1453

2250 Terrace Street, #1
Redding, CA 96001

November 29, 1999

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1655 Heindon Road
Arcata, CA 95521

Subject: Response to Trinity River Mainstem Fishery Restoration Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Dear Sirs and Madams:

Thank you for this opportunity to respond to the recent subject document. I make these remarks as a private citizen.

Among my concerns is the amount of time given to respond to the subject document. To people who have been working on this since its inception, I'm sure that these ideas and issues are nothing new, and, at first glance, they are not all that complicated. However, they are extensive and the public outreach has been nominal. I hear that Senator Johannessen, chair of the State CalFed committee and a person who has a staff dedicated to water issues, did not even learn of the first public meeting until the day of the meeting. If someone is to delve into the appendices of the EIS, the December 20 deadline for comment is lacking. So, please extend the period for public comment.

A second concern is money. I see that the approximate annual cost for streambed alteration in the Preferred Alternative is $13.35 Million. Since the effects to streambed alteration are limited to the site of the work, and since they are generally lost during any flood event, I think that their inclusion is a waste of money. } 1453-1

My most pressing concern is one of consistency among Department of Interior agencies. The subject document contains one paragraph about the growing need for water in the Trinity Basin for human uses and pegs that future need at 50,0000 AF for some undisclosed time in the future. It then says that it would be taken from waters diverted to the Central Valley. This is after the water diverted there has already been curtailed by whatever alternative has been chosen. I read this to mean that the Department of the Interior considers human use in the Area of Origin to supercede any use outside of the Area of Origin. } 1453-2

Recently the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, also overseen by the Department of the Interior, released its Final PEIS for the CVPIA operation of the Central Valley Project. } 1453-3

Letter from Eric Wedemeyer Dated November 29, 1999

1453-1 The proposed channel modifications identified in a number of the evaluated alternatives are intended to remove large vegetation and channel berms that would not be expected to be mobilized by the prescribed flow increases. Subsequent flooding events would be considered beneficial.

1453-2 The 50,000 af was reserved for "Humboldt County and other downstream users" in the 1955 Trinity River Act (P.L. 84-386) as a means of assuring that development within the Area of Origin would not be adversely impacted by the diversion of water out of the basin by the TRD. Similar language was put as a condition on each of Reclamation's seven Trinity River water permits by the State Water Resources Control Board's (SWRCB) predecessor (the State Water Rights Board) in 1959. Reclamation and Humboldt County also signed a contract for the 50,000 af in 1959. The SWRCB issued a water permit to the Weaverville Community Services District for Water Right Application 29410 for up to 870 af/year (2.9 cfs), contingent upon equivalent releases of water from Lewiston Dam pursuant to Section 10505 of the Water Code.

Thus, the 50,000 af has been reserved for in-basin use by an Act of Congress and an administrative action by the State of California, not by unilateral administrative fiat as suggested by the commentor. However, there is disagreement between the lead agencies as to procedures and disposition for use of the 50,000 af. Trinity and Humboldt Counties continue to maintain that Reclamation should release the 50,000 af in addition to instream flow releases for the fishery. Reclamation maintains that the 50,000 af need not be released until an unmet downstream consumptive need is identified that cannot be met with fishery flows and tributary accretion.

In it, any shortage on the system – even at the south end of the system – is treated as a system-wide shortage and everybody on the system is cut back. As a quick look at a map confirms, most of the water in this project comes from the north end of the state and is unnaturally transferred past the San Francisco Bay to the south end of the state. I read this to mean that the Department of the Interior does not consider human use inside the Area of Origin to supercede any use outside of the Area of Origin. Which is it?

I hope that timing and content of future documents on this issue will consider these concerns. Perhaps the Department of the Interior itself might issue a guideline for when Area of Origin use supercedes use outside the Area of Origin.

Thank you,

E. Wedemeyer

Eric Wedemeyer

1453-3 cont'd

Letter from Letter from Eric Wedemeyer continued

1453-2 cont'd Because it is unlikely that unmet consumptive needs amounting to 50,000 af will materialize in the basin for a long period, Humboldt County has expressed an interest in a California Water Code Section 1707 temporary water transfer to an instream recreational use, white-water boating, until such time as consumptive uses exist that would use the entire 50,000 af. However, no official petition has been made for a Section 1707 temporary water transfer, and any such petition would be subject to due process through the SWRCB's water permitting process. Therefore, the final disposition of the 50,000 af is in doubt, and to date, the Department of the Interior has not made any commitments which would make Area of Origin human consumption a priority over out of basin needs.

1453-3 The DEIS/EIR identifies the anticipated impacts associated with each of the evaluated alternatives. The modeling of water export, distribution, and use is based on current and projected operations of the CVP. The issue of Area of Origin in this regard is beyond the scope of the document.

1454

GREGORY T. MELLON, D.D.S.

1737 Central Avenue
P.O. Box 2566
McKinleyville, CA 95521
(707) 839-3262

11/29/99

Dear Joe;

You're the man! I know you can do it. Please bring more of that precious resource back towards the coast where it belongs. This would make all the creatures large + small in the Trinity River/Klamath ecosystem very happy.

Sincerely

Gregory T. Mellon DDS

1454-1

Letter from Gregory T. Mellon, D.D.S., Dated November 29, 1999

1454-1 Thank you for your comment. Your comment has been noted. No response is required.

12/02/99 15:47:58 Chaco - 970/527-4997-> 7078228411 RightFAX Page 001

TO:Joe Polos COMPANY:US Fish and Wildlife

1455

Chaco

December 2, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
United States Fish and Wildlife Services
1125 16th St., Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

DearEIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more than 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by the assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division , and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVPO. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem. } 1455-1

Thank You,
Ann Ellinger

Chaco Sandals
970-527-4990

1407 4000 Lane
Fax 970-527-4997

Paonia, CO 81428
email: info@chacosan.com

Letter from Ann Ellinger Dated December 2, 1999

1455-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

12/02/99 16:08:02 Chaco - 970/527-4997-> 7078229411 RightFAX Page 001

TO: Joe Polos COMPANY:

1456

December 2, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
United States Fish and Wildlife Services
1125 16th St., Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of **NO MORE THAN 30 percent** of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by the assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Diversion, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVPO. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,
Fran Cranor

Chaco Sandals
970-527-4990

1407 4000 Lane
Fax 970-527-4997

Paonia, CO 81428
email: info@chacosan.com

Letter from Fran Cranor Dated December 2, 1999

Duplicate of Letter 1455. Please see Letter 1455 and associated responses.

1457

FEDERATION OF FLY FISHERS
Northern California Council
Conserving - Restoring - Educating Through Fly Fishing
1287 Greeley Way
Stockton, California 95207
(209) 951-7900

Daniel A. McDaniel
President

November 29, 1999

U.S. Department of the Interior
Fish and Wildlife Service
California/Nevada
2800 Cottage Way, Suite W-2606
Sacramento, CA 95825-1846

Re: Trinity River Mainstem Fishery Restoration

Dear Fish and Wildlife Service:

Please accept these comments on behalf of our organization representing the interests of thousands of fly fishers throughout Northern California and Northern Nevada.

Our organization supports the selection of the preferred alternative set forth in the draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report for the Trinity River Mainstem Fishery Restoration, as preferable to all other alternatives with the exception of the maximum flow alternative. As noted in the documents, since construction of the dam there has been a dramatic decline in the runs of Steelhead and Chinook and Coho Salmon. Although we would prefer the maximum flow alternative, since it would appear to provide the best opportunity for restoration of anadromous fishery resources, we can support the Flow Evaluation Alternative as a meaningful effort which may provide such restoration. 1457-1

In the cover letter accompanying the DEIS/EIR it appears that in order to obtain copies of the document, one would need to visit one of the sites and/or purchase a copy, paying for any duplication and mailing charges. In a matter of this nature this appears to be highly inappropriate and inconsistent with the normal practice of making such documents available without expense to interested members of the public. After all, the desire should be to obtain maximum public input and participation in a matter of such great significance. By making it more difficult and expensive for the public to obtain and review the documents, valuable input may be suppressed. It is requested that you make copies of the document available at no cost to any member of the public requesting the same, and that you refrain from action in the future which impairs public awareness and inhibits public input and participation in the project. 1457-2

Letter from Daniel A. McDaniel Dated November 29, 1999

1457-1 Thank you for your comment. Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1457-2 Comment noted. Hard copies of the document were sent to all interested parties who submitted requests prior to the publishing of the document.

In addition, due to the increased use of computers in society, it has become more common for agencies to make documents available electronically. This was the approach taken for the Trinity River DEIS/EIR. The document and all appendices could be viewed on the Internet, or could be ordered free of charge on CD-ROM and viewed with the free Adobe Acrobat Reader software. This focus on electronic availability of the Trinity River DEIS/EIR appeared to be the best option because of the expected demand for the document and the associated printing and mailing costs. However, the comment is noted that a need or desire to review a hardcopy of an environmental document, coupled with high costs, may inhibit public input and participation.

Letter from Daniel A. McDaniel continued

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this matter.

Very truly yours,

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA COUNCIL OF THE
FEDERATION OF FLY FISHERS

by DANIEL A. McDANIEL

DAM/sc

1458

To Whom It May Concern:

As a California resident I am adamant in my support for the ecological preservation of or beautiful state. My feeling is that we as residents have a responsibility to be caretakers of both our biotic and abiotic living environment making it a priority with each and every decision we make.

It is with this in mind that I write to you regarding the Trinity River Mainstem Fishery Restoration project. I would like to lend my support to the proposed "preferred alternative". This alternative meets all the objectives in restoring habitat for anadromous fish and the flow of the Trinity and Klamath rivers. It accomplishes these objectives while minimizing any adverse affects that directing more water into these rivers could cause. This proposal also promises to increase the inriver and ocean fishing opportunities as well as improve the local Indian Tribes' access to trust resources. 1458-1

Being born and raised in Porterville, California, a small town in Tulare County, I certainly realize the agricultural importance of water being exported into the Central Valley. I do believe this alternative realizes this and takes it into account by not opting for the maximum flow into the rivers. By implementing this policy we have an opportunity to do something ecologically beneficial as well as financially feasible. I hope you will strongly consider this possibility before issuing your recommendation.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Lansford

Letter from Jeremy Lansford

1458-1 Thank you for your comment. Your comment has been noted. No response is required.

Postcard from Jane Shehan



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:
I support a diversion of no more than 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river.
Legislation creating the Trinity River Division and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the Central Valley Project (CVP). Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You

Name: Jane Shehan
Address: P.O. Box 807
City/State/Zip: Meadow Vista, Ca 95722

459

RECEIVED
DEC 26 1995
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

1459-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Postcards from Willoughby Johnson and Patricia R. Johnson

1460-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1461-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1460

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Willoughby Johnson

Address: P.O Box 1320

City/State/Zip: Ojai, CA 93024

RECEIVED DEC 06 1995 Fish & Wildlife Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1461

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Patricia R. Johnson

Address: 111 W. Wormwood St

City/State/Zip: Ojai, CA 93023

RECEIVED DEC 06 1995 Fish & Wildlife Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment **1462**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Raymond W. Johnson

Address: 111 W. Wormwood

City/State/Zip: Ojai, CA 93023

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment **1463**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Michael S. Thomas

Address: 1455 Livorna Rd

City/State/Zip: Alamo, CA 94507

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment **1464**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: TERRY TRACY

Address: 1143 ALTA AVE.

City/State/Zip: NAPA, CA 94559

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Postcards from Raymond W. Johnson, Michael S. Thomas, and Terry Tracy

1462-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1463-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1464-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1465

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Thank You,

Name: Don Hirzel

Address: 4549 El Caminito

City/State/Zip: Livermore, Ca 94550

Official Public Comment 1466

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Mr Hornie White & Pamela White

Address: 727 Bassard Drive

City/State/Zip: Thousand Oaks, Ca 91360

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1467

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: David W. Shinn

Address: 13420 Lochrin LN

City/State/Zip: Stumar CA 95134

RECEIVED
DEC 06 19
US Fish & Wildlife
Arcata, CA

Postcards from Don Hirzel, Lornie and Pamela White, and David W. Shinn

1465-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1466-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1467-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment **1468**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: NOEL C TUCKER

Address: 4318 PAMPAS RD

City/State/Zip: WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91364

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment **1469**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: SCOTT TUCKER

Address: 21054 OSBORN #

City/State/Zip: CANOGA PARK, CA 91304

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment **1470**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Deyanne Nesh

Address: 1383 LA Granada Dr

City/State/Zip: Thousand Oaks, CA 91362

RECEIVED DEC 08 1999 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Postcards from Noel C. Tucker, Scott Tucker, and Deyanne Nesh

1468-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1469-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1470-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Postcards from Joshua Carson, Dr. Judith Kemp, and Richard Cross

1471-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1472-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1473-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment **1471**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: JOSHUA CARSON

Address: 2500 JUNIPER AVE

City/State/Zip: MORRO BAY, CA 93442

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment **1472**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Dr. Judith Kemp

Address: 3264 Curlew St

City/State/Zip: San Diego, Ca. 92123

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment **1473**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Richard Cross

Address: P.O. Box 675365

City/State/Zip: Rancho Santa Fe CA 92067-5365

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1474

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Gerald Ichikawa

Address: 970 Via Regina

City/State/Zip: Santa Barbara CA 9311

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Arcata, C

Official Public Comment 1475

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Brett Warner

Address: 1302 De La Guerra Rd

City/State/Zip: Santa Barbara CA 93103

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Arcata, C

Official Public Comment 1476

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Steve Daniels, M.D.

Address: _____

City/State/Zip: _____

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Arcata, C

Postcards from Gerald Ichikawa, Brett Warner, and Steve Daniels, M.D.

1474-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1475-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1476-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1477

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Sidney Higgins

Address: 2827 Angels St.

City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, Ca 90039

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Arcata, C

Some years ago I served for eight years on the California Water Commission, a state agency. I am well-acquainted with the needs of the Trinity

Official Public Comment 1478

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: MERRILL R. GOODALL

Address: 417 BLAISDELL DRIVE

City/State/Zip: CLAREMONT CA 91711

RECEIVED DEC 06 19 Fish & Wildlife Arcata, C

Official Public Comment 1479

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Robert A. Montgomery

Address: ~~934 Angelus Way,~~

City/State/Zip: ~~Monterey, CA 93940~~

RECEIVED DEC 0F 1999 US Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, C

Postcards from Sidney Higgins, Merrill R. Goodall, and Robert A. Montgomery

1477-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1478-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1479-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1480

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Melinda Pauli

Address: 1035 Bontana Court

City/State/Zip: Napa CA 94558

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1481

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Rick de Lisser

Address: 1031 Mocha St.

City/State/Zip: Livermore Ca. 94550

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1482

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Alan Harper

Address: 5187 Saddle Brook Drive

City/State/Zip: Oakland, CA 94619

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Postcards from Melinda Pauli, Rick deLisser, and Alan Harper

1480-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1481-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1482-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



Official Public Comment 1483

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the annual water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Ellen Simons

Address: 12 Stratford Road

City/State/Zip: Kensington, CA 94707

Official Public Comment 1484

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the annual water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Larry Emerson

Address: 646 Storm St.

City/State/Zip: Davis, CA 95616

Postcards from Ellen Simons and Larry Emerson

1483-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1484-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1485

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

RECEIVED

Thank You,

Name: Donald G. Miller DEC 06 1999

Address: 2862 Wansley Way Fish & Wildlife S-

City/State/Zip: Livermore, CA 94550 Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1486

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Molly Schulze

Address: 7427 Eads Ave.

City/State/Zip: La Jolla, CA 92037

Official Public Comment 1487

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: M. Hickman

Address: 1261 Avon Dr.

City/State/Zip: Lemore CA 93245

Postcards from Donald G. Miller, Molly Schulze, and M. Hickman

1485-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1486-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1487-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1488

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Greg Hickman

Address: 3731 Conrack Ave

City/State/Zip: San Diego CA 92117

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Arcata, C

Official Public Comment 1489

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: WOLFGANG OESTERREICH

Address: 2001 WYNGATE DR

City/State/Zip: AMES, IA 50010

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1490

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: BILL CROSS

Address: 715 GRANDVIEW

City/State/Zip: ASHLAND, OR 97520

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Postcards from Greg Hickman, Wolfgang Oesterreich, and Bill Cross

1488-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1489-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1490-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Postcards from Polly Greist, Roseanna Woods, and Ronald M. Steinberg

1491-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1492-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1493-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1491

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Polly Greist

Address: 715 Grand view

City/State/Zip: Ashland OR 97520

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1492

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Roseanna Woods

Address: 1918 Wild Scarlet Ct

City/State/Zip: Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Roseanna Woods

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1493

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Ronald M. Steinberg

Address: 570 JAY ST.

City/State/Zip: Los ALTOS, CA 94022

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Postcards from Bob Cherry, Brian and Lina Campopiano, and Bruce M. D'Armien

1494-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1495-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1496-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1494

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1996
Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Thank You,

Name: Bob Cherry

Address: 568 Sequoia Dr

City/State/Zip: Mill Valley Ca 94941

Official Public Comment 1495

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1996
Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Thank You,

Name: Brian & Lina Campopiano

Address: 14 Taft Ct.

City/State/Zip: Novato, CA 94947

Official Public Comment 1496

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1996
Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Thank You,

Name: Bruce M. D'Armien

Address: 17935 Oak Dr.

City/State/Zip: Los Gatos, CA 95033

Official Public Comment 1497

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Name: Jim Molinari
Address: 8149 Park Villa Circle
City/State/Zip: Cupertino, CA 95014

Official Public Comment 1498

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Name: Michael McAvoy
Address: 435 Sierra Vista Ave #5
City/State/Zip: Mtn View CA 94043

Official Public Comment 1499

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Name: Jack Travis & Ann Hancock
Address: P.O. Box 558
City/State/Zip: Graton, CA 95444

Postcards from Jim Molinari, Michael McAvoy, and Jack Travis and Ann Hancock

1497-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1498-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1499-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1500

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Thank You,

Name: Dan Warren

Address: 112 Moby Way

City/State/Zip: San Rafael CA 94903

Official Public Comment 1501

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Thank You,

Name: Barbara Rich

Address: 4416 Dubeau

City/State/Zip: Bayside, Ca 95524

Official Public Comment 1502

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Name: ADAM ALLEGRETTA

Address: 625 GREENBANK RD

City/State/Zip: CHARLOTTE VT 05445

Postcards from Dan Warren, Barbara Rich, and Adam Allegretta

1500-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1501-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1502-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment **1503**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Thank You,

Name: MARK ALLEGRETTA

Address: 625 GREENBUSH RD

City/State/Zip: CHARLOTTE, VT 05445

Official Public Comment **1504**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Thank You,

Name: AUDREY ALLEGRETTA

Address: 625 GREENBUSH RD

City/State/Zip: CHARLOTTE VT 05445

Official Public Comment **1505**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Thank You,

Name: EMILY FERRIS

Address: 625 GREENBUSH RD

City/State/Zip: CHARLOTTE VT 05445

Postcards from Mark Allegretta, Audrey Allegretta, and Emily Ferris

1503-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1504-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1505-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment **1506**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Name: STEPHEN JUDICE

Address: 10 ETHAN ALLEN AVE. APT 24

City/State/Zip: COLCHESTER VT 05446

Official Public Comment **1507**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Name: GORDON LILLY

Address: 4168 MACBELL COURT

City/State/Zip: PALO ALTO CA 94306

Official Public Comment **1508**

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Name: John W. Jones

Address: 3041 Hickok Way

City/State/Zip: Riverside CA 92506

Postcards from Stephen Judice, Gordon Lilly, and John W. Jones

1506-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1507-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1508-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1509

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Paul Crawford

Address: 425 MARIA ST

City/State/Zip: REDLANDS, CA 92373

RECEIVED DEC 07 1999 Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1510

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: John F. Green

Address: 3120 Mt. Vernon Av.

City/State/Zip: Riverside, CA 92507

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1511

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Gregory K. Chapman

Address: 2233 MILOR

City/State/Zip: KLAMATH, CA. 95548

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Postcards from Paul Crawford, John F. Green, and Gregory K. Chapman

1509-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1510-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1511-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1512

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Ronald C. DeVoe

Address: P.O. Box 2243

City/State/Zip: Big Bear City, Ca. 92314

RECEIVED DEC 1999 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1513

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Robert Perales
728 E. Norbert Dr.
San Bernardino, CA 92404-4024

Official Public Comment 1514

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: SUE HOLLINGSWORTH

Address: 1816 RUTLEDGE

City/State/Zip: MODESTO, CA 95355

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Postcards from Ronald C. DeVoe, Robert Perales, and Sue Hollingsworth

1512-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1513-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1514-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1515

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Thank You,

Name: DOUGLAS WEBER

Address: 550 ALBERTA AVENUE

City/State/Zip: SUNNYVALE, CA 94087-4505

Official Public Comment 1516

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

RECEIVED
DEC 0[illegible] 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Thank You,

Name: Robert Nakagawa

Address: 728 McHenry Ave

City/State/Zip: Modesto, CA 95350

Official Public Comment 1517

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem. RECEIVED

DEC 06 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Thank You,

Name: LARRY HAAS

Address: 1776 MILTON ST

City/State/Zip: REDWOOD CITY, CA 94061

Postcards from Douglas Weber, Robert Nakagawa, and Larry Haas

1515-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1516-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1517-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Postcards from Jessie Lawson, Aric Lester, and Paul Lester

1518-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1519-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1520-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1518

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Thank You,

Name: Jessie Lawson

Address: 516 Cambridge

City/State/Zip: Belmont Ca 94002

Official Public Comment 1519

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Name: Aric Lester

Address: 312 Camellia Wy

City/State/Zip: Galt, CA 95632

Official Public Comment 1520

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Name: Paul Lester

Address: 779 Ivie Pl.

City/State/Zip: Woodland, CA 95776

Official Public Comment 1521

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Allison & Dave Boucher

Address: 242 Hita Lane

City/State/Zip: Ceres CA 95307

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 US Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1522

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Annie Kruss

Address: 2053 Queens Ln

City/State/Zip: San Mateo, CA 94402

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 US Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1523

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Bobbie Armir

Address: 3824 Appian St

City/State/Zip: Pleasanton Ca 94588

DEC 06 1999 US Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Postcards from Allison and Dave Boucher, Annie Kruss, and Bobbie Armir

1521-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1522-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1523-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1524

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
US Fish & Wildlife
Arcata, CA

Thank You,

Name: D Puigler

Address: 90 El Granada Blvd

City/State/Zip: Burlingame, Ca. 94010

Official Public Comment 1525

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
US Fish & Wildlife
Arcata, CA

Name: HAROLD PATTERSON

Address: 128 GULL AVENUE

City/State/Zip: FOSTER CITY, CA 94404

Official Public Comment 1526

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
US Fish & Wildlife
Arcata, CA

Name: MATT DAVEY

Address: 5533 RIVERWOOD WAY

City/State/Zip: SACRAMENTO CA. 95822

Postcards from D. Puigler, Harold Patterson, and Matt Davey

1524-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1525-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1526-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Postcards from Richard G. Holubek, James Slattery, and Carl Plescia

1527-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1528-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1529-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 1527

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Richard G Holubek

Address: 380 Elm St.

City/State/Zip: San Carlos, CA 94070

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1528

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: James Slattery

Address: 1113 Bonanza Way

City/State/Zip: Modesto, Ca 95350

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment 1529

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Carl Plescia

Address: 862 Gladiola Dr

City/State/Zip: Sunnyvale, CA 94086

RECEIVED DEC 06 1999 Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA