## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:



I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name: JOHN TRISKA
Address: 1646 Old Arcata Bond
City/State/Zip: Bayside, CA 95524

Hi Joe! i gim

## Postcards from Paula Mushrush and John Triska

169-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

170-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

ROBERT BROCKMAN

Address:

33 CALLE MERIDA

City/State/Zip:

RANCHO MIRAGE, CA 92270

Official Public Comment



### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Address:

<u>0 Box 803</u> OLEAX Ca 9571

Official Public Comment



### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Mary Walton - Singers 83 NorAlite Circle

Address:

Socramento CA. 9583

MWS

## Postcards from Robert Brockman, Sue Ghilotti, and Mary Walton-Simons

- 171-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 172-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 173-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

20 B 10

City/State/Zin

Ite/Zip: Hay Fook Ca 96041

Official Public Comment



## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

6 Midway Ct.

1 958/

Official Public Comment

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members;

176

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: (RUSH)

MAYNE HEAN KU

City/State/Zip:

AUBURN CA 9560

## Postcards from Linda L. Peak, Jay Lippman, and Wayne Alan Rush

- 174-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 175-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 176-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity tish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

DAM BUCKLEY

Address:

ZO480 WOOL BURY DR.

City/State/Zip: GRASS VALLEY, CA. 95949

BE RESTORED TO THE TRIRES & PEOPLE OF

Official Public Comment

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

City/State/Zip:

## Postcards from John H. Simons, Dan Buckley, and Colleen Whalen

- 177-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 178-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 179-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

180

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

DAN BACHER

Address: 3201 E & STUCOU AV

City/State/Zip:

SACRAMENTO, CA.95821

Official Public Comment

181

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

ALLEN BONSLEFT

Address; City/State/Zip;

182

# I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation

an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

The second of the cost

Thank You,

Name: Address: KICHARD COTTREUL DI

City/State/Zip:

FARFAX , CA 94931

## Postcards from Dan Bacher, Allen Bonslett, and Richard Cottrell

- 180-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 181-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 182-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

hank You.

Name:

Address:

Official Public Comment

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Name:

Address:

## Postcards from Zack Hansen, Frank Brown, and Thad Glass

- 183-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 184-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 185-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Ray Hansen 28744 Sherwood-Rd.

Willits, CA 95490

707-459-6787

Official Public Comment

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

## Postcards from Ray Hanson, Rudolph DeMay, and John Sterling

- 186-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 187-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 188-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

# 189

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

KEIN L. Mª COY

Address: 24480 Cypress
City/State/Zip: Willits, CA. 95

Official Public Comment



### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Evelyn War

City/State/Zip:

Lewiston, CA 9605

Official Public Comment

# 191

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

PO Box 637

City/State/Zip:

Lawiston, CA 96052

## Postcards from Kevin L. McCoy, Evelyn Ward, and John R. Ward

- 189-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 190-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 191-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

ſ

Address:

Official Public Comment Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

## Postcards from Melodee Sewell, Stewart A. McNeilly, and Richard L. Williams

192-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

193-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

194-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: City/State/Zip:

Officiai Public Comment

# Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

### Dear ElS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

## Postcards from Hilary Crawford, Nancy Harris Dalwin, and Patricia Pensico

195-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

196-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

197-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Address:

City/\$tate/Zip:

Official Public Comment

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment



## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

## Postcards from Georgia Stewart, Bob Morris, and Mark Schmid

- 198-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 199-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 200-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY0169-264.DOC

D3-95

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment



## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Official Public Comment

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

## Postcards from Richard Stewart, Bob Webster, and Stephen Arelt

- 201-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 202-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 203-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legalty mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Alfred Willman

Address: City/State/Zip: PO ROX 961

CA 94917

Official Public Comment



## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

AL LATOUR

Address:
City/State/Zip:

SAN MATEU C

Official Public Comment



### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

1/20 EATON AVE APT 20

City/State/Zip;

94020

Postcards from Alfred Willmann, Al Latour, and Kirk Latour

204-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

205-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

206-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip; (

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

MICHAEL ELLERY

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

## Postcards from Mary Jo Gauer, Michael Ellery, and Ian R. Wilson

- 207-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 208-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 209-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Official Public Comment

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to

achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

## Postcards from Derek Latour, Ellen Latour, and Kathy Lowrey

- 210-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 211-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 212-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

IM STUBBLEBINE M.D.

Address:

2373 FILBERT ST

City/State/Zip: SANFRAMOISCOCA94123

Official Public Comment



## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:


Jeff & Jackje Madicon 1306 Chase Street Novoto, CA 94945

City/State/Zip:

## Postcards from J.M. Stubblebine, M.D., and Jeff and Jackie Madison

- 213-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 214-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY0169-264,DOC



## Postcards from S. R. Klein and Linda Kosciolec

- 215-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 216-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

# Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip;

Official Public Comment

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water

to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem,

Thank You,

Name: Address:

## Postcards from Jim Ferguson, Neil Miller, and Bill and Caryl Dickens

- 217-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 218-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 219-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

220

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Name: 257

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

256 RIO VERDE DR. SALINAS, CA 9390

Official Public Comment

221

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,
Name:

DOB STRUVE

Address:

E12 BEOFCEO DR.

City/State/Zip:

SALIMA (A 5330)

Official Public Comment

# 222

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: STEVE HARDING
Address: 1096 INDUSTRIAL AUE

City/State/Zip: 50 LAKE THURE CA96150

## Postcards from Brian Hill, Bob Struve, and Steve Harding

- 220-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 221-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 222-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

## Official Public Comment Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

## Official Public Comment Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: City/State/Zip: \_

## Postcards from Joe Ferguson, Rick Moresco, and Rod Reynolds

- 223-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 224-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 225-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

ROBERT HAGEN

Address:

P.O. BOX 137

City/State/Zip:

LEWISTON CH 96052

Official Public Comment

227

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Melinda Myers

Address: City/State/Zip:

<u>-1500</u> (11.2

Official Public Comment

228

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address

City/State/Zip:

Earnyl CA

9394

## Postcards from Robert Hagen, Melinda Myers, and Linda C. Anderson

- 226-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 227-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 228-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment



## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment



I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

## Postcards from Clayton Anderson, Mary P. Condry, and Jean A. Seput

- 229-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 230-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 231-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip: SOMETYO COUZ, CO. 95060

Official Public Comment



### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

EST OAKLAND ALE DE

City/State/Zip: \_ OAKLAND, CA 94611

Official Public Comment

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip;

## Postcards from Manuel M. Gutierrez, C. William Kahlman, and Nora Kuhlman

232-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

233-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

234-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment



### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

CARL W KUHLMAN

Address: City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Michael Fong

Address:

390 Bella Vista Way

City/State/Zip:

San Francisco, CA 94127

## Postcards from Rebecca J. Blair, Carl W. Kuhlman, and Michael Fong

- 235-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 236-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 237-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

(Nr)Kimo\_Camphell

Address:

PO Box 127

City/State/Zip: Kentfield, California 94914

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, ?

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

## Postcards from Kimo Campbell, Mick and Deb Micheli, and Kathy Ries

- 238-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 239-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 240-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:



## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

## Postcards from Peter Johnson, Lena Walsh, and Galy Kelly

- 241-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 242-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 243-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

# 244

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

\_

Address:

Santa Rosa CA 9

Official Public Comment

245

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

98 HILLSIDE DR. FAIRFAX CA 9483

Official Public Comment

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Preference Shooting Mag. 1300 Quarry Ct. Apt 306 Point Richmond, CA 94801

Address:

City/State/Zip:

## Postcards from Bob Vyenielo, George F. Crispo, and Joe Rychetnik

- 244-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 245-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 246-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

is unactable. Official Public Comment

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that more percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name:

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

## Postcards from Charlie Schelter, Lena Walsh, and Peter Walsh

- 247-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 248-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 249-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 36 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

CA 96027

20 700

Official Public Comment

### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

## Postcards from Roy Slayton, Chris Irwin, and Al E. Bowen

- 250-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 251-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 252-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: 651 OAK

City/State/Zi

Oakland, CA 94510

Official Public Comment



## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

1-0 Rox V

City/State/Zin

<u>....</u> (#19391

Official Public Comment

# 255

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

ame:  $\frac{1.7. \text{ GANGE}}{\text{POBOX } 1582}$ 

City/State/Zip:

CARMEL CA 9392

## Postcards from Terita Harner, Robert S. Condry, and H. Laney

- 253-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 254-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 255-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



### Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

San Arancisco CA 94118

Official Public Comment
Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

# ublic Comment 257

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Commen

# 258

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVF. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip;

Bayside CA 95524

## Postcards from John Regan, Leon Lane, and Nathan Shishido, M.D.

256-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

257-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

258-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

# 259

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the naturel water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that recluded the Signe Explaint. P. B.

produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated, responsion of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Manualed responsion of the

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Name: Address:

\_GS4 SEASTAY\_LU

City/State/Zip:

TRINIDAS, CA 9557

Official Public Comment

260

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members: ...

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

m: Suith

Address: City/State/Zip; 220 Harmony h.

Official Public Comment

261

## Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Address:

Address: 1370 City/State/Zip: ARCA ES Almide

## Postcards from Maria Mehegan-O'Donnell, M. Smith, and John Stokes

- 259-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 260-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 261-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

262

Mr. Joe Polos U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1125 16th St. Rm. 209 Arcata, CA 95521

Lauren Baiocchi 517 E.87 St. Davis, OA 95616

262

Dear Mr. Polos,
Il am writing you in regards to the
Environmental ampact statement report recently
released about the trinitry River Fishery Restoration.
Il strongly support the flow evaluation study
done to assess the amount of water needed
to increase the fish habitat. The preferred alternative
does not seem to increase water flow enough
to make a significant difference to this precious
loosystem.

the Water in the lake be released to support the fishery minimum. They humber was generaled from the Scientific tennet method of evaluation. With Farty Eight percent of the water is not enough to make a significant difference and improve conomiz stability in the Trinity watershed.

Thank you for you time

## Letter from Lauren Baiocchi

262-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

262-1

# 263

Mr. Joe Polos Davius Us Fish + Wildlife Service TDB Mr. Jee Poloc 1125 16th St, #209 UCDavis Arcada, CA 95521 Davis, cA 95616

DovNS Paziradel

Dear Mr. PAbs:

.. I am writing to you about the Els report concerning the trinify river. In the report, one of the recommendations was that the dum release 4800 of the usies to flow down the even While I support the science behind the reportand this recommendation in general, I still feel that this is not enough water.

There's a rule of thumb called the townet method which says that a river needs about 70% of This water to mointain Lealthy fisheres. This was indirectly idlessed in the report; The 1890 plan would require massive animals of human input to maintain spanning bels for the salmon. The now salmon never needed his bull dozens before the bur.

the bun.

Please tellet 70% of the nate-flow
through the den for the Tribity river.

8th Tribity river.

Davids Parivadel

## Letter from Darius Paziradeh

263-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

263-1

October 26, 1999 Clayton, California

Mr. Joe Polos U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1125 16th St., Room 209 Arcata, CA 95521



## RE: Trinity River Fisheries Restoration Environmental Impact Statement and Report (EIS/R)

Dear Mr. Polos:

The Trinity River Fisheries Restoration Environmental Impact Statement and Report (EIS/R) has been released and now is open for Public Comment. I support efforts to restore the Trinity River and its entire ecosystem by implementing a flow regime which allows the Trinity to keep at least 70 percent of its flow.

**-** 264-1

Since Trinity Dam was completed in 1963, up to 90 percent of the Trinity River's water has been diverted for agriculture principally to the western San Joaquin Valley. As a result of decreased flows, fish populations declined by nearly 90 percent by the early 1990's. Next spring, the interior Secretary will make a decision on how much more water will flow into the river to restore and maintain a healthy ecosystem and fishery. It is my hope that his recommendations will place the river first and ensure healthy stream flows to support this valuable fishery. Several points to consider during the public debate on this topic:

- The Trinity River Act of 1955, authorizing the dams, specifically mandated that the fish and wildlifie of the basin not be harmed. The Interior Secretary was directed to ensure that fish and wildlife in the basin were protected. However, since the dams were completed in 1963, water diversions have led to serious degradation of the entire fishery. The coho salmon now is listed under the Endangered Species Act, and steelipead are a candidate for listing.
- 2. The federal government's trust obligations to two Native American Tribes has gone unfulfilled for more than 36 years because of excessive water diversions from the Trinity River. The time has come for the federal government to begin fulfilling its legally mandated responsibilities to the Hoopa Valley and Yurok Tribes.

264-2

- 3. Two decades of study and scientific evidence have given us the needed information to make a good decision for the Trinity. While the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report are sound, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. However, more water can be made available since the legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and later legislation. clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP.
- When the Trinity is restored, the commercial and sport fishing, rafting, and tourism economies of the Northern California and Southern Oregon will rebound.
- 5. The Trinity River and its restoration program are completely independent

## Letter from Phillip E. Fischer Dated October 26, 1999

- 264-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 264-2 Please see thematic response titled "Tribal Trust."

## Letter from Phillip E. Fischer continued

of the CALFED process. Restoration of the Trinity River is mandated in the 1955 legistation authorizing construction of the Trinity River Division, the Trinity River Basin Fish and Wildlife Management Act of 1984, and the Central Valley Project Improvement Act (CVPIA). The CVPIA reaffirms the Trinity's unique position within the Central Valley Project (CVP) and clearly sets forth that restoration of the Trinity is to be considered independently from other California water issues.

6. The federal government's promise to maintain a healthy fishery in the Trinity River has been disregarded for the last 36 years, and past legislation mandated a flow decision by the end of 1996. If these legislated promises are not finally fulfilled, why should we believe any promises developed through CALFED? A restored Trinity River will allow Californians to have feith that the ongoing CALFED negotiations will produce meaningful improvement in our state water policies.

I appreciate in advance your consideration of these comments. As an avid fly fisherman and conservationist, I'd like to five to see the Trinity River ecosystem return to health and well being and support the fishery we know the river can sustain.

Sincerely, Phillip E. Fischer 140 Mount Whitney Way Clayton, California 94517