## **Contents**

volume i	Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report [Four Separate Volumes]	
Volume la	Executive Summary and Chapters 1–4	Page
Executive S	ummary	ES-1
Chapter 1	Introduction	1-1
onapio	Purpose of This EIS/EIR	
	CEQA Responsible and Trustee Agencies	
	Relationship to the CALFED Bay-Delta Program	
	Relationship to the Delta Improvements Package	
	Relationship to the CALFED Bay-Delta Programmatic	
	Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact	
	Report	
	Need for Action	
	Project Objectives/Purpose	
	Background of the Purpose and Need	1-10
	Ongoing Protection of Fish Resources and Other	4.40
	Environmental Resources	
	South Delta Water Agency Water Reliability	
	Issues of Known Controversy	
	Organization and Use of the EIS/EIR	
	Organization and use of the Lis/Lin	1-31
Chapter 2	Project Description	
	Introduction	
	Project Components	
	Physical/Structural Component Potential Actions	
	Operational Component Potential Scenarios	2-2
	California Environmental Quality Act/National	2.0
	Environmental Policy Act Requirements  Identification of a Proposed Project/Preferred Alternative	
	SDIP Decision Stages Terminology Used in This Document	
	Background on State Water Project and Central Valley	2-0
	Project Operations	2-6
		2 0

i

	State Water Project Operations at Clifton Court	
	Forebay and Harvey O. Banks Pumping Plant	
	Project Alternatives	
	Alternatives Screening Process	
	Alternatives	
	Operational Component	
	Priority of Use	
	Operational Scenario A	
	Operational Scenario B	
	Operational Scenario C	
	Physical/Structural Component	
	Permanent Operable Gates	
	Dredging	
	Extension of Agricultural Diversions	
	Total Project Cost	
	Environmental Commitments	
	Standard Design Features and Construction Practices	
	Access Point/Staging Areas	
	Erosion and Sediment Control Plan	
	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan	2-41
	Dredging, Sampling and Analysis Plan, and Spoils	0.40
	Disposal	2-42
	Traffic and Navigation Control Plan and Emergency	0.45
	Access Plan	2-45
	Dust Suppression Plan or Fugitive PM10 Management Plan	2.46
	Fire Control Plan	
	Hazardous Materials Management Plan	
	Gate Operations Adaptive Management	2 <del>-4</del> 1
	Marinas and Other Recreational Facilities	
	Spoils Drying Areas and Agricultural Land (Return)	
	Spoils Disposal Plan	
	Environmental Training	
	Noise Compliance	
	Noise Compilance	2-30
Chapter 3	Guide to Impact Analyses	3-1
Onapter o	Guide to Impact Analyses	
	Overview of Environmental Impact Evaluations of	
	Reservoir and Delta Operational Changes from the South	
	Delta Improvements Program Alternatives	3-1
	Water Supply Changes	
	South Delta Level and Water Quality Changes	
	Environmental Impacts Related to Central Valley	
	Project and State Water Project Operations	3-3
	Impact Analysis Organization	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	
	Regulatory Framework	
	Assessment Methods	
	Significance Criteria	
	-	

	No Action Alternative	3-7
	Alternatives	
	Cumulative Impacts	
	Growth-Inducing Impacts	
	Relationship between Short-Term Uses and Long-	
	Term Productivity	3-8
	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments	
	Mitigation Measures	
	Willigation Woasarcs	
Chapter 4	Summary of Environmental Consequences	4-1
	Introduction	
	Impacts	
	Summary of Impacts on Resources	
	Relationship between Short-Term Uses and Long-	
	Term Productivity	1_1
	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments	۱- <del>۲</del> -۱ ۱-2
	Significant Unavoidable Impacts	
	Estimated Land Use Changes Attributable to the Project	4-3
	Summary of Each Alternative's Ability to Meet the Project	4.0
	Objectives	4-3
	Reduce the Movement of San Joaquin River	
	Watershed Central Valley Fall-/Late Fall-Run Juvenile	
	Chinook Salmon into the South Delta via Old River	4-3
	Maintain Adequate Water Levels and Water Quality	
	Available for Agricultural Diversions in the South Delta,	
	Downstream of the Head of Old River	4-4
	Increase Water Deliveries to SWP and CVP Water	
	Contractors South of the Delta and Provide Opportunities	
	to Convey Water for Fish and Wildlife Purposes	4-7
Malana a III	Objection 5	
Volume Ib	Chapter 5	
Chapter 5	Physical Environment	5-1
Onapter 5	5.1 Water Supply and Management	
	Introduction	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	5.2 Delta Tidal Hydraulics	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	5.3 Water Quality	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	5.3-2
	Environmental Consequences	5.3-16

5.4	Geology, Seismicity, Soils, and Mineral Resources	5.4-1
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	5.4-1
	Affected Environment	5.4-1
	Environmental Consequences	5.4-8
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	5.4-19
5.5	Flood Control and Levee Stability	5.5-1
	Introduction	5.5-1
	Summary of Significant Impacts	5.5-1
	Affected Environment	5.5-1
	Environmental Consequences	5.5-6
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	5.5-15
5.6	Sediment Transport	5.6-1
	Introduction	5.6-1
	Summary of Significant Impacts	5.6-1
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	5.6-5
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	5.6-13
5.7	Groundwater Resources	5.7-1
	Introduction	5.7-1
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	5.7-5
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	5.7-16
5.8	Transportation and Navigation	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	5.8-2
	Environmental Consequences	
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	
5.9	Air Quality	5.9-1
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	
5.1	0 Noise	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	5.10-1
	Environmental Consequences	5.10-4
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	5.10-26

## Volume Ic Chapter 6

Chapter 6	Biological Environment	
	6.1 Fish	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	6.2 Vegetation and Wetlands	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	
	6.3 Wildlife	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	6.3-64
Volume Id	Chapters 7–13, Glossary, and Index	
voidino id	chapters in te, creecary, and mask	
Chapter 7	Land and Water Use, Social Issues, and Economics	
	7.1 Land and Water Use	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	
	Introduction Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	
	7.3 Utilities and Public Services	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	
	7.4 Recreation Resources	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	
	•	

	7.5 Power Production and Energy	7.5-1
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	7.5-1
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	
	7.6 Visual/Aesthetic Resources	7.6-1
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	7.6-1
	Concepts and Terminology for Visual Assessment and	
	Visual Quality	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	
	7.7 Cultural Resources	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	
	7.8 Public Health and Environmental Hazards	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	
	7.9 Environmental Justice	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	
	7.10 Indian Trust Assets	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Significant Impacts	
	Affected Environment	
	Environmental Consequences	
	Cumulative Evaluation of Impacts	7.10-5
Chapter 8	Compliance with Applicable Laws, Policies, and Plans	
	and Regulatory Framework	
	Regulatory Framework	
	Setting	
	CALFED Bay-Delta Program	
	Federal and State Requirements	
	Federal and State Compliance Integration	
	Federal Requirements	
	State Requirements	
	State and Regional Plan Consistency	
	Local Plan Consistency and Regulatory Requirements	8-29

Chapter 9	Growth-Inducing Impacts	
	Introduction	
	Summary of Analysis Conclusions	
	Context and Background	
	CEQA and NEPA Requirements	9-2
	Guidance in the CALFED Programmatic Record of	
	Decision	9-3
	Relationship to Senate Bill 610 and Senate Bill 221, 2001	9-4
	California Department of Water Resources Water	
	Delivery Reliability Report	9-5
	Growth Projections	
	Methodology	
	Level of Analysis Needed	
	Methods Used	
	Results	
	Construction-Related Effects	
	Effects Resulting from Changes in Agricultural Land	
	and Water Use because of Increased Central Valley	
	Project and State Water Project Deliveries	9-20
	Effects Resulting from Changes in Urban Land Use	
	because of Increased Central Valley Project and State	
	Water Project Deliveries	9-21
	Effects Resulting from Additional Third-Party Water	
	Transfers	9-23
	Impact Conclusions	
	Comparison of Alternatives	
	Companion of Alternative Communications	2 1
Chapter 10	Cumulative Impacts	10-1
onapion io	10.1 Summary	10-1
	10.2 Approach to Cumulative Impact Analysis	
	Legal Requirements	
	Methodology	
	Quantitative Cumulative Impact Assessment	
	Qualitative Cumulative Impact Assessment	
	10.3 List of Related and Reasonably Foreseeable Projects	
	and Actions	10-5
	CALFED Storage Program	10 5 10-6
	CALFED Conveyance Program	10-0 1∩ <b>-</b> 0
	CALFED Drinking Water Quality Program	10 3 10-12
	CALFED Ecosystem Restoration Program	
	CALFED Levees Program	
	Other CVP/SWP-Related Projects	
	Water Transfers and Acquisition Programs	10-13 1∩₋10
	Local Projects	
	10.4 Summary of Cumulative Effects by Resource	
	Quantitative Assessment	
	Qualitative Assessment	
	Qualitative Assessifietit	10-37

Chapter 11	Public and Agency Involvement	11-1
-	Summary	
	CALFED Bay-Delta Program Involvement	11-1
	Public Involvement	
	Agency Involvement and Coordination	
	South Delta Improvements Program	
	Public Involvement	
	Other Agency Involvement and Coordination	
	Early Agency Coordination	
	Larry Agoricy Coordination	
Chapter 12	List of Preparers	12-1
Onapter 12	Department of Water Resources	12-1
	Bureau of Reclamation	
	Jones & Stokes	
	Subconsultants	
	Subconsultarits	12-4
Chapter 13	References	13_1
Chapter 13	Printed References	
	Personal Communications	
	reisonal Communications	13-32
Glossary		Glossarv-1
J. J		
Index		Index-1
Volume II	South Delta Improvements Program Appendices to the	ne
	Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental	
	Impact Report [Two Separate Volumes]	

## **Tables**

On Page	pter 1	Cr
1-4	Responsible and Trustee Agencies	1-
1-18	California Water Budget with Existing Facilities and Programs	1-:
1-19	California Water Balance Summary for Water Years 1998, 2000, and 2001	1-:
1-21	2003 State Water Project Table A Contract Amounts	1-
1-23	Central Valley Project Maximum Contract Amounts	1-:
1-25	Recent Actions Affecting California Water Supplies	1-
On Page	ipter 2	CI
2-11	Range of Evaluated Alternatives	2-
2-12	Physical Components of Each Alternative	2-:
follows 2-18	General Comparison of Timing, Amount of Water Diverted, and End User Priority under Operational Scenarios A, B, and C to Increase Diversions to Clifton Court Forebay to 8,500 cfs	2-:
2-22	Gate Fabrication and Construction Schedule	2-
2-35	SDIP Conveyance Dredging Detail	2-:
2-38	Diversions Proposed for Extension	2-
2-39	SDIP Estimated Costs for Construction, Operations and Maintenance, and Mitigation, Enhancement, and Conservation	2-
2-44	CALFED Programmatic Agricultural Land and Water Use Mitigation Measures 22, 23, and 30	2-8
On Page	pter 3	Cł
follows 3-4	Linkages between CVP and SWP Reservoir and Delta Operations and Potential SDIP Alternative Impacts	3-
3-5	Assessment Methods	3-2

Chapte	r 4	Follows Page
4-1	Summary of Significant Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the South Delta Improvements Program	
Section	5.1	Follows Page
5.1-1	CALSIM II Model Assumptions for SDIP Baselines and Operational Scenarios for 1992–1994 (73 Years)	5.1-4
5.1-2	CVP Tracy Pumping Plant Demands and Pumping Capacity	on 5.1-23
5.1-3	SWP Harvey O. Banks Pumping Plant Demands and Maximum Pumping Capacity	on 5.1-24
5.1-4	CALSIM–Simulated Scenario A CVP Tracy Pumping Monthly Distribution, for 2001 and 2020 Conditions	5.1-36
5.1-5a	CVP South of Delta Water Supply Deliveries for 2001 Conditions	55.1-36
5.1-5b	CVP South of Delta Water Supply Deliveries for 2020 Conditions	55.1-36
5.1-6	CALSIM–Simulated Scenario A SWP Exports Monthly Distribution, for 2001 and 2020 Conditions	5.1-38
5.1-7a	SWP South of Delta Water Supply Table A Deliveries for 2001 Conditions	5.1-38
5.1-7b	SWP South of Delta Water Supply Table A Deliveries for 2020 Conditions	5.1-38
5.1-8	CALSIM–Simulated Scenario B CVP Tracy Pumping Monthly Distribution, for 2001 and 2020 Conditions	5.1-42
5.1-9	CALSIM–Simulated Scenario B SWP Exports Monthly Distribution, for 2001 and 2020 Conditions	5.1-42
5.1-10	CALSIM–Simulated Scenario C CVP Tracy Pumping Monthly Distribution, for 2001 and 2020 Conditions	5.1-44
5.1-11	CALSIM–Simulated Scenario C SWP Exports Monthly Distribution, for 2001 and 2020 Conditions	5.1-46
5.1-12	CALSIM-Simulated Summary, for 2001 Baseline Conditions	5.1-50
5.1-13	CALSIM-Simulated Summary, for 2020 Baseline Conditions	5.1-50
5.1-14	Calculations of Unused SWP Pumping Capacity and Water Transfers for 2001 Baseline and SDIP Operational Scenarios	5.1-52
5.1-15	Comparison of CALSIM–Simulated CVP Pumping for 2020 SDIF Scenario A and 2020 OCAP Conditions	

On Page	Section 5.2
5.2-5	5.2-1 Summary of Delta Channel Geometry
5.2-20	5.2-2 Simulated August 1997 Net Channel Flow (cubic feet per second) in South Delta Channels for a Range of CVP and SWP Exports
follows 5.2-24	5.2-3 Simulated Net Channel Flow in Delta Channels for the Range of San Joaquin River Flows and Exports during Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan Period
5.2-31	5.2-4 Summary of DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Flows for 2001 SDIP Alternatives for 1976–1991 Period
5.2-32	5.2-5 Simulated Tidal Level Range for South Delta Channels with No Pumping and Maximum Pumping with Temporary Barriers and Tidal Gate Operations (feet msl)
5.2-45	5.2-6 Summary of DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Flows for SDIP Alternatives for 1976–1991 Period
On Page	Section 5.3
5.3-30	5.3-1 DSM2-Simulated Electrical Conductivity Changes for Alternative 2A under 2001 and 2020 Conditions for the 1976–1991 Period
5.3-34	5.3-2 Calculated Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations in the Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel for the Months of June–October for the 1976–1991 Period
5.3-36	5.3-3 DSM2-Simulated Electrical Conductivity Changes for Alternative 2A Stage 2 under 2001 Conditions for the 1976–1991 Period
5.3-41	5.3-4 DSM2-Simulated Dissolved Organic Carbon Values for Alternative 2A under 2001 Conditions for the 1976–1991 Period .
5.3-44	5.3-5 DSM2-Simulated Electrical Conductivity Changes for Alternative 2B Stage 2 under 2001 Conditions for the 1976–1991 Period
5.3-47	5.3-6 DSM2-Simulated Dissolved Organic Carbon Values for Alternative 2B under 2001 Conditions for the 1976–1991 Period.
5.3-48	5.3-7 DSM2-Simulated Electrical Conductivity Changes for Alternative 2C Stage 2 under 2001 Conditions for the 1976–1991 Period
5.3-50	5.3-8 DSM2-Simulated Dissolved Organic Carbon Values for Alternative 2C under 2001 Conditions for the 1976–1991 Period.
5.3-52	5.3-9 DSM2-Simulated Electrical Conductivity Changes for Alternative 3B Stage 2 under 2001 Conditions for the 1976–1991 Period
5.3-55	5.3-10 DSM2-Simulated Electrical Conductivity Values for Alternative 4B Stage 2 under 2001 Conditions for the 1976–1991 Period

Section	5.4	On Page
5.4-1	Soil Characteristics of the SDIP Project Area	5.4-6
5.4-2	Soil Characteristics of the SDIP Project Component Areas	5.4-7
Section	5.7	On Page
5.7-1	Depth to Seasonal High Water Table and Permeability for Soils in the SDIP Area	5.7-3
Section	5.8	On Page
5.8-1	Existing Roadway Condition of Roads to Project Construction Sites	5.8-3
Section	5.9	On Page
5.9-S	Summary of Significant Impacts on Air Quality	5.9-1
5.9-1	National and California Ambient Air Quality Standards	follows 5.9-4
5.9-2	Summary of Attainment/Nonattainment Status for Criteria Pollutants Standards	5.9-4
5.9-3	Construction-Related Significance Thresholds	5.9-7
5.9-4	Bay Area Air Quality Management District Feasible Control Measures for Construction Emissions of PM10	5.9-8
5.9-5	Alternative 2 Total Emissions in San Joaquin County	5.9-10
5.9-6	Alternative 2 Total Mitigated Emissions in San Joaquin County (tons per year)	5.9-12
5.9-7	Alternative 2 Total Emissions in Contra Costa County	5.9-14
5.9-8	Alternative 3 Total Emissions in San Joaquin County	5.9-16
5.9-9	Alternative 3 Total Mitigated Emissions in San Joaquin County (tons per year)	5.9-17
5.9-10	Alternative 4 Total Emissions (tons per year)	5.9-19
5.9-11	Alternative 4 Total Mitigated Emissions (tons per year)	5.9-20
Section	5.10	On Page
5.10-1	Population Density and Associated Ambient Noise Levels	5.10-3
5.10-2	Transportation Research Board Building Structure Vibration Criteria	5.10-5

5.10-3	Environment	5.10-6
5.10-4	Construction Equipment Inventory and Noise Emission Levels	5.10-10
5.10-5	Estimated Construction Noise in the Vicinity of an Active Construction Site as a Function of Distance	5.10-11
5.10-6	Estimated Dewatering Pump Noise Levels as a Function of Distance	5.10-13
5.10-7	Estimated Impact Pile-Driving Noise Levels as a Function of Distance	5.10-14
5.10-8	Vibration Source Levels from Typical Impact Pile-Driving Activities	5.10-16
5.10-9	Estimated Clamshell/Dragline Dredging Noise Levels as a Function of Distance	5.10-17
5.10-10	Estimated Hydraulic Dredging Noise Levels as a Function of Distance	5.10-19
Section	6.1	Follows Page
6.1-S	Summary of Significant Fish Impacts and Mitigation Measures	on 6.1-3
6.1-1	Central Valley Species Potentially Affected by the Proposed Alternatives	on 6.1-5
6.1-2	Assumed Life Stage Timing and Distribution of Selected Species Potentially Affected by the Proposed SDIP Alternatives	
6.1-3	Summary of Assessment Models and Tools by Environmental Correlate for Each Fish Species and Life Stage	6.1-20
6.1-4	Hypotheses and Measures of Species Response for All Environmental Correlates and Selected Species	6.1-22
6.1-5	Species Responsiveness to Change in an Environmental Correlate	on 6.1-23
6.1-6	Certainty of the Assessment Relationships	on 6.1-24
6.1-7	Monthly Temperature Survival Indices for Chinook Salmon and Steelhead	on 6.1-39
6.1-8	Frequency of Monthly Spawning Habitat Availability for Steelhead and Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento, Feather, and American Rivers for Alternative 1, 1922–1994 Simulation	on 6.1-49
6.1-9	Frequency of Monthly Spawning Habitat Availability for Steelhead and Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento, Feather.	

	and American Rivers for Alternative 1, 1922–1994 Simulation (2020 Operations)	on 6.1-50
6.1-10	Frequency of Monthly Water Temperature Survival Indices for Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Life Stages in the American River at Sunrise for Alternative 1, 1922–1993 Simulation (2020 Operations)	on 6.1-51
6.1-11	Frequency of Monthly Water Temperature Survival Indices for Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Life Stages in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff for Alternative 1, 1922–1993 Simulation (2020 Operations)	6.1-52
6.1-12	Potential Actions, Impact Mechanisms, and Affected Environmental Conditions with Implementation of the South Delta Improvements Project	6.1-52
6.1-13	Frequency of Change (Relative to Alternative 1) in Monthly Spawning Habitat Availability for Steelhead and Chinook Salmon in the Feather, Sacramento, and American Rivers for Alternative 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation (2001 Operations)	6.1-76
6.1-14	Frequency of Occurrence of the Percentage Change in Monthly Flow from Alternative 1 that Could Affect Rearing Habitat Area for Steelhead and Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento, Feather, and American Rivers for Alternative 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation	6.1-76
6.1-15	Frequency of Monthly Water Temperature Survival Indices for Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Life Stages in the Sacramento River at Keswick for Alternative 1, 1922–1993 Simulation (2001 Operations)	6.1-78
6.1-16	Frequency of Monthly Water Temperature Survival Indices for Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Life Stages in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge for Alternative 1, 1922–1993 Simulation (2001 Operations)	6.1-78
6.1-17	Frequency of Monthly Water Temperature Survival Indices for Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Life Stages in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff for Alternative 1, 1922–1993 Simulation (2001 Operations)	6.1-78
6.1-18	Frequency of Monthly Water Temperature Survival Indices for Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Life Stages in the Sacramento River at Keswick for Alternative 2A, 1922–1993 Simulation (2001 Operations)	6.1-78
6.1-19	Frequency of Monthly Water Temperature Survival Indices for Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Life Stages in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge for Alternative 2A, 1922–1993 Simulation (2001 Operations)	£ 1 70
	(2001 Opolations)	

6.1-20	Frequency of Monthly Water Temperature Survival Indices for Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Life Stages in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff for Alternative 2A, 1922–1993 Simulation (2001 Operations)	6.1-78
6.1-21	Frequency of Monthly Water Temperature Survival Indices for Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Life Stages in the Feather River at Thermalito for Alternative 1, 1922–1994 Simulation	
6.1-22	Frequency of Monthly Water Temperature Survival Indices for Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Life Stages in the Feather River below Thermalito for Alternative 2A, 1922–1993 Simulation (2001 Operations)	on 6.1-80
6.1-23	Frequency of Monthly Water Temperature Survival Indices for Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Life Stages in the American River at Sunrise for Alternative 1, 1922–1993 Simulation (2001 Operations)	on 6.1-81
6.1-24	Frequency of Monthly Water Temperature Survival Indices for Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Life Stages in the American River at Sunrise for Alternative 2A, 1922–1993 Simulation	on 6.1-81
6.1-25	Frequency of Monthly Water Temperature Survival Indices for Coho Salmon (i.e., Based on Criteria for Chinook Salmon) in the Trinity River at Lewiston for Alternative 1, 1922–1994 Simulation	
6.1-26	Frequency of Monthly Water Temperature Survival Indices for Coho Salmon Life Stages in the Trinity River at Lewiston for Alternative 2A, 1922–1993 Simulation (2001 Operations)	on 6.1-90
Section	6.2	Follows Page
6.2-S	Summary of Significant Impacts on and Mitigation for Vegetation and Wetlands	
6.2-1	Existing Land Cover Types in the SDIP Study Area and Project Area	6.2-4
6.2-2	Special-Status Species with Potential to Occur in the Project Area	6.2-4
6.2-3	Acreage of Waters of the United States Delineated in Each Project Component Area	6.2-18
6.2-4	Land Cover Impacts Associated with Gate Construction and Dredging—Alternatives 2A–2C	6.2-32
6.2-5	Acreage of Tidal Perennial Aquatic Habitat within the Gate Footprints	on 6.2-52
6.2-6	Land Cover Impacts Associated with Gate Construction and Dredging—Alternative 3B	6.2-56

6.2-7	Land Cover Impacts Associated with Gate Construction and Dredging—Alternative 4B	6.2-68
Section	n 6.3	Follows Page
6.3-S	Summary of Significant Impacts and Mitigation Measures on Wildlife Resources for the South Delta Improvements Program	6.3-2
6.3-1	Crosswalk between Land Cover Types and Wildlife Habitats in the Study Area	on 6.3-3
6.3-2	Common Wildlife Species and Species Groups Associated with Land Cover Types	6.3-4
6.3-3	Crosswalk between Land Cover Types and Wildlife Habitats in the Study Area	6.3-4
6.3-4	Special Status Wildlife Species with the Potential to Occur in the South Delta Improvements Program Project Area	
6.3-5	Special-Status Species Likely to Occur in the Project Area	6.3-10
6.3-6	Land Cover Impacts Associated with Gate Construction and Dredging—Alternatives 2A–C	6.3-26
6.3-7	Land Cover Impacts Associated with Gate Construction and Dredging—Alternative 3B	6.3-50
6.3-8	Land Cover Impacts Associated with Gate Construction and Dredging—Alternative 4B	6.3-58
Section	n 7.1	On Page
7.1-1	Agricultural Conversion Estimates (acres)	7.1-11
Section	n 7.2	On Page
7.2-1	Population Trends in San Joaquin, Contra Costa, and Alameda Counties	7.2-2
7.2-2	Employment Trends in San Joaquin, Contra Costa, and Alameda Counties	7.2-2
7.2-3	Housing Supply and Costs in San Joaquin, Contra Costa, and Alameda Counties	7.2-4
7.2-4	Estimated Direct and Indirect/Induced Changes in Construction-Related Employment	7.2-9
7.2-5	Estimated Direct and Indirect/Induced Changes in Personal Income Resulting from Construction-Related Expenditures	7.2-10
7.2-6	Estimated Direct and Indirect/Induced Changes in Employment Resulting from Operation-Related Expenditures	7.2-11

7.2-7	Income Resulting from Operation-Related Expenditures	7.2-12
Section	7.4	On Page
7.4-1	Delta-Wide 1996 Fishing and Boating Recreation Use	7.4-2
7.4-2	Total Number of Boats Observed from 1991 to 1995 Survey by Year by Location	7.4-5
7.4-3	Recreation Facilities and Facility Amenities within a 6-mile radius of Proposed South Delta Improvements	7.4-7
7.4-4	Recreation Opportunity Thresholds for Important North-of-Delta and South-of-Delta Recreation Resources	7.4-16
7.4-5	Comparisons of Reservoir Level and River Flow Exceedance Frequencies for Recreation Opportunities at Important Recreation Resourcesa	7.4-25
Section	7.5	On Page
7.5-1	Electricity Purchased and Generated by the SWP (1996–2000)	7.5-2
7.5-2	Alternative 1 SWP Electricity Generation and Consumption, Average of All Water Years	7.5-4
7.5-3	Alternative 2 SWP Electricity Generation and Consumption, Average of All Water Years	7.5-6
Section	7.6	On Page
7.6-S	Summary of Significant Impacts on Visual Resources	7.6-1
Section	7.7	On Page
7.7-S	Summary of Significant Impacts on Cultural Resources	7.7-1
Section	7.8	On Page
7.8-1	Equipment and Workers for Project Components	7.8-5
Section	7.9	On Page
7.9-1	Race/Origin Characteristics by County, Census 2000 (%)	7.9-2
7.9-2	Household Poverty Status in 1999 (%)	7.9-3
7.9-3	Race/Origin Characteristics 2000 by Service Area	7.9-4
7 9-4	Household Poverty Status in 1999	7 0-4

Chapte	r 9	On Page
9-1	South-of-the-Delta Population Forecast	9-6
9-2	Comparison of Average Changes to SWP Table A Deliveries Resulting from Implementing the SDIP Alternatives by Water Year Type (thousand acre-feet)	9-10
9-3	Comparison of Average Changes to CVP Deliveries Resulting from Implementing the SDIP Alternatives by Water Year Type (thousand acre-feet)	9-11
9-4	Comparison of Average Changes to Third-Party Transfer Capacity Resulting from Implementing the SDIP Alternatives by Water Year Type (thousand acre-feet)	9-11
9-5	2003 SWP Contractor Delivery Percentage	9-12
9-6	Estimated Changes in Average CVP Deliveries Occurring under Alternatives 2A, 2B, 2C, 3B, and 4B (thousand acre-feet)	9-14
9-7	The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Member Agencies	9-17
Chapter	r 10	On Page
10-1	Projects Considered for the Cumulative Impact Analysis	follows 10-2
10-2	Summary Cumulative Frequency Results of CALSIM Hydrologic Modeling for South Delta Improvements Program Alternative 2A and OCAP at a 2020 Level of Development	follows 10-4
10-3	Incidental Take by Water Year Type (Formal Consultation)	10-31
10-4	Incidental Take by Water Year Type (Early Consultation)	10-31
Chapte	r 11	On Page
11-1	Participants in 8500 Stakeholder Process	11-5
11-2	Location and Dates of Public Scoping Meetings	11-7

## **Figures**

Chapter	1	Follows Page
1-1	State Water Project (SWP) and Central Valley Project (CVP) Service Areas	1-22
Chapter	2	Follows Page
2-1	Staged Decision and Process Implementation	2-4
2-2	Illustration of Bottom-Hinged Gate Operation	2-22
2-3	Preferred Physical/Structural Component	2-26
2-4a	Head of Old River Gate Drawing	2-26
2-4b	Head of Old River Artist's Rendering	2-26
2-5a	Middle River Gate Drawing	2-26
2-5b	Middle River Artist's Rendering	2-26
2-6a	Grant Line Canal Gate Drawing	2-28
2-6b	Grant Line Canal Artist's Rendering	2-28
2-7a	Old River at Delta-Mendota Canal Gate Drawing	2-28
2-7b	Old River at Delta-Mendota Canal Artist's Rendering	2-28
2-8	Example of Hydraulic Dredging Operation	2-34
2-9	Settling Pond	2-34
2-10	Middle River Spoils Ponds Locations	2-34
2-11	Approximate Location of Agricultural Intakes to be Extended	2-38
Chapter	3	Follows Page
3-1	Use of CALSIM and DSM2 Model Results for SDIP Alternatives	3-2

Chapte	r 4 Follows Page
4-1	Minimum and Maximum Tidal Level for Grant Line Canal at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for each Alternative4-4
4-2	Delta Exports Under No Action and SDIP Operational Scenarios (2020 Conditions)4-10
Section	5.1 At End of Section
5.1-1	CALSIM–Simulated Carryover Storage in Trinity Lake for 2001 and 2020 Baseline Conditions with 1922–1994 Hydrology
5.1-2	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of Trinity Reservoir Storage for 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-3	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of Trinity River Flow for 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-4	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of Trinity River Export Flow for 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-5	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of Shasta Reservoir Storage for 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-6	CALSIM–Simulated Carryover Storage in Shasta Lake for 2001 and 2020 Baseline Conditions with 1922–1994 Hydrology
5.1-7	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of Sacramento River Flow at Keswick for 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-8	CALSIM–Simulated Carryover Storage in Oroville Reservoir for 2001 and 2020 Baseline Conditions with 1922–1994 Hydrology
5.1-9	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of Oroville Reservoir Storage for 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-10	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of Feather River Flow below Thermalito for 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-11	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of Folsom Reservoir Storage for 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-12	CALSIM–Simulated Carryover Storage in Folsom Reservoir for 2001 and 2020 Baseline Conditions with 1922–1994 Hydrology
5.1-13	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of American River Flow below Nimbus Dam for 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-14	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of New Melones Reservoir Storage for 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-15	CALSIM–Simulated Carryover Storage in New Melones Reservoir for 2001 and 2020 Baseline Conditions with 1922–1994 Hydrology

5.1-16	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of Stanislaus River Flow below Goodwin Dam for 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-17	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of Sacramento River Flow at Freeport for 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-18	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of San Joaquin River Flow at Vernalis for 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-19	Historic CVP Tracy Pumping and Deliveries during Water Year 1994
5.1-20	Historical CVP San Luis Reservoir Storage during Water Year 1994
5.1-21	Historical Banks Pumping and SWP Deliveries for Water Year 1994
5.1-22	Historical SWP San Luis Reservoir Storage during Water Year 1994
5.1-23	CALSIM–Simulated CVP and SWP Carryover Storage in San Luis Reservoir for 2001 and 2020 Baseline Conditions with 1922–1994 Hydrology
5.1-24	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of CVP San Luis Reservoir Storage
5.1-25	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of SWP San Luis Reservoir Storage for 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-26	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of CVP Tracy Pumping for Alternative 2A Compared to 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-27	Simulated CVP South-of-Delta Water Supply Deliveries for 2001 and 2020 Conditions
5.1-28	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of SWP Banks Pumping for Alternative 2A Compared to 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-29	Simulated SWP South-of-Delta Water Supply Deliveries for 2001 Baseline
5.1-30	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of CVP Tracy Pumping for Alternative 2B Compared to 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-31	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of SWP Banks Pumping for Alternative 2B Compared to 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-32	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of CVP Tracy Pumping for Alternative 2C Compared to 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-33	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of SWP Banks Pumping for Alternative 2C Compared to 2001 and 2020 Baselines
5.1-34	Water Transfer Evaluation of 2001 Baseline with Maximum of 2,500 cubic feet per second in July–September at 7,180-cfs Pumping Capacity
5.1-35	Evaluation of 2001 SDIP Water Transfers with Maximum of 2,500 cfs in July–September at 8,500-cfs Pumping Capacity Compared with Baseline Transfers

Section 5.2 At End of Section

5.2-1	Tidal Level and Salinity Measurement Stations in the Delta
5.2-2	Measured Tidal Level at Martinez, August 1997
5.2-3	Simulated Tidal Flow at Martinez, August 1997
5.2-4	Monthly Distribution of Measured Tidal Level at Martinez, Water Years 1976–1991
5.2-5	Monthly Distribution of Simulated Tidal Flows at Martinez, Water Years 1976–1991
5.2-6	Monthly Distribution of Simulated Tidal Velocities at Martinez, Water Years 1976–1991
5.2-7	Monthly Distribution of Simulated Level for the Sacramento River at Freeport, Water Years 1976–1991
5.2-8	Monthly Distribution of Simulated Flow in the Sacramento River at Freeport, Water Years 1976–1991
5.2-9	Comparison of Sacramento River Levels and Flows, Water Years 1976–1991
5.2-10	Monthly Distribution of Simulated Tidal Velocities in the Sacramento River at Freeport, Water Years 1976–1991
5.2-11a	Simulated Monthly Flows in the Delta Cross Channel and Georgiana Slough
5.2-11b	Simulated Monthly Flows in the Delta Cross Channel and Georgiana Slough
5.2-12	Monthly Distribution of Simulated Tidal Levels for the San Joaquin River at Mossdale, Water Years 1976–1991
5.2-13	Simulated Monthly Average Flow in the San Joaquin River at Vernalis and Mossdale, Water Years 1976–1991
5.2-14	Simulated Water Level and Velocity in the San Joaquin River at Vernalis, Water Years 1976–1991
5.2-15a	Summary of Simulated Tidal Levels for Grant Line Canal and Old River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge
5.2-15b	Summary of Simulated Tidal Levels for Grant Line Canal and Old River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge
5.2-16a	Summary of Simulated Tidal Levels for Middle River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge and at Mowry Bridge
5.2-16b	Summary of Simulated Tidal Levels for Middle River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge and at Mowry Bridge
5.2-17a	Summary of Simulated Tidal Levels for Old River at Clifton Court Ferry and at Head

- 5.2-17b Summary of Simulated Tidal Levels for Old River at Clifton Court Ferry and at Head
- 5.2-18 Summary of Effects of VAMP Exports and San Joaquin River Flows and the Head of Old River Gate Closure on Tidal Level Downstream of the Head of Old River Barrier
- 5.2-19 Summary of Effects of VAMP Exports and San Joaquin River Flows and the Head of Old River Gate Closure on Tidal Level for Old River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge
- 5.2-20 Summary of Effects of VAMP Exports and San Joaquin River Flows and the Head of Old River Gate Closure on Tidal Level for Middle River at Old River (near Mowry Bridge)
- 5.2-21 Summary of Effects of VAMP Exports and San Joaquin River Flows and the Head of Old River Gate Closure on Tidal Level for Grant Line Canal at Tracy Boulevard Bridge
- 5.2-22a Minimum and Maximum SWP Banks Pumping for Water Year 2002
- 5.2-22b Minimum and Maximum SWP Banks Pumping for Water Year 2002
- 5.2-23a Historical Clifton Court Forebay Operations during July 2002
- 5.2-23b Historical Clifton Court Forebay Operations during July 2002
- 5.2-24 Calculated Hourly Clifton Court Forebay Gate Inflow as a Function of Water Level Difference between Old River and Clifton Court Forebay
- 5.2-25a Comparison of Clifton Court Forebay Level for 6,680-cubic feet per second (cfs) and 8,500-cfs Constant (Even) and Off-Peak SWP Banks Pumping
- 5.2-25b Comparison of Old River at Clifton Court Ferry Tidal Level for 6,680-cubic feet per second (cfs) and 8,500-cfs Constant (Even) and Off-Peak SWP Banks Pumping
- 5.2-26 DSM2-Simulated Range of Water Levels for Clifton Court Forebay and Old River at Clifton Court Ferry for 6,680-cubic feet per second (cfs) and 8,500-cfs Constant (Even) and Off-Peak SWP Banks Pumping for August 1997 Tidal Conditions
- 5.2-27 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flow at Head of Old River for July 1985 with No CVP Tracy Pumping and No SWP Banks Pumping (San Joaquin River Flow of 1,460 cubic feet per second ([cfs])
- 5.2-28 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Volume at Grant Line Canal Tidal Gates with No CVP Tracy Pumping and No SWP Banks Pumping (No Tidal Gates)
- 5.2-29 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Volume at Middle River Tidal Gate Location for July 1985 with No CVP Tracy Pumping and No SWP Banks Pumping (No Tidal Gates)

- 5.2-30 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Volume at Old River Tidal Gates for July 1985 with No CVP Tracy Pumping and No SWP Banks Pumping (No Tidal Gates)
- 5.2-31 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flow at Head of Old River for July 1985 with 4,600 cfs CVP Tracy Pumping and 8,500 cfs SWP Banks Pumping (San Joaquin River Flow of 1,640 cfs)
- 5.2-32 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Volume at Grant Line Canal Tidal Gate Location with 4,600 cfs CVP Tracy Pumping and 8,500 cfs SWP Banks Pumping (No Tidal Gates)
- 5.2-33 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Volume for Old River Gate Location with 4,600 cfs CVP Tracy Pumping and 8,500 cfs SWP Banks Pumping (No Tidal Gates)
- 5.2-34 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Volume for Middle River Gate Location with 4,600 cfs CVP Tracy Pumping and 8,500 cfs SWP Banks Pumping (No Tidal Gates)
- 5.2-35 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flow at Head of Old River Tidal Gates with 4,533 cubic feet per second (cfs) CVP Tracy Pumping and 7,180 cfs SWP Banks Pumping (Clifton Court Forebay Gates Closed on Higher High Tide) and with Temporary Barriers
- 5.2-36 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Volume at Grant Line Canal Tidal Gates with 4,533 cubic feet per second (cfs) CVP Tracy Pumping and 7,180 cfs SWP Banks Pumping (Clifton Court Forebay Gates Closed on Higher High Tide) and with Temporary Barriers
- 5.2-37 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Volume at Old River Temporary Barrier (Crest Elevation at 2.0 feet above mean sea level) with 4,533 cubic feet per second (cfs) CVP Tracy Pumping and 7,180 cfs SWP Banks Pumping (Clifton Court Forebay Gates Closed on Higher High Tide)
- 5.2-38 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Volume at Middle River Temporary Barrier (Crest at 1.0 feet above mean sea level) with 4,533 cubic feet per second (cfs) CVP Tracy Pumping and 7,180 cfs SWP Banks Pumping (Clifton Court Forebay Gates Closed on Higher High Tide)
- 5.2-39 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flow at Head of Old River Tidal Gate (Gate Open) Using Basic Gate Operations with 4,600 cubic feet per second (cfs) CVP Tracy Pumping and 8,500 cfs SWP Banks Pumping
- 5.2-40 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flow Volume at Grant Line Canal Tidal Gates Using Basic Gate Operations with 4,600 cubic feet per second (cfs) CVP Tracy Pumping and 8,500 cfs SWP Banks Pumping
- 5.2-41 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flow Volume at Old River at Tracy Tidal Gates Using Basic Gate Operations with 4,600 cubic feet per second (cfs) CVP Tracy Pumping and 8,500 cfs SWP Banks Pumping

- 5.2-42 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flow Volume at Middle River Tidal Gates Using Basic Gate Operations with 4,600 cubic feet per second (cfs) CVP Tracy Pumping and 8,500 cfs SWP Banks Pumping
- 5.2-43 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flow Volume at Grant Line Canal Tidal Gates Using Circulation Gate Operations with 4,600 cubic feet per second (cfs) CVP Tracy Pumping and 8,500 cfs SWP Banks Pumping
- 5.2-44 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flow Volume at Old River at Tracy Tidal Gates Using Circulation Gate Operations with 4,600 cubic feet per second (cfs) CVP Tracy Pumping and 8,500 cfs SWP Banks Pumping
- 5.2-45 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flow Volume at Middle River Tidal Gates Using Circulation Gate Operations with 4,600 cubic feet per second (cfs) CVP Tracy Pumping and 8,500 cfs SWP Banks Pumping
- 5.2-46 Daily Minimum and Maximum Tidal Level for Old River Upstream and Downstream of the Temporary Barrier during 2003
- 5.2-47 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows in Old River at State Route 4
  Bridge for Alternative 2A Stage 1 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for
  1976-1991
- 5.2-48 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Old River at Clifton Court Ferry for Alternative 2A Stage 1 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-49 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Old River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 2A Stage 1 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-50 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Old River at Head of Old River for Alternative 2A Stage 1 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-51 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Middle River at Mowry Bridge for Alternative 2A Stage 1 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-52 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Middle River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 2A Stage 1 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-53 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Grant Line Canal at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 2A Stage 1 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-54a CALSIM-Simulated CVP Tracy and SWP Banks Monthly Average Pumping for Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-54b CALSIM-Simulated CVP Tracy and SWP Banks Monthly Average Pumping for Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2020 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991

- 5.2-55 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows in Old River at State Route 4
  Bridge for Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for
  1976-1991
- 5.2-56 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Old River at Clifton Court Ferry for Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-57 Changes in DSM2-Simulated Minimum Level for Old River at Clifton Court Ferry for Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-58 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Old River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-59 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Old River at Head of Old River for Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-60 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Middle River at Mowry Bridge for Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-61 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Middle River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-62 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Grant Line Canal at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-63a Simulated CVP and SWP Pumping for Alternative 2B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for the 1976-1991 DSM2 Simulation Period
- 5.2-63b CALSIM-Simulated CVP Tracy and SWP Banks Monthly Average Pumping for Alternative 2B Stage 2 Compared with 2020 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-64 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Old River at Clifton Court Ferry for Alternative 2B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-65 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Old River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 2B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-66 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Middle River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 2B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-67 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Grant Line Canal at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 2B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991

- 5.2-68a DSM2-Simulated CVP and SWP Pumping for Alternative 2C Stage 2
  Compared to 2001 Baseline Conditions for the 1976-1991 DSM2 Simulation
  Period
- 5.2-68b CALSIM-Simulated CVP Tracy and SWP Banks Monthly Average Pumping for Alternative 2C Stage 2 Compared with 2020 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-69 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Old River at Clifton Court Ferry for Alternative 2C Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-70 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Old River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 2C Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-71 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Middle River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 2C Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-72 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Grant Line Canal at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 2C Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-73 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Old River at Clifton Court Ferry for Alternative 3B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-74 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Old River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 3B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-75 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Middle River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 3B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-76 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Grant Line Canal at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 3B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-77 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Old River at Clifton Court Ferry for Alternative 4B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-78 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Old River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 4B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991
- 5.2-79 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Middle River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 4B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991

5.2-80 DSM2-Simulated Tidal Level and Tidal Flows for Grant Line Canal at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for Alternative 4B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 Baseline Conditions for 1976-1991

Section 5.3 At End of Section

5.3-1	Historical Water Temperatures in the San Joaquin River and South Delta Channels for 2000 and 2001
5.3-2	Historical Suspended Sediment in the San Joaquin River and Turbidity in the South Delta Channels for 2000 and 2001
5.3-3	Historical Monthly Average Electrical Conductivity of Sacramento River at Freeport for 1968–1991
5.3-4	Historical Monthly Average Electrical Conductivity of San Joaquin River at Vernalis for 1968–1991
5.3-5	Historical Total Organic Carbon (TOC) and Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC) in the Sacramento River at Freeport and at the SWP Banks Pumping Plant for 2003
5.3-6	Historical Electrical Conductivity in the San Joaquin River and South Delta Channels for 2000 and 2001
5.3-7	Historical Dissolved Oxygen in the San Joaquin River and South Delta Channels for 2000 and 2001
5.3-8	Comparison of DSM2-Model Boundary Electrical Conductivity at Vernalis with Historical EC data for 1976–1991
5.3-9	DSM2-Simulated Electrical Conductivity of the Sources of Water in the South Delta Channels for the 2001 Baseline Conditions (with Temporary Barriers) with Comparison of Simulated EC in CVP and SWP Exports for 1976-1991
5.3-10a	DSM2-Simulated Electrical Conductivity in Old River (Both Ends) for the 2001 Baseline Conditions (with Temporary Barriers) for 1976-1991
5.3-10b	DSM2-Simulated Electrical Conductivity in Middle River (Both Ends) for the 2001 Baseline Conditions (with Temporary Barriers) for 1976–1991
5.3-10c	DSM2-Simulated Electrical Conductivity in Grant Line Canal (Both Ends) for the 2001 Baseline Conditions (with Temporary Barriers) for 1976–1991
5.3-11	Measured Daily Average Electrical Conductivity in the San Joaquin River at Vernalis, Mossdale, and Brandt Bridge with Flow at Vernalis for 2001 and 2003 (Low-Flow Years)
5.3-12	DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity at Emmaton for 1976-1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 1 (2001 Base with Gates) Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline

- 5.3-13 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity at Jersey Point for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 1 (2001 Base with Gates) Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-14 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Rock Slough for 1976-1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 1 (2001 Base with Gates) Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-15 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Old River near State Route 4 Bridge for 1976-1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 1 (2001 Base with Gates) Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-16 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Clifton Court Forebay for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 1 (2001 Base with Gates) Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-17 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity at CVP Tracy for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 1 (2001 Base with Gates) Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-18 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Old River at Tracy
  Boulevard Bridge for 1976-1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 1 (2001 Base with
  Gates) Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-19 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Grant Line Canal at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for 1976-1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 1 (2001 Base with Gates) Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-20 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Middle River at Mowry Bridge for 1976-1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 1 (2001 Base with Gates) Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-21 DSM2-Simulated Monthly San Joaquin River Flow at the Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel for 1976–1991 and the Stockton/Mossdale Flow Fraction as a Function of the Export/Mossdale Ratio for Alternative 2A Stage 1 and the 2001 Baseline
- 5.3-22 Estimated Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel (DWSC) Dissolved Oxygen
   (DO) Concentrations (June–October) for 1976–1991 Alternative 2A Stage 1
   Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-23 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity at Emmaton for 1976-1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-24 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity at Jersey Point for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-25 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Rock Slough for 1976-1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-26 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Old River near State Route 4 Bridge for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline

- 5.3-27 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Clifton Court Forebay (SWP Banks) for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-28 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity at CVP Tracy for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-29 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Old River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-30 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Grant Line Canal at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-31 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Middle River at Mowry Bridge for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-32 Flow and Dissolved Organic Carbon in the Sacramento River for Water Years 1976-1991
- 5.3-33 Flow and Dissolved Organic Carbon in the San Joaquin River for Water Years 1967-1991
- 5.3-34 Flow and Dissolved Organic Carbon from Agricultural Drainage for Water Years 1976–1991
- 5.3-35 Dissolved Organic Carbon at CVP and SWP Compared to Boundary Conditions for Water Years 1976–1991
- 5.3-36 Dissolved Organic Carbon in Old River at State Route 4 and Rock Slough Compared to Boundary Conditions for Water Years 1976–1991
- 5.3-37 DSM2-Simulated Dissolved Organic Carbon in Old River at Rock Slough for 2001 Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared to 2001 Baseline Conditions for Water Years 1976–1991
- 5.3-38 DSM2-Simulated Dissolved Organic Carbon in Old River at State Route 4
  Bridge (Los Vaqueros Intake) for 2001 Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared to
  2001 Baseline Conditions for Water Years 1976-1991
- 5.3-39 DSM2-Simulated Dissolved Organic Carbon in Clifton Court Forebay (SWP Banks) for Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared to 2001 Baseline Conditions for Water Years 1976-1991
- 5.3-40 DSM2-Simulated Dissolved Organic Carbon at CVP Tracy for Alternative 2A Stage 2 Compared to 2001 Baseline Conditions for Water Years 1976–1991
- 5.3-41 Estimated Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel (DWSC) Dissolved Oxygen
   (DO) Concentrations (June–October) for 1976–1991 Alternative 2A Stage 2
   Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline

- 5.3-42 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Rock Slough for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-43 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Old River at State Route 4 for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-44 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Clifton Court Forebay (SWP Banks) for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-45 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity at CVP Tracy for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-46 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Old River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-47 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Grant Line Canal at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for 1976-1991 with Alternative 2B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-48 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Middle River at Mowry Bridge for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-49 Estimated Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations (June–October) for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-50 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Rock Slough for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2C Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-51 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Old River at State Route 4 for 1976-1991 with Alternative 2C Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-52 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Clifton Court Forebay (SWP Banks) for 1976-1991 with Alternative 2C Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-53 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity at CVP Tracy for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2C Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-54 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Old River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2C Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-55 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Grant Line Canal at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2C Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline

- 5.3-56 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Middle River at Mowry Bridge for 1976–1991 with Alternative 2C Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-57 Estimated Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel (DWSC) Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations (June-October) for 1976-1991 with Alternative 2C Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-58 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Clifton Court Forebay (SWP Banks) for 1976-1991 with Alternative 3B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-59 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity at CVP Tracy for 1976–1991 with Alternative 3B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-60 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Old River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for 1976-1991 with Alternative 3B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-61 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Grant Line Canal at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for 1976–1991 with Alternative 3B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-62 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Middle River at Mowry Bridge for 1976–1991 with Alternative 3B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-63 Estimated Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel (DWSC) Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations (June–October) for 1976–1991 with Alternative 3B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-64 DSM2–Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Clifton Court Forebay (SWP Banks) for 1976–1991 with Alternative 4B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-65 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity at CVP Tracy for 1976–1991 with Alternative 4B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-66 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Old River at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for 1976–1991 with Alternative 4B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-67 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Grant Line Canal at Tracy Boulevard Bridge for 1976–1991 with Alternative 4B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-68 DSM2-Simulated Monthly Electrical Conductivity in Middle River at Mowry Bridge for 1976–1991 with Alternative 4B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline
- 5.3-69 Estimated Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel (DWSC) Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations (June–October) for 1976–1991 with Alternative 4B Stage 2 Compared with 2001 No Action Baseline

Section	n 5.4	Follows page
5.4-1	Aerial Extent of Land Subsidence in the Central Valley Due to Declines in Groundwater Elevations	5.4-4
Section	n 5.7	Follows Page
5.7-1	California Groundwater Basins	5.7-2
Section	า 5.8	Follows Page
5.8-1	Major Transportation and Navigation Routes	5.8-2
5.8-2	Truck Haul Routes	5.8-12
5.8-3	New and Improved Roadways	5.8-14
Section	n 6.1 A	t End of Section
6.1-1	Conceptual Model for Assessment of SDIP Effects on Delta Sr	nelt
6.1-2	Conceptual Model for Assessment of SDIP Effects on Chinook	Salmon
6.1-3	Conceptual Model for Assessment of SDIP Effects on Splittail	
6.1-4	Comparison of Monthly Average Flow in the San Joaquin and Trinity Rivers under Alternative 1 and 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation	
6.1-5	Comparison of Monthly Average Flow in the Sacramento, Feather, and American Rivers under Alternative 1 and 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation	
6.1-6	Comparison of Monthly Average Flow in the Sacramento River at Freeport and Monthly Average Delta Outflow under Alternative 1 and 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation	
6.1-7	Comparison of the Proportion of Sacramento River Flow Draw Cross Channel and Georgiana Slough under Alternative 1 and Simulation	
6.1-8	Comparison of X2 Location under Alternative 1 and 2A, 1922-	1994 Simulation
6.1-9	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of Total CVP and SWP Pumping Baseline and Alternative 2A, with Average Monthly Change for Simulation	
6.1-10	Estimated Frequency of Flooding in the Sutter and Yolo Bypas Simulation	ses, 1922–1994
6.1-11	Comparison of Water Temperature under Alternative 2A at Ke Bridge, and Red Bluff on the Sacramento River with Water Temperature 1, 1922–1944 Simulation	

- 6.1-12 Comparison of Water Temperature under Alternative 2A on the Feather and American Rivers with Water Temperature under Alternative 1, 1922–1994 Simulation
- 6.1-13 Simulated Entrainment Loss for Fall–, Late Fall–, Winter–, and Spring–Run Chinook Salmon under Alternatives 1 and 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation
- 6.1-14 Comparison of Water Temperature under Alternative 2A on the Trinity River with Water Temperature under Alternative 1, 1922–1994 Simulation
- 6.1-15 Comparison of Water Exports from the Trinity River under Alternative 2A with Exports under Alternative 1, 1922–1994 Simulation
- 6.1-16 Simulated Salvage for Steelhead under Alternatives 1 and 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation
- 6.1-17 Occurrence of Estuarine Rearing Habitat Area (i.e., Proportion of Maximum Area) for Delta Smelt under Alternative 1, 1922–1994 Simulation
- 6.1-18 Change in the Proportion of Estuarine Rearing Habitat Area, Relative to Alternative 1, for Delta Smelt under Alternative 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation
- 6.1-19 Simulated Salvage for Delta Smelt under Alternatives 1 and 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation
- 6.1-20 Annual Increase in Delta Smelt Salvage for June and July–May Periods, 1922–1994 Simulation for Alternative 2A
- 6.1-21 Monthly Median Size of Delta Smelt Salvaged at the SWP and CVP Fish Facilities, 1980–2002 Historic Data
- 6.1-22 Simulated Salvage for Splittail under Alternatives 1 and 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation
- 6.1-23 Monthly Median Size of Splittail Salvaged at the SWP and CVP Fish Facilities, 1980–2002 Historic Data
- 6.1-24 Occurrence of Proportional Estuarine Rearing Habitat Area for Striped Bass under Alternative 1, 1922–1994 Simulation
- 6.1-25 Change in the Proportion of Estuarine Rearing Habitat Area, Relative to Alternative 1, for Striped Bass under Alternative 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation
- 6.1-26 Simulated Salvage for Striped Bass under Alternatives 1 and 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation
- 6.1-27 Monthly Median Size of Striped Bass Salvaged at the SWP and CVP Fish Facilities, 1980–2002 Historic Data
- 6.1-28 Simulated Entrainment Loss for Fall-, Late Fall-, Winter-, and Spring-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternatives 1 and 2B, 1922–1994 Simulation
- 6.1-29 Simulated Salvage for Steelhead under Alternatives 1 and 2B, 1922–1994 Simulation

6.1-30	Simulated Salvage for Delta Smelt under Alternatives 1 and 2B, 1922–1994 Simulation	
6.1-31	Simulated Salvage for Splittail under Alternatives 1 and 2B, 1922–1994 Simulation	
6.1-32	Simulated Salvage for Striped Bass under Alternatives 1 and 2B, 1922–1994 Simulation	
6.1-33	Comparison of Monthly Average Flow in the San Joaquin and Trinity Rivers under Alternative 1 and 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation (2020 Operations)	
6.1-34	Comparison of Monthly Average Flow in the Sacramento, Feather, and American Rivers under Alternative 1 and 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation (2020 Operations)	
6.1-35	Comparison of Monthly Average Flow in the Sacramento River at Freeport and Monthly Average Delta Outflow under Alternative 1 and 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation (2020 Operations)	
6.1-36	Comparison of the Proportion of Sacramento River Flow Drawn into the Delta Cross Channel and Georgiana Slough under Alternative 1 and 2A, 1922–1994 Simulation (2020 Operations)	
6.1-37	Comparison of X2 Location under Alternative 2A with X2 Location under Alternative, 1922–1994 Simulation (2020 Operations)	
6.1-38	Monthly Range (Percentiles) of Total CVP and SWP Pumping for 2020 Baseline and Alternative 2A, with Average Monthly Change for 1922–1994 Simulation	
Section 6.2 At End of Section		
6.2-1	Study Area	
6.2-2	Vegetation and Construction Area for Head of Old River Gate	
6.2-3	Vegetation and Construction Area for Middle River Gate	
6.2-4	Vegetation and Construction Area for Grant Line Canal Gate	
6.2-5	Vegetation and Construction Area for Old River at DMC Gate	
6.2-6	Vegetation and Construction Area for the West Canal Dredge Area	
6.2-7	Vegetation and Construction Area for the Middle River Dredge Area	
6.2-8	Vegetation and Construction Area for the Old River Dredge Area	
6.2-9	California Natural Diversity Database Occurrences Near the Study Area	
6.2-10	Locations of Special-Status Plants in the Study Area	

6.2-11

Conceptual Model for Assessment of SDIP Effects on Intertidal Plants

Section	7.1	Follows page
7.1-1	Farmland Classifications in the Project Area	7.1-2
Section	7.4	Follows page
7.4-1	Recreation Areas	7.4-6
7.4-2	Existing Recreation Facilities Relative to Proposed South Delta Improvements	7.4-6
Section 7.5		Follows page
7.5-1	Names, Locations, and Generating Capacity of Primary SWP Power Facilities	7.5-2
Chaptei	10	Follows Page
10-1	Cumulative Impacts	10-4

## **Photographs**

Section 5.1 At End of Section		
5.1-2	Lewiston Dam and Lewiston Hatchery	
5.1-3	Judge Francis Carr Powerplant	
5.1-4	Shasta Dam	
5.1-5	Keswick Dam and Powerhouse	
5.1-6	Red Bluff Diversion Dam	
5.1-7	Oroville Dam	
5.1-8	Feather River Fish Gate	
5.1-9	Thermalito Forebay with Afterbay in Background	
5.1-10	Thermalito Powerplant	
5.1-11	Feather River Hatchery Fish Ladder (Left) and Raceway (Right)	
5.1-12	Nimbus Dam and Nimbus Hatchery	
5.1-13	Folsom Dam	
5.1-14	New Melones Dam	
5.1-15	CVP Tracy Pumping Plant	
5.1-16	CVP Delta-Mendota Canal at Mile 4.0	
5.1-17	SWP Harvey O. Banks Pumping Plant	
5.1-18	SWP California Aqueduct and CVP Delta-Mendota Canal Conveying Water from the Delta South to O'Neil Forebay and San Luis Reservoir	
5.1-19	San Luis Dam	
Section 5.2 At End of Section		
5.2-1	Looking West toward Carquinez Strait with Benicia to South (Left) and Martinez to North (Right)	
5.2-2	Interstate 680 Martinez Bridge Looking East toward Suisun Bay	

5.2-3	Aerial View of Chipps Island (Top of Photo) and Pittsburg Power Plant
5.2-4	Antioch Bridge Looking West toward Chipps Island
5.2-5	Aerial View of Sacramento River Downstream of the City of Sacramento, near Freeport, with Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant to East
5.2-6	Sacramento River at Freeport Bridge, South of Sacramento
5.2-7	Aerial View of Sacramento River Bend, Looping in Upper Left of Photo, at Walnut Grove
5.2-8	Sacramento River at Walnut Grove with Delta Cross Channel (Gates Closed) Connecting the Sacramento River with Snodgrass Slough
5.2-9	Aerial View of Big Break and Dutch Slough Located North of Oakley
5.2-10	Dutch Slough Looking East from Big Break toward Franks Tract
5.2-11	Aerial View of False River (Left), Fisherman's Cut (Top), and Franks Tract
5.2-12	False River Looking West from Franks Tract toward the San Joaquin River Channel
5.2-13	Mouth of Old River (Top) Connecting Franks Tract to San Joaquin River with Webb Tract to North and Mandeville Island to South
5.2-14	Seawall along the Southwest Franks Tract Levee along Bethel Island
5.2-15	San Joaquin River Flowing from the South into the Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel at the Port of Stockton and the Stockton Regional Wastewater Control Facility Ponds South of Rough and Ready Island
5.2-16	San Joaquin River Flowing into the Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel with the East Complex of the Port of Stockton on Rough and Ready Island, Looking West from Stockton
5.2-17	San Joaquin River at Mossdale Bridge with Head of Old River at Center of Photo Flowing to West
5.2-18	Mossdale Bridges Looking North (Downstream) toward the Head of Old River
5.2-19	San Joaquin River Downstream of Vernalis Bridge (Bottom of Photo) with Banta-Carbona Diversion Canal near Top of Photo
5.2-20	Vernalis Bridge and the San Joaquin River Looking North (Downstream)
5.2-21	Flood Control Diversion Weir Downstream of Vernalis at Paradise Cut, Looking West Across the San Joaquin River
5.2-22	Head of Old River Temporary Barrier with Culverts on Left Side that Allow Regulated Flow into Old River from the San Joaquin River

5.2-23	Aerial View of East End of Grant Line Canal (Top), Old River from Head of Old River (East) toward Tracy Boulevard Bridge to West (Just off Photo), with Tom Paine Slough Siphon Station off Sugar Cut (Bottom)
5.2-24	Middle River Channel at Its Head at Old River
5.2-25	Victoria Canal (and North Canal) Connecting Middle River (at Northeast End) to Old River (at Bottom Left End of Photo), Jones Tract North of Trapper Slough (at Top Right Corner of Photo)
5.2-26	State Route 4 Bridge at Middle River Channel Looking South from above Jones Tract
5.2-27	South End of Clifton Court Forebay with Old River (to Southeast) and Grant Line Canal (East) Showing Delta-Mendota Canal Intake Channel (West) and the Intake Gates at Southeast End of Clifton Court Forebay
5.2-28	Mouth of Grant Line Canal Looking West toward Old River
5.2-29	Siphons That Supply Tom Paine Slough, Located in Sugar Cut off Old River East of Tracy Boulevard Bridge
5.2-30	Old River Channel Looking West from Sugar Cut across the Tracy Boulevard Bridge
5.2-31	West Side of Clifton Court Forebay with John E. Skinner Fish Salvage Facility
5.2-32	East Side of Clifton Court Forebay with Intake Gates in Lower Center
5.2-33	Clifton Court Forebay Intake Channel and Tidal Gates Looking East from over Clifton Court Forebay
5.2-34	Close-Up View of the Clifton Court Forebay Intake Tidal Gates
5.2-35	Discovery Bay off Indian Slough, Which Connects with Old River North of State Route 4 Bridge
5.2-36	State Route 4 Bridge over Old River Just North of Los Vaqueros Pumping Plant
5.2-37	Tracy Boulevard Bridge Crossing the Old River Channel, Looking East
5.2-38	Old River near Delta-Mendota Canal Temporary Barrier Site Looking North across Fabian Tract (April 16, 2002)
5.2-39	Middle River Channel at Mowry Bridge
5.2-40	Tracy Boulevard Bridge over Middle River
5.2-41	Middle River Temporary Barrier Site Looking South across Union Island
5.2-42	Middle River Temporary Barrier Site Looking East across Middle Roberts Island to North and Union Island to South

5.2-43	Grant Line Canal Looking West toward Tracy Boulevard Bridge	
5.2-44	Grant Line Canal Looking East toward Tracy Boulevard Bridge with Fabian and Bell Canal to the South	
5.2-45	Grant Line Canal at Temporary Barrier Site Looking West to Tracy Boulevard Bridge	
5.2-46	Grant Line Canal Looking East (Upstream) from Temporary Barrier Site with Two Agricultural Diversion Pumps (March 4, 2003)	
5.2-47	Suisun Marsh (Montezuma Slough) Salinity Control Gates in Open Raised Position	
5.2-48	Suisun Marsh (Montezuma Slough) Salinity Control Gates Flashboard Removal	
Section 5.3 At End of Section		
5.3-1	Collinsville Salinity (EC) Monitoring Station	
5.3-2	Water Quality Monitoring Station on Old River at the Head of Middle River (on right)	
5.3-3	Mouth of Rock Slough at Old River across from Bacon Island	
5.3-4	Mouth of Rock Slough Looking across Old River from above Bacon Island	
5.3-5	Western End of Rock Slough with Contra Costa Canal Heading to the Northwest	
5.3-6	Head of Sand Mound Slough	
5.3-7	Contra Costa Water District Pumping Plant #1 Near Oakley	
5.3-8	Contra Costa Water District Bollman Water Treatment Plant Sedimentation Basins and Mallard Reservoir	
5.3-9	Agricultural Diversion Siphon That Supplies Irrigation Water from the Delta Channels	
5.3-10	Drainage Pumps on Twitchell Island That Returns Stormwater Runoff and Agricultural Drainage Flows to the Delta Channels	
5.3-11	Los Vaqueros Intake Located on the Western Bank of Old River Just Upstream (South) of the State Route 4 Bridge	
5.3-12	Los Vaqueros Reservoir, Located Southwest of the Los Vaqueros Intake	
5.3-13	SWP Harvey O. Banks Pumping Plant	
5.3-14	Intake to the Central Valley Project Delta-Mendota Canal Located just Upstream (South) of Grant Line Canal	

## Section 7.6 7.6-1 Old River Fish Gate Site 7.6-2 Old River Fish Gate Site 7.6-3 Old River Fish Gate Site 7.6-4 Middle River Fish Gate Site 7.6-5 Middle River Fish Gate Site 7.6-6 Middle River Fish Gate Site 7.6-7 Grant Line Canal Gate Site 7.6-8 Grant Line Canal Gate Site

Old River Gate Site

Old River Gate Site

Old River Gate Site

West Canal Dredging Site

Middle River Dredging Site

Middle River Dredging Site

7.6-9

7.6-10

7.6-11

7.6-12

7.6-13

7.6-14

## **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

μg/l micrograms per liter

μS/cm microSiemens per centimeter

1978 Delta Plan Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento-San Joaquin

Delta and Suisun Marsh

1991 Delta Plan 1991 Delta Water Quality Control Plan for Salinity, Temperature

and Dissolved Oxygen

ACE Altamont Commuter Express

ACHP Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

af acre-feet

af/day acre-feet per day

AFRP Anadromous Fish Restoration Program

AG-40 Permanent Agricultural Intensive Land Use Zone, minimum

parcel size 40 acres

AG-80 Permanent Agricultural Extensive Land Use Zone, minimum

parcel size 80 acres

Alquist-Priolo Act Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act

APE area of potential effects

ASIP Action Specific Implementation Plan
ASTM American Society for Testing and Material

AU-20 Agriculture–Urban Reserve, minimum parcel size 20 acres

Authority California Bay-Delta Authority

B.P. years before present biological assessment

BAAQMD Bay Area Air Quality Management District
BART San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District

Bay-Delta San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta

Bay-Delta Estuary San Francisco Bay/Sacramento—San Joaquin River Delta Estuary

BDAC Bay-Delta Advisory Council

BDPAC Bay-Delta Public Advisory Committee

BIA Bureau of Indian Affairs
BMPs best management practices

BNSF Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway

BO biological opinion

Br bromide

Business Plan Act Hazardous Materials Release Response Plans and Inventory Act

CAA federal Clean Air Act

CAAQS California Ambient Air Quality Standards

CALFED Ops Group California-Federal Operations Group

CALFED Program CALFED Bay-Delta Program

CALFED ROD CALFED Programmatic Record of Decision

CALSIM joint water supply planning model

CALSIM II DWR and Reclamation joint planning model Caltrans California Department of Transportation

CARB California Air Resources Board
CAT San Joaquin Area Transit
CBDA California Bay-Delta Authority

CCC Contra Costa Canal CCF Clifton Court Forebay

CCIC Central California Information Center

CCMP Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan

CCR California Code of Regulations
CCWA Central Coast Water Authority
CCWD Contra Costa Water District
CEQ Council on Environmental Quality
CEQA California Environmental Quality Act
CESA California Endangered Species Act

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

cfs cubic feet per second
CGS California Geological Survey
CHP California Highway Patrol

CHRIS California Historical Resources Information System

Cl chloride cm centimeters

CNDDB California Natural Diversity Database
CNEL Community Noise Equivalent Level
CNPS California Native Plant Society

CO carbon monoxide

COA Coordinated Operations Agreement
Corps U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
CPM Certified Property Manager

CRHR California Register of Historic Resources
CSUS California State University, Sacramento
CUPA Certified Unified Program Agency

CVP Central Valley Project CVP Tracy CVP Tracy Pumping Plant

CVPIA Central Valley Project Improvement Act

CVP-OCAP CVP Operating and Criteria Plan

CVRWQCB Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board

CWA federal Clean Water Act of 1977

cy cubic yards

D-1485 State Water Resources Control Board Decision-1485

D-1630 Water Right Decision 1630

D-1641 State Water Resource Control Board Decision 1641

D-893 Water Right Decision 893
DAT Data Assessment Team

dB Decibel

dBA A-Weighted Decibel

DBW California Department of Boating and Waterways

DCC Delta Cross Channel

DEFT Diversion Effects on Fisheries Team
Delta Sacramento—San Joaquin River Delta
DFG California Department of Fish and Game
DIDI Delta Island Drainage Investigations
DIP Delta Improvements Package

DMC Delta-Mendota Canal
DO dissolved oxygen
DOC dissolved organic carbon
DOI U.S. Department of the Interior
DPC Delta Protection Commission

DPR California Department of Parks and Recreation

DPS dredge placement sites

DRERIP Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Implementation Plan

DSA depletion study area
DSM2 Delta Simulation Model 2

DSM2 State of California Delta Simulation Model

DSOD Department of Safety of Dams
DSRAM Delta Smelt Risk Assessment Matrix
DWR California Department of Water Resources

DWSC Deep Water Ship Channel

E/I export/inflow

EBMUD East Bay Municipal Utility District

EC electrical conductivity
EDR Environmental Data Report

EIS/EIR environmental impact statement/environmental impact report

EO Executive Order

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

ERP Ecosystem Restoration Program
ESA federal Endangered Species Act
ESU evolutionarily significant unit
EWA Environmental Water Account
EWP Environmental Water Program

feet msl feet above mean sea level

feet/sec feet per second

FHWA Federal Highway Administration

FMMP Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program

FPMP fugitive PM10 management plan FPPA Farmland Protection Policy Act

FR Federal Register

FRSA Feather River Service Area
FRWP Freeport Regional Water Project

FSZ Farmland Security Zone

FTA Federal Transit Administration FWCA Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act

g force of gravity

GIS geographic information systems

GPS Global Positioning System

HCP habitat conservation plan HM Habitat Management

Hp horsepower

I-5 Interstate 5

IDHAMP Interagency Delta Health Aspects Monitoring Program

IEPInteragency Ecological ProgramIESPInteragency Ecological Study Program

in/sec inches per second

Intertie Delta-Mendota Canal and California Aqueduct Intertie

ISDP Interim South Delta Program

ITAs Indian Trust Assets

JPE juvenile production estimate JPOD joint point of diversion

KCWA Kern County Water Agency

kV kilovolts

L<sub>dn</sub> Day-Night Level

 $\begin{array}{ccc} L_{eq} & & & Equivalent Sound Level \\ L_{max} & & Maximum Sound Level \\ L_{min} & & Minimum Sound Level \\ LOD & & level of development \\ LOS & & levels of service \\ \end{array}$ 

LRMP Land and Resource Management Plan

LTEWA Long-Term EWA

L<sub>xx</sub> Percentile-Exceeded Sound Level

M&I municipal and industrial m/sec meter per second million acre-feet

Magnuson-Stevens Act Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act

MAs Management Agencies
MBK Murray, Burns & Kienlen
MBTA Migratory Bird Treaty Act
MCL maximum contaminant level

Metropolitan The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

mg/l milligrams per liter
mgd million gallons per day
MLLW mean lower low water

MOU memorandum of understanding μS/cm microSiemens per centimeter

μg/l micrograms per liter

μg/m<sup>3</sup> micrograms per cubic meter

mph miles per hour

mS/cm milliSiemens per centimeter
MSA Metropolitan Statistical Area

MSCS Multi-Species Conservation Strategy

MSSCG Montezuma Slough salinity control gates MTC Metropolitan Transportation Commission

MWQI Municipal Water Quality Investigations Program

MWT Fall Midwater Trawl survey

NAAQS
NAHC
National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAHC
Native American Heritage Commission
NAVD 88
NCCA
NCCA
NCCP
Natural Communities Conservation Act
Natural Community Conservation Plan

NCCPA Natural Communities Conservation Planning Act

NEPA National Environmental Policy Act
NGVD national geodetic vertical datum
NHPA National Historic Preservation Act

NOA Notice of Availability

NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NOAA Fisheries National Marine Fisheries Service

NOC Notice of Completion
NOD Notice of Determination

NOP/NOI Notice of Preparation/Notice of Intent

NO<sub>x</sub> oxides of nitrogen

NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NRA National Recreation Area

NRCS Natural Resources Conservation Service
NRHP National Register of Historic Places
NTU nephelometric turbidity unit

NTU nephelometric turbidity unit NWIC Northwest Information Center

O&M operations and maintenance

 $O^3$  ozone

OCAP CVP/SWP Operations Criteria and Plan

OES Office of Emergency Services

OPR Governor's Office of Planning and Research

PAs Project Agencies

PCL Planning and Conservation League PG&E Pacific Gas and Electric Company

PL Public Law

PM10 particulate matter 10 microns in diameter or less Porter-Cologne Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act

ppb parts per billion
ppt parts per thousand
PPV Peak Particle Velocity

Programmatic EIS/EIR CALFED Programmatic Environmental Impact

Statement/Environmental Impact Report

Proposition 65 Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986

PTM Particle Tracking Module
Public Notice Public Notice 5820A, Amended

RBDD Red Bluff Diversion Dam

Reclamation U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation

RMP risk management plan
ROC reactive organic compounds

ROD Record of Decision

RPA Reasonable Prudent Alternative

RT round trip

RTOC Regional Tribal Operations Committee RWQCB Regional Water Quality Control Board

RWWCF Stockton Regional Wastewater Control Facility

SAP sampling and analysis plan

SB Senate Bill

SCVWD Santa Clara Valley Water District
SCWA Sacramento County Water Agency
SDIP South Delta Improvements Program

SDWA South Delta Water Agency SET standard elutriate tests

SFBAAB San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin
SFEP San Francisco Estuary Project
SHPO State Historic Preservation Officer

SIP State Implementation Plan SJVAB San Joaquin Valley Air Basin

SJVDIP San Joaquin Valley Drainage Implementation Program
SJVUAPCD San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

SMART San Joaquin Regional Transit District

SR State Route

SRA State Recreation Area

SRFCP Sacramento River Flood Control Project

SS suspended sediments

State Water Board State Water Resources Control Board

Superfund Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and

Liability Act

SVWMA Sacramento Valley Water Management Agreement SVWMP Sacramento Valley Water Management Plan

SWP State Water Project

SWP Banks SWP Harvey O. Banks Pumping Plant stormwater pollution prevention plan

taf thousand acre-feet

taf/yr thousand acre-feet per year TDF Through-Delta Facility TDS total dissolved solids THMs trihalomethanes

TMDL total maximum daily load
TNS Summer Townet Survey
TOC total organic carbon
tpy tons per year

TRMFRP EIS Trinity River Mainstream Fishery Restoration Program

**Environmental Impact Statement** 

UBC Uniform Building Code

Union Island Old River at the head of Middle River

USC U.S. Code

USFS U.S. Forest Service

USFWS U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

USGS U.S. Geological Survey

VAMP Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan VELB valley elderberry longhorn beetle

VOCs volatile organic carbons

WAP Water Acquisition Program

WAPA Western Area Power Administration WDRs waste discharge requirements

Williamson Act California Land Conservation Act of 1965

WMU Waste Management Unit

WOMT Water Operations Management Team

WQCP Water Quality Control Plan WTP Water Treatment Plant

WY water years

X2 the distance in kilometers of the 2-ppt isohaline from the Golden

Gate Bridge

yds<sup>3</sup> cubic yards