Postcard from Walter Huwe

2597-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Des EIS/EIR Team Members:
I support a diversion of no more than 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river.

could be available for the river.

Legislation creating the Trinity River Division and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the Central Valley Project (CVP) in the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated, restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You

Name: Walter Huwe Address: 1020 Everglades Dr. City/State/Zip: Pacifica, Ca, 94044

2597

2598

José Mariscal 1143 N. Oak Uklah, CA 95482

November 28,1999

Hon. Bruce Babbitt Secretary of the Interior c/o Mr. Joe Polos Fish & Wildlife Service 1125 16th St., Rm 209 Arcata, CA 95521

Dear Secretary Babbitt and Mr. Polos,

Please restore at least 70% of the water flows to the Main stem Trinity River. The following are my reasons for urging you to take this action:

2598-1

- 1. Trinity River Act of 1955, authorizing the dams, specifically mandated that the fish and wildlife of the basin not be harmed. The Interior Secretary was directed to ensure that fish and wildlife in the basin were protected. However, since the dams were completed in 1963, water diversions led to a nearly 90 percent decline in the fisheries by the early 1990's. The coho salmon now is listed under the Endangered Species Act, and steelhead are a candidate for listing.
- 2. The federal government's trust obligations to two Native American Tribes have gone unfulfilled for more than 36 years because of excessive water diversions from the Trinity River. The time has come for the federal government to begin fulfilling its legally mandated responsibilities to the Hoopa Valley and Yurok Tribes.
- 3. Two decades of study and scientific evidence have given us the needed information to make a good decision for the Trinity. While the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report are sound, the recommendations were limited by an

2598-2

Letter from José Mariscal Dated November 28, 1999

2598-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2598-2 Please see thematic response titled "Tribal Trust."

Hon. B. Babbitt & Mr. Polos, pg. 2

assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. However, more water can be made available since the legislation creating the Trimity River Division, and later legislation, clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP.

- 4. When the Trinity is restored, the commercial and sport fishing, rafting, and tourism economies of the Northern California and Southern Oregon will rebound.
- 5. The Trinity River and its restoration program are completely independent of the CALFED process. Restoration of the Trinity River is mandated in the 1955 legislation authorizing construction of the Trinity River Division, the Trinity River Basin Fish and Wildlife Management Act of 1984, and the Central Valley Project Improvement Act (CVPIA). The CVPIA reaffirms the Trinity's unique position within the Central Valley Project (CVP) and clearly sets forth that restoration of the Trinity is to be considered independently from other California water issues.
- 6. The federal government's promise to maintain a healthy fishery in the Trinity River has been disregarded for the last 36 years, and past legislation mandated a flow decision by the end of 1996. If these legislated promises are not finally fulfilled, why should we believe any promises developed through CALFED? A restored Trinity River will allow Californians to have faith that the ongoing CALFED negotiations will produce meaningful improvement in our state's water policies.

I respectfully urge you to restore a minimum of 70% of Trinity River water to the river, its fish and wildlife and to those of us who use the river for recreation and our livelihoods. Thank you for your time and consideration of this request.


Sincerely

José Mariscal

for Mound.



Letter from José Mariscal continued



Postcard from Harold D. Simmons

2599-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2600-1

2600 California Floaters Society A Community of River Enthusiasts

November 22, 1999

Joe Polos U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1125 16th Street, Room 209 Arcata, CA 95521

Re: Trinity River Mainstern Fishery Restoration - Comments to DEIS/EIR

Dear Mr. Polos:

On behalf of the California Floaters Society, I am writing to urge the Secretary of the Interior, and associated federal, state and local agencies, to adopt the Maximum Flow Alternative in conjunction with the approval of the Transty River Mainstem Fishery Restoration project.

California Floaters Society (CFS) is a network of recreational boaters who enjoy paddling whitewater around the state. Our members hail from as far north as Redding and Arcata, and as far south as San Diego. With the advertising and sponsorship of equipment retailers and related commercial entities, we host events designed to encourage the public to enjoy and protect California's waterways. We also publish a bi-monthly newsletter with a circulation of 1500, in which we discuss issues relating to our mission statement:

"CFS embraces the philosophy of community in all river users and in the use of advancing river access, ecology, preservation and having fun. We promote shared knowledge, adventure and elevated safety and river rescue awareness."

As a non-profit educational and recreational organization, we feel strongly that the Maximum Flow Alternative is the best means for restoring native fish species populations and protecting the wild and scenic designation of the Trinity River and its surrounding watershed,

Thank you for recommending the adoption of the Maximum Flow Alternative in approving the Trinity River Mainstern Fishery Restoration project.

Suzanne A. Tollefson Legal Advisor California Floaters Society

> CFS, c/o Suzanne Tollefson, 2208 Athens River Court, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670 Voice: 916.852.1553 * Fax: 916.852.0829 * Email: suzanne@ramstrom.com

Letter from California Floaters Society Dated November 22, 1999

2600-1 Thank you for your comment. Your comment has been noted. No response is required.

Letter from Kenneth Humphry Dated December 6, 1999

December 6, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1655 Heindon Road Arcata, Ca 95521 2601

Dear Mr. Polos,

I came to Humboldt County in the 1955 to teach in the Psychology Department at Humboldt State University as the result of an interview in Iowa City, Iowa with the then Dean of H.S.U., Homer Balabanis. Dean Balabanis was a charming man and I was impressed by his description of the character of H.S.U. and its environs: a snall college in a small town in a beautiful natural setting. At one point in describing the area he made a statement to the effect that there were 800 miles (I may be incorrect in remembering the exact figure here, but it was large) of free-flowing rivers and streams in Humboldt County which teemed with salmon and steelhead in the fall and winter. I was immediately "hooked!"

In the fall of that year, I packed my wife, two children, a small dog and "all our worldly possessions" in our Ford sedan and headed for Callfornia. I can see in my mind as clearly as if it were yesterday that point on the Trinity River where I got my first glimpse of a large number of salmon rolling and jumping in a long pool. I came to a screeching halt at the first available pull off! I could hardly believe my eyes. Dean Balabanis was correct.

It is apparent by now that I am an ardent fisherman. Immediately upon getting settled, I began to explore and fish the rivers of Humboldt, Del Norte, Siskiyou, and Trinity Counties.

All of the rivers fished very well at that time, but my favorites were the Trinity and the Salmon Rivers, because they produced numerous and large fish, and also, because they were indescribably beautiful.

During the years that followed,, the fishing gradually declined as the consequence of poorly designed over-logging practices, road construction and, in the case of the Trinity, grossly excessive water diversion. Today, the decline of the fish population has reached the point of near extinction.

All of the specific facts relating to the above observations have been well-documented and I see little point in including them in this letter.

I am writing, principally, as an individual who has loved and valued, since early childhood, the beauty in all of nature and the opportunity to immerse myself in activities which bring me in close contact with it. High on the list of sources of such activities are beautiful, free-flowing streams such as the Trinity once was. I love to fly fish and the Trinity lends itself extremely well to such a pursuit.

Others will write and speak of other items which are important concerning the current study of the Trinity, e.g., the economic and cultural considerations, other recreational

RDD/TRINITY2597-2657 DOC

activities such as kayaking, white-water rafting, etc. Upon examining all such relevant data, I would hope that after all the rears of delay and previous studies, the decision making entities will courageously address the known causes of the problems and take prompt action to restore this wonderful river to more closely resemble its earlier natural state.

Sincerely yours,

Kenneth Humphry 5104 Patrick Creek Dr. McKinleyville, CA 95519 2601-1

Letter from Kenneth Humphry continued

2601-1 Thank you for your comment. Your comment has been noted. No response is required.

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go for enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Address:

Fish & Wildlife Servic

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

REGEIVER DEC 10 1999

Haderville, CA 9554D3 Eish & Wildlife Service City/State/Zip:

Arcata, CA

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem. BECEIVE

Thank You,

Address:

DEC 1 0 1996

IIS Eish & Wildlife 🦠 Arcata, CA

Postcards from Darrell Robinson, James A. Baird, and Dave Meredyth

2602-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2603-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2604-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

DEC 10 1999

Name² Address: workus Fish & Wlidlife Service Arcata, CA

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

RECEIVER

DEC 1 0 1999 SOV ildlife Service Arcata, CA

Address: City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem. 岩色色医1996年

Thank You,

City/State/Zip:

DEC 1 0 **199**9

IIS Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Postcards from Sungnome Madrone, Glenn Goldan, and Lauri Rose

2605-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2606-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2607-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

D3-1048 RDD/TRINITY2597-2657.DOC

2608

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,
Name: Judith Hisman DEC 1 0 1999
Address: 111 Solaris Land US Fish & Wildlife Service
City/State/Zip: Bausid OK 55524 Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment

2609

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion, of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go the render to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Laxxy Mass Us Fish & Wildlife Service Address: 361 Roundhouse Creek Road

City/State/Zip: Trividad CA 95570

I have been in this area for over 30 years. When they put the dam number over expected it would tarrethe fiver so day and damage the rishery so body. The dam cas wrong. Please restore the river Plous!

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

It support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the cooxystems are INER.

Thank You,	KESTIACO
Name:	Robert Sutherland DEC 10 1999
Address:	PO Box 996 US Fish & Wildlife Service
City/State/Zip:	Redukty CA 95560 Arcata, CA

Postcards from Judith Hinman, Larry Moss, and Robert Sutherland

2608-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2609-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2610-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Address: City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

દેહદા 328 9

City/State/Zip:

DEC 1 0 1999

REBEIVEL

US Fish & Wildlife So

Dear ElS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

RECEIPER

Name: Address:

DEC (0 1999

Fish & Wildlife Surve Arcata, CA

Postcards from Sandra Tilles, Michael and Nancy Tout, and Henry Beck

2611-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2612-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2613-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2614

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,		REGETYED
Name;	SIM/DEDELING	_
Address:	Brow 1/4	ປະປຸກ 1000
City/State/Zip;	PETROLIA, CA. 95	Servi.

Official Public Comment

2615

I support a diversion of no more than 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to

achieve a legally	mandated restoration of the ecosystem.	o int chough to
Thank You,	(RECEIVE
Name:	Carrie Orant	DEC 1 0 1999
Address:	PO POX 165	Fish & Wildlife S
City/State/Zip:	Tetrolla, CA- 95552	Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment

2616

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,	C. D	0.0000
Name:	Lours Picher LEWIS	RECEIVED
Address:	735 gaylord St	MEDELLER
City/State/Zip:	Denvel Co 80206	DEC 10 1999
		15 Fish & Wildlife Servi

Postcards from Jim Groeling, Carrie Grant, and Lewis Picher

2614-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2615-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2616-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2617

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does no the property to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,
Name:

Address:

1960 Luco Rd
Arcata, CA

City/State/Zip:

Acata, CA

75540

Official Public Comment

2618

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Thank You,
Name:

Maureen Taylor

Address:

Little Fox Form 2d Us Fish & Wildlife ServicArcata. CA

City/State/Zip:

Trinidad OA 95570

Official Public Commer

men 2619

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, RECEIVED Name: JAMES W.C.LARK DEC 1.0 4000

Address: 3438 I STNEET DS Fish & Wildlife Service

Postcards from Nancy J. Head, Bruce and Maureen Taylor, and James W. Clark

2617-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2618-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2619-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

	Wes	te not -		vant	not.	· ·
		Offici	; al Public Co	mment	262	
	Dear EIS/EIR T	eam Members:	:	ı da f		
	I support a diversification the Trinity produced the Floran assumption ab Legislation creat clearly gives Trit to the CVP. The achieve a legally Thank You, Address: City/State/Zip:	River Basin. We Evaluation Resourt the amount ong the Trinity Futty fish and will prefer the Prefer	eport, the recof water that the control of water that the control of the control	ort the science commendation to could be aven, and additive over the diversities of the coopystem.	ns were limite ailable for the onal legislatio ersion of any	at d by river. n water th to worker
(A)Y	nat more d	oyou nea	d tok	now)-1	Let us n	nume
φ, by	nat more d nanage m sur MAII M US back Dear EIS/EIR I	iotnerna IADE offici eam Members	tures lo lai Public Co ater	ounty mment to let u	NOT MA	ANIAU S.GI
	I support a diver- from the Trinity produced the Flo an assumption ab Legislation creat clearly gives Trit to the CVP. The achieve a legally	sion of no more River Basin. Waw Evaluation Resout the amount ing the Trinity I nity fish and will erefore, the Pref	that 30 percent of the first that 30 percent, the recent of water that the first	ort the science commendation to could be avoin, and addition over the divastive does no	e and study the ns were limite allable for the onal legislation version of any	at d by river. water gh to
	Thank You,	KRISTI V	bion	=_	DEC 10	1999
	Name:	2550 W	love to	√ Βο ~~	Ton & Wildli	ife Service
	Address:		RKILE	110. 0. 25503	Arcata,	CA
	City/State/Zip:	Fureki	e Cott.		262	4
-	•	-			21.	2 -
	Dear EIS/EIR T		ial Public Co :	mment {	26	44
	I support a diver- from the Trinity produced the Flo an assumption at Legislation creati- clearly gives Trit to the CVP. The achieve a legally	River Basin. We Evaluation Report the amount ing the Trinity Inity fish and will be the Prefere, the Prefere.	/hile I support, the re- of water that River Division idlife priority terred Altern	or the science commendation the could be aven, and addition over the divident ative does no	e and study the ns were limite ailable for the onal legislatio	at d by river.
	Thank You,	- A	0 4	8	ECEIVED	
	Name:	David	Vruitt	Đr	D 1 0 40	
	Address:	PaBox 4	916	TIG = .	C 1 0 1999	
	City/State/Zip:	Arcata	CA 95	5/8 Eash	& Wildlife Sea Arcata, CA	rvice.
				- 4 * *-		

Postcards from Kathleen Cleary, Kristi Wrigley, and David Pruitt

2620-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2621-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2622-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin, While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

DEC 1 0 1999

Name: Address: City/State/Zip:

Trinidas, Ca

US Fish & Wildlife Servic. Arcata, CA

RECEIVES

Official Public Comment

> Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

nank	1	ou,	

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

RECEIVER

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem

Thank You,

Address:

City/State/Zip:

DS Fish & Wildlife Service

Postcards from Carol E. Mone, Sarah Maninger, and Heidi Theodore

- 2623-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 2624-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 2625-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

D3-1054 RDD/TRINITY2597-2657.DOC

BECEIVED

DEC 1 0 1999

DS Fish & Wildlife Sanda

Arcata, CA

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Name Address: 1485

City/State/Zip:

95959-1485

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Address: City/State/Zip; Arcata CA 95518-4597 WWF LET'S LEAVE A LIVING PLANET

DEC 1 0 1996

DS Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem. RECEIVED

Thank You,

Address: City/State/Zip:

DEC 1 0 1999

US Fish & Wildlife St. Arcata, CA

Postcards from W. Paicier, Pamela Mendelsohn, and Burton C. Harlan

2626-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2627-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2628-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,
Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Thank You,

RECEIVE

RECEIVE

RECEIVE

RECEIVE

STATEMENT ACADA DEC 10 1999

Address:

STATEMENT OF GS52/US Fish & Wildlife Sarcata, CA

Official Public Commen

2630

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,		RECEIVED
Name:	Jean Taylor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Address:	3656 Hortstrond Gulch	DEC 1 0 1999
City/State/Zip:	Etna CA 96027	US Fish & Wildlife Sers
- •		Arcata Ca

Official Public Comment

2631

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,	
Name:	Daymord W Barrate 10 1990
Address:	69 42 Fickle A- OS Foods Wildlife Service
City/State/Zip:	Baymord W Barratt 10 1990 6949 Fickle H- VAS Fork Wildlife Service Avcata, CA 9552/ Arcata, CA

Postcards from Brynn Benson, Jean Taylor, and Raymond W. Barratt

2629-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2630-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2631-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Archite, ()

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

City/State/Zip:

Name:

RECEIVED DEC 1 0 1999

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem. [] 医多星[] [[] [] []

Thank You,

City/State/Zip:

Address:

Postcards from Donna B. Clark, Carol Boyd, and Bill Stringer

2632-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2633-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2634-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2635

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation

an assumption creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem 3 2 3 2 4 3 2 4

Address:

Address:

Address:

Address:

Address:

Address:

Arcata. CA

Arcata. CA

Arcata. CA

Official Public Comment

2636


Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Thank You.

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,	1	4 E G E] 4 E (
Name:	Lia Subrecky	DED 1 0 1999
Address:	PC Brow 1189	SECTIONS
City/State/Zip:	Pala Cedio CA	900 Fish & Wildlife Service



Postcards from Art Penniman, Lia Subrecky, and Mr. & Mrs. Geo Mulvey

2635-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2636-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2637-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Address:

US Fish & Wildlife Service Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does in Egg far engugh to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem,

Thank You,

DEC 1 0 1999

Name:

US Fish & Wildlife Service

Arcata, CA Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem. BECEIVES

Name:

DEC 1 0 1995

Address: City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Carlton Bradford, Douglas G. Sobey, and Kent Anderson

2638-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries." 2639-1

2640-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

D3-1059 RDD/TRINITY2597-2657.DOC

RECEIVED

DEC 1 0 1999

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Official Public Comment
US Fish & Wildlife Service

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name:

Address

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem RECEIVED

Thank You,

Name: Address:

#6 Fish & Wildlife Service

Official Public Comment

DEC 1 0 1999

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the preferred Alternative does not go far enough to

Thank You Name:

DEC 10 1995

Address: City/State/Zip: / レモスオ

US Fish & Wildlife S.

RECEIVE

Postcards from Sally Aldinger, Larry Robinson, and George Thomas

- 2641-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 2642-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 2643-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2644

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,
Name: Ken

RESEIVED

Address: 548-435 Old Huy. Rd. DEC 10 1995
City/State/Zip: Mc Arthur, CA 9605 65 Eish & Wildlife Second

Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment

2645

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: 1 Address: 2 RECEIVED

DEC 1 0 1999

City/State/Zip: Etna CA 96027

US Fish & Wildlife Servic Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment

2646

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Timity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: City/State/Zip: BECEIVE

DEC 1 0 1999

FIS Glob + replant.

Postcards from Ken Templeton, Michael Taylor, and Cynthia and Ted Story

2644-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2645-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2646-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank	You.

City/State/Zip:

Name:

AHLST Rom Parketi & Wildlife So Address

Arcata, CA

DEC 1 0 1999

タイプコ

Official Public Co. Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

RECEIVED

DEC 10 1999

US Fish & Wildlife Serie Arcata, CA

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Ms. Julia Bent P.O. Box 31745 cattie, WA 98103 DEC 1 0 1999

J\$ Fish & Wildlife Scr € Arcata, CA

Postcards from Michael Shapiro, Becca Smith, and Julia Bent

- 2647-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 2648-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
- 2649-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

D3-1062 RDD/TRINITY2597-2657.DOC

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to 3 achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

<u>2</u>(tWY) .ક લક્ક & Wildlife Ser

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem. RECEIVED

Thank you,

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far knough fo achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

CB Tab & Wädefe So Arcata, CA

City/State/Zip: EDREKA. CA. 95503

Postcards from Ranit Kirschenbaum, Ronald M. Steinberg, and **Ed Pawlus**

2650-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2651-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."
2652-1	Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

D3-1063 RDD/TRINITY2597-2657.DOC

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank	You
Name:	

Address:

RECEIVES DEC 1 0 1999

City/State/Zip:

Eish & Wildlife % . . .

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem. RECEIVED

Thank You,

Name:

DEC 1 0 1999

Address:

US Fish & Wildlife 5 Arcata. 🗸 🐫

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem. RECE

Thank You.

Name: Address: DEC 1:

US Eish & Wi Arcato. UA

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Bette Ann Berg, Sacha Hall, and Ron Angell

2653-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2654-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2655-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

D3-1064 RDD/TRINITY2597-2657.DOC

Official Public Comment Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:


2656

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

 Thank You,
 Name:
 FM SUNYECKY

 Address:
 CL C. V. T.

 City/State/Zip:
 CV T. V. C. V. C. V.



Postcard from Jim Subrecky

2656-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

December 5, 1999

2651

10 whom It May Concern:

It is my understanding the original arrangement regarding Trinety River water diversion provided for no more than 34% of the water to be directed from the river. I believe their is the proper amount to direct - no more.

fegiolotion says the fish and other weldlight that depend on the Trenity for their services should have priority, over the Central Walley. Project. Farmers that form where there cont water available! They can't steel it from other regions so they well have to use what they have locally in a more conservative manner.

The Preferred alternative is not adequate to restore the trienity fever.

Thank you,

Jude Claine Power 10 Box 4958 accato, CA 95518 2657-1

Letter from Jude Claire Power Dated December 5, 1999

2657-1 Regarding the adequacy of the Preferred Alternative, please see thematic response titled "No Action Alternative/Existing Conditions Scenario and Range of Alternatives."