Dear FIS Lak Team Members:

1721

i support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River basin. While I support the schore and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report the recommendations were limited to an assumption about the annum of water that could be available for the river Legislation cleanly gives Trinity River Division, and additional legislation cleanly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Prederred Aberrarive does not go far enough to active a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you.

Deur Elle EIR Team Memberst

1722

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basia. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that earli by an assumption about the amount of water that earli by a caitable for the river, Legislation clearly gives Triaity flott and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the UVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandatur restoration of the veryytem.

Thank you,

Francisco Commence

Postcards from Randy Wiley and Paul Caswell

1721-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1722-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY1721-1839.DOC

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption ahout the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Postcards from Lejaran R. Reynolds and Wm. McCarty

1723-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1724-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY1721-1839.DOC D3-720

1725

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Dear EIS/k . R Team Members:

1726

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Afternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Postcards from Malcolm Dunn and A. D. Schneider

1725-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1726-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY1721-1839.DOC

i support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basia. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

· Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

1728

I support a diversion of no more that 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Bain. While I support the science and study that produced the flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation clearly gives Trinity Rive Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity Rive Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish 2-d wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CV. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Postcards from Larry Dennis and D. L. Pehrson

1727-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Carthy W. Althoft

Address:

19219 Almond Road

City/State/Zip:

_ Cacha valley co. 44546

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Kaye Heyer

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

STEVE HOPKINS

Address:

2462 WHISPERING OAKS DR.

City/State/Zip: FAIRFIELD CA. 945-33

Postcards from Cathy W. Altholt, Kaye Heyes, and Steve Hopkins

1729-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1730-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1154

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Ronald M. J. T.

Official Public Comment

1733

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: 1. HEATH WAKELEE

City/State/Zip: Granta Bay CA 95746

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

1734

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Postcards from Ronald M. Steinberg, R. Heath Wakelee, and Jeffrey E. Loretti

1732-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1733-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1735

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Bob Sandhall

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

1736

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

1727

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

רצרו

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional fegislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Postcards from Bob Langland, Larry Dennis, and J. Snyder

1735-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1736-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Postcard from Lucille Vinyard

1738-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem. The only well Thank You, the water is to have an astructure that called for Name:

Name:

Address:

Lucille Linyard

Address:

City/State/Zip: Trinidad, CA 95570

RDD/TRINITY1721-1839.DOC

D3-726

1739

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

JAMES K. DERDEN JR.

Address:

123 Barley Lan.

City/State/Zip: Arcata

Arcata CA 9552

Official Public Comment

1740

Dear-EIS/EIR Team Members:

Support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildfife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Wendy Snible P.O. Box 1114

Address: Po. Box 11/4
City/State/Zip: Trinidad, CA. 95570

KEITH CARUSO P.O. BOXIIIY TRINIDAD, CA. Postcards from James K. Derden, Jr., Wendy Snible, and Keith Caruso

1739-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1741

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

 Name:
 LISA RI

 Address:
 SO Johns

City/State/Zip: FIELD RROOK, CA

^ 95519

Official Public Comment



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the rer. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You Smy Divini TZ

Name: SDNEY Divini TZ

Address: POB 54/

TRINIDAD CA

Official Public Comment

1743

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

City/State/Zip:

Name: Simila Mendle
Address: 1987/2 Zehndur Ave,
City/State/Zip: Arcata, CA 9552

Postcards from Lisa Rizer, Sidney Dominitz, and Simoha Mendle

1741-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1742-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1743-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY1721-1839.DOC D3-728



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legalty mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Ton RowE

Address: City/State/Zip:

EURERLY CA 9550/

Official Public Comment

1745

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

ANN EZIAS

Address:

P.C. 560

City/State/Zip: WILLOW CEER CA 75573

Official Public Comment

1746

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Bruce ShipHten

City/State/Zip:

EUTIEKH PH. 9550

Postcards from Tom Rowe, Ann Elias, and Bruce Slightom

1744-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1745-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1746-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY1721-1839.DOC D3-729



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

City/State/Zip:

Name:

Address:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Official Public Comment

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip: OVINA, Ca. 94565

Postcards from Bruce Hunner, N. Pinett, and Bob N. Murakami

1747-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1748-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1750

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip

Ms. Eileen Scrodin 3642 Sweigert Rd. San Jose, CA 95132

Official Public Comment

1751

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name;

Address

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

1752

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

ND <u>CD</u> T4W

Postcards from Eileen Scrodin, Constance Crown, and Jeda McKicup

1750-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1751-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

662 Alden La Livermore, CA 94550-6302

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Address:

Name:

Postcards from Toby Trezona II, Mark L. Triska, and Darryl D. Ott

1753-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1754-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1756

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

LEO P. RACHAL

Address: SQ City/State/Zip: OA

OAKLAND CA 94618

Official Public Comment

1757

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name;

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Mr. Larry E. Dennis 35170 Gorda Street borrolamm Union City, CA 04527.c.

Official Public Comment

1758

Dear E1S/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name

LEONICE CITIMES

Address:

2737 San Antonia Driv

Malnut Creek CA 94598

Postcards from Leo P. Rachal, Larry E. Dennis, and George E. Grimes

1756-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1757-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1759

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,
Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Say Francisco, CA 94127

Official Public Comment

1760

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,
Name: Howns I NEWING TOMOSE THOMAS I NEW HUG
Address: 1835 VALLES ST #561
City/State/Zip: SAN FRANCISCO; CA 94/23

Official Public Comment

1761

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,
Name:
Address:
City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Richard R. Heath, Thomas I. Newland, and No Signature

1759-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1760-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While:I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Name:

Edwin A. McKean, Jr.

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Prefered Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restortion.

Thank You,

Name: Address

City/State/Zip:

5213 DIAMOND POINT KOND LA CANADA

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Postcards from Edwin R. McKean, Jr., Michael E. Davis, and Ellen Rosenblum

1762-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1763-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Postcards from Peter Shipley, Kristy Hibbs, and Michael S. Everett

1765-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1766-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1768

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Joy Cleins:
Address: PO Box 47

City/State/Zip: EUREKA CA 95707

Official Public Comment

1769

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

ficial Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

8238 White Fir Lane Anaheim Hills CA 9288

Postcards from Joy Clemens, Ray Page, and Karin Leavitt Hanke

1768-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1769-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1770-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY1721-1839.DOC



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Address: 8238 City/State/Zip: Angle

9280

Official Public Comment

1772

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Jefan Semm 430 41 St Areet

Address: City/State/Zip:

CX 9460

Official Public Comment

3ררו

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legalty mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

JIM KRAMER 524 Grandvien (4

City/State/Zip:

Richmond, CA 97801

Postcards from Karin Leavitt Hanke, Stefan Senin, and Jim Kramer

1771-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1772-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1114

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the cossystem.

Thank you,

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

1775

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

· Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

1776

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

g offing & freel:

Postcards from Larry E. Dennis, Wm. McCarty, Jeffrey E. Luell

1774-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1775-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

ノファ

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Dwiddlegoz

Official Public Comment

1778

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legalty mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

1779

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legalty mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

37 WINTER HAVEN

City/State/Zin:

120ine CA. 92619

Postcards from David Heyes, Janice Zaske, and Gregg Rogers

1777-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1778-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

VICTOR C. NON LING

Address:

13928 5 KTL/NO 8440.

City/State/Zip:

W0005105 CA. 9 1062

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Victor Norling, Don Helton, and Virginia Mullan

1780-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1781-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EiR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Address: City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Lynn Berner, Mike Mullar, and John Shower

1783-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1784-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address:

3595 NO. LAKESHOOF BIND City/State/Zip: Loomes, CA. 95650

Please Hels our RIVER !!

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Postcards from Chad Wohzford, Dr. Kenneth H. Nelsen, and Bonnie L. Davey

1786-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1787-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

City/State/Zip:

Name: Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Mike and Jennifer Clarke 3074 Brackenwood Place El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Rich & Pat Sherwood

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Kim K. Colby, Mike and Jennifer Clarke, and Rich and Pat Sherwood

1789-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries." 1790-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandeted restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Postcards from C. Shelton, Nelson Mathews, and V. S. Iwata

1792-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1793-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Commen.

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Richard W. Horrigan, M.D., Ron Saiki, and Brooks Anderson

1795-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1796-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip: Wisoclacre

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Postcards from Dave Shore, Maxine Durney, and Alan L. Bartl

1798-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1799-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1801

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: Harold Watts
4488 Flores Ave

City/State/Zip: Robinson Park, CA 949

Official Public Commen

1802

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

torest knolls, C

Official Public Comment

1803

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally-mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

James A. CLEVER

Name: Address:

41 GLEN D

City/State/Zip:

MILL VALLEY CA 94941

Postcards from Harold Watts, Cathy Chambers, and James A. Clever

1801-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1802-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1804

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

COTT Sections

Address:

13xx 201

City/State/Zip: Fleat 356459 CA 954

Official Public Comment

1805

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally manuated resonation of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

GOIZDUN J. DON

Address:

4189 Chapperan c

City/State/Zip: SANTH POSA CA 95409

Official Public Comment

1806

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildfig-priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

City/State/Zip:

Name:

Address:

Mr. E. DeLaura 3950 Et Lado Dr. Glendale, CA 91214-3269

Postcards from Scott Serkes, Gordon J. Dow, and E. DeLaura

1804-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1805-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1807

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Ms. Kareo Smits — 11337 Farlin St.

Address: City/State/Zip:

- 1.ns Angeles, CA 90049-3013 --

Official Public Commen

1808

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: Stan Pleskunas 1061 Elkhovn R

City/State/73

Watsonville CA 9507

Official Public Comment

1809

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip 3642 Sweigert Rd Son Jose CA 95132

Postcards from Karen Smits, Stan Pleskunas, and David Bookout

1807-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1808-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1810

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: C STAVLOS

City/State/Zip:

SANTA-ROSA-CA 95404

Official Public Comment

1811

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

THERESA PISGNI

Address:

1904 (-RUSSE AUE

Cimismodia. (1. 90

Official Public Comment

1812

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Namo

Steve Laco

Address:

St 95402

Postcards from C. Stavros, Theresa Pisani, and Steve Larson

1810-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1811-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1813

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

TATARISA, CALIFOOT

Official Public Comment

1814

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

ame:

City/State/Zim: Size

bestored ch gen

Official Public Comment

1815

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zin

577 Mconnal St Savia Rosa Ca. 95401

Postcards from Jane Gror, Lynda M. Worsley, and Alison Rivas

1813-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1814-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip: Healdstown, CA 95448

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Postcards from Sergio De La O, Jr., Kim Prano, and Joan Gehrke

1816-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1817-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1818-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY1721-1839.DOC D3-753

1819

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

1820

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Mr. & Mrs. William Stark, Jr 13431 Wildcrest Dr Los Altos, CA 94022-3433

Official Public Comment

1821

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: <u>JERALD SERINIA</u> 1<u>2865 ROADRUNNER</u> DE PEUN NALLEY (1.95940

Postcards from Judith Hodson, Mr. & Mrs. William Stark, Jr., and Gerald Serina

1819-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1820-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1821-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY1721-1839.DOC

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the egosystem.

Thank You, Name:

City/State/Zip:

CHARLES STEINMET

Address:

<u>HOS QUINTMA WAY</u> FLEMONT CA 94539-365

Official Public Comment

1823

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

P. COMORE

Address: City/State/Zip: 218 Yels Avenue Tensington, CA 94708

Official Public Comment

1824

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name: Address:

City/State/Zin

<u>ista</u> CA 95122 Postcards from Charles Steinmetz, P. Conous, and Carol V. Smith

1822-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1823-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip: SAN DIEZO CA 92120

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

:Address:

City/State/Zip

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trivity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Robert F. McGinnis, Kathleen Casey, and Teri Mikulecky

1825-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1826-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

1830-1

Postcards from Andrea Pellicani, Don Forbes, and Sally Kimotek

1828-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1829-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1830-1 Thank you for your comment. Your comment has been noted. No

response is required.

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Postcards from S. Neustein, Michael O'Brien, and Larry Chambers

1831-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1832-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip: Mtn. View. CA

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Jack W. Germin

Postcards from Susan Clegg, Patti Hansen, and Jack Gerwin

1834-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1835-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from W. G. McElhinney, John Blandford, and Karyn Chebul

1837-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1838-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."