Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: 5636 LEMICE PLACE
City/State/Zip: FREMENT CA 94634

Official Public Comment 2309

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comm 2310

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Prefetted Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name;

Address:

City/State/Zip: HA47ork CA 96041

Postcards from Michael D. Walden, Don Hirzel, and H. Rosemary Pierce

2308-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2309-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comme.

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip: FAIRFIELD CA. 94533 - Marchell 11 30.99

Official Public Comment 2313

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name;

Address:

Postcards from Sara Siner, Martin Howard, and Sarah DePasquale

2311-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2312-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment 2315

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Postcards from Richard Merandi, Mr. & Mrs. O. N. Siner, and Stanley J. Sagi

2314-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2315-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

ΜG

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Dennis Jensen, William C. Peakes, and Larry E. Dennis

2317-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2318-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Commen

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip;

MAGALIA, CA 95954

Official Public Comment 2322

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Sarah Bryant, Ernest W. Hufschmidt, and **Suzanne Danod**

2320-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2321-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2322-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Commen

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip: NEWACK CA 94562

Postcards from Ken Hill, David Frazier, and Kate Blubaugh

2323-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2324-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2325-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY2308-2401.DOC D3-925

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

1293 ANTWERF LN

City/State/Zip: SAN Jose, CA 95118

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

City/State/Zip: CASTRO VALLEY CA 945-46

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

MIKE DAY

Address:

1000 CRYSTAL SPRINGS

City/State/Zip: 141WSBOROUGH, CAL

44010

Postcards from Dave Messink, Cal Abreu, and Mike Day

2326-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2327-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2328-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY2308-2401.DOC D3-926

2329

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zi

Official Public Commen.

2330

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: TAUL LAI

City/State/Zip:

SUNNYVALE CA 9401

Official Public Comment

2331

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: 2

2222 LACAY DR XILLATAS CA 95038

Postcards from Amanda Estes, Paul Lai, and Bob Brinton

2329-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2330-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2332

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zit

Official Public Comment

2333

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: DETERA A SMITH

City/State/Zin: ADT

Official Public Comment

2334

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

CATHY BIANICHI

Address:

1170 Foster City Bird # 312

City/State/Zin-

FOSTER CITY CAGHOY

Postcards from Jeffery Estes, Debra A. Smith, and Cathy Bianchi

2332-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2333-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

ROHAN PORENTA

Address:

FOSTER CITY, CA 94404 City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment 2336

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip;

Official Public Comment

2337

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Postcards from Roman Porenta, John Blevins, and C. B. Keniston

2335-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2336-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2338

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Proferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Robert L. Price

Address:

5509 Del Oro Cy

City/State/Zip:

Sun Juse CA 95124

Official Public Comment

2339

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

BRIAN FOGARM

Address:

3383 GENEVA Diz.

City/State/Zip:

DANTA CLARA CA 9505

Official Public Comment

2340

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

4-chate

Postcards from Robert L. Price, Brian Fogarty, and Kristen Johnson

2338-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2339-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2341

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip

Official Public Comment

2342

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Commen

2343

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

MARIA SUEENON

City/State/Zij

(CHNOND, C& 01480

Postcards from Dawn Estes, Jerry Apana, and Maria Sweeney

2341-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2342-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

COLBY

Address: City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address:

Postcards from Lee Colby, Clyde Edwards, and Harold Edwards

2344-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2345-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem,

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: JIM FENNER.
Address: ISI SIRUEMM PL
City/State/Zip: SSCBGS134

Postcards from Ernie Swanson, Mireya DeSantos, and Jim Fenner

2347-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2348-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2350

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip: Junnyvale CA 94086

Official Public Comment 235

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Postcards from Ken Kondo, Jim Drapchaty, and Leonard Susbilla

2350-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2351-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Name:

Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water non from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Address:

City/State/Zip: NEWARK CA 94560

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip: SAN MATEO CALLE

Postcards from Guy Furlo, Al Shaw, and John McNally

2353-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2354-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Postcard from J. Uchrizama

2356-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

RDD/TRINITY2308-2401.DOC

D3-936

2357

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

ROM CRENO

Address:

PO 30x 2786

City/State/Zip: <u>パロを発すさい CA タジン</u>グ

Official Public Comment

2358

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the matural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

E. Merlic

Address:

18381 Laurel Driv

City/State/Zip: 405 Gales CA95030

Official Public Comment

2359

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Harry Hoton

City/State/Zip

San Jose CA 9512

Postcards from Ron Cremo, E. Merlic, and Garry Hodson

2357-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2358-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Postcards from Jim Isaacson and Simon Yu

2360-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2361-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment

2360

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

	-	
Th	ank.	You

Name:

Jim Isaacson

-- -- --

San Jose, CA 95/25



Official Public Comment

2361

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip: San Jose, CA9529

RDD/TRINITY2308-2401.DOC

Postcards from Ruth Price and Walt Robinson

2362-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2363-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip: SAN JOSE PA 95729

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

RDD/TRINITY2308-2401.DOC

D3-939

2364

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

2365

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip

Official Public Comment

2366

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

616 EL Patro De

City/State/Zip:

ampbell C4 9500

Postcards from John McClehan, Marilyn Edwards, and Rick D. Utermoehler

2364-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2365-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: FRED HAPPICH Address: 539 CAMBRIDGE

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name: Address: City/State/Zip;

Official Public Comment 2369

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name: Address: City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Fred Happich, Thomas R. Tilton, and Scott Underwood

2367-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2368-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2369-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY2308-2401.DOC D3-941

2370

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip: EC 18 CHOC 19 CHOC 19 CHOC 22 PER PRESSE OUR PROBLE ANABORMUS PISHERIES OF COLL PRIVE GENERATIONS TO ENSOY.

Official Public Comment 237 Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

City/State/Zip: 605 GAJOS (A 95272

Official Public Comment 2372

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Postcards from Perry Sims, Ken Smith, and Gene Bartlett

2370-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2371-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name: 3678 SLater Ct. Address: City/State/Zip: San Jose, CA 95/32

Official Public Comment 2374

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment 2375

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Postcards from Jason Lariz, Michael Pluchar, and Bob Eury

2373-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2374-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2376

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: 1

City/State/Zip:

SAV TO 1 7

Official Public Comment

2377

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

2378

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address;

City/State/Zip:

auto 5. Butter

<u>150 Ca.</u> 9512.

Postcards from Grant Bell, Jennifer Judd-McKenzie, and Paul S. Butler

2376-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2377-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: 410 CHARLOTT (N

City/State/Zip: REDWOOD City CA. 94063

Official Public Comment

235 O

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

William A Wilson Jr 38822 Farwell Or 21-B

Address:

City/State/Zip: Frement, CA 94536

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Postcards from William Fleming, William A. Wilson, Jr., and Richard Friedlander

- 2379-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries." 2380-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 2381-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of waser that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinky River Division, and additional legislation cleanly gives Thinly lists and wideline princip over the diversion of any water to the CVF. Therefore, the Fredrick Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecotystem.

Thank You,

FEMUL MEDIA

Shal Fleehum & Dr.

City/State/Zip: San Bench CA 94066

Official Public Comment 2383

Dear EE/EIR Team Members:

I support a discussion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Figw Resheriou Report, the recommendations were limited by an association about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Tricity River Division, and additional legislation cleany gives Thinky fast and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to she CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to actions a legally mundeted restoration of the exceptions.

Think You,

Name

Address:

City/State/Zip:

I support a diversion of no mose that 30 percent of the natural water flow-from the Trinity River flatin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Bridsmian Report, the recompromediation steet furnised by an arrangition about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Triality River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity Rich and writiffle mining over the divention of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Perform Alternative deas not go for enough to schieue a legislity animatered restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

City/State/Zip: _

Name:

Uns SCHLEER 6941 DARK CAPTOR KELSEY CA 25662

Postcards from Frank Mejia, Ron Graupmann, and Urs Schuler

2382-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2383-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2384-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY2308-2401.DOC D3-946

Postcard from Shari Nordell

2385-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 10 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Busin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zio: 101.

RDD/TRINITY2308-2401.DOC

D3-947

2386

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: City/State/Zip

19673 Reamer Rd. Castro Valley, CA 94546-1299

2387

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Official Public Comment

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

2388

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

GERALD & JUDY MERRILL

Address: City/State/Zip: 5853 FREMONT ST. ORKLAND, CA 94808 510-654-5531 Postcards from Frank G. Delfino, Stefanie DeVries, and Gerald and Judy Merrill

2386-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."2387-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment 2396

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip: La Canada Ca 9101

Postcards from William M. Bonnell, Dale R. Steffy, and William D. Smith

2389-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2390-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2392

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: 5000 Kintz of Manan +

City/State/Zip:

Zip: <u>6.14. CA 90041</u>

Official Public Comment

2393

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legalty mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Dr. Donald D. Hoagland 1424 Loma Vista St. Pasadena, CA 91104

Official Public Comment

2394

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Address: City/State/Zip:

- 10 mg

and Lynne Iser

Postcards from Roger Kintz & Marian Rozsa, Dr. Donald D. Hoagland,

2392-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2393-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Postcards from Christina Isenberg, Jon Gresley, and Larry Dennis

2395-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2396-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Postcards from Bill McCarty and Mike Walch

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members;

2398

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the coosystem.

Thank you,

BILL Milary

Milhalor

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

2319

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

2398-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."2399-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY2308-2401.DOC

Postcards from Dean Lewis and Lane G. Elliott

2400-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2401-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

2401

2400

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,