be realized throughout the Delta.

21 **Table 5** presents the Interim Juvenile Salmonid Delta Survival Objectives for Chinook salmon and  
22 steelhead originating in the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers, respectively.

23 Current Delta survival estimates for Chinook salmon and steelhead originating in the Sacramento  
24 River range from 0.35 to 0.50 (Michel, 2010; Perry et al. 2009; Perry 2010; Perry et al. 2012a; Perry  
25 et al. 2012b). The calculated Interim Survival Objectives for Sacramento River winter-run Chinook  
26 salmon are 0.52, 0.54, and 0.57 for the BDCP Year-19, Year-28, and Year-40 time steps, respectively.  
27 For Sacramento River spring-run Chinook salmon, the calculated Interim Survival Objectives are  
28 0.49, 0.52, and 0.54 for the BDCP Year-19, Year-28, and Year-40 time steps. The calculated Interim  
29 Survival Objectives for fall-run Chinook are 0.42, 0.44, and 0.46 for the same respective time steps.  
30 Finally, Interim Survival Objectives for Sacramento late fall-run Chinook salmon are 0.49, 0.51, and  
31 0.53 for the same BDCP Year-19, Year-28, and Year-40 time steps.

32 For steelhead, we initially calculated Interim Survival Objectives for the American River and Battle  
33 Creek populations separately, based on expected differences associated with life history variation.  
34 However, as noted above we used the Battle Creek population to be representative of the  
35 Sacramento River steelhead as they are the most likely to be used to monitor survival. For the  
36 Battle Creek population of steelhead the current survival was set at 0.45 and the calculated Interim  
37 Survival Objectives were 0.54, 0.56, and 0.59 for the BDCP Year-19, Year-28, and Year-40 time steps.

1 Current Delta survival rates for Chinook salmon and steelhead originating in the San Joaquin River  
2 range from 0.05 to 0.10. For San Joaquin River fall-run Chinook salmon the current survival was set  
3 at 0.05 and the calculated Interim Survival Objectives were 0.27, 0.29, and 0.31 for the BDCP Year-  
4 19, Year-28, and Year-40 time steps, respectively. For San Joaquin River spring-run Chinook salmon  
5 the estimated initial survival is 0.7 and the Interim Survival Objectives are 0.33, 0.35, and 0.38 for  
6 the BDCP Year-19, Year-28, and Year-40 time steps. For San Joaquin River steelhead, the current  
7 survival was set at 0.10, and the calculated Interim Survival Objectives were 0.44, 0.47, and 0.51. for  
8 the same BDCP time steps.

9 There are several other factors that might be considered in further defining or revising these Interim  
10 Survival Objectives, including scaled objectives based on wet and dry years. However, at this point  
11 we are reluctant to more finely define or scale survival objectives until additional species-specific  
12 survival estimates are collected over a range of hydrologic conditions. However, as new information  
13 becomes available, the potential to define wet- and dry-year expectations should be revisited.

14 Climate change was not explicitly considered in developing these Interim Survival Objectives, but it  
15 may necessitate changes in the objectives at some future point. For example, if higher river  
16 temperatures reduce instream survival or ocean survival decreases, then higher Delta survival would  
17 be required to maintain the status quo.

## 18 **ACHIEVABILITY OF INTERIM DELTA SURVIVAL OBJECTIVES**

19 Although the use of this simple life stage-specific deterministic model and target CRRs facilitated  
20 defining Interim Survival Objectives in a life cycle context, it does not address how achievable these  
21 objectives are within any one specific life stage, and particularly the through-Delta life stage. It is  
22 obviously important to set objectives that are consistent with putting these populations on a  
23 trajectory of sustainability, but unless these objectives are reasonably achievable they have limited  
24 value. To address this question, we reviewed preliminary analyses conducted by Chuck Hanson  
25 (Hanson Environmental, Inc.) which evaluated a time series of previous Delta survival estimates and  
26 relationships between those survival estimates and CRRs. Hanson conducted separate analyses for  
27 San Joaquin River-origin fall-run Chinook salmon and Sacramento River-origin fall-run Chinook  
28 salmon.

29 For fall-run Chinook salmon originating in the San Joaquin River and tributaries, Hanson used Delta  
30 survival estimates based on VAMP CWT tag recoveries in the Chipps Island trawl and in ocean  
31 fisheries between 1995 and 2006. These data included through-Delta survival estimates that in  
32 some years exceeded the Interim Survival Objectives for San Joaquin fall-run Chinook salmon, thus  
33 substantiating that they had been historically achieved. Moreover, his analyses showed a positive  
34 correlation between Delta survivals and CRRs, and the time series of 5-year geometric mean CRRs  
35 between 1999 and 2007 (0.27 to 1.68) included CRRs in the range of 1.2–1.4 that we used as target  
36 CRRs to estimate Delta survival improvements.

37 Hanson's preliminary analyses of Delta survival of fall-run Chinook salmon originating in the  
38 Sacramento River and tributaries were also based on CWT recoveries. However, these survival

1 estimates were based on survival indices rather than absolute survivals, and release locations in the  
2 Sacramento River were more variable than the uniform release location at Mossdale used for the  
3 San Joaquin River. Despite these differences, his conclusions were largely the same. Between 1996  
4 and 2010, survival estimates for several release groups of fall-run Chinook salmon exceeded the  
5 Interim Delta Survival Objective of 0.42 and 0.46, again indicating that they are achievable. Further,  
6 although the 5-year geometric mean CRRs for Sacramento River fall-run Chinook have mostly been  
7 below the BDCP Year-19 CRR target of 1.2, the CRRs ranged from about 1.2 to 2.0 between 1993 and  
8 2002, thus validating the achievability of our 1.2 to 1.4 CRR targets. In additional exploratory  
9 analyses, Hanson calculated 5-year geometric mean CRRs for spring-run Chinook during the period  
10 1975 to 2008 that exceeded 1.2. Similarly, he identified a 12-year period in the 1990s and early  
11 2000s during which 5-year geometric mean CRRs for winter-run Chinook ranged from 1.2 to over  
12 2.5.

### 13 **ESTIMATED CONTRIBUTION TO RECOVERY**

14 Few if any ESA listings are the result of a single physical, chemical, or biological factor, and decline of  
15 Central Valley salmonids is no exception. Further, there is no requirement or expectation that this  
16 or any Habitat Conservation Plan will address, let alone resolve, all of the factors causing a species'  
17 decline. However, there is a requirement that a Habitat Conservation Plan will demonstrably  
18 contribute to the recovery of a covered species.

19 By using CRR targets of 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4 (1.3, 1.4, and 1.5 for winter-run) for the BDCP Year-19, -28,  
20 and -40 time steps, and then using 50% of the estimated Delta survival improvements needed to  
21 achieve these CRR as the Interim Survival Objectives, NMFS is ensuring that these objectives will  
22 make a substantive contribution to recovery. For winter-run Chinook salmon we selected CRRs of  
23 1.3, 1.4, and 1.5 as this population is listed as endangered under the ESA, and is currently at very  
24 low escapement levels. Because of these low initial escapement levels, population projections using  
25 lower CRRs of 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4, respectively resulted in population estimates that were still well  
26 below the global abundance objective after 50 years. It is also reasonable to expect BDCP to achieve  
27 higher rates of improvement for winter-run Chinook salmon because their needs were heavily  
28 considered in the design of many of the conservation measures proposed in the BDCP, including the  
29 North Delta Bypass rules, the Yolo Bypass improvements, and temperature and flow requirements in  
30 the Sacramento River below Keswick Dam.

### 31 **MONITORING AND EVALUATION AND ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT**

32 Because of the limited availability of empirical information to inform the development of the initial  
33 baseline survival estimates, NMFS used data from recent acoustic tag survival studies of hatchery-  
34 reared late fall-run Chinook salmon as a starting point from which to estimate baseline survival for  
35 the remaining salmon and steelhead populations. NMFS acknowledges the limitations of this  
36 approach, but in balancing the risks to ESA-listed species, we considered it better to proceed with  
37 interim targets and recognize the need to periodically review these baseline estimates and  
38 document progress toward the 19-, 28, and 40-year objectives. As new empirical survival estimates

1 for CV species become available, NMFS is prepared to review and revise these Interim Juvenile  
2 Salmonid Delta Survival Objectives as appropriate. For example, Philip Sandstrom (University of  
3 California at Davis, personal communication) has recently completed an acoustic tagging study of  
4 Sacramento River steelhead that will help inform estimating steelhead survival in the Delta. In  
5 addition, Sean Hayes (NMFS, SWFSC Lab, personal communication) is scheduled to begin a winter-  
6 run Chinook salmon acoustic tagging study in the Sacramento River beginning in 2013. Further, the  
7 USBR has recently initiated acoustic tagging studies with steelhead in the San Joaquin River. Data  
8 from several years of acoustic tagging studies of San Joaquin fall-run Chinook salmon are expected  
9 to be available shortly. All of these studies are expected to greatly improve not only the estimates  
10 of baseline survival in the Delta for these populations, but also allow a more focused consideration  
11 of operations and conditions that can contribute to improvements in survival.

12 There remain numerous questions regarding factors that limit survival of juvenile salmonids  
13 migrating in the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers. Empirical data on juvenile survival in both the  
14 pre-Delta and post-Delta stages is lacking for many species. BDCP monitoring should include  
15 programs to estimate survival from the fry-to-smolt and smolt-to-adult stages. Counting juveniles  
16 produced upstream will require rotary screw traps with efficiency estimates, and will likely require  
17 novel methods to estimate steelhead parr and smolt numbers. Central Valley hatchery programs  
18 should routinely estimate smolt to adult return rates (SARs) for each smolt class, and consider both  
19 adults returning to the hatchery and spawning in the river. One often noted but neglected question  
20 is whether improved rearing habitat in the Delta could lead to longer residence times and lower  
21 survival rates for juvenile salmonids, but be offset by the survivors being larger and exhibiting higher  
22 ocean survival rates. The analytical framework we introduce here is flexible enough to  
23 accommodate such a change by adjusting the post-Delta survival element of the equation, which  
24 will lower the required through-Delta survival needed to reach the same long-term goal, and result in  
25 lower BDCP Delta survival objectives.

26 Future work should also include development of methods to incorporate new recovery actions  
27 attributable to habitat restoration and other recovery activities into models that can contribute  
28 information to updating these BDCP Interim Juvenile Salmonid Survival Objectives. One particularly  
29 important near-term step to implementing the BDCP Juvenile Salmonid Survival Objectives will be  
30 developing regional agreements on geographic boundaries for estimating through-Delta survivals,  
31 and appropriate technologies for collecting the required empirical data.

32 Finally, it is imperative that all of the stakeholders with an interest in the Delta, whether it is viewed  
33 primarily as a source of water or as an ecosystem supporting threatened and endangered species (or  
34 both), continue to work collaboratively to establish a monitoring program to improve the accuracy  
35 and precision of through-Delta survival estimates and monitor progress toward achieving these  
36 Interim Survival Objectives. This will require, at a minimum, establishing a more expansive network  
37 of acoustic arrays for monitoring Delta entry and exit and identifying survival bottlenecks, and  
38 deployment of more efficient trapping systems to better understand the numbers and timing of  
39 naturally migrating juvenile salmonids.

1 **References**

2 Baker, P. F. and J. E. Morhardt. 2001. Survival of Chinook salmon smolts in the Sacramento-San  
3 Joaquin Delta and Pacific Ocean. Pages 163-182 in R. L. Brown, editor. Contributions to the biology  
4 of Central Valley salmonids. Fish Bulletin 179: Volume 2. California Department of Fish and Game,  
5 Sacramento.

6

7 BDCP. 2012. Chapter 3.3—Conservation Strategy—Biological Goals and Objectives 2-29-12. Available  
8 at: [http://baydeltaconservationplan.com/Library/DocumentsLandingPage/  
9 BDCPPlanDocuments.aspx](http://baydeltaconservationplan.com/Library/DocumentsLandingPage/BDCPPlanDocuments.aspx).

10

11 Bond, M. H., S. A. Hayes, C. V. Hanson, and R. B. MacFarlane. 2008. Marine survival of steelhead  
12 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) enhanced by a seasonally closed estuary. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and  
13 Aquatic Sciences 65: 2242–2252.

14

15 Brandes, P. L. and J. S. McLain. 2001. Juvenile Chinook salmon abundance, distribution, and survival  
16 in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. Pages 39–138 in R. L. Brown, Editor, Contributions to the  
17 Biology of Central Valley Salmonids, Volume 2, Fish Bulletin 179, California Department of Fish and  
18 Game, Sacramento, California.

19

20 Busby, P. J., T. C. Wainwright, G. J. Bryant, L. J. Lierheimer, R. S. Waples, F. W. Waknitz, and I. V.  
21 Lagomarsino. 1996. Status Review of West Coast Steelhead from Washington, Idaho, Oregon, and  
22 California. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NWFSC-27.

23

24 Fisher, F. W. 1994. Past and present status of Central Valley Chinook salmon. Conservation Biology  
25 8(3): 870-873.

26

27 Kjelson, M. A. and P. L. Brandes. 1989. The use of smolt survival estimates to quantify the effects of  
28 habitat changes on salmonid stocks in the Sacramento-San Joaquin rivers, California. P. 100–115. In  
29 C. D. Levings, L. B. Holtby, and M. A. Henderson (eds.) Proceedings of the National Workshop on  
30 Effects of Habitat Alteration on Salmonid Stocks. Canadian Special Publications in Fisheries and  
31 Aquatic Science 105.

32

33 Michel, C. J. 2010. River and estuarine survival and migration of yearling Sacramento River Chinook  
34 salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) smolts and the influence of environment. MS Thesis.  
35 University of California-Santa Cruz.

36

37 Myers, J. M., R. G. Kope, G. J. G. J. Bryant, D. Teel, L. J. Lierheimer, T. C. Wainwright, W. S. Grant, F.  
38 W. Waknitz, K. Neely, S. T. Lindley, and R. S. Waples. 1998. Status review of Chinook salmon from  
39 Washington, Idaho, Oregon, and California. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Tech. Memo.  
40 NMFS-NWFSC-35, 443 p.

41



1 Newman, K. B. 2008. An evaluation of four Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta juvenile salmon  
2 survival studies. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Stockton, California, Project number SCI-06-299,  
3 available from <http://www.science.calwater.ca.gov/pdf/psp/>.  
4

5 Newman, K. B. and J. Rice. 2002. Modeling the survival of Chinook salmon smolts outmigrating  
6 through the lower Sacramento River system. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 97: 983-  
7 993.  
8

9 Perry, R. W. 2010. Survival and migration dynamics of juvenile Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus*  
10 *tshawytscha*) in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta. PhD Dissertation, University of  
11 Washington.  
12

13 Perry, R. W., P. L. Brandes, P. T. Sandstrom, A. Ammann, B. MacFarlane, A. P. Klimley, and J. R.  
14 Skalski. 2010. Estimating survival and migration route probabilities of juvenile Chinook salmon in  
15 the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta. *North American Journal of Fish Management* 30: 142-  
16 156.  
17

18 Perry, R. W., P. L. Brandes, J. R. Burau, A. P. Klimley, B. MacFarlane, C. M. Michel, and J. R. Skalski.  
19 2012a. Sensitivity of survival to migration routes used by juvenile Chinook salmon to negotiate the  
20 Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta. *Environmental Biology of Fish* 2012, DOI: 10.1007/s10641-  
21 012-9984-6.  
22

23 Perry, R. W., J. G. Romine, S. J. Brewer, P. E. LaCivita, and E. D. Chapman. 2012b. Survival and  
24 migration route probabilities of juvenile Chinook salmon in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta  
25 during the winter of 2009-2010. U.S. Department of the Interior, USGS. For USFWS, Stockton.

26 Sogard, S. M, J. E. Merz, W. H. Satterthwaite, M. P. Beakes, D. R. Swank, E. M. Collins, R. G. Titus, and  
27 M. Mangel. 2012. Contrasts in habitat characteristics and life history patterns of *Oncorhynchus*  
28 *mykiss* in California's Central Coast and Central Valley. *Transactions of the American Fisheries*  
29 *Society* 141: 747-760.  
30

31 VAMP Annual Reports from 2000 to 2010. Available at  
32 <http://www.sjrg.org/technicalreport/default.htm>.  
33

34 Ward, B. R. and P. A. Slaney. 1988. Life history and smolt-to-adult survival of Keogh River steelhead  
35 trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) and the relationship to smolt size. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic*  
36 *Sciences* 45(7): 1110-1122.  
37

38 Williams, J. G. 2006. Central Valley salmon: a perspective on Chinook and steelhead in the Central  
39 Valley of California. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 4(3), Article 2:1-398.  
40

1 Vogel, D. A. and K. R. Marine. 1991. Guide to Upper Sacramento River Chinook Salmon life history.  
2 Prepared for the USBR, Central Valley Project. 55p with references.  
3  
4 Yoshiyama, R. M., F. W. Fisher, P. B. Moyle. 1998. Historical abundance and decline of Chinook  
5 salmon in the Central Valley Region of California. North American Journal of Fisheries Management  
6 18: 487-521.

1 **Table 2. Life History Summaries Highlighting Timing and Duration of Delta Residence, and Fish Size During Delta Passage.**  
 2 Information compiled from Vogel and Marine (1991), Fisher (1994) and Williams (2006).

Population/ Species	Spawning	Average Fecundity	River Rearing and Juvenile Migration	Delta Residence and Duration	Size in Delta (mm FL)	Ocean Residence	Adult Migration
Winter-run Chinook	May through August	5,232	July through March	November through April	60-130mm	2 to 3 years 91% return at age-3	January through May
Spring-run Chinook	August through October	5,300	November through April	Fry: Dec–Feb  Smolts: Mar– May	Dec–Feb: 36–79mm  Mar–May: 68–132mm	3 years 74% return as age-4 to Butte Creek	March through August
Fall-run Chinook	October through December	4,497	January through June	December through March	35–90mm	2 to 5 years Most return at age-3	July through December
Late fall-run Chinook	January to March	4,600	April thru December	Smolts: Oct–Feb  Fry: April–May	Oct–Feb: 80–191mm  April–May: 31–38mm	2 to 4 years 57% return at age-3; 41% return at age-4	November through March
Steelhead	Jan through April	5,000	Rear entire year in rivers. Emigrate in Jan–June (peak is Feb–April)	(Days to weeks) No good evidence that they rear in the Delta	150–350mm (most 200–300mm)	1–3 ocean years at maiden spawning	Spawners: Sept–April  Kelts: Jan–May

3

1 **Table 3. Pre-Delta, Delta, and Post-Delta Survival Estimates use to Estimate Initial Cohort Replacement Rates**

<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Species</b>	<b>ESU/DPS/population</b>	<b>Pre-Delta</b>	<b>Delta</b>	<b>Post-Delta</b>
<b>Sacramento River and Tributaries</b>	Chinook salmon	Winter-run	0.0365	0.40	0.0226
		Spring-run	0.0432	0.40	0.0198
		Fall-run	0.056	0.35	0.0198
		Late fall-run	0.0367	0.40	0.0245
	Steelhead	Sacramento	0.0214	0.45	0.0360
<b>San Joaquin River and Tributaries</b>	Chinook salmon	Fall-run	0.0564	0.05	0.0226
	Chinook salmon	Spring-run	0.0432	0.07	0.0198
	Steelhead	San Joaquin	0.0257	0.10	0.0360

- 1 **Table 4. Projected Change in Abundance of CV Salmonids under the 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4 CRR Targets after**
- 2 **19, 28, 40, and 50 years (1.3, 1.4, and 1.5 for Winter-Run Chinook Salmon), and their Relation to the BDCP**
- 3 **Global Goals.** The global goal for fall-run Chinook salmon is 750,000 total for Central Valley.

Species	Time (yrs)	Conveyance	No. Generations	CRR	Delta Survival	Initial Size	Ending Size	Global Goal (naturally spawned)
Sac winter-run	1–10	single	3.3	0.86	0.40	1,153	556	
Sac winter-run	11–19	dual	3.0	1.08	-	709	895	
Sac winter-run	20–28	dual	3.0	1.30	0.63	895	1,953	
Sac winter-run	29–40	dual	4.0	1.40	0.68	1,953	7,413	
Sac winter-run	41–50	dual	3.3	1.50	0.73	7,413	28,795	23,800 by 2060
Sac spring-run	1–10	single	3.3	0.91	0.40	7,422	5,363	
Sac spring-run	10–19	dual	3.0	1.05	-	5,363	6,274	
Sac spring-run	20–28	dual	3.0	1.20	0.59	6,274	10,845	
Sac spring-run	29–40	dual	4.0	1.30	0.64	10,845	30,794	
Sac spring-run	41–50	dual	3.3	1.40	0.68	30,794	93,651	59,000 by 2060
Sac fall-run	1–10	single	3.3	0.88	0.35	100,291	65,430	
Sac fall-run	10–19	dual	3.0	1.04	-	65,430	73,775	
Sac fall-run	20–28	dual	3.0	1.20	0.48	73,775	128,091	
Sac fall-run	29–40	dual	4.0	1.30	0.52	128,091	363,269	
Sac fall-run	41–50	dual	3.3	1.40	0.56	363,269	1,121,028	562,500 by 2060
Sac late fall-run	1–10	single	3.3	0.85	0.40	11,000	6,348	
Sac late fall-run	10–19	dual	3.0	1.00	-	6,348	6,820	
Sac late fall-run	20–28	dual	3.0	1.20	0.57	6,820	11,798	
Sac late fall-run	29–40	dual	4.0	1.30	0.62	11,798	33,821	
Sac late fall-run	41–50	dual	3.3	1.40	0.67	33,821	104,295	68,000 by 2060
Sac Steelhead	1–10	single	3.3	0.87	0.45	7,600	4,699	
Sac Steelhead	10–19	dual	3.0	1.00	-	4,699	5,202	
Sac Steelhead	20–28	dual	3.0	1.20	0.63	5,202	9,064	
Sac Steelhead	29–40	dual	4.0	1.30	0.68	9,064	25,772	
Sac Steelhead	41–50	dual	3.3	1.40	0.73	25,772	79,566	11,000 by 2060
SJ Spring-run	1-10	single	3.3	0.16	0.07	1,000	2	
SJ Spring-run	10–19	dual	3.0	1.00	-	1,000	1,000	

<b>Species</b>	<b>Time (yrs)</b>	<b>Conveyance</b>	<b>No. Generations</b>	<b>CRR</b>	<b>Delta Survival</b>	<b>Initial Size</b>	<b>Ending Size</b>	<b>Global Goal (naturally spawned)</b>
SJ Spring-run	20–28	dual	3.0	1.20	0.59	1,000	1,729	
SJ Spring-run	29–40	dual	4.0	1.30	0.64	1,729	4,940	
SJ Spring-run	41–50	dual	3.3	1.40	0.69	4,940	15,169	30,000 by 2060
SJ Fall-run	1–10	single	3.3	0.13	0.05	5,754	6	
SJ Fall-run	10–19	dual	3.0	1.00	-	5,754	5,754	
SJ Fall-run	20–28	dual	3.0	1.20	0.48	5,754	9,928	
SJ Fall-run	29–40	dual	4.0	1.30	0.52	9,928	28,265	
SJ Fall-run	41–50	dual	3.3	1.40	0.56	28,265	86,710	187,500 by 2060
SJ Steelhead	1–10	single	3.3	0.16	0.07	300	1	
SJ Steelhead	10–19	dual	3.0	1.00	-	300	300	
SJ Steelhead	20–28	dual	3.0	1.20	0.59	300	519	
SJ Steelhead	29–40	dual	4.0	1.30	0.64	519	1,484	
SJ Steelhead	41–50	dual	3.3	1.40	0.69	1,484	4,561	1,700 by 2060

1  
2

1 **Table 5. Sacramento-San Joaquin through-Delta Salmonid Survival Objectives.** For each species, we  
 2 estimated current through-Delta survival rates, the Delta survival rates needed to meet a CRR of 1.2  
 3 and 1.4 (1.3 and 1.5 for winter run), and the interim Delta survival objectives. The interim Delta  
 4 survival objectives are the current survival rate plus one half of the increase in survival rate required if  
 5 Delta survival alone was used to achieve the CRR targets.

<b>Species</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>Estimated Current Through-Delta survival</b>	<b>Delta Survival Rate to Achieve CRR's after 19, 28, and 40 years</b>	<b>Interim Delta Survival Objectives after 19, 28 and 40 years</b>
Chinook salmon	Sac winter-run	0.40	0.63; 0.68; 0.73	0.52; 0.54; 0.57
	Sac spring-run	0.40	0.59; 0.64; 0.68	0.49; 0.52; 0.54
	Sac fall-run	0.35	0.48; 0.52; 0.56	0.42; 0.44; 0.46
	Sac late fall-run	0.40	0.57; 0.62; 0.67	0.49; 0.51; 0.53
	SJ fall-run	0.05	0.48; 0.62; 0.67	0.27; 0.29; 0.31
	SJ spring-run	0.07	0.59; 0.64; 0.69	0.33; 0.35; 0.38
Steelhead	Sacramento	0.45	0.63; 0.68; 0.73	0.54; 0.56; 0.59
	San Joaquin	0.10	0.78; 0.85; 0.91	0.44; 0.47; 0.51

6