1388

November 18, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
US Fish and Wildlife Service
1125 16th Street, Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Dear Mr. Polos,

I am writing to you today to ask you to help restore the Trinity River and it fisheries. You can do this by establishing a flow regime that allows the Trinity River to keep at least 70 percent of its flow. } 1388-1

Since the Trinity Dam was completed in 1963, up to 90 per cent of the Trinity River's water has been diverted for agriculture. As a result of the decreased flows, fish populations have declined by nearly 90 per cent. . It is time to return the water to the river so we can restore and maintain a healthy ecosystem and fishery before the point of total collapse occurs.

The legislation which authorized building Trinity Dam directed the Interior Secretary to ensure that fish and wildlife were protected (Trinity River Act of 1955). That has not happened to date and needs to be changed. The fish need the freshwater flows to survive their is no other way around it. The federal government also has an obligation to fulfill its legally mandated trust responsibilities to the Hoopa and Yurok Tribes by maintaining the fisheries for them. This obligation has also gone unfulfilled and must be corrected immediately. Finally their are substantial economic benefits to restoring the Trinity, which include expanded commercial and sports fishing, rafting and tourism. } 1388-2

Please do the right thing and restore at least 70 percent of the Trinity's flow back to the river.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Shan Collins
3653 Fairesta St.
La Crescenta, CA, 91214

Letter from Shan Collins Dated November 18, 1999

1388-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1388-2 Please see thematic response titled "Tribal Trust."

1389

Letter from Northcoast Environmental Center Dated November 23, 1999

the Northcoast Environmental Center

November 23, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos--Please Forward
US Fish and Wildlife Service
1126 Sixteenth Street, Room 209
Arcata CA 95521

Dear Mr. Polos:

My name is Tim McKay, I am the executive director of the Northcoast Environmental Center, founded in 1971, to help illuminate the relationships of humankind to the biosphere. In that regard, I am offering these comments on the draft Trinity River Mainstem Fishery Restoration EIS/EIR.

It is our understanding, based upon the original Trinity River Division legislation, and much subsequent legislation, that no more than 30 percent of the river's water was to be diverted to the Central Valley Project. Unfortunately, such promises are only as good as the politicians who make them, and as you know as much as 90 percent of the river's flow has been diverted historically, while more recently that amount has fallen to 75 percent of the mainstem's flow. Nonetheless the salmon fisheries of the mainstem Trinity are devastated.

We also understand that the water in question is contracted to the San Joaquin Valley's Westlands Water District where it waters large corporate farms in violation of a law that was to assure the water to small family farms. And, as if all of the forgoing weren't enough mendacity to choke a pig at a pork barrel trough, the water in question also leaches toxic selenium out of the harsh San Joaquin soils creating a hazard to humans and wildlife.

879 NINTH STREET • ARCATA, CA 95521
(707) 822-6918 • Fax (707) 822-0827 • email: nec@igc.org
www.necandeconews.to

NEC to USFWS RE: DEIS/DEIR Trinity R. Mainstem Restoration, page 2.

Now, given the current CALFED effort is another example of assurances that people will be asked to believe, and be again willing to accept that their government does not lie to them--I can only remember the story of the boy who cried wolf. The government has lost its credibility regarding the Trinity River, and the corporate beneficiaries of its waters are not known for their good faith efforts on behalf of the environment or its salmon.

Also, I am told that the Environmental Impact Statement/Report inaccurately spreads adverse power cost impacts pro-rata on a county by county basis. In fact, these costs are based upon individual contracts, and this data should be revised. 1389-1

In addition, I am told that Trinity County never has been provided with the preferential power rates dictated by law in the Trinity River Division Act of 1955. And now its residents are expected to pay about $11 million – according to the Trinity PUD - to pay for restoration programs, and to absorb increased power costs. 1389-2

Promised funding for the Trinity restoration program is not assured and often has not been forthcoming, and sadly when restoration monies do trickle down agencies and restorationists, who ostensibly have the environment's interest at heart, they squabble like dogs over bones for the funds, funds much of which are absorbed as "overhead." 1389-3

So, given that Trinity river water was taken under false pretext and promise, and that those who would restore the river with our tax dollars can not find consensus on doing so, and as well that the historical record of the appropriators (the Congress) is spotty at best, it leads us to conclude that the only reasonable alternative for the Trinity River is to decommission the dam and diversion, and let the Trinity flows return to their natural regime. 1389-4

As a student of history, I do not see how I could reach any other

Letter from Northcoast Environmental Center continued

1389-1 Please see thematic response titled "Implementation Funding and Relationship to Repayment, Reimbursement, and the CVPIA Restoration Fund."

1389-2 Please see thematic response titled "Power Analysis."

1389-3 Please see thematic response titled "Implementation Funding and Relationship to Repayment, Reimbursement, and the CVPIA Restoration Fund."

1389-4 Removal of the Trinity and Lewiston Dams is discussed in the DEIS/EIR in Section 2.2 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated. As noted in that section, "The removal of Trinity and Lewiston Dams was not considered a viable solution in restoring the Trinity River fishery because the environmental impacts, foregone benefits, and costs associated with removing the dams were deemed excessive." The lead agencies came to this decision after determining that the time-frame required and the environmental effects of physically removing the dam might result in extirpation of anadromous fish from the Trinity River. However, as noted in Chapter 5, the Karuk and Yurok Tribes did not agree, and still do not agree, with this decision.

Letter from Northcoast Environmental Center continued

conclusion. With so many broken promises littering the past, only a fool could support the new promises being made in the draft EIS/EIR.

As a person charged with speaking up for environmental protection and restoration, it would reflect poorly on my own integrity should I offer to extend more gullibility to the government on behalf of the river!

So to put it another way, the reparations for so many generations of deceit must be dam removal. Thanks for your time and consideration in this vital matter.

Sincerely yours,

Tim McKay, executive director

TM/me

CC: the Hon. Dianne Feinstein
the Hon. Barbara Boxer
the Hon. Mike Thompson
the Hon. Wes Chesbro
the Hon. Virginia Strom-Martin
the Hon. William Jefferson Clinton
the Hon. Al Gore
the Humboldt County Board of Supervisors
Friends of the Trinity River
Friends of the River
American Rivers
Pacific Rivers Council
the Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations
the Hupa Tribal Council
the Yurok Tribe

1390

Paul Clark
299 Tara Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

Mr. Joe Polos
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1125 16th St., Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Re: Comment, Trinity Fisheries Restoration EIS/R

Dear Mr. Polos,

Since Trinity Dam was completed in 1963, up to 90 percent of the Trinity River's water has been diverted for agriculture, principally to the western San Joaquin Valley. As a result of decreased flows, fish populations have declined by nearly 90 percent. As you know, the Trinity River Mainstem Fisheries Restoration Environmental Impact Statement and Report (EIS/R) has been released and now is open for Public Comment. The Preferred Alternative still allows over half the water to be diverted from the basin. Science has determined that a river needs at least 70 percent of its flow to maintain a healthy fishery. I am writing in support of the implementation of a flow regime that allows the Trinity to keep at least 70 percent of its water, for the following reasons: } 1390-1

1. The Trinity River Act of 1955, authorizing the dams, specifically mandated that the fish and wildlife of the basin not be harmed. The Interior Secretary was directed to ensure that fish and wildlife in the basin were protected. However, since the dams were completed in 1963, water diversions led to a nearly 90 percent decline in the fisheries by the early 1990's. The coho salmon now is listed under the Endangered Species Act, and steelhead are a candidate for listing.

2. The federal government's trust obligations to two Native American tribes have gone unfulfilled for more than 36 years because of excessive water diversions from the Trinity River. The time has come for the federal government to begin fulfilling its legally mandated responsibilities to the Hoopa Valley and Yurok Tribes. } 1390-2

3. Two decades of study and scientific evidence have given us the needed information to make a good decision for the Trinity. While the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report are sound, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. However, more water can be made available since the legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and later legislation, clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP.

4. When the Trinity is restored, the commercial and sport fishing, rafting, and tourism economies of Northern California and Southern Oregon will rebound.

5. The Trinity River and its restoration program are completely independent of the CALFED process. Restoration of the Trinity River is mandated in the

Letter from Paul Clark

1390-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1390-2 Please see thematic response titled "Tribal Trust."

Letter from Paul Clark continued

1955 legislation authorizing construction of the Trinity River Division, the Trinity River Basin Fish and Wildlife Management Act of 1984, and the Central Valley Project Improvement Act (CVPIA). The CVPIA reaffirms the Trinity's unique position within the Central Valley Project (CVP) and clearly sets forth that restoration of the Trinity is to be considered independently from other California water issues.

6. The federal government's promise to maintain a healthy fishery in the Trinity River has been disregarded for the last 36 years, and past legislation mandated a flow decision by the end of 1996. If these legislated promises are not finally fulfilled, it will undercut the credibility of promises subsequently developed through CALFED. A restored Trinity River will allow Californians to have faith that the ongoing CALFED negotiations will produce meaningful improvement in our state's water policies.

Thanks you for considering my comments.

Very truly yours,



Paul Clark

11-20-99

1391

MR. JOE POLOS
US FISH & GAME
1125 16TH ST RM # 209
ARCATA, CA 95521

RE: PROTECTION OF THE TRINITY RIVER

FISH ARE DISAPPEARING FROM OUR OCEANS, RIVERS AND LAKES. PLEASE LIVE UP TO YOUR RESPONSIBILITY AND PRESERVE AND PROTECT THE FISH AND THE TRINITY RIVER. SIMPLY LIVE UP TO THE CURRENT LAW AND KEEP 70% OF WATER FLOW IN THE TRINITY. 1391-1

Dennis Marguet

DENNIS MARGUET
2547 LARKSPUR CT.
PLACERVILLE, CA 95667

Letter from Dennis Marguet Dated November 20, 1999

1391-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1392

20 Nov 99

Mr. Joe Polos
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
1125 16th St. Room 209
Arcata, Ca 95521

Dear Mr. Polos:

I am a resident of the State of California and I enjoy fishing as a recreational sport. I am also an environmentalist. I urge you to do what the dept. of the Interior to restore Trinity River and its entire ecosystem by implementing a flow regime which allows the Trinity to keep at least 70% of its current flow.

1392-1

Victor Buhrke

VICTOR BUHRKE
10 SANDSTONE STREET
PORTOLA VALLEY, CA 94028

Letter from Victor Buhrke Dated November 20, 1999

1392-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1393

GEO CADD DIGITAL MAPPING
908 Elm Street
San Carlos, CA 94070

November 21, 1999

MR. JOE POLOS
UNITED STATES FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE
1125 16TH STREET, ROOM 209
ARCATA, CA 95521

RE: Trinity River Flow Evaluation Report

To EIS/EIR Team Members:

It has come to my attention, that historic decisions are soon to be made, regarding future water flows on the Trinity River in Northern California. I cannot state strongly enough and urge the members of the EIS team to support the **maximum diversion** of 30 percent of the river's natural volume of flow. Being an ardent angler/conservationist, this is the best way to ensure that future salmon and steelhead runs will continue to recover and thrive in the Trinity River watershed. 1393-1

The Trinity River has had a regretful history of water diversion. These much too high diversions has led to a tragic impact of the once plentiful anadromous fishery the Trinity used to hold. It's now time to seize the opportunity to restore the river, and it's wildlife, to it's former greatness and reduce the water diversions now. After all of the money, poorly conceived programs and legislation, the last remaining part of the equation for a restored Trinity River is simply, more water!

Finally, the EIS team should consider the economic impact of a healthy river and fishery on the Trinity River. The local businesses will do well because anglers, like myself, and river enthusiasts will spend their dollars in the regional communities. The environment and the economy both win! 1393-2

Thank you for your consideration regarding these matters.

Sincerely,

Ken Tetzel

Ken Tetzel,
Owner

Letter from Ken Tetzel Dated November 21, 1999

1393-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1393-2 Economic impacts are discussed in Section 3.11 Socioeconomics of the DEIS/EIR. Effects of the alternatives are evaluated by region, including the Trinity River Basin.

1394

deborah press gerth

6250 South Bank Road
Crescent City, CA 95531
707 / 458-3649
dgerth@linkcc.com

November 22, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
US Fish and Wildlife Service
1125 16th Street, Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

RE: Trinity River Flow

Dear Mr. Polos:

This letter is to urge you to begin restoring the Trinity watershed's ecosystem by restoring a healthy waterflow to the river.

The Trinity needs a minimum 70% flow level to recover and maintain its salmon fishery. It is unconscionable that this ecosystem should be destroyed so that artificially cheap water can be diverted to (and often wasted by) users in the San Joaquin Valley. Now that the salmon are virtually gone, it is clear that the Trinity needs its water more than the valley does.

Please ensure that the Trinity has a chance to recover before it is too late by returning an adequate, 70% waterflow. } 1394-1

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Deborah Press Gerth

Letter from Deborah Press Gerth Dated November 22, 1999

1394-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1395

Nov. 21, 1999

Joe Polos
USF&WS
1125 16TH St, Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Dear Sir:

I wish to express my concern about the reduced flows in all California rivers, and most particularly about the Trinity River. I feel that we should do everything we can to restore our fisheries and river systems.

Sincerely,
Louis Biocca

Louis Biocca
4591 Lambert Drive
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

1395-1

Letter from Louis Biocca Dated November 21, 1999

1395-1 Thank you for your comment. Your comment has been noted. No response is required.

Letter from Carol Venolia Dated November 21, 1999

1396

P.O. Box 4417
Santa Rosa, CA 95402
Nov. 21, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
US Fish & Wildlife Service
1125 16th St., Rm. 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Dear Mr. Polos:

I am writing to express my concern about the restoration of the Trinity River. I support implementation of a flow regime that allows the Trinity to keep at least 70% of its flow. 1396-1

Excessive water diversion from the Trinity has resulted in almost 90% reduction in the fisheries since 1990. Furthermore, agreements made with two Native American tribes have been broken by these diversions.

Without our rivers, our fish, and our wildlife we have neither recreation + tourism facilities, nor a healthy ecosystem that benefits everyone.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Carol Venolia
Carol Venolia

1396-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Letter from Dave Kruss Dated November 20, 1999

1397

20 November, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
1125 16th St Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Dear Mr. Polos

As you know since 1963 up to 90% of the water naturally flowing down the Trinity River has been diverted into the Sacramento River System for use by agribusiness in the Sacramento and San Joaquin Valleys. This water has been pumped over a minor mountain range in the opposite direction from which the Trinity flows and has severely damaged the populations of several fisheries declared Threatened or Endangered under the Endangered Species Act. The water and these fisheries are the property of the citizens of the State of California.

The Trinity River Act of 1955 specifically mandated that the fish and wildlife of the Trinity basin not be harmed. The Interior Secretary was directed to comply with this mandate.

The Absolute minimum flow required to Maintain survival of the Trinity Ecosystem is 48%. This is not enough to promote a healthy system.

70% of the water flowing into the Trinity River Drainage must be allowed to flow naturally throughout the system. This is necessary... This is correct and this is your responsibility to enforce/mandate...

1397-1

Sincerely,
Dave Kruss
2053 Queens Lane, San Mateo, CA 94402

1397-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1398

Mr Joe Polos
U S Fish & Wildlife Service
1125 - 16th St Room 209
Arcata Ca. 95521

Mr Polos.

I hope you keep the water in the Trinity River where God put it and the fish need it.

The economy of the area depends on it and the short fishermen contribute more to the economy than the economy of whatever else the water can be used for.

Keep the water where it was put and should be.

Phil Dean
2535 Sherbourne
Belmont 94002

Letter from Phil Dean

1398-1 Please see Response 1393-2.

20th November, 1999

1399

Mr. Joe Polos
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
1125 - 16th St. Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Dear Sir.

Protecting the water flow of the Trinity River to protect the returning salmon & steelhead – to continue to have any returning fish we must have continued and increased water flow – we must no longer can afford to send 98% of the water to the east & the Sacramento River at its permanence –

Save the Trinity for the fish and leave the water for the river –

1399-1

Yours truly,

James Craig Pendlton
1625 Hartwood Ave
Burlingame, Ca 94010

Letter from James Craig Pendlton Dated November 20, 1999

1399-1 Thank you for your comment. Your comment has been noted. No response is required.

1400

MR JOE POLO
US FISH & WILDLIFE
1125 - 16 ST RM 209
ARCATA CA. 95521

DEAR SIR -
SINCE I WAS A BOY 70 YEARS AGO
I HAVE BELIEVED THE LAW APPLIED TO
US ALL.

HOW COME WATER IS TAKEN FROM THE TRINITY
RIVER TO BE SOLD TO THE SPECIAL INTERESTS
TO THE DETRIMENT OF THE FISH & WILDLIFE
IN THE TRINITY WHICH IS CONTRARY TO THE
LAWS PASSED BY CONGRESS?

IS THE FUTURE NOW ON THE AUCTION BLOCK
TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER?

WHAT ABOUT FUTURE GENERATIONS?
ARE THE LAWS FOR SALE FOR THEM TOO?

TOM LAMA
4321 BOULDER CREEK CIR.
STOCKTON CA. 95219

1400-1

Letter from Tom Lama

1400-1 Thank you for your comment. Your comment has been noted. No response is required.

SALZMAN
international

1401

Mr Joe Polos
USFWS
1125 16th st rm 209
Arcata Ca 95521

11/20/99

Dear Mr Polos,

Please let the EIS/EIR team members know that many of us who were born and raised here in California are very concerned about the health of the Trinity river and its watershed.

Please make sure that Bruce Babbitt is given the prudent advise that will provide the river the greatest chance of being a healthy fishery when my (not yet conceived) grandchildren want to fish it with me. 1401-1

Thank you,

Richard Salzman

715 Sanchez Street San Francisco California 94114 fax 415.285.8268 415.285.8267

Los Angeles [illegible] | Chicago [illegible] | New York [illegible] | San Francisco 415.285.8267 | London [illegible]

Letter from Richard Salzman Dated November 20, 1999

1401-1 Thank you for your comment. Your comment has been noted. No response is required.



Official Public Comment 1402

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: James E. Barto.
Address: 2033 La Salle Dr.
City/State/Zip: San Mateo, CA., 94403

Official Public Comment 1404

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Greg Rose
Address: 872 E. Napa St.
City/State/Zip: Sonoma, CA 95476

Postcards from James E. Barto and Greg Rose

1402-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1404-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



Official Public Comment 1403

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem. As a native Californian I would love to see some of our rivers "saved" – in order to save our wildlife. Thank you –

Thank You,

Name: Pamela H Reagan

Address: 1504 Linda Mar Blvd

City/State/Zip: Pacifica, Ca 94044

Postcard from Pamela H. Reagan

1403-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1405

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Lucas Mrakava

Address: 1117 W. Sacramento #81

City/State/Zip: Chico, CA. 95928

Official Public Comment 1406

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Todd Blake

Address: 2769 Union St.

City/State/Zip: San Francisco, CA. 94123

Official Public Comment 1407

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Scott G Wahl

Address: SCOTT G WAHL

City/State/Zip: 19377 RICHSAN CT REDDING CA 96003-8759

Postcards from Lucas Mrakava, Todd Blake, and Scott G. Wahl

1405-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1406-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1407-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1408

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Bruce LeBel

Address: 24 Chapman Ct

City/State/Zip: Arcata CA 95521

Official Public Comment 1409

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: L H NASH

Address: P.O. BOX 300

City/State/Zip: FERNDALE CA 95536

Official Public Comment 1410

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: Marjorie A. Fay
PO Box 123
Bayside CA 95524-0123

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Bruce LeBel, L.H. Nash, and Marjorie A. Fay

1408-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1409-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1410-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1411

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Linda H. Clever, MD Linda Hewes Clever

Address: 41 Glen Dr

City/State/Zip: Mill Valley, CA 94941

Official Public Comment 1412

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Muriel Henriques

Address: 246 Hudson Terrace

City/State/Zip: Piermont, NY 10968

Official Public Comment 1413

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Inez E. Moreno

Address: 566 Oregon St

City/State/Zip: Weed, Ca 96094

Postcards from Linda H. Clever, M.D., Muriel Henriques, and Inez E. Moreno

1411-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1412-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1413-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1414

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Joseph C Moreno
Address: 566 Oregon St
City/State/Zip: Weed, Ca. 96094

Official Public Comment 1415

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Harold Richard Long
Address: 13336 Old Wash Creek
City/State/Zip: Redding, Ca 96003

Official Public Comment 1416

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Ryan Matthew Watts
Address: 4x8 Flores Ave
City/State/Zip: Belvert Park CA 94928

Postcards from Joseph C. Moreno, Harold Richard Long, and Ryan Matthew Watts

1414-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1415-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1416-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1417

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Donna McCracken

Address: 6425 Hillegass Ave

City/State/Zip: Oakland Ca. 94618

Official Public Comment 1418

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Sheila Martin

Address: 20 Eagle Star Loop

City/State/Zip: Lolete CA 95551

Official Public Comment 1419

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Cheryl Ricci Kopczynski

Address: 625 Tzabaco Co. Rd.

City/State/Zip: Geyserville Ca. 95441

Postcards from Donna McCracken, Sheila Martin, and Cheryl Ricci-Kopczynski

1417-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1418-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1419-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1420

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Mills-Thysen

Address: 337 Neva St.

City/State/Zip: Sebastopol, CA 95472

Official Public Comment 1421

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Coleen Kelley Marks

Address: PO Box 295

City/State/Zip: Orick, Ca. 95555

Official Public Comment 1422

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Nancy Kelly

Address: 6453 Sauter Ln.

City/State/Zip: Eureka, CA 95503

Postcards from Mills Thysen, Coleen Kelley Marks, and Nancy Kelly

1420-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1421-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1422-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Postcards from Dave Mahan, Karen Thomas, and Ronald Miller

1423-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1424-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1425-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1423

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: DAVE Mahan

Address: PO Box 2717

City/State/Zip: McKinleyville, CA 95519

Official Public Comment 1424

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Karen Thomas

Address: 3550 Glenwood St

City/State/Zip: Eureka Ca 95501

Official Public Comment 1425

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Ronald Miller

Address: 2249 Graham Rd

City/State/Zip: Bayside - CA. 95524

Postcards from Dave Nakamura, Monique Beaupre, and Betty Braver

1426-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1427-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1428-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1426

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Dave NAKAMURA
Address: P.O. Box 251
City/State/Zip: Blue Lake, CA 95525

Official Public Comment 1427

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Monique Beaupre
Address: Po Box 397
City/State/Zip: Cutten, CA 95534

Official Public Comment 1428

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Betty Braver
Address: 431 Old Wiyot Rd
City/State/Zip: Trinidad, CA 95570

Official Public Comment 1429

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: WARREN CARLSON

Address: 2645 McDOWELL

City/State/Zip: ARCATA CA 95521

Official Public Comment 1430

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Allegra Searle-Lebel

Address: 1050 [illegible]

City/State/Zip: [illegible] 91711-3948

Official Public Comment 1431

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: DEREK WENSKY

Address: 2628 Corte Vida

City/State/Zip: Pleasanton, CA 94566

Postcards from Warren Carlson, Allegra Searle-Lebel, and Derek Wensky

1429-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1430-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1431-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment **1432**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: David A. Wensky
Address: 2628 Corte Vida
City/State/Zip: Pleasanton, CA 94566

Official Public Comment **1433**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: RICHARD WILPITZ
Address: 1747-17th Ave
City/State/Zip: SF CA 94122

MORE WATER !

Official Public Comment **1434**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Stephanie Marsico
Address: 1526 Gabrielle Ave
City/State/Zip: SF CA 94118

MORE WATER

Postcards from David A. Wensky, Richard Wilpitz, and Stephanie Marsico

1432-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1433-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1434-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1435

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Roger Wilpitz

Address: 1506 Cabrillo Ave

City/State/Zip: San Francisco, CA 94118

Official Public Comment 1436

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, T. F. Nell

Name:

Address: T. F. Nell, 2 Valley Oak St., Portola Valley, CA 94028

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment 1437

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Thanh T. Vo

Address: 139 Del Monte

City/State/Zip: El Granada, CA 94018

Postcards from Roger Wilpitz, T. F. Nell, and Thanh T. Vo

1435-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1436-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1437-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1438

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Mack Blake

Address: 2691 Green St.

City/State/Zip: San Francisco, CA 94123

Official Public Comment 1439

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: GEORGE NITTI

Address: 11136 ACAMA ST. #103

City/State/Zip: STUDIO CITY, CA 91602

Official Public Comment 1440

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Jesse David

Address: Apt 33 929 Nord Ave

City/State/Zip: Chico, CA 95926

Postcards from Mark Blake, George Nitti, and Jesse David

1438-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1439-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1440-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1441

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Charles Schultz

Address: 1024-E Los Gamos

City/State/Zip: San Rafael, CA 94903

Official Public Comment 1442

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Fred Gomez

Address: 6425 Hillegass Ave

City/State/Zip: Oakland CA 94618

Official Public Comment 1443

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:



Postcards from Charles Schultz, Fred Gomez, and Jerry Stewart

1441-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1442-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1443-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment **1444**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Stasia Walters

Address: [illegible]

City/State/Zip: Arcata, Ca [illegible]

Official Public Comment **1445**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Asa Stockton M.D.

Address: 2505 Linda Ln

City/State/Zip: Eureka, Ca. 95501

Official Public Comment **1446**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Jacob Horwitz

Address: 351 Upper Creek Rd.

City/State/Zip: Bayside CA 95524

Postcards from Stasia Walters, Asa Stocktow, M.D., and Jacob Horwitz

1444-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1445-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1446-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment **1447**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Collette Schavgaard

Address: 1094 Ferguson Road

City/State/Zip: Sebastopol, CA 95472

Official Public Comment **1448**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Kate Thill

Address: P.O. Box 300

City/State/Zip: Ferndale, CA 95536

Official Public Comment **1449**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Ellen Scarle LeBel

Address: 745 Chapman St.

City/State/Zip: Arcata, CA 95521

Postcards from Collette Schavgaard, Kate Thill, and Ellen Scarle LeBel

1447-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1448-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1449-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment **1450**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: David Rose

Address: PO Box 36

City/State/Zip: Mad River, CA. 95552

Official Public Comment **1451**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Paula Jean Rose

Address: PO Box 173

City/State/Zip: Mad River, CA 95552

Official Public Comment **1452**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Trinity M. Calabrese

Address: 2910A Greenbriar Ln

City/State/Zip: Arcata, CA 95521

Postcards from David Rose, Paula Jean Rose, and Trinity M. Calabrese

1450-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1451-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1452-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."