Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: 328 HILLSIDE AVE

City/State/Zip:

PIEDMONT CA 94611

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members: #

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Barbara Anderson and James L. Shively

- 92-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 93-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY0092-168.DOC D3-56

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that from the Thinky Ave. Dash. Write: I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:



1 support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Address:

Charles Hammerstad 780 Portswood Dr. City/State/Zip: San Jose CA 95120

Postcards from Bertha L. Barocco and Charles Hammerstad

94-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

95-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

D3-57 RDD/TRINITY0092-168.DOC



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

SAN JOSE CA 95131

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:



I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:



I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Eleonore Boese, Michael A. Ungersma, and James A. Lazavotti

96-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

97-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

98-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name: Address: City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name: Address: City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

FEH NEED WATER! I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

A love of the All Rendels Addition to him to be

Thank You, Name: 2490 Mclano Ave Address: CASTRO VALLEY CA. City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Louise Saufly, Barbara A. Ungersma, and Dave Hickson

- 99-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 100-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 101-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY0092-168.DOC D3-59

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

102

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

City/State/Zip:

Name: Address: 328 Hillseller Prignont Ca 9461

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

103

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/\$tate/Zip: 2490 Mc Local X

Official Public Comment

104

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Jim Jardine

Address:

1712 Grant Street
Renkelow CA 94703

City/State/Zip;

RDD/TRINITY0092-168.DOC

Postcards from S.P. Anderson, John Ryzanych, and Jim Jardine

102-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

103-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

104-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:



I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name:

Postcards from Kurt Modarelli, Richard Williams, and Willie Knapp

105-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

106-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

107-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY0092-168.DOC D3-61

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that e produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional degislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Teman Johnson 845 Brookdale Dr. Merced, CA 95340-1445

Postcards from Chris Shutes, Kokatai Water Sportswear, and **Teman Johnson**

108-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

109-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

110-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

CATHERINE IN OSKNIM 3072 WESTERLY PLACE

City/State/Zip:

UKIBH CA 195487

Official Public Comment



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip: TIBUTONCA SASZN

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: KOBERT FARRELLY 3072 WESTERLY PLACE

City/State/Zip: (UCIAH CA 95482

Postcards from Catherine Woskow, Tina Edmond, and Robert Farrelly

- 111-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 112-2 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 113-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY0092-168.DOC D3-63



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Mark R. Gregory, Patricia Robinson, and Rod Matthews

- 114-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 115-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 116-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Nome

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Mr. B. Burrows
2541 Rio De Oro Way
Sacramento, CA 95826

Official Public Comment

118

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address;

City/State/Zi

Official Public Comment

119

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zie

Jim CRENSHALL

Woodcono la 95695

Postcards from B. Burrows, William A. Newsom, and Jim Crenshaw

- 117-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 118-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 119-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members;

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Official Public Comment

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip: Lotus, CA. 95651

Postcards from Guy Cables, Colleen McKinnon, and Dan Crandall

- 120-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 121-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 122-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip: WOODS, DE, CA 54062

Postcards from Happy Newhall, Dennis Irwin, and Emmett J. Murphy

- 123-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 124-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 125-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Cdr F.C. Taylor, USN (Ret) 815 Silvergate Ave San Diego, CA 92106

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

CARL RUBENS

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Postcards from Cdr. F.C. Taylor, Carl Rubens, and William S. Lindsly

- 126-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 127-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 128-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

129

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: SACK FERLUSON

City/State/Zip:

SANTA CLARA CA 95050

Official Public Comment

130

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

 $-\mu$

Official Public Comment

/3/

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip

748 N Street

Postcards from Jack Ferguson, Kevin Wolf, and Charlotte Parker

- 129-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 130-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 131-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

132

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

ess: 6495.125

Official Public Comment

133

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

134

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

BY EC ALAMO CT BYTHE JCA 94524

Postcards from Robert A. Knight, Lurline Webster, and Thomas P. Raney

- 132-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 133-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 134-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name; Address:

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from William J. Zahary, Wade Adams, and John Drew

- 135-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 136-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 137-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY0092-168.DOC D3-71

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Postcards from Richard Vaviato, John D. Williamson, and Daniel N. Ward

- 138-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 139-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 140-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Address:

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Melissa Miller, Steve Shaffer, and Susan Green

- 141-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 142-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 143-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trintry River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

DAVE GREEN

Address:

548 ALVARACO ST.

City/State/Zip: SAN FRANCISCO CA 94//

Official Public Comment

145

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address

City/State/Z

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

146

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. White I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name;

Address:

City/State/Zip:

525 (-corgia) 10 pa CA 9455

Postcards from Dave Green, Sheila Gropper Neslon, and Charles L. Baracco

144-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

145-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

146-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Postcards from Andre` M. Puyans, Karla Meixner-Pitts, and Peter Morgan

- 147-1Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 148-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 149-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

ノフひ

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. White I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip

Gloria Chem 964 Camel St. #1 San Corbs, CA 94070

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While L support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report the Scommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

JAMES D. THATCHEI

, 1002003,

14975 605 64705 13601 LOS GATOS (A 95037-

Official Public Comment



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

234 Spanish Tr.

Postcards from Gloria Chen, James D. Thatcher, and Larry Drew

- 150-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 151-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 152-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY0092-168,DOC

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to

achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name;

Address:

City/State/Zip

Official Public Comment



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

1 support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Postcards from O.W. Burton, Beth Ahels, and W.J. Waksvik

- 153-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 154-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 155-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY0092-168.DOC

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Royal Miller, Jr. 240 Estates Drive, #2

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:



I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Karen Knight, Royal Miller, Jr., and Robyn Hidas

- 156-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 157-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 158-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY0092-168.DOC

D3-78





Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

City/State/Zip:

Name:

Address:



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Official Public Comment

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

CARL B FRANZEN

WALNUT CREEK, CA 94598

Postcards from R.L. Wescoatt, Ted Sullivan, and Carl B. Franzen

- 159-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 160-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 161-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY0092-168.DOC

D3-79

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.


Name:

Postcards from Ron Rose, Sandra Oseguera, and Jannifer Lee

- 162-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 163-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 164-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

BRANDIN SPLITCANE FISHING RODS

10254 San Pablo Ave., El Cerrito, CA 94530



116

Official Public Comment EIS/EIR Team Member Mr. Joe Polos United States Fish & Wildlife Service 1125 16th St., Room 209 Arcata, CA 95521

Nov. 11, 1999

Dear EIS/EIR Team Member.

The original action of diverting water from the Trinity watershed to the central valley was <u>supposed</u> to not be detrimental to Trinity River fish and wildlife resources. Such has clearly not been the case as is summarized in the Environmental Impact Statement/Report Executive Summary. I applaud the recognition that something must be done to rectify this wrong, but I am concerned that the Trinity fish and wildlife are not given priority over CVP diversion. I feel that fish and wildlife must be given priority and urge you to allow the diversion of no more than 30% of the flow from the Trinity River Basin.

165-1

The restoration of fisheries in California is also very much an economic necessity, for sport and commercial fishing. You see by my letterhead that I owe my livelihood to sport fishing. I look forward to a better future for fisheries in the Trinity River in the future. I caught my first steelhead on the upper Trinity and now hope to catch many more! Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely.

Per Brandin

Letter from Brandin Splitcane Fishing Rods Dated November 11, 1999

165-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION P.O. BOX 498073 REDDING. CA 96049-6073 PHONE (530) 225-3236	TECTIVED (
FAX (530) 225-3271 Post-R: Fax Note 7671 Date 1586 Focuse Co. Coan. Co. Coan. The Process That is an official Comment of the Process That is a commen	IGR/CEQA Review TRI-Var-Var Trinity River Mainstem Fishery Restoration Draft EIR/EIS SCH #94123009
Mr. Tom Stokely Trinity County Planning Department P.O. Box 156	166

Dear Mr. Stokey:

Hayfork, CA 96041-0156

Caltrans District 2 has completed review of the Trinity River Mainstem Fishery Restoration Environmental Impact Statement/Report submitted on behalf of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Hoopa Valley Tribe and Trinity County, for the restoration of the natural production of anadromous fish on the Trinity River mainstem of Lewiston Dam through managed flows combine with mechanical rehabilitation projects.

Based on the project information submitted, approval of this action could impact facilities under our jurisdiction. The project identifies various potential channel rehabilitation sites near State Route 299 and State Route 3 in Trinity County. Because stream modifications in these areas could impact Caltrans bridge structures, we request notification of any channel rehabilitation or alteration 1 mile upstream or downstream of our facilities.

Thank you for providing us the opportunity to review this project. If you have any questions, or if the scope of this project changes, please call me at (530) 225-3369.

Sincerely,

ANDREA REDAMONTI Local Development Review District 2 166-1

Letter from Department of Transportation Dated November 8, 1999

166-1 Channel rehabilitation sites noted by the commentor would be subject to site-specific environmental review. The California Department of Transportation would be notified during these review processes. Additionally, the California Department of Transportation would be notified prior to restoration actions that may affect roads under Department jurisdiction, and bridge modifications that may be necessary to implement flow schedules under the Maximum Flow, Flow Evaluation, or Percent Inflow Alternatives. Please see thematic response titled "Description of the Proposed Action/Segmenting."

167

November 14, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos C.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1125 16th Street, Room 209 Arcata, California 95521

Dear Mr. Polos and BIS/BIR Team Members:

I feel that Trinity River fish and wildlife should be given priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP.

It's about time that we humans recognize the rights of survival for the many other living things that try to share this planet with us.

The Preferred Alternative is not adequate for this purpose.

167-1

Sincerely yours,

The Trichilo
Ted Trichilo
P.O. Box 462
Fortuna, California
95540

Letter from Ted Trichilo Dated November 14, 1999

167-1 Regarding the adequacy of the Preferred Alternative, please see thematic response titled "No Action Alternative/Existing Conditions Scenario and Range of Alternatives."

RDD/TRINITY0092-168.DOC

Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Letter from Hope Jauna

Mr. Joe Polos U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service 1125 16th Street, Room 209 Arcata, CA 95521

Dear Mr. Polos,

I am writing you today to express my concern over the Draft Trinity River Restoration Environmental Impact Statement. In order to restore the Trinity River and its entire ecosystem, I urge you to adopt a management system that returns at least 70% of the flow for the Trinity River. Science has demonstrated that a river needs at least 70% of its flow to maintain a healthy fishery.

168-1

The Trinity River Act of 1955 states that it is essential that fish and wildlife not face extinction. Unfortunately, extinction is exactly what is happening for fish populations of the Trinity River, where coho salmon are listed as an endangered species and steelhead are a candidate for listing. Overall, fish populations have declined by 90% since the Trinity River Act was enacted into law in 1955. Further misguided management of the Trinity River ecosystem should be avoided. Restoration of the Trinity River is mandated through the Trinity River Basin Fish and Wildlife Management Act of 1984. In addition, as a result of excessive water diversion, two American Indian tribes, the Hoopa Valley and Yurok, have been denied water rights to the Trinity River. The time is now to respect past laws and restore the Trinity River to at least 70% of its flow capacity.

I urge you to make restoration a top priority for the entire Trinity River ecosystem. Only when the Trinity River is restored, will the commercial and sport fishing, rafting, and tourism economies of Northern California rebound. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

P.O. Box 58 Camp Meeker, Ca. 95419

RDD/TRINITY0092-168.DOC

D3-84