

Contents

Chapter	Page
1.0 Introduction and Purpose and Need.....	1-1
1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.2 Purpose and Need for the Action.....	1-4
1.2.1 Purpose and Need Statement.....	1-4
1.2.2 Goals and Objectives.....	1-4
1.3 General Setting and Location.....	1-8
1.4 Legislative and Management History.....	1-11
1.5 Indian Tribes.....	1-14
1.6 Project Facilities.....	1-16
1.6.1 Trinity River Division.....	1-16
1.6.2 Central Valley Project.....	1-19
1.6.3 State Water Project.....	1-19
1.7 Similarities and Differences between NEPA and CEQA.....	1-20
1.8 Scoping and Public Involvement.....	1-22
1.9 Other Related Environmental Processes.....	1-24
1.10 Preparers of the DEIS/EIR.....	1-25
1.11 Areas of Controversy.....	1-26
2.0 Description of Alternatives.....	2-1
2.1 Alternatives.....	2-1
2.1.1 Selection of the Preferred Alternative.....	2-3
2.1.2 No Action Alternative.....	2-4
2.1.3 Maximum Flow Alternative.....	2-11
2.1.4 Flow Evaluation.....	2-16
2.1.5 Percent Inflow Alternative.....	2-22
2.1.6 Mechanical Restoration Alternative.....	2-26
2.1.7 State Permit Alternative.....	2-31
2.2 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated.....	2-35
2.2.1 Remove Trinity and Lewiston Dams.....	2-35
2.2.2 Harvest Management.....	2-38
2.2.3 Fish Passage Facilities.....	2-40
2.2.4 Truck Fish around the Dams.....	2-40
2.2.5 Predator Control.....	2-40
2.2.6 Increase Hatchery Production.....	2-41
2.2.7 Pumped Storage Project.....	2-41
2.2.8 Channel Augmentation Using Weaver Creek.....	2-41
3.0 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences.....	3-1
3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.1.1 Trinity River Basin.....	3-5

Contents, Continued

Chapter	Page
3.1.2	Lower Klamath River Basin/Coastal Area ... 3-6
3.1.3	Central Valley..... 3-6
3.2	Geomorphic Environment..... 3-11
3.2.1	Channel Geomorphology and Fluvial Processes 3-11
3.2.2	Attributes of a Healthy Alluvial River..... 3-25
3.3	Water Resources..... 3-43
3.3.1	Surface-water Hydrology and Management..... 3-43
3.3.2	Groundwater 3-84
3.4	Water Quality 3-125
3.5	Fishery Resources 3-151
3.5.1	Native Anadromous Species..... 3-151
3.5.2	Resident Native and Non-Native Fish..... 3-178
3.5.3	Reservoirs..... 3-184
3.5.4	Ocean Fisheries Economics 3-190
3.6	Tribal Trust 3-205
3.7	Vegetation, Wildlife, and Wetlands 3-229
3.7.1	Vegetation..... 3-229
3.7.2	Wildlife..... 3-241
3.7.3	Wetlands 3-256
3.8	Recreation 3-261
3.8.1	Riverine 3-261
3.8.2	Reservoirs..... 3-278
3.9	Land Use 3-293
3.9.1	Residential/Municipal and Industrial..... 3-293
3.9.2	Agriculture..... 3-315
3.9.3	Real Estate..... 3-329
3.10	Power Resources..... 3-335
3.11	Socioeconomics 3-355
3.12	Cultural Resources..... 3-411
3.13	Air Quality..... 3-421
3.14	Environmental Justice 3-425
4.0	Other Impacts and Commitments 4-1
4.1	Cumulative Impacts 4-1
4.1.1	Implementation of Central Valley Project Improvement Act..... 4-2
4.1.2	SWRCB Water Rights Process and CALFED Bay-Delta Program 4-3
4.1.3	Deregulation of Electric Industry in California 4-5

Contents, Continued

Chapter	Page
4.1.4	Changes in Federal Farm Support Programs..... 4-7
4.1.5	Changes in Demand for Agricultural Products 4-7
4.1.6	Changes to Fisheries Management 4-7
4.1.7	Changes in Demand for Recreational Opportunities 4-8
4.1.8	Changes in Trinity River Basin Consumptive Water Use..... 4-8
4.1.9	Five Counties Coho Conservation Program. 4-8
4.1.10	Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)..... 4-9
4.1.11	Lower Klamath Restoration Partnership..... 4-10
4.1.12	Changes in California Forest Practice Rules 4-10
4.1.13	Tribal Water Quality Control Planning..... 4-11
4.1.14	Cumulative Impacts Analysis..... 4-11
4.2	Growth-inducing Impacts 4-33
4.3	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitments of Resources and Significant Impacts that Would Remain Unavoidable Even after Mitigation 4-34
4.4	Short-term Uses of the Environment Versus Long-term Productivity..... 4-36
4.5	Environmental Commitments and Mitigation and Significant Unavoidable Impacts..... 4-37
5.0	Consultation and Coordination 5-1
5.1	Lead and Participating Agencies..... 5-1
5.1.1	Applicable Laws, Policies, and Programs 5-4
5.2	Individuals Involved in Preparation of EIS/EIR 5-9
6.0	References 6-1
6.1	Publications 6-1
6.2	Legal References 6-14

Contents

Page

Attachments

Attachment A	Glossary of Terms, Abbreviations, and Acronyms, and Conversion Tables
Attachment B	Index

Appendixes

Appendix A	Water Resources/Water Quality Resources
Appendix B	Fishery Resources
Appendix C	Vegetation, Wildlife, and Wetland Resources
Appendix D	Recreation Resources
Appendix F	Power Resources
Appendix G	Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice
Appendix H	Air Quality

Contents, Continued

		Page
Tables		
1-1	Trinity River Restoration Program Goals.....	1-6
2-1	Water-year Class.....	2-2
2-2	Operations, Policies, and Regulatory Requirements Assumed in the No Action Alternative	2-5
2-3	Trinity River Salmon and Steelhead Hatchery Production	2-11
2-4	Annual Volumes and Peak Releases—Maximum Flow Alternative	2-12
2-5	Annual Volumes and Peak Releases—Flow Evaluation Alternative	2-17
2-6	Annual Volumes and Peak Releases—Percent Inflow Alternative	2-25
2-7	Projected Distribution of Percent Inflow Peak Releases Based on Historical Flows	2-25
2-8	Estimated Harvest and Escapement for Trinity River Chinook Salmon at Varying Reductions of Ocean and Inriver Harvest Rates (numbers rounded to the nearest 100)	2-39
2-9	Summary Description of Alternatives	2-47
2-10	Implementation Costs	2-49
3-1	Attributes of a Healthy Alluvial River System.....	3-26
3-2	Predicted Riverine Conditions by Alluvial River Attribute for Each Alternative Relative to No Action	3-33
3-3	Comparison of Impacts on Water Resources.....	3-64
3-4	Summary of Impacts to Groundwater Resources	3-121
3-5	NCRWQCB Temperature Objectives for the Trinity River.....	3-126
3-6	Temperature Standards Required by 1993 Biological Opinion for Winter Chinook Salmon.....	3-131
3-7	Combinations of Discharge and Water Temperatures Necessary to Meet SWRCB Temperature Objectives for the Trinity River Under Median Climatic Conditions.....	3-136

Contents, Continued

	Page
Tables	
3-8	Water Quality Summary Table Trinity River Impacts 3-137
3-9	Water Quality Summary Table Sacramento River Impacts 3-142
3-10	Life History and Habitat Needs for Anadromous Salmonid Fish in the Trinity River Basin..... 3-152
3-11	Life History and Habitat Characteristics of Non-salmonid Native Anadromous Fish in the Trinity River and/or Klamath River Basins 3-157
3-12	Trinity River Restoration Program Goals..... 3-157
3-13	Comparison of TRRP Inriver Spawner Escapement Goals to Average Numbers of Naturally Produced Fish 3-159
3-14	Estimated Spawning Escapement and Production Index for Trinity River Naturally Produced Chinook, Coho, and Steelhead 3-174
3-15	Percent Change in Temperature-related Losses of the Early Life Stages of Anadromous Salmonids in the Sacramento River 3-175
3-16	Percent of Years with Delta Outflows at Least 10 Percent Less than the Baseline 3-183
3-17	Qualitative Impact Analysis for Fishery Resources (compared to the No Action Alternative) 3-189
3-18	Percent Changes in Reservoir Water Surface Areas During the Warmwater Fish Spawning and Rearing Months of March through July 3-191
3-19	Ocean Salmon Sportfishing Trips and Angler Benefits (in 1997 dollars) 3-197
3-20	Fish Harvest Estimates by Alternative 3-200
3-21	Total Ocean Commercial Salmon Harvest Impacts Compared to No Action (in 1997 dollars) 3-201
3-22	Partial List of Tribal Trust Assets 3-212
3-23	Impacts to Tribal Trust Resources 3-226

Contents, Continued

		Page
Tables		
3-24	Special-status Plant Species Occurring or Potentially Occurring in Riparian, Wetland, and Riverine Habitat along the Trinity and Lower Klamath Rivers	3-230
3-25	Special-status Plant Species Potentially Occurring in the Central Valley	3-233
3-26	Healthy River Attributes and Associated Riparian Characteristics	3-234
3-27	Vegetation Impacts Compared to the No Action Alternative	3-235
3-28	Special-status Wildlife Species Occurring or Potentially Occurring in Riparian and Riverine Habitat in the Trinity River Basin	3-242
3-29	Special-status Wildlife Species Occurring or Potentially Occurring in the Central Valley	3-248
3-30	Wildlife Impacts Compared to the No Action Alternative	3-249
3-31	Wetland Impacts Compared to the No Action Alternative	3-258
3-32	Preferred Recreation Flow Ranges/Thresholds	3-267
3-33	Riverine Recreation Opportunities – Trinity River	3-273
3-34	Summary of Impacts to Riverine Recreation Use and Benefits	3-277
3-35	Trinity Reservoir Elevations at which Facility Operations Are Adversely Affected	3-279
3-36	Summary of Impacts to Trinity, Shasta, and Folsom Reservoir Recreation Opportunities	3-287
3-37	Summary of Impacts to Reservoir Use and Benefits	3-289
3-38	Trinity, Shasta and Folsom Reservoir Recreation Opportunities, Use, and Benefits	3-291
3-39	Traffic Volume in the Trinity River Basin	3-294
3-40	Parcels and Bridges Inundated by Alternative and Site ...	3-301
3-41	Summary of Municipal Water Supply Economics	3-312

Contents, Continued

		Page
Tables		
3-42	Comparison of Preferred Alternative and Existing Conditions Alternative Results.....	3-315
3-43	Crop Mix, Value per Acre, and Total Value of Crops Produced on Land Receiving CVP Water (1988).....	3-317
3-44	Central Valley Agricultural Land Use, Water Use, and Revenue.....	3-318
3-45	Summary of Agricultural Land Use Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative	3-327
3-46	Property Value Impact Ranking Summary.....	3-333
3-47	Hydroelectric Generation Facilities.....	3-336
3-48	Western Customers by Agency and Sub-agency Type and Associated Firm Power	3-343
3-49	Power Resources Summary Table.....	3-353
3-50	Employment Data for Trinity River Basin	3-357
3-51	Employment Data for Lower Klamath River Basin/ Coastal Area Regions, 1992	3-360
3-52	Employment Data for Central Valley Regions, 1991	3-365
3-53	Impact Thresholds by Analysis Type and Region	3-367
3-54	Trinity River Basin Region (Defined as Trinity and Shasta Counties for These Analyses.....)	3-405
3-55	Lower Klamath River Basin/Coastal Area Regions	3-406
3-56	Central Valley Regions.....	3-409
4-1	CVP Contract Allocation Assumed to be Used in Existing Conditions, No Action, Preferred Alternative, and Cumulative Impacts Scenarios.....	4-12
4-2	Comparison of CVP Deliveries in the Existing Conditions, No Action, Preferred Alternative, and Cumulative Impacts Simulations	4-19
4-3	Comparison of SWP Deliveries in the Existing Conditions, No Action, Preferred Alternative, and Cumulative Impacts Simulations	4-26

Contents, Continued

	Page
Tables	
4-4 Summary of Significant Adverse Environmental Impacts and Proposed Mitigation	4-38
5-1 Agency Participation.....	5-2

Contents, Continued

	Page
Figures	
1-1	Trinity River Basin (excluding portion upstream of Trinity Reservoir)..... 1-9
1-2	Trinity River Inflows, Instream Releases, and Exports 1-17
2-1	No Action Hydrograph..... 2-9
2-2	Maximum Flow Hydrograph..... 2-13
2-3	Flow Evaluation Hydrograph..... 2-19
2-4	Trinity River Existing and Potential Channel Rehabilitation Sites 2-23
2-5	Percent Inflow Hydrograph Based on Representative Years 2-27
2-6	State Permit Hydrograph 2-33
2-7	No Action (and Mechanical Restoration) Long-term Average Annual Exports and Releases..... 2-43
2-8	Long-term Average Annual Exports and Releases for Maximum Flow, Flow Evaluation, Percent Inflow, and State Permit Alternatives 2-45
3-1	Relationship of Models Used for Resource Analyses..... 3-3
3-2	Geographic Scope of EIS/EIR 3-7
3-3	Resource Linkage Overview 3-13
3-4	Idealized Geomorphic Environment, Including Riparian and Sediment Effects 3-15
3-5	1960 Aerial Photo of Junction City Pre-dam Geomorphology 3-17
3-6	Simplified Geomorphology, Pre-dam versus Current Conditions..... 3-21
3-7	1989 Aerial Photo of Junction City Post-dam Geomorphology 3-23
3-8	Flows Required for Creation of Alluvial River Attributes.. 3-31
3-9	Pre-dam Daily Flow Comparisons 3-45
3-10	Trinity River Division and Neighboring Shasta Division... 3-47
3-11	Developed Profile, Trinity River Diversion 3-49

Contents, Continued

		Page
Figures		
3-12	Central Valley Project Facilities, Regulated Rivers, and Divisions	3-53
3-13	Central Valley Project River Profile	3-55
3-14	Delta Waterways.....	3-59
3-15	How to Read a Frequency Distribution Curve.....	3-67
3-16	Simulated Frequency of Annual Flows in the Trinity River Below Lewiston and Annual Trinity River Basin Exports.....	3-69
3-17	Simulated Frequency of End-of-water-year Storage—Shasta, Trinity, and Folsom Reservoirs	3-71
3-18	Simulated Frequency of Annual Deliveries—CVP Water Service Contractors North of the Delta.....	3-73
3-19	Simulated Frequency of Annual Deliveries—CVP Water Service Contractors South of the Delta.....	3-75
3-20	Simulated Frequency of Annual Deliveries to SWP Agricultural and M&I Entitlement Holders South of the Delta	3-77
3-21	Aerial Extent of Land Subsidence in the Central Valley Due to Groundwater Elevations.....	3-87
3-22	Groundwater Study Area.....	3-91
3-23	Groundwater Elevations, No Action Alternative	3-97
3-24	Increase in Simulated Land Subsidence in Maximum Flow Alternative from No Action Alternative	3-101
3-25	Differences in Groundwater Elevations for Maximum Flow Alternative as Compared to No Action Alternative	3-103
3-26	Differences in Groundwater Elevations for Flow Evaluation Alternative as Compared to No Action Alternative	3-105
3-27	Increase in Simulated Land Subsidence in Flow Evaluation Alternative from No Action Alternative	3-107
3-28	Differences in Groundwater Elevations for Percent Inflow Alternative as Compared to No Action Alternative	3-111

Contents, Continued

	Page
Figures	
3-29	Increase in Simulated Land Subsidence in Percent Inflow Alternative from No Action Alternative 3-113
3-30	Differences in Groundwater Elevations for State Permit Alternative as Compared to No Action Alternative 3-115
3-31	Differences in Groundwater Elevations for Preferred Alternative as Compared to Existing Conditions 3-117
3-32	Locations of Winter Chinook Salmon Biological Opinion Temperature Compliance 3-129
3-33	Output Locations for Simulated Average Monthly Water Quality 3-139
3-34	General Life History of Anadromous Salmonids..... 3-153
3-35	Temporal Distribution of Anadromous Salmonid Reproduction Color, page 1 of 2 3-155
3-36	Fall Chinook Spawner Escapement in the Mainstem Trinity River (1982-1997)..... 3-161
3-37	Geographic Location of Coastal Study Area..... 3-193
3-38	Trinity Basin Indian Reservations 3-209
3-39	Habitat Change Pre-dam vs. Post-dam 3-231
3-40	Habitat for Riverine Wildlife Species, Pre-dam and Present Conditions..... 3-245
3-41	Trinity River Basin Land Ownership..... 3-295
3-42	Flood Damage Study Site Locations 3-299
3-43	1990 Normalized Irrigated Acres and Central Valley Irrigation Water Deliveries by Source from 1985-1992..... 3-313
3-44	1990 Agricultural Land Use in the Central Valley and San Felipe Unit 3-319
3-45	CVP Power Generation Facilities and Associated Transmission Facilities 3-337
3-46	Western Area Power Administration, Sierra Nevada Region, Marketing Area..... 3-341

Contents, Continued

	Page
Figures	
4-1	Trinity Reservoir Simulated Frequency End-of-water-year Storage, Water Years 1922-1990 4-15
4-2	Shasta Reservoir Simulated Frequency of End-of-water-year Storage, Water Years 1922-1990 4-17
4-3	Folsom Reservoir Simulated Frequency of End-of-water-year Storage, Water Years 1922-1990 4-21
4-4	American River below Natomas Simulated Monthly Flows..... 4-23
4-5	Oroville Reservoir Simulated Frequency of End-of-water-year Storage, Water Years 1922-1990 4-27