

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go for enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip: Torckee CA 96160

45 8523

Official Public Comment



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip: ZEPH4R COVE 89448

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Postcards from Joella L. Gustavson, Ann Marie Rose, and Kent Winger

2778-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2779-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2780-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY2778-2871.DOC D3-1107

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

KANI LEE TEWBROECK 1134 WISTERIA

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Name:

ZOBERT DREYER

Address:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

City/State/Zip: SF, CA 94115

Official Public Comment

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Address:

THOMAS I. FOCCI 34 COLLINS STREET

City/State/Zip: SAN FRANCISCO CA 9118

Postcards from Kari Lee Tenbroeck, Robert Dreyer, and Thomas J. Poggi

2781-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2782-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2783-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY2778-2871.DOC D3-1108

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the coosystem.

Thank You, Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Berkeley CA 94.

Official Public Comment

2785

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address

1595 fos Osos (Augy) Los Osos, (4. 9340.

Official Public Commen

2786

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name: Address:

23067 Ventura 8, #202 Woodlend Hills, CA 9136 Postcards from Paul Grunland, E. J. Merrick, and Charles McLean

2784-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2785-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2786-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY2778-2871.DOC D3-1109

Official Public Commer Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river, Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystom

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the consystem.

Thank You,

Name:

PETER O. GRANT 5780 Dayton Ave

City/State/Zip:

Silver Springs, NV 89429

Postcards from Tanya Baxter, Gary Yamasaki, and Peter O. Grant

2787-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2788-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2789-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY2778-2871.DOC D3-1110

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

PETER O. GRANT

Address:

5780 Dayton Ave

City/State/Zip:

Silver Springs, NV 89429

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and withflife princity over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Postcards from Peter O. Grant, Dan Watson, and Stan Perry

2790-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2791-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip: Beckeley

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Stephen Shaughnessy, Eric Shaughnessy, and Victor Laney

2793-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2794-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Name: Address: George A. Stars 220 Corte Colina Movazo, CA. 94949

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

2797

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: <u>Damian Centana</u> 3570 Sunnyside br

City/State/Zip:

YORBO UNDA, CA. 92884

Official Public Comment

2798

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trimity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trimity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trimity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally manifated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Times Sheldon 5335 Mendian Ave

Address: 5335 Mei City/State/Zip: SanTore C

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Postcards from George A. Starn, Damian Centanni, and James Sheldon

2796-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2797-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2798-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY2778-2871.DOC D3-1113

1199

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

_

Um (1666 .0. Box 596

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Commen

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trimity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Drave Block

Address: City/State/Zip: 310 (Uivitan 81. Santa Cwe

Official Public Commen

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Brandon Sanderson 355 Souta Gar West

Address: City/State/Zip

Souta Cruz GA 9500

Postcards from Alan L. White, Diane Bloch, and Brandon Sanderson

2799-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2800-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Address:

City/State/Zip: SANTA COUZ CA

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Santa (ruz CA 950)

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Official Public Con

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Address:

Postcards from Vince Hernandez, Rob LaRiviere, and Lydia Hoffman

2802-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2803-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Postcards from Elaine Cook, Jennifer Bloome, and Jeffery Garcia

2805-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2806-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2808

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,	10000
Name:	The Horangera
Address:	
City/State/Zip:	

Official Public Comment

2809

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,	
Name:	Tom Hinds
Address:	1495 2000 Ave. 58
City/State/Zio:	S. Francisco, CA 94123

Official Public Comment

2810

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wild be priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferral Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

lame:	
ddress:	

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Des Peat CO. Bex Extent. Co

City/State/Zip:

RDD/TRINITY2778-2871.DOC

Postcards from Phil N. Crawford, Tom Hinds, and Dan Penberthy

D3-1117

2808-1 Please see the matic responses titled "Fisheries."

2809-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EiR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name Address

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Address:

Postcards from Tom O'Connor, Aviva Inhof, and Ron Dale

2811-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2812-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assimption about the amount of easter that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip: TEHACHAPI, CA 93561

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Couleen Willmann

Address: City/State/Zip: PO BOX 9613 San Kafael, CA 94912

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Address:

Postcards from William Richards, Colleen Willmann, and Jim Carlisle

2814-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2815-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."



Dear EIS/EIR.Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Sondrick Millerel

Address: City/State/Zip: 1900 4. Willard Dr

Official Public Commen

2818

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basia. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Marie Prior

Address:

3646 Contro Ar

ity/State/Zip: 600 600

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

2819

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Anna Abravishe Zimmerman

Address: City/State/Zip:

SANTA LOUZ CA 9506

Postcards from Hendrick McDonald, Maria Price, and Anna Margrethe Zimmerman

2817-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2818-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

1820

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

RENJETH S. JOHE 189 DECHNOO DE

City/State/Zip:

GLENWOOD STRINGS, CO 8160

Official Public Comment

2821

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You;

Name: Address: Crais Blomberg 733 WW Street

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

2822

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: 1656 - 48TA ST

City/State/Zip

SACILAMENTO, CA 9581

Postcards from Kenneth S. Jones, Craig Blomberg, and Neil Hansen

2820-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2821-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EiS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and widdife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Name:

Address:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Diane L. Caufield, Jack Caufield, and John Seeger

2823-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2824-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:__

Facramento, CA. 9581.

Official Public Commen

2823

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Diamond Ray CA

91765

Official Public Commen

2828

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the antural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

B-x 131

v/State/Zin:

131 Mille CA 9325

Postcards from Dale R. Kato, Terry McCall, and Peter Wiechers

2826-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2827-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear-EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 36 percent of the natural water flow from the Trimity River Basin. While I support the science and saudy that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Address: City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Postcards from Barry D. Simpson, David Kitayama, and Deborah M. DeMack

2829-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2830-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Address:

EUGENE I BATTAGLA 8646 DAVONA DRIVE

City/State/Zip:

DUBLIN CA 94568

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name

Address:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Postcards from Eugene J. Battaolia, Bill Gaddis, and DeAnna Garland

2832-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2833-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment
Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

2835

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited to

produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Bici FARRIM DR.

Address:

3584 HOLLAND DR.

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

2836

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that of percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the scorgestem.

Thank You,

David Kennedy

Address: City/State/Zip: 252 Kingsley Ave.

Official Public Comment 2837

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Rosanne Jordan

Address: City/State/Zip;

Bath (Lord Drive Forest ville CA 95436

Postcards from Bill Farrar, Jr., David Kennedy, and Roxanne Jordan

2835-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2836-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river.

Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment 2840

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

City/State/Zip:

Name: Address:

RDD/TRINITY2778-2871.DOC

Postcards from William Huber, Alan Willard, and Mike Pagones

2838-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2839-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip: Highland CA 9234

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip: El Ca, a CA

Postcards from George P. Scholl, Kristy E. Scholl, and Heidi McKinley

2841-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2842-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem,

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

El Cerrito CA 94530

Official Public Comment 284

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Brad Chan

Mz. Brad Chew 2161 Lookout Cr Pioneer, CA 95666

Postcards from David Rogers, David Wemmer, and Brad Chew

2844-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2845-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2846-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY2778-2871.DOC D3-1129

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Name: Phillip Sollins

Address: City/State/Zip:

Mill Valley evi 94941

Cowed fishing Enthusiast

Official Public Commen

2848

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:



Douglas H. Mac Beth 1450 Broadview Ave. Apt. 1 Columbus, OH 43212-283

City/State/

age A mausti

Official Public Commen

2849

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

PETER SPANCEUMAN

Address:

CACHANDAD, CA OFFE

Postcards from Phillip Rollins, Douglas H. MacBeth, and Peter Saucerman

2847-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2848-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2849-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY2778-2871.DOC D3-1130

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Suzanne M. Damod, Rebecca McAllister, and Frances G. Jordan

2850-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2851-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

City/State/Zip;

Wovember 30, 1999

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address:

Postcards from Lynn Duggins, Louise LeCam, and Lawrence Lazio

2853-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2854-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2856

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address: Kenneth R. Susm

City/State/Zip: F+ Bano CA 9543

Official Public Commen

2857

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Address:

City/State/Zi

Official Public Comment

2858

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You

.

Address:

City/State/Zir

, aua i

Postcards from Kenneth R. Susan, M.D., Gary J. Hartung, and Mary C. Von Kaesborg

2856-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2857-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2858-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

RDD/TRINITY2778-2871.DOC D3-1133

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

CA 9/362

Official Public Comment 2860

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Postcards from Dean Moyer, Marshalle Wells, and Elaine Hollingsworth

2859-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2860-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2862

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

M---

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zi

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Elaine Olson 1219 Priscilla Ln Arroyo Grande CA 93420

Official Public Commen

2864

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Frinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/7

agg72

Postcards from Daryl Weatherup, Elaine Olson, and Sandra Tannahill

2862-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2863-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Address:

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. White I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem,

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Pat Shanley, Carl Weichel, and Jean Drake

2865-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2866-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2868

James Munro
Bigfoot Campground
P.O. Box 98
Junction City, CA 96048

December 6, 1999

To Whom It May Concern:

I'm writing in support of more water to be allocated for the Trinity River. Being a property owner, river resort operator and long time fishing guide on this unique river. For the past twenty-three years I've lived beside this river and I've witnessed startling results of additional flows being applied. From the ridiculous low flows of 150 cfs year round.

There is no question the anadremous fish will benefit from improved habitat and clean spawning gravels.

There is also no question that Trinity County in general will benefit from the economics of having a healthier river.

Since decisions were made many years ago to build the dam, create some reservoirs, control flooding, hydro and export water. The results had broad appeal to many.

Comprehensive studies by many qualified experts have been made to identify the problems facing anadramous fish in this river.

Increased flows will benefit the fishery and improve recreational activities for future generations. California will be enriched.

James Munro Bigfoot Campground

RECEIVED

2868-1

DEC 13 1900 US Fish & Wildle Service Arcuta, CA

Letter from James Munro Dated December 6, 1999

2868-1 Thank you for your comment. Your comment has been noted. No response is required.

RDD/TRINITY2778-2871.DOC D3-1137

2869

Mr Joe Polos, United States Fish and Wildlife Service 1125 16th Street, room 209 Arcata, ca 95521

Dec 02, 1999

Official Public Comment

Regarding Trinity River water Diversion

I support a diversion of no more than 30% of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. As I understand it, legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and Other legislation, gives the Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any Water to the CVP. So your flow evaluation report should have allowed for more flow In the river. The Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally Mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you for your attention.

Please include my comment in your evaluations.

Sincerely

Dorie Barrett

16965 Old Downieville Hwy Nevada City, Ca 95959 Letter from Dorie Barrett Dated December 2, 1999

2869-1

Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries." Regarding the adequacy of the Preferred Alternative, please see thematic response titled "No Action Alternative/Existing Conditions Scenario and Range of Alternatives."

Letter from Gary Freedman Dated December 13, 1999

2870-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

In 1992, an unprecedented coalition of fisheries conservation organizations, environmental groups, business organizations and Indian Tribes successfully lobbied the U.S. Congress to pass the Central Valley Project Improvement Act. Under this legislation, the Secretary of Interior was mandated to determine the

flows required to achieve the restoration of the Trinity River. Unfortunately, the Trinity River Flow Evaluation report conducted by the federal government calls for the diversion of 52 percent of Trinity flows to the Central 1/2

It is time for the federal government to fulfill its broken promises to the citizens, businesses and tribes of Trinity County. The federal government has to face up to its responsibility under the law to restore the river's water and fish.

water and usa.

Friends of the Trinity River, a coalition of conservation, fisheries and business organizations, is pushing for
diversions of no more than 30 percent of the flow of the Trinity. You should join them in this effort.

In a few months, after 20 years of study, the U.S. Secretary of the Interior, Bruce Babbitt, will make a decision on the amount of water to reallocate permanently to the river. He will base his decision largely on the Trinity River EIS/R and your comments.

Your comments will help the Trinity River to become 🦠 a model for restoring a river below a federally-financed dam. Currently, the Trivity is the only river in the United.

States with such an apportunity. Let's not blow this historic opportunity through apathy and indifference. The public comment period ends on December 20, so

you need to get your comments in as soon as possible.

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more than 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river.

Legislation creating the Trinity River Division and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the Central Valley Project (CVP). Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not ap-far enough to achieve a legally mandated # EGE LVE D restoration of the ecosystem.

Name: Gary Frecans Wildlife S Address: 1613 Stuart AGA. CA City/State/Zip: Berkeley.que.

2870-1

RDD/TRINITY2778-2871.DOC D3-1139

2871

1850 Capistrano Way Los Altos, CA 94024-6702 December 5, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos U. S. Fish and wildlife Service 1125 16th Street, Room 209 Arcata, CA 95521

Re: Trinity River Mainstream Fisheries RestorationEnvironmental Impact Statement and Report

Dear Mr. Polos:

I visit the Trinity River two three times a year for fishing canoeing, kayaking, camping and moteling, and for general relaxation. I have suffered poor fishing and restricted flows and have observed economic depression in the area. These conditions can and should be corrected by allowing the Trinity to keep at least 70% (90% would be better) of its flow.

I appreciate the importance of the agriculture industry to California's economy, but I think it gets too much of Trinity water at the expense of users promised water but never delivered.

We were promised a healthy Trinity River fishery. Instead it has been decimated. Coho Salmon are an endangered species and steelhead are nearing the same status.

The Hoopa Valley and Yurok tribes have not received promised water.

2871-2

2871-1

IT IS TIME TO PUT TRINITY WATER BACK IN THE TRINITY RIVERS

Sincerely

Hunter Owens

DEC 13 1999 Fish & Windles Servarda, CA

Letter from Hunter Owens Dated December 5, 1999

2871-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2871-2 Please see thematic response titled "Tribal Trust."

RDD/TRINITY2778-2871.DOC