

## 23.1 Environmental Setting/Affected Environment

### 23.1.2 Groundborne Vibration

This section describes basic concepts related to groundborne vibration. In contrast to airborne sound, groundborne vibration is not a phenomenon that most people experience every day. The background vibration velocity level in residential areas is usually much lower than the threshold of human perception. Most perceptible indoor vibration is caused by sources within buildings, such as mechanical equipment operation, people moving, or doors slamming. Typical outdoor sources of perceptible groundborne vibration are construction equipment, steel-wheeled trains, and traffic on rough roads. [Blasting at excavation sites is also a source of groundborne vibration and airblast.](#)

#### 23.1.2.1 Vibration from Construction

Construction activity can result in varying degrees of ground vibration depending on the equipment and method used. Equipment such as air compressors, light trucks, and hydraulic loaders generate little or no ground vibration. Pile drivers, vibratory compactors, and demolition equipment have the potential to generate substantial vibration, which may present a concern if close to buildings (Federal Transit Administration 2006).

Dynamic construction equipment such as pile drivers can create vibrations that radiate along the surface and downward into the earth. These surface waves can be felt as groundborne vibration. Vibration can result in effects ranging from annoying people to damaging structures. Variations in geology and distance result in different vibration levels comprising different frequencies and displacements. In all cases, vibration amplitudes will decrease with increasing distance from the vibration source.

As vibration waves travel outward from a source, they excite the particles of rock and soil through which they pass and cause them to oscillate. The actual distance that these particles move is usually only a few ten-thousandths to a few thousandths of an inch. The rate or velocity (in inches per second) at which these particles move is the commonly accepted definition of the vibration amplitude, referred to as the peak particle velocity (PPV).

Groundborne vibration can also be expressed in terms of root mean square (RMS) vibration velocity to evaluate human response to vibration levels. RMS is defined as the average of the squared amplitude of the vibration signal. The vibration amplitude is expressed in terms of vibration decibels (VdB), which use a reference level of 1 micro-inch per second. The threshold of perception for most people is around 65 VdB. Vibration levels in the 70–80 VdB range are often noticeable but acceptable. Typically, vibration levels must exceed 100 VdB before building damage occurs. Historic structures, however, may have a damage threshold as low as 90 VdB.

The potential for annoyance and physical damage to buildings from vibration is the primary issue associated with groundborne vibration. The human response to continuous groundborne vibration is shown in Table 23-2.

1 **Table 23-2. Human Response to Continuous Vibration from Traffic**

Peak Particle Velocity (Inches/Second)	Human Response
0.4–0.6	Unpleasant
0.2	Annoying
0.1	Begins to annoy
0.08	Readily perceptible
0.006–0.019	Threshold of perception

Source: Whiffen and Leonard 1971.

2

3 Damage potential thresholds for vibration generated by construction activities are shown in Table  
4 23-3.

5 **Table 23-3. Maximum Vibration Levels for Preventing Damage**

Building Category	Limiting Velocity (PPV in Inches/ Second)	Approximate Maximum Vibration Level (VdB)
Reinforced-concrete, steel, or timber (no plaster)	0.5 <sup>a</sup>	102
Engineered concrete and masonry (no plaster)	0.3 <sup>a</sup>	98
Historic and some old buildings	0.25 <sup>b</sup>	96
Non-engineered timber and masonry buildings	0.2 <sup>a</sup>	94
Buildings extremely susceptible to vibration damage	0.12 <sup>a</sup>	90

PPV = peak particle velocity.  
VdB = root mean square velocity in decibels are 1 micro-inch/second.  
<sup>a</sup> Source: Federal Transit Administration 2006.  
<sup>b</sup> Source: California Department of Transportation 2004.

6

7 **23.1.2.2 Groundborne Noise**

8 At higher frequencies, groundborne vibration can be perceived as a noise source. At sufficiently high  
9 amplitudes, propagation of vibration waves through the ground can cause building elements to  
10 vibrate at a frequency that is audible to the human ear. Groundborne noise could result in rattling of  
11 windows, walls, or other items coupled to building surfaces. Groundborne vibration levels resulting  
12 in groundborne noise are often experienced as a combination of perceptible vibration and low  
13 frequency noise.

14 Land uses sensitive to groundborne vibration include places where people reside, schools, libraries,  
15 and places of worship. Hospital operating rooms and certain types of industries that use vibration-  
16 sensitive equipment are considered highly sensitive to groundborne noise and vibration. Outdoor  
17 park facilities, such as picnic areas or athletic fields, are not considered sensitive to groundborne  
18 noise or vibration.

19 The human response to different levels of groundborne noise and vibration is shown in Table 23-4.  
20 Vibration levels with spectral components within the range of human hearing (30 hertz [Hz] and 60  
21 Hz in the table) would produce the corresponding approximate A-weighted noise levels. Thus, it is

1 possible to experience vibrations as audible noise, even though physical vibrations may not be  
2 detected.

3 **Table 23-4. Human Response to Groundborne Noise**

Vibration Velocity (VdB)	Low-Frequency Noise Level <sup>a</sup> (dBA)	Mid-Frequency Noise Level <sup>b</sup> (dBA)	Human Response
65	25	40	Approximate threshold of perception for many humans. Low-frequency sound usually inaudible; mid-frequency sound excessive for quiet sleeping areas.
75	35	50	Approximate dividing line between barely perceptible and distinctly perceptible. Many people find transit vibration at this level annoying. Low-frequency noise acceptable for sleeping areas; mid-frequency noise annoying in most quiet occupied areas.
85	45	60	Vibration acceptable only for an infrequent number of events per day. Low-frequency noise annoying for sleeping areas; mid-frequency noise annoying for institutional land uses such as schools and churches, even with infrequent events.

Source: Federal Transit Administration 2006.

VdB = vibration decibel.

dBA = A-weighted decibel.

<sup>a</sup> Approximate noise level when vibration spectrum peak is near 30 Hz.

<sup>b</sup> Approximate noise level when vibration spectrum peak is near 60 Hz.

4

5 Groundborne noise also has the potential to affect nesting birds. This discussion is located in  
6 Chapter 12, *Terrestrial Biological Resources*.

### 7 **23.1.2.3 Human Response to Airblast and Vibration from Blasting**

8 Blasting creates seismic waves that radiate along the surface of the earth and downward into the  
9 earth. These surface waves can be felt as ground vibration. Airblast and ground vibration can result  
10 in effects ranging from annoyance of people to damage of structures. Varying geology and distance  
11 results in different vibration levels containing different frequencies and displacements. In all cases,  
12 vibration amplitudes decrease with increasing distance.

13 As seismic waves travel outward from a blast, they excite the particles of rock and soil through  
14 which they pass and cause them to oscillate. The actual distance that these particles move is usually  
15 only a few ten-thousandths to a few thousandths of an inch. The rate or velocity (in inches per  
16 second) at which these particles move is the commonly accepted descriptor of the vibration  
17 amplitude, referred to as the peak particle velocity (PPV).

18 Human response to blast vibration and airblast is difficult to quantify. Vibration and airblast can be  
19 felt or heard well below the levels that produce any damage to structures. The duration of the event  
20 has an effect on human response, as does blast frequency. Blast events are relatively short—on the  
21 order of several seconds for sequentially delayed blasts. Generally, as blast duration and vibration  
22 frequency increase, the potential for adverse human response increases. Studies have shown that a

1 few blasts of longer duration produce a less adverse human response than short blasts that occur  
 2 more often.

3 The average human response to vibration from a blast event begins to be strongly perceptible at a  
 4 level of 0.5 inch/second PPV. The average human response to airblast from a blast event is within  
 5 the range of mildly unpleasant to distinctly unpleasant at an overpressure level of 130 dB. These  
 6 responses assume an average person at rest in quiet surroundings. If the person is engaged in any  
 7 type of physical activity, the level required for the responses indicated is increased considerably.

## 8 **23.2 Regulatory Setting**

### 9 **23.2.1 Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations**

#### 10 **23.2.1.1 U.S. Bureau of Mines Criteria for Airblast and Ground Vibration** 11 **due to Blasting Activities**

12 Conventional noise criteria (for steady-state noise sources) and limits established for repetitive  
 13 impulsive noise (such as for gun-firing ranges) do not apply to air overpressures from blasting. U.S.  
 14 Bureau of Mines Report of Investigations 8485 (U.S. Bureau of Mines 1980a) and the regulations  
 15 issued more recently by the U.S. Office of Surface Mining and Reclamation Enforcement specify a  
 16 maximum safe overpressure of 0.013 psi (133 dB) for impulsive airblast when recording is  
 17 accomplished with equipment having a frequency range of response of at least 2 to 200 Hz.

18 U.S. Bureau of Mines Report of Investigations 8507 (U.S. Bureau of Mines 1980b) contains blasting-  
 19 level criteria that can be appropriately applied to keep ground vibration well below levels that might  
 20 cause damage to neighboring structures. At low-vibration frequencies, velocities of ground vibration  
 21 are restricted to low levels. As vibration frequency increases, higher velocities are allowed up to a  
 22 maximum of 2.00 inches per second.

23 To determine the velocity limit that would apply to neighboring properties, the dominant frequency  
 24 ranges of the vibration must first be determined. The distribution of explosives, distance from the  
 25 blast, and the nature of the transmitting medium (soil and rock) between the blast site and the  
 26 affected structure all play a part in determining the dominant frequency of the blast vibration.  
 27 Timing between the detonations of charges also affects the frequency, but only in relatively close  
 28 proximity to the blast. The limits specified in the criteria range from 0.50 inch per second PPV at 4  
 29 Hz to 2.00 inches per second at 40 Hz and above.

## 30 **23.3 Environmental Consequences**

### 31 **23.3.1 Methods for Analysis**

#### 32 **23.3.1.1 Construction Noise and Vibration**

33 The assessment of potential construction noise levels was based on methodology developed by the  
 34 FTA (2006). Potential effects associated with construction activities would be temporary, which, for  
 35 the purposes of this chapter, is defined as the 9-year construction period. Noise levels produced by

1 commonly used construction equipment are summarized in Table 23-12. Individual types of  
2 construction equipment are expected to generate maximum noise levels ranging from 80 to 96 dBA  
3 at a distance of 50 feet. The construction noise level at a given receiver depends on the type of  
4 construction activity, the noise level generated by that activity, and the distance and shielding  
5 between the activity and noise-sensitive receivers.

6 The assessment of potential construction noise levels was based on methodology developed by the  
7 FTA (2006). Construction assumptions for conveyance facilities are described in Appendix 3C.  
8 Potential effects associated with construction activities would be temporary, which, for the purposes  
9 of this chapter, is defined as the 134-year construction period for the MPTO and 13-year  
10 construction period for all other alignments. Noise levels produced by commonly used construction  
11 equipment are summarized in Table 23-12. Individual types of construction equipment are expected  
12 to generate maximum noise levels ranging from 76 to 101 dBA at a distance of 50 feet. The  
13 construction noise level at a given receiver depends on the type of construction activity and the  
14 distance and shielding between the activity and noise-sensitive receivers.

15 An inventory of equipment expected to be in service by phase of project construction is included in  
16 Appendix 22B, Air Quality Assumptions. The source level is based on the  $L_{max}$  of equipment emission  
17 levels developed by FTA. Utilization factors for construction noise are used in the analysis to  
18 develop  $L_{eq}$  noise exposure values. The  $L_{eq}$  value accounts for the energy-average of noise over a  
19 specified interval (usually 1 hour), so a utilization factor represents the amount of time a type of  
20 equipment is used during the interval. In practice over a multi-year construction schedule,  
21 equipment utilization factors for a given hour of a workday would vary substantially.

22 To characterize the source level of the worst-case noise condition during a given phase of  
23 construction, the six loudest pieces of equipment were assumed to operate simultaneously at a  
24 perimeter location, at a receiver distance of 50 feet. Pile drivers were assumed to operate up to  
25 100% of a given hour, assuming multiple drivers are used at a site. Heavy trucks were also assumed  
26 to operate up to 100% of a given hour. With the exception of pile driving, trucks are assumed to be a  
27 dominant source of noise. Source emission levels for trucks are up to 88 dBA at 50 feet, as shown in  
28 Table 23-12.

29 Other sources of construction noise include installation of power transmission lines, helicopters for  
30 installing conductor line, earth-moving activities at offsite areas, staging areas, concrete plants, and  
31 the use of barges for in-water pile driving. Excavation sites would involve the use of rock drills,  
32 crushers, and screens. Blasting may be required at some excavation sites.

33 Sheet piles would be driven using both impact and vibratory impact hammers during construction  
34 of intake facilities, and drilled piles will be used for other project components such as pumping  
35 plants, canal box culvert siphons, and barge unloading facilities. As shown in Table 23-12, the source  
36 levels for an impact pile driver is 101 dBA at 50 feet. Construction assumptions for pile driving,  
37 including numbers of pile installations per day are included in Appendix 3C. Timing of in-water pile  
38 driving is largely dependent on fish migration patterns. For most features, pile driving can be  
39 completed in less than six months (up to 113 days for cofferdams and other structures). For  
40 cofferdam installation at the modified Clifton Court forebay, pile driving is expected to take 367 days  
41 to complete, assuming 60 piles installed per day. Vibration source levels for pile drivers are shown  
42 in Table 23-13.

1 **Table 23-12. Commonly Used Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels**

Equipment	Typical Noise Level (dBA) 50 Feet from Source
Pile-driver (Impact)	101
Pile-driver (Sonic)	96
Grader	85
Bulldozers	85
Truck	<del>85</del> 88
Loader	<del>80</del> 85
Air Compressor	<del>80</del> 81
Backhoe	80
Pneumatic Tool	85
Excavator	85
Auger Drill Rig (for drilled piles)	85
Crane, Derrick	88
<del>Concrete Mixer Truck</del>	<del>79</del>
Concrete Batch Plant	N/A
Compactor (Ground)	<del>83</del> 82
Concrete mixer	85
<del>Generator</del>	<del>81</del>
<del>Pump</del>	<del>76</del>

Source: Federal Highway Administration 2006.

dBA = A-weighted decibel.

2

3 **Table 23-13. Vibration Source Levels for Pile Drivers**

Equipment		PPV at 25 feet (Inches/Second)	Approximate Vibration Level (Vdb)
Pile Driver (impact)	Upper range	1.518	112
	Typical	0.644	104
Pile Driver (sonic)	Upper range	0.734	105
	Typical	0.170	93

Source: Federal Transit Administration 2006.

PPV = peak particle velocity.

VdB = root mean square velocity in decibels re 1 micro-inch/second.

4

5 **23.3.1.2 Traffic Noise Modeling**

6 Traffic noise level along highways and other major roadways were calculated using peak-hour traffic  
7 volume data provided by the project traffic consultant (Fehr & Peers 2015), and traffic noise  
8 emissions from data tables developed from the Federal Highway Administration FHWA Traffic Noise  
9 Model Version 2.5 (TNM) (FHWA 1998, FHWA 2004). Traffic noise levels at sensitive receptors near  
10 construction haul routes were evaluated through use of the FHWA Traffic Noise Model Lookup  
11 program (TNM). TNM estimates average noise levels at fixed distances from the roadway centerline  
12 based on estimated traffic volumes for automobiles and medium- and heavy-duty trucks, vehicle

1 speeds, and a designated noise drop-off rate based on ground type. Shielding effects from  
 2 topographical features and buildings are not accounted for in the model. The model was  
 3 programmed to produce a conservative, worst-hour estimate of temporary traffic-generated noise  
 4 levels due to heavy truck and increased commuter trips associated with construction of project and  
 5 conservation components. An estimate of peak-hour construction-generated traffic was based on  
 6 Appendix 19A, *Bay Delta Conservation Plan Construction Traffic Impact Analysis Report prepared by*  
 7 *Fehr & Peers (2015)*. The traffic study analyzed volume data for hours of the day from 6:00 a.m. to  
 8 7:00 p.m. The highest projected volume was used to simulate loudest hour, or worst case conditions,  
 9 consistent with the methodology for analysis of construction noise. A provision of the environmental  
 10 commitments states that off-site truck trips and commutes would be limited to daytime hours where  
 11 feasible. Nighttime traffic conditions under all project alternatives assumes background growth only  
 12 (i.e., No Project conditions). The analysis focuses on worst-hour noise conditions during peak travel  
 13 hours.

14 The environmental consequences analysis includes an assessment of traffic noise impacts based on  
 15 loudest-hour traffic noise levels under future project alternatives, compared to Existing Conditions.  
 16 Existing loudest-hour noise levels are shown in Table 23-14.

#### 17 **23.3.1.4 Operations**

18 Potential reasonable worst-case pump noise levels during operation of the intake structures and  
 19 intermediate pumping plants were evaluated by calculating sound power levels of the pump based  
 20 on horsepower (Hoover and Keith 2000). For Alternative 1A, faceplate horsepower for vertical  
 21 column and vertical volute type pumps is specified in the pump selection appendix of the  
 22 Pipeline/Tunnel Option Conceptual Engineering Report (CER) (California Department of Water  
 23 Resources 2010b). The analysis assumes that pumps would be housed inside multistory concrete  
 24 structures. The operations analysis includes continuous operation of air compressors for air  
 25 handling in the pump stations concurrently with pumps inside each intake structure. Sedimentation  
 26 ponds will require occasional dredging to remove solids.

#### 27 **23.3.1.4 23.3.1.5 Existing Baseline Conditions in the Study Area**

28 Under NEPA (and CEQA), the baseline is the existing ambient noise level in a given location. Baseline  
 29 noise levels vary greatly depending on the extent of urban development and proximity to  
 30 transportation corridors. Ambient rural noise levels are typically in the range of 40–50 dB (Table  
 31 23-5). Ambient noise levels near major highways can be as high as 75 dB. ~~Modeled existing traffic~~  
 32 ~~noise levels at locations near roadways in the study area are discussed in Section 23.4.1.2. Existing~~  
 33 ~~traffic noise levels along highways and other major roadways were calculated using peak-hour~~  
 34 ~~traffic volume data provided by the project traffic consultant (Fehr & Peers 2015). The approach to~~  
 35 ~~calculation of traffic noise level is discussed in Section 23.4.1.2.~~

36 To assess increases in noise levels due to construction of the project, a baseline of 40 dBA is used to  
 37 describe the existing ambient noise level in the study area. Because many of the facilities that would  
 38 be constructed under the project alternatives are located primarily in rural areas, a baseline level of  
 39 40 dBA would be characteristic of the project's mostly rural setting, and was therefore assumed to  
 40 apply to the entire study area. The ambient baseline level of 40 dBA is used in this analysis to  
 41 conservatively account for increases in noise levels during daytime hours, and potentially sleep  
 42 disturbance during nighttime hours. Noise monitoring at specific locations has not been conducted  
 43 for this project.

1 The thresholds for construction indicate that, where existing ambient noise level is less than 60 dBA,  
 2 impacts would be significant where construction noise levels are predicted exceed the DWR  
 3 standard of 60 dBA (50 dBA during nighttime hours). Therefore an existing ambient noise level of  
 4 40 dBA conservatively accounts for the most stringent construction noise increase thresholds used  
 5 in the environmental consequences analysis.

6 The existing Banks and Jones Pumping Plants contribute to the noise environment in an isolated  
 7 rural setting near the Contra Costa/Alameda county line. Existing pump noise, along with traffic on  
 8 Kelso Road and overflights from small aircraft, would contribute to the noise environment at  
 9 residential and recreational use directly adjacent to the Jones Pumping Plant. Banks Pumping Plant  
 10 is located at the end of Kelso Road, and is not adjacent to noise-sensitive residential or recreational  
 11 use areas.

12 For noise-sensitive land uses adjacent to project truck routes, the environmental consequences  
 13 analysis includes an assessment of traffic noise impacts based on loudest-hour traffic noise levels  
 14 under future project alternatives compared to Existing Conditions. Existing loudest-hour noise  
 15 levels are shown in Table 23-14.

16 **Table 23-14. Existing Loudest-Hour Traffic Noise Levels**

Roadway	Segment	Existing Loudest-hour Volume	Existing Traffic Noise Level, dBA $L_{eq}$ (1h) (100 feet from roadway centerline)
Byron Hwy	Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line to Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line	656	58
Brentwood Blvd	Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits) to Balfour Rd	1,516	61
Brentwood Blvd	Balfour Rd to Brentwood City Limits (South)	1,013	60
Balfour Rd	Brentwood Blvd to Brentwood City Limits	1,300	61
Bethel Island Rd	Oakley City Limits to End	330	55
Balfour Rd	Brentwood City Limits to Byron Hwy	297	54
Old SR 41	Brentwood City Limits (South) to Marsh Creek Rd	1,682	62
Byron Hwy	Delta Rd to Old SR 4	240	53
Byron Hwy	SR 4 to Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line	907	59
SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)	Sacramento City Limits to Freeport Bridge	476	59
SR 160 (Freeport Blvd/ River Rd)	Freeport Bridge to Scribner Rd	180	55
SR 160	Scribner Rd to Hood Franklin Rd	125	53
SR 160	Hood Franklin Rd to Lambert Rd	170	55
SR 160	Lambert Rd to Paintersville Bridge	122	53
SR 160 (Paintersville Bridge)	Sutter Slough Bridge Rd to SR 160 (River Rd)	128	53
SR 160	Paintersville Bridge to Walnut Grove Bridge	128	53
SR 160	Walnut Grove Bridge to A St (Isleton)	465	59
SR 160	A St (Isleton) to SR 12	378	58
SR 160	SR 12 to Brannan Island Rd	894	62



Roadway	Segment	Existing Loudest-hour Volume	Existing Traffic Noise Level, dBA L <sub>eq</sub> (1h) (100 feet from roadway centerline)
SR 84	West Sacramento City Limits to Courtland Rd	169	55
SR 84 (Courtland Rd/ Ryer Ave)	Courtland Rd to Cache Slough Ferry	25	46
SR 12 EB	I-80 to Beck Ave	1,847	65
SR 12 WB	I-80 to Beck Ave	1,625	64
SR 12	Beck Ave to Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd	3,573	68
SR 12	Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd to Walters Rd/	2,353	66
SR 12	Walters Rd/ to SR 113	1,075	63
SR 12	SR 113 to SR 84 (River Rd)	1,544	64
SR 12 (Rio Vista Bridge)	SR 84 (River Rd) to SR 160 (River Rd)	1,685	64
SR 12	SR 160 (River Rd) to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line	1,030	62
SR 12	Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5	1,164	63
SR 113	I-80 to Dixon City Limits	1,341	64
SR 113	Dixon City Limits to SR 12	294	57
SR 4 (Marsh Creek Rd)	Vasco Rd to Byron Hwy	733	61
SR 4	Marsh Creek Rd to Discovery Bay Blvd	1,224	63
SR 4	Discovery Bay Blvd to Tracy Blvd	746	61
SR 4	Tracy Blvd to I-5	1,492	64
A St/4th St/ Jackson Blvd.	SR 160 to Isleton City Limits	75	48
Main Street (Old SR 4)	SR 160 to Cypress Rd	1,663	62
Main Street (Old SR 4)	Cypress Rd to Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits)	1,335	61
Cypress Rd	Main Street to Bethel Island Rd	764	58
Bethel Island Rd	Cypress Rd to Oakley City Limits	367	55
Delta Rd	Main Street to Byron Hwy	334	55
Pocket Rd	I-5 to Freeport Blvd	2,191	63
Freeport Blvd (Old SR 160)	Pocket Rd to Sacramento City Limits	492	56
Freeport Bridge	River Rd to SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)	346	55
Hood Franklin Rd	SR 160 (River Rd) to I-5	137	51
Lambert Rd	SR 160 (River Rd) to Herzog Rd	29	44
Lambert Rd	Herzog Rd to Franklin Blvd	38	46
Franklin Blvd	Lambert Rd to Twin Cities Rd	71	48
Twin Cities Rd	River Rd to I-5	248	53
Twin Cities Rd	I-5 to Franklin Blvd	318	55
Sutter Slough Bridge Rd	Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line to Paintersville Bridge	113	50
River Rd	Paintersville Bridge to Twin Cities Rd	134	51
River Rd	Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Bridge	365	55

Roadway	Segment	Existing Loudest-hour Volume	Existing Traffic Noise Level, dBA L <sub>eq</sub> (1h) (100 feet from roadway centerline)
Walnut Grove Rd/River Rd	Walnut Grove Bridge to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line	332	55
Isleton Rd	River Rd (Walnut Grove)/Isleton Rd Bridge to 1.5 miles west of Isleton Rd Bridge	283	54
Race Track Rd/ Tyler Island Rd	Walnut Grove Rd to Southern End of Tyler Island	34	45
Tyler Island Rd	Southern End of Tyler Island to SR 160 (River Rd)	39	46
Jackson Slough Rd	Isleton City Limits to SR 12	53	47
Jackson Slough Rd	Brannan Island Rd to SR 12	52	47
Walnut Grove Rd	Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5	232	53
Peltier Rd	Blossom Rd to I-5	23	44
Tracy Blvd	SR 4 to Clifton Court Rd	209	53
Tracy Blvd	Clifton Court Rd to Tracy City Limits	171	52
Byron Hwy	Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line to Mountain House Pkwy	824	59
Mountain House Pkwy	Byron Hwy to Arnaudo Blvd	298	54
Mountain House Pkwy	Arnaudo Blvd to I-205	769	58
Eight Mile Rd	Stockton City Limits to I-5	769	58
Tracy Blvd	Tracy City Limits to I-205	759	58
Harbor Blvd	Industrial Blvd to US 50	2,317	63
Industrial Blvd/ Lake Washington Blvd	Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd	1,858	62
Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)	Lake Washington Blvd to Southport Pkwy	1,718	62
Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)	Southport Pkwy to West Sacramento City Limits	146	51
River Rd	Freeport Bridge to Courtland Rd	249	54
River Rd	Courtland Rd to Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line	63	48
Courtland Rd	SR 84 to River Rd	77	48

Sources: Fehr & Peers 2015, FHWA 1998.

1

## 2 23.3.2 Determination of Effects

3 The thresholds discussed in this chapter and used for determination of effects under NEPA are  
4 equivalent to the thresholds used for determination of significant impacts under CEQA. Criteria  
5 derived from the Appendix G checklist of the CEQA Guidelines were also considered when  
6 establishing the applicable thresholds. Under CEQA, the program and/or project would pose a  
7 significant impact if it exceeds any of the following thresholds for terrestrial noise and vibrations:

8 1. Exposes persons to or generates noise levels in excess of standards established in a local general  
9 plan or noise ordinance or applicable standards of other agencies.

1 2. Exposes persons to or generates excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels.

2 3. Results in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above  
3 levels existing without the project.

4 4. Results in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project  
5 vicinity above levels existing without the project.

6 5. Is located within an airport land use plan area, or, where such a plan has not been adopted,  
7 within two miles of a public airport or public use airport and exposes people residing or  
8 working in the project area to excessive noise levels.

9 6. Is located in the vicinity of a private airstrip and exposes people residing or working in the  
10 project area to excessive noise levels.

11 The narrative of effects and mitigation approaches is organized in terms of construction (temporary  
12 increase in ambient levels, noise levels in excess of applicable standards, and groundborne  
13 vibration), and operation (permanent increase in ambient levels, noise levels in excess of applicable  
14 standards).

15 There are several General Aviation (GA) airports and private airstrips within 2 miles of the project  
16 area. The project will not introduce new noise sensitive land uses into the area so no new noise  
17 sensitive uses will be exposed to aircraft noise. Because of the small number of operations at each  
18 airport, none are expected to expose workers in the project area to excessive aircraft noise.

19 The action alternatives pass through several counties and through or near several communities and  
20 cities. Many of these jurisdictions have noise standards that relate to land use compatibility with  
21 transportation noise sources (e.g., traffic, rail, and aircraft) and non-transportation sources (e.g.,  
22 pumping plants, construction activity, heating and ventilating equipment) (refer to section 23.3.3 for  
23 a discussion of local plans and policies). ~~Noise from transportation sources is controlled at the~~  
24 ~~federal level, not at the local level. As such, local noise ordinances do not apply to transportation~~  
25 ~~sources but rather to non-transportation sources such as construction equipment. The noise~~  
26 ~~emission level of any vehicle traveling on a public road is regulated at the state and federal level.~~  
27 ~~Vehicle emissions are regulated at the state and federal level because vehicles cross state~~  
28 ~~boundaries and many local jurisdictional boundaries within a state. As such noise emissions from~~  
29 ~~individual vehicles traveling on a public road are not regulated at the local level. The exposure of~~  
30 ~~noise sensitive land uses to traffic noise is however typically controlled through land use~~  
31 ~~compatibility standards adopted by local jurisdictions in their general plans. Noise generated by the~~  
32 ~~operation of vehicles or construction equipment that is not on a public road is typically regulated at~~  
33 ~~the local level through noise ordinances. In many of these jurisdictions, noise from construction~~  
34 ~~activities is exempt from noise ordinance standards during daytime hours, leaving no numerical~~  
35 ~~noise level limits that can be applied during daytime hours. In many of these jurisdictions, noise~~  
36 ~~from construction activities is exempt from noise ordinance standards during daytime hours,~~  
37 ~~leaving no numerical noise level limits that can be applied during daytime hours.~~

38 Although construction noise is exempt from local noise ordinances during daytime hours,  
39 construction of some phases of the conveyance facility may take up to 13 years (see Appendix 22B,  
40 Construction Schedule). Increases in ambient noise levels that are readily perceptible and sustained  
41 over long periods of time have been shown to result in a higher probability of adverse community  
42 reaction when ambient noise levels increase by 10 to 20 dB. An increase of this magnitude has been  
43 shown to result in a community reaction characterized by “several threats of legal action” and

1 [“vigorous action” according to social surveys and case studies of community reaction to noise](#)  
2 [\(Schultz 1978\).](#)

3 Section 01570 of DWR Specification 05-16 identifies DWR noise thresholds that are reasonably  
4 consistent with local standards with regard to construction noise. As discussed above, the 60 dBA  
5 noise standard in DWR Specification 05-16 has been established by consensus of experts, local and  
6 resource agencies, including USFWS, as a threshold for establishing noise impacts.

7 Thresholds described below for determining if construction or restoration noise impacts would be  
8 adverse are based on the DWR 60 dBA threshold with a -10 dB adjustment for work that would  
9 occur at night. BDCP compatibility with applicable plans and policies is described throughout the  
10 impact headers (refer to Impacts NOI-1 through NOI-4). Exceedances of established noise thresholds  
11 could indicate an incompatibility with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted to avoid or  
12 mitigate noise effects. Note that as discussed in Chapter 13, *Land Use*, Section 13.2.3, state and  
13 federal agencies are not generally subject to local land use regulations; incompatibilities with plans  
14 and policies are not, by themselves, physical consequences to the environment.

15 ~~The thresholds discussed in this chapter and used for determination of effects under NEPA are~~  
16 ~~equivalent to the thresholds used for determination of significant impacts under CEQA.~~ Thresholds  
17 described below for determining if construction vibration effects would be adverse under NEPA and  
18 have significant impacts under CEQA are based on guidance in FTA 2006. Thresholds described  
19 below for determining if operational noise impacts would be adverse under NEPA and have  
20 significant impacts under CEQA are based on local noise ordinance standards. ~~Criteria derived from~~  
21 ~~the Appendix G checklist of the CEQA Guidelines were also considered when establishing the~~  
22 ~~applicable thresholds.~~

23 [Noise impacts to sensitive biological habitats are discussed in Chapter 12, \*Terrestrial Biological\*](#)  
24 [\*Resources.\*](#)

### 25 **23.3.2.1 Construction and Restoration Activity**

#### 26 **Onsite Construction Equipment**

27 Onsite construction and restoration activity between the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. (daytime)  
28 would have adverse noise effects if the activity is predicted to result in a 1-hour A-weighted  
29 equivalent sound level that exceeds 60 dBA at noise-sensitive land uses where the ambient noise  
30 level is less than 60 dBA, or if the activity is predicted to increase the ambient noise level at  
31 residential locations by 5 dB or more where the ambient noise level is already greater than 60 dBA  
32 (pursuant to Section 01570 of DWR Specification 05-16).

33 Onsite construction and restoration activity between the hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.  
34 (nighttime) would have adverse noise effects if the activity is predicted to result in a 1-hour A-  
35 weighted equivalent sound level that exceeds 50 dBA at noise-sensitive land uses where the ambient  
36 noise level is less than 50 dBA, or if the activity is predicted to increase the ambient noise level at  
37 residential locations by 5 dB or more where the ambient noise level is already greater than 50 dBA.  
38 The lower noise threshold for nighttime activity is based on the 5 to 10 dB reduction in noise  
39 performance standards that is commonly applied to noise levels during nighttime hours as used in  
40 local noise ordinances in the Plan Area.

1 In addition to raising the overall ambient noise level, construction activities during nighttime hours  
 2 can potentially result in noise events that can disturb the sleep of people living in nearby residential  
 3 areas. To address the potential for sleep disturbance during nighttime hours, onsite construction  
 4 and restoration activity between the hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. would have adverse noise  
 5 effects if the activity is predicted to result in a single event maximum sound level exceeding 50 dBA  
 6 L<sub>max</sub> at interior locations of the nearest residential use (Nelson 1987), or 70 dBA L<sub>max</sub> at exterior  
 7 locations, assuming 20 dB of nominal noise attenuation for buildings with closed windows (FHWA  
 8 2011).

9 The 50 dBA L<sub>max</sub>-L<sub>eq</sub> nighttime standard is used as the governing threshold for the construction noise  
 10 analysis.

11 For the purposes of this analysis, sensitive land uses are defined as places where people reside,  
 12 schools, libraries, and places of worship (e.g., residential parcels, natural/recreational parcels,  
 13 agricultural parcels, and schools).

### 14 **Truck Trips and Worker Commute Trips**

15 ~~Increased volumes of traffic on public roads due to project-generated heavy truck trips and~~  
 16 ~~commuter trips on local roadways are considered to result in a significant traffic noise impact if the~~  
 17 ~~increase in volume would result in a substantial increase in noise as defined in the Caltrans Protocol.~~  
 18 ~~The Protocol defines a substantial increase as a 12-dB increase in traffic noise levels under design~~  
 19 ~~year plus project conditions, compared to Existing Conditions. For the purposes of this analysis,~~  
 20 ~~sensitive land uses are defined as places where people reside, schools, libraries, and places of~~  
 21 ~~worship (e.g., residential parcels, natural/recreational parcels, agricultural parcels, and schools).~~  
 22 ~~Project-related transportation activity not occurring on public roads is evaluated as any other~~  
 23 ~~construction activity, using 60 dBA daytime and 50 dBA nighttime thresholds as described above.~~

24 Increased volumes of traffic on public roads due to project-generated heavy truck trips and  
 25 commuter trips on local roadways are considered to result in an adverse traffic noise impact if the  
 26 increase in volume would result in a substantial increase in noise levels. For the purposes of this  
 27 analysis, a substantial increase is defined as 5 dB, which is defined as a discernible increase by  
 28 FHWA (2011). An adverse impact under Future with Project conditions would occur at a residential  
 29 location where the loudest-hour traffic noise level is predicted to be 60 dBA Leq or greater, and  
 30 loudest-hour traffic noise is predicted to increase the ambient noise level at residential locations by  
 31 5 dB or more. For the purposes of this analysis, sensitive land uses are defined as places where  
 32 people reside, schools, libraries, and places of worship (e.g., residential parcels, natural/recreational  
 33 parcels, agricultural parcels, and schools). Project-related transportation activity not occurring on  
 34 public roads is evaluated as any other construction activity, using 60 dBA daytime and 50 dBA  
 35 nighttime thresholds as described above.

### 36 **23.3.2.2 Groundborne Vibration and Noise during Construction**

37 Groundborne vibration from pile driving was analyzed based on procedures specified in the FTA  
 38 Guidance Manual (Federal Transit Administration 2006). Vibration propagating from pile driving  
 39 events would be considered to result in adverse effects if vibration levels would exceed 0.2 in/sec  
 40 PPV at nearby residences (Table 23-2). This conservative threshold is more stringent than the  
 41 Caltrans recommended guideline for historic and older buildings (*see* Section 23.1.2).

1 The thresholds for groundborne noise used in this analysis are based on thresholds used in the IRP  
 2 (Integrated Resources Plan) for the City of Los Angeles Department of Public Works, and adapted  
 3 from tunnel equipment groundborne vibration data used in other tunneling projects in the city of  
 4 Los Angeles (City of Los Angeles Department of Public Works 2005). The threshold for groundborne  
 5 vibration effects from TBM operations is 80 VdB (using a crest factor of 4), or 0.04 inches per second  
 6 PPV (in/sec PPV). Based on IRP data, at the minimum tunnel depth of 60 feet to be used in the  
 7 alternatives using the pipeline/tunnel conveyance, vibrations from TBM operation are predicted to  
 8 be about 0.008 in/sec PPV. The threshold for groundborne vibrations from locomotive operation is  
 9 75 VdB (using a crest factor of 5), or 0.025 in/sec PPV. The groundborne noise threshold for tunnel  
 10 locomotives is 45 dBA, which is equivalent to approximately 0.01 in/sec PPV.

11 Based on IRP data for typical tunnel locomotive operations, the groundborne noise threshold of  
 12 0.01 in/sec PPV may be exceeded within a 110-foot diagonal distance from the tunnel centerline (or  
 13 a 92-foot horizontal distance from the tunnel centerline above ground). However DWR has indicated  
 14 that tunnel locomotives would be traveling at speeds of 5 to 10 miles per hour and would not cause  
 15 excessive groundborne noise levels (Sanchez pers. comm.). Due to variations in geology, actual  
 16 groundborne noise and vibration levels could vary along the conveyance alignments. For the east  
 17 and west conveyance alignments, tunneling depth would be at least 120 feet below msl, and  
 18 therefore groundborne noise under these alternatives would be well below the threshold discussed  
 19 above and would not cause adverse effects to sensitive receptors within the immediate vicinity. For  
 20 the purposes of this analysis, sensitive receptors that may be exposed to increased groundborne  
 21 vibration include residences, outdoor parks, schools, and agriculture areas.

22 Predicted ground vibration from blasting would exceed U.S. Bureau of Mines vibration criterion of  
 23 0.5 in/second PPV at the nearest residence. The predicted peak overpressure from blasting would  
 24 exceed the U.S. Bureau of Mines airblast criterion of 130 dB at the nearest residence.

### 25 **23.3.3 Effects and Mitigation Approaches**

26 The Noise Abatement Plan (see Appendix 3B, *Environmental Commitments*) will be in place during  
 27 construction to avoid or minimize adverse effects. Supplementary information for the EIR/EIS Bay  
 28 Delta Conservation Plan includes approaches to designing mitigation which are taken into account  
 29 in the discussion of mitigation measures in the Environmental Consequences section of this chapter  
 30 and are incorporated into the Noise Abatement Plan as appropriate. The supplementary information  
 31 is included here as background information for the design of noise mitigation measures and the  
 32 Noise Abatement Plan.

33 As applicable, the following Environmental Commitments will be included in the plan (these  
 34 commitments are included in Appendix 3B):

#### 35 Construction

36 Contracts shall specify that on-site construction noise levels will conform to mitigation measure  
 37 NOI-1a and 1b. during daytime and evening hours (7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.) do not exceed  
 38 relevant construction-related standards from local noise ordinances at the nearest residential  
 39 receptor. Exceptions to this restriction include back-up alarms, warning horns and devices, and  
 40 other similar noise-generating activities.

41 Contracts shall specify that on-site construction noise levels during nighttime hours (10:00 p.m.  
 42 to 7:00 a.m.) do not exceed relevant construction-related standards from local noise ordinances  
 43 at the nearest residential receptor to the extent feasible.

Limit impact pile driving to daytime and evening hours (7 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.).

In the event of complaints by affected residents due to on-site construction noise generated during nighttime hours, the contractor will monitor noise levels intermittently (between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m.) at the dwelling unit of the person lodging the complaint. In the event that measured construction noise during nighttime hours exceeds 50 dBA interior  $L_{max}$  (70 dBA exterior  $L_{max}$ ) or 5 dB above ambient noise, whichever is greater, at the dwelling unit, the construction contractor will cease the construction activity causing the complaint in the area until sound-attenuating mitigation measures, such as temporary sound barriers, are implemented, such that nighttime construction noise at the dwelling unit is reduced to a level of 50 dBA interior  $L_{max}$  (70 dBA exterior  $L_{max}$ ) or 5 dB above ambient noise, whichever is greater. Where the above-described strategies are ineffective in reducing noise to the identified levels, exceptions to this commitment can be made for legally-mandated warning devices, such as back-up alarms and warning horns.

Locate, store, and maintain portable and stationary equipment as far as feasible from nearby residents or install sound fencing or other sound attenuation to ensure that such residents do not experience on-site construction noise at levels inconsistent with the standards identified above. Where ambient noise levels are less than 60 dBA and it is determined that construction related noise will cause noise levels to exceed 60 dBA, or where the ambient noise levels are greater than 60 dBA and it is determined that construction related noise will cause noise levels to exceed the ambient level by 5 dBA, a temporary sound wall shall be constructed between the sensitive area and the construction related noise source.

To the extent feasible, route and schedule truck traffic to reduce construction noise impacts and traffic noise levels at noise-sensitive land uses (e.g., schools, libraries, and places of worship).

To the extent feasible (e.g., where required by haul permits), limit off-site trucking activities (e.g., deliveries, export of materials) to the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. to minimize noise impacts on nearby residences.

### Operations

Pump station buildings will be designed and constructed such that operation noise levels at nearby residential receptors do not exceed 50 Leq during daytime hours (7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.) and 45 dBA Leq during nighttime hours (10 p.m. to 7 a.m.). Acoustical measures such as terrain shielding, pump enclosures, and acoustical building treatments may be incorporated into the facility design in order to meet this performance standard.

## **23.3.3.2 Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)**

### **Impact NOI-1: Exposure of Noise-Sensitive Land Uses to Noise from Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities**

#### ***NEPA Effects:***

#### ***Construction of Intakes***

Potential reasonable worst-case equipment noise levels from construction of the intakes were evaluated by combining the noise levels of the six loudest pieces of equipment that would likely operate at the same time (~~cranes and~~ heavy trucks). Assuming 100% utilization within a given hour of day, the combined noise level is 96 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr) at 50 feet. The estimated sound levels from construction as a function of distance based on calculated point-source attenuation over “soft” (i.e., acoustically absorptive) ground are shown in Table 23-16.



1 **Table 23-16. Predicted Noise Levels from Construction Activities**

Distance Between Source and Receiver (feet)	Calculated $L_{eq}$ (1hr) / <del>Nighttime <math>L_{max}</math></del> <del>Sound Level (dBA)</del>
50	96
100	88
200	80
400	72
600	68
800	64
1,000	62
<b>1,200</b>	<b>60</b>
1,500	57
2,000	54
2,500	51
<b>2,800</b>	<b>50</b>
3,000	49
4,000	46
5,280	43

Notes: Calculations are based on Federal Transit Administration 2006. Calculations do not include the effects, if any, of local shielding from walls, topography, or other barriers that may reduce sound levels further.

**Bold** denotes daytime (1hr) and nighttime (1hr) ~~maximum~~ noise thresholds.

$L_{eq}$  (1 hour) = hourly-equivalent sound level (over 1 hour).

dBA = A-weighted decibel.

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
Estimated sound levels from impact pile driving conducted during periods of construction described above are shown in Table 23-17. ~~Typically noise from pile driving is not constant; however, because multiple noise from pile driving pile drivers would be used is not constant,~~ a utilization factor of ~~20~~100% has been applied. ~~(Thalheimer 2000). The utilization factor reduces the impact pile driver peak level of 101 dBA to 94 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr) at 50 feet.~~ Use of the pile driver simultaneously with noise from other equipment in Table 23-16 would produce a combined level of ~~98~~102 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr) at 50 feet, as shown in Table 23-17.

10  
11  
12  
13  
The results shown in Table 23-17 indicate that during periods of pile driving, residences within ~~1,400~~2,000 feet of an active intake construction site could be exposed to construction noise in excess of the 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr) daytime threshold. The nighttime threshold of 50 dBA  $L_{max}$  would be exceeded at a distance of 2,800 feet. Construction noise contours are shown in Appendix 23A.



1 **Table 23-17. Predicted Noise Levels from Construction—Pile Driving and Construction Equipment**  
 2 **for Intake Structures**

Distance Between Source and Receiver (feet)	Calculated Daytime $L_{eq}$ (1hr) Sound Level (dBA)	Nighttime $L_{max}$ - $L_{eq}$ (1hr) Sound Level (dBA)
<del>50</del> 50	<del>102</del> 98	<del>96</del> 96
<del>100</del> 100	<del>94</del> 90	<del>88</del> 88
<del>200</del> 200	<del>86</del> 82	<del>80</del> 80
<del>400</del> 400	<del>79</del> 74	<del>72</del> 72
<del>600</del> 600	<del>74</del> 70	<del>68</del> 68
<del>800</del> 800	<del>71</del> 66	<del>64</del> 64
<del>1,000</del> 1,000	<del>68</del> 64	<del>62</del> 62
<del>1,200</del> 1,200	<del>66</del> 62	<del>60</del> 60
<del>1,500</del> 1,400	<del>63</del> 60	<del>57</del> 57
<del>2,000</del> 1,500	<del>60</del> 59	<del>54</del> 54
<del>2,500</del> 2,000	<del>58</del> 56	<del>51</del> 51
<del>2,800</del> 2,800	<del>56</del> 52	<del>50</del> 50
<del>3,000</del> 3,500	<del>56</del> 50	<del>49</del> 49
<del>4,000</del> 4,000	<del>52</del> 48	<del>46</del> 46
<del>4,500</del> 5,280	<del>51</del> 45	<del>45</del> 43
<del>5,000</del>	<del>50</del>	<del>43</del>
<del>5,280</del>	<del>49</del>	<del>43</del>

Notes: Calculations are based on Federal Transit Administration 2006. Calculations do not include the effects, if any, of local shielding from walls, topography, or other barriers that may reduce sound levels further.

Nighttime  $L_{max}$ - $L_{eq}$  sound levels are based on the same operating assumptions as daytime levels with the exception of pile driving.

**Bold** denotes daytime and nighttime maximum noise thresholds.

$L_{eq}$  (1hr) = hourly-equivalent sound level (over 1 hour).

dBA = A-weighted decibel.

3  
 4 While equipment could operate at any work area identified for this alternative, ~~the highest noise~~  
 5 ~~levels-longer-term impacts at noise-sensitive receiver locations~~ are expected to occur at those sites  
 6 where the duration and intensity of construction activities would be greatest. The work areas for  
 7 construction of Intakes 1–5 would extend through several residential areas and communities near  
 8 the Sacramento River. Noise from intake construction activities is predicted to exceed daytime and  
 9 nighttime noise thresholds at nearby residences, schools and outdoor parks in areas indicated in  
 10 Table 23-18.

11 Although this assessment includes daytime and nighttime construction noise estimates, construction  
 12 of the intakes would primarily occur during daytime hours. If nighttime construction of the intakes  
 13 were to occur, noise levels could be the same as that generated during daytime hours.

14 The effect of exposing these noise-sensitive land uses to noise increases above thresholds would be  
 15 adverse. Mitigation Measures NOI-1a and NOI-1b would be available to reduce this effect.

1 **Table 23-18. Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Intakes, Alternative 1A**

Location	Zoning	Daytime Threshold (60 dBA $L_{eq}$ [1h])	Nighttime Threshold (50 dBA $L_{max}L_{eq}$ [1h])
		Total Affected Parcels	Total Affected Parcels
Sacramento County – including River Road near the community of Hood; neighborhoods in the community of Hood; Lambert Road; Vorden Road.	Residential	121	121
	Natural/Recreational	1	4
	Agricultural/Other <sup>a</sup>	109	157
Yolo County – including County Road E9 near the community of Clarksburg; neighborhoods in the community of Clarksburg.	Residential	4	98
	Natural/Recreational	1	5
	Agricultural/Other <sup>a</sup>	152	189
	Schools	None	Clarksburg Middle School

<sup>a</sup> Includes agricultural or unclassified use that permits residential use.

2

3 **Construction of Conveyance (Tunnel), Forebays, Barge Unloading Facilities, and Intermediate Pumping**  
4 **Plant**

5 Potential reasonable worst-case equipment noise levels from construction work areas adjacent to  
6 tunnel shaft sites would be comparable to those listed for the intake sites in Table 23-16. Assuming  
7 100% equipment utilization within a given hour of day, the combined noise level at work areas is 96  
8 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr) at 50 feet.

9 The results shown in Table 23-16 indicate that noise-sensitive land uses within 1,200 feet of an  
10 active tunnel work area could be exposed to construction noise in excess of the daytime (7 a.m. to 10  
11 p.m.) noise threshold of 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr). The nighttime threshold of 50 dBA  $L_{max}L_{eq}$  would be  
12 exceeded at a distance of 2,800 feet. [Construction noise contours are shown in Appendix 23A.](#)

13 ~~While equipment could operate at any work area identified for this alternative, the highest noise~~  
14 ~~levels are expected to occur at those sites where the duration and intensity~~ While equipment could  
15 ~~operate at any work area identified for this alternative, longer-term impacts at noise-sensitive~~  
16 ~~receiver locations are expected to occur at those sites where the duration and intensity~~ of  
17 construction activities would be greatest. This includes all construction sites along the tunnel  
18 conveyance alignment, as well as at the site of the Byron Tract Forebay adjacent to and south of  
19 Clifton Court Forebay. For a map of the proposed pipeline/tunnel alignment, see Mapbook Figure  
20 M3-1. The tunnel and forebay construction work areas would extend through several residential  
21 areas and communities near the Sacramento River. Noise from construction activities is predicted to  
22 exceed daytime and nighttime noise thresholds at nearby residences, schools and outdoor parks  
23 indicated in Table 23-19.

24 Although this assessment includes daytime and nighttime construction noise estimates for the  
25 forebays, barge unloading facilities, intermediate pumping plant, and conveyance tunnels,  
26 construction of the forebays, barge unloading facilities, and intermediate pumping plant would  
27 primarily occur during daytime hours. If nighttime construction of the forebays, barge unloading  
28 facilities, and intermediate pumping plant were to occur, noise levels could be the same as those

1 generated during daytime hours. Construction of the conveyance tunnels and reusable tunnel  
2 material (RTM) storage actions would occur on a 24-hour basis.

3 The effect of exposing these noise-sensitive land uses to noise increases above thresholds would be  
4 adverse. Mitigation Measures NOI-1a and NOI-1b would be available to reduce this effect.

5 **Table 23-19. Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Conveyance and**  
6 **Associated Facilities, Alternative 1A**

Location	Zoning	Daytime Threshold (60 dBA $L_{eq}$ [1h])	Nighttime Threshold (50 dBA $L_{max-L_{eq}}$ [1h])
		Total Affected Parcels	Total Affected Parcels
Sacramento County – including River Road near the community of Hood; neighborhoods in the community of Hood; Lambert Road; Vorden Road.	Residential	116	119
	Natural/Recreational	7	14
	Agricultural/Other <sup>a</sup>	313	503
	Schools	Bates Elementary, Mokelumne High	Bates Elementary, Mokelumne High
Yolo County – including County Road E9 near the community of Clarksburg; neighborhoods in the community of Clarksburg.	Residential	0	89
	Natural/Recreational	1	5
	Agricultural/Other <sup>a</sup>	150	170
	Schools	None	Clarksburg Middle School, River Delta Community Day
San Joaquin County	Residential	9	18
	Natural/Recreational	1	1
	Agricultural/Other <sup>a</sup>	187	273
Contra Costa County	Agricultural/Other <sup>a</sup>	94	118
Alameda County	Agricultural/Other <sup>a</sup>	21	45

<sup>a</sup> Includes agricultural or unclassified use that permits residential use.

7

### 8 **Truck Trips and Worker Commutes**

9 Project-generated heavy trucks and worker commutes are predicted to result in increased traffic  
10 noise levels at noise-sensitive land uses adjacent to local roadways. Based on information provided  
11 by DWR as part of the cost estimate (see Appendix 22A), project-generated vehicle traffic volumes  
12 for the pipeline/tunnel alternative are predicted to have a maximum heavy truck composition of  
13 41%, which was assumed to apply to any of the local roadways under a worst-case noise scenario.  
14 Future loudest-hour noise levels at a reference distance of 100 feet are shown in Table 23-20.

1 **Table 23-20. Predicted Future Loudest-hour Traffic Noise Levels on Commuter Roads and Haul Routes,**  
 2 **Pipeline/Tunnel Alignment**

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	<u>Substantial Increase? Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
Byron Hwy	Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line to Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line	<u>58</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Brentwood Blvd	Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits) to Balfour Rd	<u>61</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Brentwood Blvd	Balfour Rd to Brentwood City Limits (South)	<u>60</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Balfour Rd	Brentwood Blvd to Brentwood City Limits	<u>61</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
Bethel Island Rd	Oakley City Limits to End	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
Balfour Rd	Brentwood City Limits to Byron Hwy	<u>54</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
Old SR 41	Brentwood City Limits (South) to Marsh Creek Rd	<u>62</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Byron Hwy	Delta Rd to Old SR 4	<u>53</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
Byron Hwy	SR 4 to Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line	<u>59</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Florin Rd to Pocket Rd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Florin Rd to Pocket Rd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Pocket Rd to Laguna Blvd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Pocket Rd to Laguna Blvd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Laguna Blvd to Elk Grove Blvd</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Laguna Blvd to Elk Grove Blvd</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Elk Grove Blvd to Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Elk Grove Blvd to Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Hood Franklin Rd to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Hood Franklin Rd to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Rd to Peltier Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Rd to Peltier Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Peltier Rd to Turner Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Peltier Rd to Turner Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase? Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?
<a href="#">I-5 NB</a>	<a href="#">Turner Rd to SR 12</a>	<a href="#">68</a>	<a href="#">69</a>	<a href="#">1</a>	<a href="#">No</a>
<a href="#">I-5 SB</a>	<a href="#">Turner Rd to SR 12</a>	<a href="#">66</a>	<a href="#">68</a>	<a href="#">2</a>	<a href="#">No</a>
<a href="#">I-5 NB</a>	<a href="#">SR 12 to Eight Mile Rd</a>	<a href="#">68</a>	<a href="#">70</a>	<a href="#">2</a>	<a href="#">No</a>
<a href="#">I-5 SB</a>	<a href="#">SR 12 to Eight Mile Rd</a>	<a href="#">68</a>	<a href="#">69</a>	<a href="#">1</a>	<a href="#">No</a>
<a href="#">I-5 NB</a>	<a href="#">Eight Mile Rd to Hammer Ln</a>	<a href="#">70</a>	<a href="#">71</a>	<a href="#">1</a>	<a href="#">No</a>
<a href="#">I-5 SB</a>	<a href="#">Eight Mile Rd to Hammer Ln</a>	<a href="#">69</a>	<a href="#">70</a>	<a href="#">1</a>	<a href="#">No</a>
SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)	Sacramento City Limits to Freeport Bridge	<a href="#">59</a>	<a href="#">68</a>	<a href="#">9</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 160 (Freeport Blvd/ River Rd)	Freeport Bridge to Scribner Rd	<a href="#">55</a>	<a href="#">68</a>	<a href="#">13</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 160	Scribner Rd to Hood Franklin Rd	<a href="#">53</a>	<a href="#">68</a>	<a href="#">15</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 160	Hood Franklin Rd to Lambert Rd	<a href="#">55</a>	<a href="#">70</a>	<a href="#">15</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 160	Lambert Rd to Paintersville Bridge	<a href="#">53</a>	<a href="#">70</a>	<a href="#">17</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 160 (Paintersville Bridge)	Sutter Slough Bridge Rd to SR 160 (River Rd)	<a href="#">53</a>	<a href="#">70</a>	<a href="#">17</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 160	Paintersville Bridge to Walnut Grove Bridge	<a href="#">53</a>	<a href="#">70</a>	<a href="#">17</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 160	Walnut Grove Bridge to A St (Isleton)	<a href="#">59</a>	<a href="#">71</a>	<a href="#">12</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 160	A St (Isleton) to SR 12	<a href="#">58</a>	<a href="#">71</a>	<a href="#">13</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 160	SR 12 to Brannan Island Rd	<a href="#">62</a>	<a href="#">70</a>	<a href="#">8</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 84	West Sacramento City Limits to Courtland Rd	<a href="#">55</a>	<a href="#">69</a>	<a href="#">14</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 84 (Courtland Rd/ Ryer Ave)	Courtland Rd to Cache Slough Ferry	<a href="#">46</a>	<a href="#">51</a>	<a href="#">5</a>	<a href="#">No</a>
<a href="#">I-80 EB</a>	<a href="#">Suisun Valley Rd to SR 12</a>	<a href="#">73</a>	<a href="#">75</a>	<a href="#">2</a>	<a href="#">No</a>
<a href="#">I-80 WB</a>	<a href="#">Suisun Valley Rd to SR 12</a>	<a href="#">74</a>	<a href="#">76</a>	<a href="#">2</a>	<a href="#">No</a>
SR 12 EB	I-80 to Beck Ave	<a href="#">65</a>	<a href="#">70</a>	<a href="#">5</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 12 WB	I-80 to Beck Ave	<a href="#">64</a>	<a href="#">70</a>	<a href="#">6</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 12	Beck Ave to Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd	<a href="#">68</a>	<a href="#">73</a>	<a href="#">5</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 12	Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd to Walters Rd/	<a href="#">66</a>	<a href="#">72</a>	<a href="#">6</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 12	Walters Rd/ to SR 113	<a href="#">63</a>	<a href="#">71</a>	<a href="#">8</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 12	SR 113 to SR 84 (River Rd)	<a href="#">64</a>	<a href="#">71</a>	<a href="#">7</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 12 (Rio Vista Bridge)	SR 84 (River Rd) to SR 160 (River Rd)	<a href="#">64</a>	<a href="#">71</a>	<a href="#">7</a>	<a href="#">Yes</a>
SR 12	SR 160 (River Rd) to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line	<a href="#">62</a>	<a href="#">66</a>	<a href="#">4</a>	<a href="#">No</a>

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase? Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?
SR 12	Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5	<u>63</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-80 EB</u>	<u>SR 113 to Pedrick Rd</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-80 WB</u>	<u>SR 113 to Pedrick Rd</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
SR 113	I-80 to Dixon City Limits	<u>64</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
SR 113	Dixon City Limits to SR 12	<u>57</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>Yes</u>
SR 4 (Marsh Creek Rd)	Vasco Rd to Byron Hwy	<u>61</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
SR 4	Marsh Creek Rd to Discovery Bay Blvd	<u>63</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
SR 4	Discovery Bay Blvd to Tracy Blvd	<u>61</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
SR 4	Tracy Blvd to I-5	<u>64</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Freeway) to SR 4 (Charter Way)</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Freeway) to SR 4 (Charter Way)</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Charter Way) to Eighth Street</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Charter Way) to Eighth Street</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>I-580 to Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>I-580 to Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>Mountain House Pkwy to Eleventh St</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>Mountain House Pkwy to Eleventh St</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>Grant Line Rd to Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>Grant Line Rd to Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>Tracy Blvd to MacArthur Dr</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>Tracy Blvd to MacArthur Dr</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>A St/4th St/ Jackson Blvd.</u>	<u>SR 160 to Isleton City Limits</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
Main Street (Old SR 4)	SR 160 to Cypress Rd	<u>62</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Main Street (Old SR 4)	Cypress Rd to Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits)	<u>61</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Cypress Rd	Main Street to Bethel Island Rd	<u>58</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
Bethel Island Rd	Cypress Rd to Oakley City Limits	<u>55</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
Delta Rd	Main Street to Byron Hwy	<u>55</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
Pocket Rd	I-5 to Freeport Blvd	<u>63</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase? Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?
Freeport Blvd (Old SR 160)	Pocket Rd to Sacramento City Limits	<u>56</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Freeport Bridge	River Rd to SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
Hood Franklin Rd	SR 160 (River Rd) to I-5	<u>51</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Lambert Rd	SR 160 (River Rd) to Herzog Rd	<u>44</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Lambert Rd	Herzog Rd to Franklin Blvd	<u>46</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Franklin Blvd	Lambert Rd to Twin Cities Rd	<u>48</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
Twin Cities Rd	River Rd to I-5	<u>53</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Twin Cities Rd	I-5 to Franklin Blvd	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
Sutter Slough Bridge Rd	Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line to Paintersville Bridge	<u>50</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Yes</u>
River Rd	Paintersville Bridge to Twin Cities Rd	<u>51</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>No</u>
River Rd	Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Bridge	<u>55</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Walnut Grove Rd/River Rd	Walnut Grove Bridge to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line	<u>55</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Isleton Rd	River Rd (Walnut Grove)/Isleton Rd Bridge to 1.5 miles west of Isleton Rd Bridge	<u>54</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>
Race Track Rd/ Tyler Island Rd	Walnut Grove Rd to Southern End of Tyler Island	<u>45</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>No</u>
Tyler Island Rd	Southern End of Tyler Island to SR 160 (River Rd)	<u>46</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
Jackson Slough Rd	Isleton City Limits to SR 12	<u>47</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
Jackson Slough Rd	Brannan Island Rd to SR 12	<u>47</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
Walnut Grove Rd	Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5	<u>53</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Peltier Rd	Blossom Rd to I-5	<u>44</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
Tracy Blvd	SR 4 to Clifton Court Rd	<u>53</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Tracy Blvd	Clifton Court Rd to Tracy City Limits	<u>52</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Byron Hwy	Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line to Mountain House Pkwy	<u>59</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Mountain House Pkwy	Byron Hwy to Arnaudo Blvd	<u>54</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Mountain House Pkwy	Arnaudo Blvd to I-205	<u>58</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Eight Mile Rd	Stockton City Limits to I-5	<u>58</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
Tracy Blvd	Tracy City Limits to I-205	<u>58</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase? Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?
Harbor Blvd	Industrial Blvd to US 50	<u>63</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Industrial Blvd/ Lake Washington Blvd	Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd	<u>62</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)	Lake Washington Blvd to Southport Pkwy	<u>62</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)	Southport Pkwy to West Sacramento City Limits	<u>51</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Yes</u>
River Rd	Freeport Bridge to Courtland Rd	<u>54</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
River Rd	Courtland Rd to Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line	<u>48</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Courtland Rd	SR 84 to River Rd	<u>48</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>

1

2 As shown in Table 23-20, predicted future loudest-hour traffic noise levels from project-generated  
3 worker commutes and truck trips would result in a noise level of 60 dBA Leq or more, and an  
4 increase of 5 dB or more compared to existing traffic noise levels along 54 project roadway  
5 segments. predicted future traffic noise levels from project-generated worker commutes and truck  
6 trips would result in an increase of 12 dB or more compared to existing traffic noise levels along 16  
7 project roadway segments.

8 During intake construction, segments of SR 160 between Freeport Bridge and Walnut Grove Bridge  
9 would be temporarily realigned around intake construction sites. As a result, future project noise  
10 levels would further increase at residences located near intake sites. Under Alternative 1A, noise  
11 levels at receivers near realigned segments of SR 160 would increase by up to 12 dB in addition to  
12 the noise increase shown in Table 23-20.

13 Traffic noise from haul trucks and commuter vehicles on public roads is predicted to exceed daytime  
14 traffic noise thresholds at nearby residences, parks and other uses at affected parcels indicated in  
15 Table 23-20A. Traffic noise contours are shown in Appendix 23A.



1 **Table 23-20A. Land Use Zones Adjacent to Project Haul Routes Affected by Increases in Traffic**  
 2 **Noise, Pipeline-Tunnel Conveyance Option**

<u>Location</u>	<u>Zoning</u>	<u>Total Affected Parcels, Daytime Threshold (60 dBA L<sub>eq</sub> [1h]) and a 5 dB increase over existing levels</u>
<u>Alameda County</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Contra Costa County</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>363</u>
	<u>Residential</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Sacramento County – including River Road near the community of Hood; neighborhoods in the community of Hood; Lambert Road; Vorden Road.</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>120</u>
	<u>Natural/Recreational</u>	<u>155</u>
	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>544</u>
<u>San Joaquin County</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>77</u>
	<u>Natural/Recreational</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>192</u>
<u>City of Stockton</u>		<u>70</u>
<u>City of Tracy</u>		<u>11</u>
<u>Solano County</u>	<u>Natural/Recreational</u>	<u>9</u>
	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>648</u>
<u>Yolo County – including County Road E9 near the community of Clarksburg; neighborhoods in the community of Clarksburg.</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>90</u>
<u>City of West Sacramento</u>		<u>199</u>
<u>Other jurisdictions</u>		<u>538</u>

<sup>a</sup> Includes agricultural or unclassified use that permits residential use.

3

4 The increase in noise levels would exceed the project threshold for traffic noise and would be  
 5 considered adverse. Mitigation Measures NOI-1a and NOI-1b are available to address this effect.

### 6 ***Construction of Power Transmission Lines***

7 Potential reasonable worst-case equipment noise levels from construction of the power  
 8 transmission lines were evaluated by combining the noise levels of the three loudest pieces of  
 9 equipment that would likely operate at the same time (an excavator, a truck and a drill rig for  
 10 driving micropiles for construction of towers). Assuming 100% utilization within a given hour of  
 11 day, the combined noise level is 91 dBA L<sub>eq</sub> (1hr) at 50 feet. The estimated sound levels from  
 12 construction as a function of distance based on calculated point-source attenuation over “soft” (i.e.,  
 13 acoustically absorptive) ground are shown in Table 23-21.

1 **Table 23-21. Predicted Noise Levels from Construction of Transmission Lines**

Distance Between Source and Receiver (feet)	Calculated $L_{eq}$ (1hr)/Nighttime $L_{max}$ -Sound Level (dBA)
50	91
100	83
200	75
400	67
600	63
800	<b>60</b>
1,000	57
1,200	55
1,400	53
1,800	<b>50</b>
2,000	49
3,000	44

Notes: Calculations are based on Federal Transit Administration 2006. Calculations do not include the effects, if any, of local shielding from walls, topography, or other barriers that may reduce sound levels further.

**Bold** denotes daytime (1hr) and nighttime (1hr) ~~maximum~~ noise thresholds.

$L_{eq}$  (1 hour) = hourly-equivalent sound level (over 1 hour).

dBA = A-weighted decibel.

2  
3 The results shown in Table 23-21 indicate that noise-sensitive land uses within 800 feet of an active  
4 transmission line construction area could be exposed to construction noise in excess of the daytime  
5 (7 a.m. to 10 p.m.) noise threshold of 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr). The nighttime threshold of 50 dBA  ~~$L_{max}$ - $L_{eq}$~~   
6 would be exceeded at a distance of 1,800 feet from the construction area.

7 Construction of transmission lines would also include helicopter use for installing conductor line.  
8 Use of helicopters would be temporary and intermittent. Two light-duty helicopters were assumed  
9 to operate four hours a day to install new poles and lines. Light- to medium-duty helicopters have a  
10 source level of up to 84  $L_{max}$  at a reference distance of 500 feet (Nelson 1987). It would generally  
11 take less than 10 minutes to string the line at each structure. It is estimated that helicopters would  
12 not be in any given line mile for more than 3 hours. Given that noise exposure to helicopters would  
13 be generally isolated to line-stringing events, it is not considered to contribute significantly to  
14 ambient noise during periods of construction.

15 Noise-sensitive land uses that could potentially be exposed to adverse noise impacts due to  
16 transmission line construction would extend outside the transmission line right-of-way within the  
17 utility planning area. Several residential land uses are near the proposed transmission line  
18 construction footprint. Likewise, Delta Elementary School and Delta High School on the west bank of  
19 the Sacramento River are within half a mile of the proposed Intake 2 transmission lines. Although  
20 there would be risk of increased noise levels, compared to the conveyance and associated  
21 components, the duration of construction of transmission lines would be shorter-term. Noise  
22 impacts would be intermittent and temporary, and would cease once construction work is complete.

23 Although this assessment includes daytime and nighttime construction noise estimates, construction  
24 of the transmission lines would primarily occur during daylight hours. If nighttime construction of

1 the transmission lines were to occur, noise levels could be the same as those generated during  
2 daytime hours.

3 The effect of exposing noise-sensitive land uses to noise increases above thresholds would be  
4 adverse. Mitigation Measures NOI-1a and NOI-1b would be available to reduce this effect.

5 ***Earth-moving activities at offsite borrow/spoil areas***

6 Potential reasonable worst-case equipment noise levels from earth-moving activities at offsite  
7 borrow/spoil areas were evaluated by combining the noise levels of the three loudest pieces of  
8 equipment that would likely operate at the same time (an excavator, a truck and a bulldozer).  
9 Assuming 100% utilization within a given hour of day, the combined noise level would be 91 dBA  $L_{eq}$   
10 (1hr) at 50 feet. The estimated sound levels from construction as a function of distance based on  
11 calculated point-source attenuation over “soft” (i.e., acoustically absorptive) ground are shown in  
12 Table 23-22.

13 The results shown in Table 23-22 indicate that noise-sensitive land uses within 800 feet of  
14 equipment operating in the borrow/spoil area could be exposed to construction noise in excess of  
15 the daytime (7 a.m. to 10 p.m.) noise threshold of 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr). The nighttime threshold of 50  
16 dBA  $L_{max}-L_{eq}$  would be exceeded at a distance of 1,800 feet from the area. Borrow/spoil areas are  
17 located throughout the conveyance alignment and are generally adjacent to or in close proximity of  
18 intake pumping plant sites, forebays, and main tunnel construction shafts. Noise-sensitive land uses  
19 that could potentially be exposed to adverse noise impacts due to earth-moving activities in offsite  
20 borrow/spoil areas would extend outside the borrow/spoil area right-of-way. The effect of exposing  
21 these noise-sensitive land uses to noise increases above thresholds would be adverse. However,  
22 with the exception of tunneling and RTM placement, most construction activities would occur  
23 during daytime hours. Mitigation Measures NOI-1a and NOI-1b would be available to reduce this  
24 effect.

1 **Table 23-22. Predicted Noise Levels from Earth-moving at offsite borrow/spoil areas**

Distance Between Source and Receiver (feet)	Calculated $L_{eq}$ (1hr)/Nighttime $L_{max}$ Sound Level (dBA)
50	91
100	83
200	75
400	67
600	63
800	<b>60</b>
1,000	57
1,200	55
1,400	53
1,800	<b>50</b>
2,000	49
3,000	44

Notes: Calculations are based on Federal Transit Administration 2006. Calculations do not include the effects, if any, of local shielding from walls, topography, or other barriers that may reduce sound levels further.

**Bold** denotes daytime (1hr) and nighttime (1hr) ~~maximum~~ noise thresholds.

$L_{eq}$  (1 hour) = hourly-equivalent sound level (over 1 hour).

dBA = A-weighted decibel.

2

3

### **Blasting at Excavation sites**

4 Noise and vibration generated by blasting is a complex function of the charge size, charge depth,  
5 hole size, degree of confinement, initiation methods, spatial distribution of charges, and other  
6 factors. To provide a general indication of the potential for airblast and vibration impacts from  
7 blasting, airblast and ground-vibration values as a function of distance have been estimated using  
8 methods recommended by Caltrans (2004). The calculation assumes a charge size of 300 pounds  
9 ignited under average normal confinement. Ground vibration from blasting would exceed the U.S.  
10 Bureau of Mines vibration criterion of 0.5 in/second PPV within about 550 of a blasting site. The  
11 probable peak overpressure would be about 130 dB within 300 feet of the blasting site. This impact  
12 is considered to be less than significant. (This assumes that a commitment can be added to not  
13 conduct blasting within 1000 feet of noise sensitive areas.)

### 14 **Noise exposure to workers at construction sites**

15 Construction noise would affect workers on site. However, workers are subject to state and federal  
16 Occupational Health and Safety (OSHA) standards. OSHA mitigation standards for noise limits  
17 exposure are as follows: an 8-hour time-weighted average of 85 dBA or a dose of 50 percent are  
18 referred to as OSHA action levels [29 CFR 1910.95(c)(2)]. Occupational exposure to noise levels in  
19 excess of 85 dBA requires monitoring and mitigation to protect workers. Given that on-site workers  
20 would be protected under OSHA requirements, no adverse impacts would occur to workers.

1 **Mitigation Measure NOI-1b: Prior to Construction, Initiate a Complaint/Response**  
 2 **Tracking Program**

3 Prior to construction, BDCP proponents will make a construction schedule available to residents  
 4 living in the vicinity of the construction areas before construction begins, and designate a noise  
 5 disturbance coordinator. The coordinator will be responsible for responding to complaints  
 6 regarding construction noise, will determine the cause of the complaint, and will ensure that  
 7 reasonable measures are implemented to correct the problem when feasible. A contact  
 8 telephone number for the noise disturbance coordinator will be conspicuously posted on  
 9 construction site fences and will be included in the notification of the construction schedule.

10 Achievable noise reduction varies by measure. Shutting off a piece of equipment would eliminate its  
 11 contribution to ambient noise. Noise barriers and enclosures would provide noise reduction within  
 12 the discrete area shielding noise from surrounding noise sensitive receptors. Barriers can provide 5  
 13 to 15 dB of noise reduction depending configuration relative to surrounding terrain. Although  
 14 implementation of these measures will reduce the impact, it is not anticipated that feasible  
 15 measures will be available in all situations to reduce construction noise to levels below the  
 16 applicable thresholds. This impact would therefore be significant and unavoidable.

17 **~~23.3.3.223.3.3~~ 223.3.3.3 Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and**  
 18 **Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)**

19 **Impact NOI-1: Exposure of Noise-Sensitive Land Uses to Noise from Construction of Water**  
 20 **Conveyance Facilities**

21 **Table 23-30. Predicted Loudest-hour Future Traffic Noise Levels on Commuter Roads and Haul Routes,**  
 22 **East Alignment**

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With- Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line to Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Brentwood Blvd</u>	<u>Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits) to Balfour Rd</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Brentwood Blvd</u>	<u>Balfour Rd to Brentwood City Limits (South)</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Balfour Rd</u>	<u>Brentwood Blvd to Brentwood City Limits</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Bethel Island Rd</u>	<u>Oakley City Limits to End</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Balfour Rd</u>	<u>Brentwood City Limits to Byron Hwy</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Old SR 41</u>	<u>Brentwood City Limits (South) to Marsh Creek Rd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Delta Rd to Old SR 4</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>SR 4 to Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Florin Rd to Pocket Rd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Florin Rd to Pocket Rd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Pocket Rd to Laguna Blvd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Pocket Rd to Laguna Blvd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Laguna Blvd to Elk Grove Blvd</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Laguna Blvd to Elk Grove Blvd</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Elk Grove Blvd to Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Elk Grove Blvd to Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Hood Franklin Rd to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Hood Franklin Rd to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Rd to Peltier Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Rd to Peltier Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Peltier Rd to Turner Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Peltier Rd to Turner Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Turner Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Turner Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>SR 12 to Eight Mile Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>SR 12 to Eight Mile Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Eight Mile Rd to Hammer Ln</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Eight Mile Rd to Hammer Ln</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)</u>	<u>Sacramento City Limits to Freeport Bridge</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160 (Freeport Blvd/ River Rd)</u>	<u>Freeport Bridge to Scribner Rd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Scribner Rd to Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Hood Franklin Rd to Lambert Rd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Lambert Rd to Paintersville Bridge</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160 (Paintersville Bridge)</u>	<u>Sutter Slough Bridge Rd to SR 160 (River Rd)</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>Yes</u>

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Paintersville Bridge to Walnut Grove Bridge</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Bridge to A St (Isleton)</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>A St (Isleton) to SR 12</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>SR 12 to Brannan Island Rd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 84</u>	<u>West Sacramento City Limits to Courtland Rd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 84 (Courtland Rd/ Ryer Ave)</u>	<u>Courtland Rd to Cache Slough Ferry</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-80 EB</u>	<u>Suisun Valley Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-80 WB</u>	<u>Suisun Valley Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 12 EB</u>	<u>I-80 to Beck Ave</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12 WB</u>	<u>I-80 to Beck Ave</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Beck Ave to Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd to Walters Rd/</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Walters Rd/ to SR 113</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>SR 113 to SR 84 (River Rd)</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12 (Rio Vista Bridge)</u>	<u>SR 84 (River Rd) to SR 160 (River Rd)</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>SR 160 (River Rd) to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-80 EB</u>	<u>SR 113 to Pedrick Rd</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-80 WB</u>	<u>SR 113 to Pedrick Rd</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 113</u>	<u>I-80 to Dixon City Limits</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 113</u>	<u>Dixon City Limits to SR 12</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4 (Marsh Creek Rd)</u>	<u>Vasco Rd to Byron Hwy</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4</u>	<u>Marsh Creek Rd to Discovery Bay Blvd</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4</u>	<u>Discovery Bay Blvd to Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4</u>	<u>Tracy Blvd to I-5</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Freeway) to SR 4 (Charter Way)</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Freeway) to SR 4 (Charter Way)</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Charter Way) to Eighth Street</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Charter Way) to Eighth Street</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>I-580 to Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>I-580 to Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>Mountain House Pkwy to Eleventh St</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>Mountain House Pkwy to Eleventh St</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>Grant Line Rd to Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>Grant Line Rd to Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>Tracy Blvd to MacArthur Dr</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>Tracy Blvd to MacArthur Dr</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>A St/4th St/ Jackson Blvd.</u>	<u>SR 160 to Isleton City Limits</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Main Street (Old SR 4)</u>	<u>SR 160 to Cypress Rd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Main Street (Old SR 4)</u>	<u>Cypress Rd to Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits)</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Cypress Rd</u>	<u>Main Street to Bethel Island Rd</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Bethel Island Rd</u>	<u>Cypress Rd to Oakley City Limits</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Delta Rd</u>	<u>Main Street to Byron Hwy</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Pocket Rd</u>	<u>I-5 to Freeport Blvd</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Freeport Blvd (Old SR 160)</u>	<u>Pocket Rd to Sacramento City Limits</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Freeport Bridge</u>	<u>River Rd to SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>SR 160 (River Rd) to I-5</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Lambert Rd</u>	<u>SR 160 (River Rd) to Herzog Rd</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Lambert Rd</u>	<u>Herzog Rd to Franklin Blvd</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Franklin Blvd</u>	<u>Lambert Rd to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>River Rd to I-5</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>I-5 to Franklin Blvd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Sutter Slough Bridge Rd</u>	<u>Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line to Paintersville Bridge</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Paintersville Bridge to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Bridge</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Walnut Grove</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Bridge to</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>



<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>Rd/River Rd</u>	<u>Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line</u>				
<u>Isleton Rd</u>	<u>River Rd (Walnut Grove)/Isleton Rd Bridge to 1.5 miles west of Isleton Rd Bridge</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Race Track Rd/ Tyler Island Rd</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Rd to Southern End of Tyler Island</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Tyler Island Rd</u>	<u>Southern End of Tyler Island to SR 160 (River Rd)</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Jackson Slough Rd</u>	<u>Isleton City Limits to SR 12</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Jackson Slough Rd</u>	<u>Brannan Island Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Walnut Grove Rd</u>	<u>Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Peltier Rd</u>	<u>Blossom Rd to I-5</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>SR 4 to Clifton Court Rd</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>Clifton Court Rd to Tracy City Limits</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line to Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>Byron Hwy to Arnaudo Blvd</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>Arnaudo Blvd to I-205</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Eight Mile Rd</u>	<u>Stockton City Limits to I-5</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>Tracy City Limits to I-205</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Harbor Blvd</u>	<u>Industrial Blvd to US 50</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Industrial Blvd/ Lake Washington Blvd</u>	<u>Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)</u>	<u>Lake Washington Blvd to Southport Pkwy</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)</u>	<u>Southport Pkwy to West Sacramento City Limits</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Freeport Bridge to Courtland Rd</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Courtland Rd to Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Courtland Rd</u>	<u>SR 84 to River Rd</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Yes</u>

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase?
Byron Hwy	Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line to Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line	58	65	7	no
Brentwood Blvd	Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits) to Balfour Rd	61	66	5	no
Brentwood Blvd	Balfour Rd to Brentwood City Limits (South)	60	66	6	no
Balfour Rd	Brentwood Blvd to Brentwood City Limits	61	61	0	no
Bethel Island Rd	Oakley City Limits to End	55	55	0	no
Balfour Rd	Brentwood City Limits to Byron Hwy	54	54	0	no
Old SR 41	Brentwood City Limits (South) to Marsh Creek Rd	62	66	4	no
Byron Hwy	Delta Rd to Old SR 4	53	53	0	no
Byron Hwy	SR 4 to Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line	59	65	6	no
SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)	Sacramento City Limits to Freeport Bridge	59	70	11	no
SR 160 (Freeport Blvd/ River Rd)	Freeport Bridge to Scribner Rd	55	69	14	yes
SR 160	Scribner Rd to Hood Franklin Rd	53	69	16	yes
SR 160	Hood Franklin Rd to Lambert Rd	55	71	16	yes
SR 160	Lambert Rd to Paintersville Bridge	53	71	18	yes
SR 160 (Paintersville Bridge)	Sutter Slough Bridge Rd to SR 160 (River Rd)	53	72	19	yes
SR 160	Paintersville Bridge to Walnut Grove Bridge	53	72	19	yes
SR 160	Walnut Grove Bridge to A St (Isleton)	59	72	13	yes
SR 160	A St (Isleton) to SR 12	58	72	14	yes
SR 160	SR 12 to Brannan Island Rd	62	73	11	no
SR 84	West Sacramento City Limits to Courtland Rd	55	66	11	no
SR 84 (Courtland Rd/ Ryer Ave)	Courtland Rd to Cache Slough Ferry	46	46	0	no
SR 12-EB	I-80 to Beck Ave	65	71	6	no
SR 12-WB	I-80 to Beck Ave	64	71	7	no

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase?
SR 12	Beck Ave to Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd	68	74	6	no
SR 12	Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd to Walters Rd/	66	74	8	no
SR 12	Walters Rd/ to SR 113	63	73	10	no
SR 12	SR 113 to SR 84 (River Rd)	64	73	9	no
SR 12 (Rio Vista Bridge)	SR 84 (River Rd) to SR 160 (River Rd)	64	73	9	no
SR 12	SR 160 (River Rd) to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line	62	65	3	no
SR 12	Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5	63	65	2	no
SR 113	I-80 to Dixon City Limits	64	69	5	no
SR 113	Dixon City Limits to SR 12	57	68	11	no
SR 4 (Marsh Creek Rd)	Vasco Rd to Byron Hwy	61	70	9	no
SR 4	Marsh Creek Rd to Discovery Bay Blvd	63	71	8	no
SR 4	Discovery Bay Blvd to Tracy Blvd	61	70	9	no
SR 4	Tracy Blvd to I-5	64	71	7	no
A St/4th St/ Jackson Blvd.	SR 160 to Isleton City Limits	48	48	0	no
Main Street (Old SR 4)	SR 160 to Cypress Rd	62	66	4	no
Main Street (Old SR 4)	Cypress Rd to Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits)	61	66	5	no
Cypress Rd	Main Street to Bethel Island Rd	58	58	0	no
Bethel Island Rd	Cypress Rd to Oakley City Limits	55	55	0	no
Delta Rd	Main Street to Byron Hwy	55	55	0	no
Pocket Rd	I-5 to Freeport Blvd	63	69	6	no
Freeport Blvd (Old SR 160)	Pocket Rd to Sacramento City Limits	56	68	12	yes
Freeport Bridge	River Rd to SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)	55	65	10	no
Hood Franklin Rd	SR 160 (River Rd) to I-5	51	69	18	yes
Lambert Rd	SR 160 (River Rd) to Herzog Rd	44	63	19	yes
Lambert Rd	Herzog Rd to Franklin Blvd	46	63	17	yes
Franklin Blvd	Lambert Rd to Twin Cities Rd	48	63	15	yes

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase?
Twin Cities Rd	River Rd to I-5	53	59	6	no
Twin Cities Rd	I-5 to Franklin Blvd	55	63	8	no
Sutter Slough Bridge Rd	Sacramento Co./Yolo Co. Line to Paintersville Bridge	50	64	14	yes
River Rd	Paintersville Bridge to Twin Cities Rd	51	51	0	no
River Rd	Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Bridge	55	60	5	no
Walnut Grove Rd/River Rd	Walnut Grove Bridge to Sacramento Co./SJ Co. Line	55	61	6	no
Isleton Rd	River Rd (Walnut Grove)/Isleton Rd Bridge to 1.5 miles west of Isleton Rd Bridge	54	54	0	no
Race Track Rd/Tyler Island Rd	Walnut Grove Rd to Southern End of Tyler Island	45	45	0	no
Tyler Island Rd	Southern End of Tyler Island to SR 160 (River Rd)	46	46	0	no
Jackson Slough Rd	Isleton City Limits to SR 12	47	47	0	no
Jackson Slough Rd	Brannan Island Rd to SR 12	47	47	0	no
Walnut Grove Rd	Sacramento Co./SJ Co. Line to I-5	53	65	12	yes
Peltier Rd	Blossom Rd to I-5	44	63	19	yes
Tracy Blvd	SR 4 to Clifton Court Rd	53	66	13	yes
Tracy Blvd	Clifton Court Rd to Tracy City Limits	52	66	14	yes
Byron Hwy	Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line to Mountain House Pkwy	59	65	6	no
Mountain House Pkwy	Byron Hwy to Arnaudo Blvd	54	64	10	no
Mountain House Pkwy	Arnaudo Blvd to I-205	58	65	7	no
Eight Mile Rd	Stockton City Limits to I-5	58	65	7	no
Tracy Blvd	Tracy City Limits to I-205	58	67	9	no
Harbor Blvd	Industrial Blvd to US 50	63	66	3	no
Industrial Blvd/Lake Washington Blvd	Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd	62	66	4	no
Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)	Lake Washington Blvd to Southport Pkwy	62	66	4	no
Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)	Southport Pkwy to West Sacramento City Limits	51	64	13	yes

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase?
River Rd	Freeport Bridge to Courtland Rd	54	54	0	no
River Rd	Courtland Rd to Sacramento Co./Yolo Co. Line	48	64	16	yes
Courtland Rd	SR 84 to River Rd	48	64	16	yes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

As shown in Table 23-30, predicted future loudest-hour traffic noise levels from project-generated worker commutes and truck trips would result in a noise level of 60 dBA Leq or more, and an increase of 5 dB or more compared to existing traffic noise levels along 57 project roadway segments. ~~predicted future traffic noise levels from project-generated worker commutes and truck trips would result in an increase of 12 dB or more compared to existing traffic noise levels along 21 project roadway segments.~~

8

9

10

11

12

During intake construction, segments of SR 160 between Freeport Bridge and Walnut Grove Bridge would be temporarily realigned around intake construction sites. As a result, future project noise levels would further increase at residences located near intake sites. Under Alternative 1B, noise levels at receivers near realigned segments of SR 160 would increase by up to 12 dB in addition to the noise increase shown in Table 23-30.

13

14

15

Traffic noise from haul trucks and commuter vehicles on public roads is predicted to exceed daytime traffic noise thresholds at nearby residences, parks and other uses at affected parcels indicated in Table 23-30A. Traffic noise contours are shown in Appendix 23A.

1 **Table 23-30A. Land Use Zones Adjacent to Project Haul Routes Affected by Increases in Traffic**  
 2 **Noise, East Conveyance Alignment Option**

<u>Location</u>	<u>Zoning</u>	<u>Total Affected Parcels, Daytime Threshold (60 dBA L<sub>eq</sub> [1h]) and a 5 dB increase over existing levels</u>
<u>Alameda County</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Contra Costa County</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>363</u>
	<u>Residential</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Sacramento County – including River Road near the community of Hood; neighborhoods in the community of Hood; Lambert Road; Vorden Road.</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>120</u>
	<u>Natural/Recreational</u>	<u>156</u>
	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>576</u>
<u>San Joaquin County</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>77</u>
	<u>Natural/Recreational</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>210</u>
<u>City of Stockton</u>		<u>147</u>
<u>City of Tracy</u>		<u>11</u>
<u>Solano County</u>	<u>Natural/Recreational</u>	<u>9</u>
	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>648</u>
<u>Yolo County – including County Road E9 near the community of Clarksburg; neighborhoods in the community of Clarksburg.</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>95</u>
<u>City of West Sacramento</u>		<u>21</u>
<u>Other Jurisdictions</u>		<u>538</u>

<sup>a</sup> Includes agricultural or unclassified use that permits residential use.

3

4 **Mitigation Measure NOI-1b: Prior to Construction, Initiate a Complaint/Response**  
 5 **Tracking Program**

6 Please see Mitigation Measure NOI-1b under Impact NOI-1 in the discussion of Alternative 1A.

7 Achievable noise reduction varies by measure. Shutting off a piece of equipment would eliminate its  
 8 contribution to ambient noise. Noise barriers and enclosures would provide noise reduction within  
 9 the discrete area shielding noise from surrounding noise sensitive receptors. Barriers can provide 5  
 10 to 15 dB of noise reduction depending configuration relative to surrounding terrain. Although  
 11 implementation of these measures will reduce the impact, it is not anticipated that feasible  
 12 measures will be available in all situations to reduce construction noise to levels below the  
 13 applicable thresholds. This impact would therefore be significant and unavoidable.

**23.3.3.3.3.4 Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)**

**Impact NOI-1: Exposure of Noise-Sensitive Land Uses to Noise from Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities**

**Table 23-37. Predicted Loudest-hour Future Traffic Noise Levels on Commuter Roads and Haul Routes, West Alignment**

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line to Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Brentwood Blvd</u>	<u>Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits) to Balfour Rd</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Brentwood Blvd</u>	<u>Balfour Rd to Brentwood City Limits (South)</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Balfour Rd</u>	<u>Brentwood Blvd to Brentwood City Limits</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Bethel Island Rd</u>	<u>Oakley City Limits to End</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Balfour Rd</u>	<u>Brentwood City Limits to Byron Hwy</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Old SR 41</u>	<u>Brentwood City Limits (South) to Marsh Creek Rd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Delta Rd to Old SR 4</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>SR 4 to Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Florin Rd to Pocket Rd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Florin Rd to Pocket Rd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Pocket Rd to Laguna Blvd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Pocket Rd to Laguna Blvd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Laguna Blvd to Elk Grove Blvd</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Laguna Blvd to Elk Grove Blvd</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Elk Grove Blvd to Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Elk Grove Blvd to Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Hood Franklin Rd to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Hood Franklin Rd to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Rd to Peltier Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Rd to Peltier Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Peltier Rd to Turner Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Peltier Rd to Turner Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Turner Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Turner Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>SR 12 to Eight Mile Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>SR 12 to Eight Mile Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Eight Mile Rd to Hammer Ln</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Eight Mile Rd to Hammer Ln</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)</u>	<u>Sacramento City Limits to Freeport Bridge</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160 (Freeport Blvd/ River Rd)</u>	<u>Freeport Bridge to Scribner Rd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Scribner Rd to Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Hood Franklin Rd to Lambert Rd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Lambert Rd to Paintersville Bridge</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 160 (Paintersville Bridge)</u>	<u>Sutter Slough Bridge Rd to SR 160 (River Rd)</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Paintersville Bridge to Walnut Grove Bridge</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Bridge to A St (Isleton)</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>A St (Isleton) to SR 12</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>SR 12 to Brannan Island Rd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 84</u>	<u>West Sacramento City Limits to Courtland Rd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 84 (Courtland Rd/ Ryer Ave)</u>	<u>Courtland Rd to Cache Slough Ferry</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-80 EB</u>	<u>Suisun Valley Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-80 WB</u>	<u>Suisun Valley Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 12 EB</u>	<u>I-80 to Beck Ave</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12 WB</u>	<u>I-80 to Beck Ave</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Beck Ave to Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd to Walters Rd/</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>



<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Walters Rd/ to SR 113</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>SR 113 to SR 84 (River Rd)</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12 (Rio Vista Bridge)</u>	<u>SR 84 (River Rd) to SR 160 (River Rd)</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>SR 160 (River Rd) to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-80 EB</u>	<u>SR 113 to Pedrick Rd</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-80 WB</u>	<u>SR 113 to Pedrick Rd</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 113</u>	<u>I-80 to Dixon City Limits</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 113</u>	<u>Dixon City Limits to SR 12</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4 (Marsh Creek Rd)</u>	<u>Vasco Rd to Byron Hwy</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4</u>	<u>Marsh Creek Rd to Discovery Bay Blvd</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4</u>	<u>Discovery Bay Blvd to Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4</u>	<u>Tracy Blvd to I-5</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Freeway) to SR 4 (Charter Way)</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Freeway) to SR 4 (Charter Way)</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Charter Way) to Eighth Street</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Charter Way) to Eighth Street</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>I-580 to Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>I-580 to Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>Mountain House Pkwy to Eleventh St</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>Mountain House Pkwy to Eleventh St</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>Grant Line Rd to Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>Grant Line Rd to Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>Tracy Blvd to MacArthur Dr</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>Tracy Blvd to MacArthur Dr</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>A St/4th St/ Jackson Blvd.</u>	<u>SR 160 to Isleton City Limits</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Main Street (Old SR 4)</u>	<u>SR 160 to Cypress Rd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>Main Street (Old SR 4)</u>	<u>Cypress Rd to Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits)</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Cypress Rd</u>	<u>Main Street to Bethel Island Rd</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Bethel Island Rd</u>	<u>Cypress Rd to Oakley City Limits</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Delta Rd</u>	<u>Main Street to Byron Hwy</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Pocket Rd</u>	<u>I-5 to Freeport Blvd</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Freeport Blvd (Old SR 160)</u>	<u>Pocket Rd to Sacramento City Limits</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Freeport Bridge</u>	<u>River Rd to SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>SR 160 (River Rd) to I-5</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Lambert Rd</u>	<u>SR 160 (River Rd) to Herzog Rd</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Lambert Rd</u>	<u>Herzog Rd to Franklin Blvd</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Franklin Blvd</u>	<u>Lambert Rd to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>River Rd to I-5</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>I-5 to Franklin Blvd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Sutter Slough Bridge Rd</u>	<u>Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line to Paintersville Bridge</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Paintersville Bridge to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Bridge</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Walnut Grove Rd/River Rd</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Bridge to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Isleton Rd</u>	<u>River Rd (Walnut Grove)/Isleton Rd Bridge to 1.5 miles west of Isleton Rd Bridge</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Race Track Rd/ Tyler Island Rd</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Rd to Southern End of Tyler Island</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Tyler Island Rd</u>	<u>Southern End of Tyler Island to SR 160 (River Rd)</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Jackson Slough Rd</u>	<u>Isleton City Limits to SR 12</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Jackson Slough Rd</u>	<u>Brannan Island Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Walnut Grove Rd</u>	<u>Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Peltier Rd</u>	<u>Blossom Rd to I-5</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>SR 4 to Clifton Court Rd</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>Clifton Court Rd to Tracy City Limits</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line to Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>Byron Hwy to Arnaudo Blvd</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>Arnaudo Blvd to I-205</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Eight Mile Rd</u>	<u>Stockton City Limits to I-5</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>Tracy City Limits to I-205</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Harbor Blvd</u>	<u>Industrial Blvd to US 50</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Industrial Blvd/ Lake Washington Blvd</u>	<u>Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)</u>	<u>Lake Washington Blvd to Southport Pkwy</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)</u>	<u>Southport Pkwy to West Sacramento City Limits</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Freeport Bridge to Courtland Rd</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Courtland Rd to Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Courtland Rd</u>	<u>SR 84 to River Rd</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>Yes</u>

1

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Substantial Increase?</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line to Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>Brentwood Blvd</u>	<u>Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits) to Balfour Rd</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>Brentwood Blvd</u>	<u>Balfour Rd to Brentwood City Limits (South)</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>Balfour Rd</u>	<u>Brentwood Blvd to Brentwood City Limits</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>Bethel Island Rd</u>	<u>Oakley City Limits to End</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>Balfour Rd</u>	<u>Brentwood City Limits to Byron Hwy</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>Old SR 41</u>	<u>Brentwood City Limits (South) to Marsh Creek Rd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Delta Rd to Old SR 4</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>yes</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>SR 4 to Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)</u>	<u>Sacramento City Limits to Freeport Bridge</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>yes</u>

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase?
SR 160 (Freeport Blvd/River Rd)	Freeport Bridge to Scribner Rd	55	55	0	no
SR 160	Scribner Rd to Hood Franklin Rd	53	53	0	no
SR 160	Hood Franklin Rd to Lambert Rd	55	55	0	no
SR 160	Lambert Rd to Paintersville Bridge	53	53	0	no
SR 160 (Paintersville Bridge)	Sutter Slough Bridge Rd to SR 160 (River Rd)	53	74	21	yes
SR 160	Paintersville Bridge to Walnut Grove Bridge	53	74	21	yes
SR 160	Walnut Grove Bridge to A St (Isleton)	59	74	15	yes
SR 160	A St (Isleton) to SR 12	58	74	16	yes
SR 160	SR 12 to Brannan Island Rd	62	74	12	yes
SR 84	West Sacramento City Limits to Courtland Rd	55	72	17	yes
SR 84 (Courtland Rd/Ryer Ave)	Courtland Rd to Cache Slough Ferry	46	63	17	yes
SR 12-EB	I-80 to Beck Ave	65	72	7	no
SR 12-WB	I-80 to Beck Ave	64	72	8	no
SR 12	Beck Ave to Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd	68	75	7	no
SR 12	Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd to Walters Rd/	66	75	9	no
SR 12	Walters Rd/ to SR 113	63	74	11	no
SR 12	SR 113 to SR 84 (River Rd)	64	74	10	no
SR 12 (Rio Vista Bridge)	SR 84 (River Rd) to SR 160 (River Rd)	64	74	10	no
SR 12	SR 160 (River Rd) to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line	62	67	5	no
SR 12	Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5	63	67	4	no
SR 113	I-80 to Dixon City Limits	64	71	7	no
SR 113	Dixon City Limits to SR 12	57	70	13	yes
SR 4 (Marsh Creek Rd)	Vasco Rd to Byron Hwy	61	70	9	no
SR 4	Marsh Creek Rd to Discovery Bay Blvd	63	71	8	no
SR 4	Discovery Bay Blvd to Tracy Blvd	61	70	9	no
SR 4	Tracy Blvd to I-5	64	71	7	no
A St/4th St/ Jackson Blvd.	SR 160 to Isleton City Limits	48	48	0	no

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase?
Main Street (Old SR 4)	SR 160 to Cypress Rd	62	69	7	no
Main Street (Old SR 4)	Cypress Rd to Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits)	61	69	8	no
Cypress Rd	Main Street to Bethel Island Rd	58	64	6	no
Bethel Island Rd	Cypress Rd to Oakley City Limits	55	57	2	no
Delta Rd	Main Street to Byron Hwy	55	66	11	no
Pocket Rd	I-5 to Freeport Blvd	63	71	8	no
Freeport Blvd (Old SR 160)	Pocket Rd to Sacramento City Limits	56	70	14	yes
Freeport Bridge	River Rd to SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)	55	70	15	yes
Hood Franklin Rd	SR 160 (River Rd) to I-5	51	51	0	no
Lambert Rd	SR 160 (River Rd) to Herzog Rd	44	44	0	no
Lambert Rd	Herzog Rd to Franklin Blvd	46	46	0	no
Franklin Blvd	Lambert Rd to Twin Cities Rd	48	48	0	no
Twin Cities Rd	River Rd to I-5	53	69	16	yes
Twin Cities Rd	I-5 to Franklin Blvd	55	55	0	no
Sutter Slough Bridge Rd	Sacramento Co./Yolo Co. Line to Paintersville Bridge	50	72	22	yes
River Rd	Paintersville Bridge to Twin Cities Rd	51	69	18	yes
River Rd	Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Bridge	55	57	2	no
Walnut Grove Rd/River Rd	Walnut Grove Bridge to Sacramento Co./SJ Co. Line	55	69	14	yes
Isleton Rd	River Rd (Walnut Grove)/Isleton Rd Bridge to 1.5 miles west of Isleton Rd Bridge	54	54	0	no
Race Track Rd/ Tyler Island Rd	Walnut Grove Rd to Southern End of Tyler Island	45	45	0	no
Tyler Island Rd	Southern End of Tyler Island to SR 160 (River Rd)	46	46	0	no
Jackson Slough Rd	Isleton City Limits to SR 12	47	47	0	no
Jackson Slough Rd	Brannan Island Rd to SR 12	47	47	0	no
Walnut Grove Rd	Sacramento Co./SJ Co. Line to I-5	53	69	16	yes
Peltier Rd	Blossom Rd to I-5	44	44	0	no
Tracy Blvd	SR 4 to Clifton Court Rd	53	53	0	no
Tracy Blvd	Clifton Court Rd to Tracy City Limits	52	52	0	no
Byron Hwy	Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line to Mountain House Pkwy	59	69	10	no

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase?
Mountain House Pkwy	Byron Hwy to Arnaudo Blvd	54	68	14	yes
Mountain House Pkwy	Arnaudo Blvd to I-205	58	69	11	no
Eight Mile Rd	Stockton City Limits to I-5	58	58	0	no
Tracy Blvd	Tracy City Limits to I-205	58	58	0	no
Harbor Blvd	Industrial Blvd to US-50	63	71	8	no
Industrial Blvd/ Lake Washington Blvd	Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd	62	71	9	no
Jefferson Blvd (Old SR-84)	Lake Washington Blvd to Southport Pkwy	62	71	9	no
Jefferson Blvd (Old SR-84)	Southport Pkwy to West Sacramento City Limits	51	70	19	yes
River Rd	Freeport Bridge to Courtland Rd	54	70	16	yes
River Rd	Courtland Rd to Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line	48	72	24	yes
Courtland Rd	SR-84 to River Rd	48	70	22	yes

1

2 As shown in Table 23-37, predicted future loudest-hour traffic noise levels from project-generated  
3 worker commutes and truck trips would result in a noise level of 60 dBA Leq or more, and an  
4 increase of 5 dB or more compared to existing traffic noise levels along 55 project roadway  
5 segments. predicted future traffic noise levels from project-generated worker commutes and truck  
6 trips would result in an increase of 12 dB or more compared to existing traffic noise levels along 22  
7 project roadway segments.

8 During intake construction, segments of County Highway E9 would be temporarily realigned around  
9 intake construction sites. Under the west alignment alternative, no additional noise increase is  
10 anticipated at residences adjacent to intake construction sites.

11 Traffic noise from haul trucks and commuter vehicles on public roads is predicted to exceed daytime  
12 traffic noise thresholds at nearby residences, parks and other uses at affected parcels indicated in  
13 Table 23-37A. Traffic noise contours are shown in Appendix 23A.

1 **Table 23-37A. Land Use Zones Adjacent to Project Haul Routes Affected by Increases in Traffic**  
 2 **Noise, West Conveyance Alignment Option**

<u>Location</u>	<u>Zoning</u>	<u>Total Affected Parcels, Daytime Threshold (60 dBA L<sub>eq</sub> [1h]) and a 5 dB increase over existing levels</u>
<u>Alameda County</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Contra Costa County</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>537</u>
	<u>Residential</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Sacramento County – including River Road near the community of Hood; neighborhoods in the community of Hood; Lambert Road; Vorden Road.</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>69</u>
	<u>Natural/Recreational</u>	<u>125</u>
	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>426</u>
<u>San Joaquin County</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>73</u>
	<u>Natural/Recreational</u>	<u>3</u>
	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>179</u>
<u>City of Stockton</u>		<u>70</u>
<u>Solano County</u>	<u>Natural/Recreational</u>	<u>9</u>
	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>691</u>
<u>Yolo County – including County Road E9 near the community of Clarksburg; neighborhoods in the community of Clarksburg.</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>284</u>
	<u>Residential</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>City of West Sacramento</u>		<u>199</u>
<u>Other Jurisdictions</u>		<u>660</u>

<sup>a</sup> Includes agricultural or unclassified use that permits residential use.

3

4 **Mitigation Measure NOI-1b: Prior to Construction, Initiate a Complaint/Response**  
 5 **Tracking Program**

6 Please see Mitigation Measure NOI-1b under Impact NOI-1 in the discussion of Alternative 1A.

7 Achievable noise reduction varies by measure. Shutting off a piece of equipment would eliminate its  
 8 contribution to ambient noise. Noise barriers and enclosures would provide noise reduction within  
 9 the discrete area shielding noise from surrounding noise sensitive receptors. Barriers can provide 5  
 10 to 15 dB of noise reduction depending configuration relative to surrounding terrain. Although  
 11 implementation of these measures will reduce the impact, it is not anticipated that feasible  
 12 measures will be available in all situations to reduce construction noise to levels below the  
 13 applicable thresholds. This impact would therefore be significant and unavoidable.

**23.3.3.8 23.3.3.9 Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)**

Three intakes would be constructed under Alternative 4 on the east bank of the Sacramento River. This alternative would also construct an intermediate forebay, and the conveyance facility would be a buried pipeline (see Figures 3-9 and 3-10 in Chapter 3, *Description of Alternatives*).

**Impact NOI-1: Exposure of Noise-Sensitive Land Uses to Noise from Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities**

**NEPA Effects:**

**Construction of Intakes**

Potential reasonable worst-case equipment noise levels from construction of the intakes were evaluated by combining the noise levels of the six loudest pieces of equipment that would likely operate at the same time (~~cranes and~~ heavy trucks). Assuming 100% utilization within a given hour of day, the combined noise level is 96 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr) at 50 feet. The estimated sound levels from construction as a function of distance based on calculated point-source attenuation over “soft” (i.e., acoustically absorptive) ground are shown in Table 23-59.

**Table 23-59. Predicted Noise Levels from Construction Activities**

Distance Between Source and Receiver (feet)	Calculated $L_{eq}$ (1hr) / <del>Nighttime <math>L_{max}</math></del> Sound Level (dBA)
50	96
100	88
200	80
400	72
600	68
800	64
1,000	62
<b>1,200</b>	<b>60</b>
1,500	57
2,000	54
2,500	51
<b>2,800</b>	<b>50</b>
3,000	49
4,000	46
5,280	43

Notes: Calculations are based on Federal Transit Administration 2006. Calculations do not include the effects, if any, of local shielding from walls, topography, or other barriers that may reduce sound levels further.

**Bold** denotes daytime (1hr) and nighttime (1hr) ~~maximum~~ noise thresholds.

$L_{eq}$  (1 hour) = hourly-equivalent sound level (over 1 hour).

dBA = A-weighted decibel.



1 Estimated sound levels from impact pile driving conducted during periods of construction described  
2 above are shown in Table 23-60.

3 Typically noise from pile driving is not constant; however, because multiple pile drivers would be  
4 used, a utilization factor of 100% has been applied. Use of the pile driver simultaneously with noise  
5 from other equipment in Table 23-16 would produce a combined level of 102 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr) at 50  
6 feet, as shown in Table 23-60.

7 The results shown in Table 23-60 indicate that during periods of pile driving, residences within  
8 2,000 feet of an active intake construction site could be exposed to construction noise in excess of  
9 the 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr) daytime threshold. The nighttime threshold of 50 dBA  $L_{max}$  would be exceeded  
10 at a distance of 2,800 feet. Construction noise contours are shown in Appendix 23A. Because noise  
11 from pile driving is not constant, a utilization factor of 20% has been applied (Thalheimer 2000).  
12 The utilization factor reduces the impact pile driver peak level of 101 dBA to 94 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr) at 50  
13 feet. Use of the pile driver simultaneously with noise from other equipment in Table 23-59 would  
14 produce a combined level of 98 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr) at 50 feet, as shown in Table 23-60. The results shown  
15 in Table 23-60 indicate that during periods of pile driving, residences located within 1,400 feet of an  
16 active intake construction site could be exposed to construction noise in excess of the DWR daytime  
17 (7 a.m. to 10 p.m.) maximum noise threshold of 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr). The nighttime threshold of 50 dBA  
18  $L_{max}$  would be exceeded at a distance of 2,800 feet from an active intake construction site.

1 **Table 23-60. Predicted Noise Levels from Construction—Pile Driving and Construction Equipment**  
 2 **for Intake Structures**

Distance Between Source and Receiver (feet)	Calculated Daytime $L_{eq}$ (1hr) Sound Level (dBA)	Nighttime $L_{eq}$ (1hr) $L_{max}$ Sound Level (dBA)
<del>50</del> 50	<del>102</del> 98	<del>96</del> 96
<del>100</del> 100	<del>94</del> 90	<del>88</del> 88
<del>200</del> 200	<del>86</del> 82	<del>80</del> 80
<del>400</del> 400	<del>79</del> 74	<del>72</del> 72
<del>600</del> 600	<del>74</del> 70	<del>68</del> 68
<del>800</del> 800	<del>71</del> 66	<del>64</del> 64
<del>1,000</del> 1,000	<del>68</del> 64	<del>62</del> 62
<del>1,200</del> 1,200	<del>66</del> 62	<del>60</del> 60
<del>1,500</del> 1,400	<del>63</del> 60	<del>57</del> 57
<del>2,000</del> 1,500	<del>60</del> 59	<del>54</del> 54
<del>2,500</del> 2,000	<del>58</del> 56	<del>51</del> 51
<del>2,800</del> 2,800	<del>56</del> 52	<del>50</del> 50
<del>3,000</del> 3,500	<del>56</del> 50	<del>49</del> 49
<del>4,000</del> 4,000	<del>52</del> 48	<del>46</del> 46
<del>4,500</del> 5,280	<del>51</del> 45	<del>45</del> 43
<del>5,000</del>	<del>50</del>	<del>43</del>
<del>5,280</del>	<del>49</del>	<del>43</del>

Notes: Calculations are based on Federal Transit Administration 2006. Calculations do not include the effects, if any, of local shielding from walls, topography, or other barriers that may reduce sound levels further.

Nighttime  $L_{max}$  sound levels are based on the same operating assumptions as daytime levels with the exception of pile driving.

**Bold** denotes daytime and nighttime ~~maximum~~ noise thresholds.

$L_{eq}$  (1hr) = hourly-equivalent sound level (over 1 hour).

dBA = A-weighted decibel.

3  
 4 ~~While equipment could operate at any work area identified for this alternative, the highest noise~~  
 5 ~~levels are expected to occur at those sites where the duration and intensity~~ While equipment could  
 6 ~~operate at any work area identified for this alternative, longer-term impacts at noise-sensitive~~  
 7 ~~receiver locations are expected to occur at those sites where the duration and intensity~~ of  
 8 construction activities would be greatest. The work areas for construction of Intakes 2, 3 and 5  
 9 would extend through several residential areas and communities located near the Sacramento River.  
 10 Noise from intake construction activities is predicted to exceed daytime and nighttime noise  
 11 thresholds at nearby residences, ~~schools~~ and outdoor ~~parks in use~~ areas indicated in Table 23-61.

12 Although this assessment includes daytime and nighttime construction noise estimates, construction  
 13 of the intakes would primarily occur during daytime hours. If nighttime construction of the intakes  
 14 were to occur, noise levels could be the same as that generated during daytime hours.

15 The effect of exposing these noise-sensitive land uses to noise increases above thresholds would be  
 16 adverse. Mitigation Measures NOI-1a and NOI-1b would be available to reduce this effect.

1 **Table 23-61. Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Intakes, Alternative 4**

Location	Zoning	Daytime Threshold (60 dBA $L_{eq}$ [1h])	Nighttime Threshold (50 dBA $L_{max-L_{eq}}$ [1h])
		Total Affected Parcels	Total Affected Parcels
Sacramento County – including River Road across the river from the community of Clarksburg.	Residential	121	121
	Natural/Recreational	<del>26</del>	<del>26</del>
	Agricultural/Other <sup>a</sup>	<del>105</del> 116	<del>120</del> 124
	Schools	None	<del>None</del> N/A
<u>Contra Costa County</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>San Joaquin County</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>57</u>	<u>74</u>
Yolo County – including County Road E9 near the community of Clarksburg; neighborhoods in the community of Clarksburg.	Residential	<del>9</del> 27	<del>70</del> 70
	Natural/Recreational	<del>13</del>	<del>55</del>
	Agricultural/Other <sup>a</sup>	<del>100</del> 104	<del>104</del> 105
	Schools	None	<del>Clarksburg Middle School</del> N/A

<sup>a</sup> Includes agricultural or unclassified use that permits residential use.

2

### 3 **Construction of Conveyance (Tunnel), Forebays, and Barge Unloading Facilities**

4 Potential reasonable worst-case equipment noise levels from construction work areas adjacent to  
5 tunnel shaft sites would be comparable to those listed for the intake sites in Table 23-59. Assuming  
6 100% equipment utilization within a given hour of day, the combined noise level at work areas is 96  
7 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr) at 50 feet.

8 The results shown in Table 23-59 indicate that noise-sensitive land uses within 1,200 feet of an  
9 active tunnel work area could be exposed to construction noise in excess of the daytime (7 a.m. to 10  
10 p.m.) noise threshold of 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr). The nighttime threshold of 50 dBA  $L_{max-L_{eq}}$  would be  
11 exceeded at a distance of 2,800 feet.

12 ~~While equipment could operate at any work area identified for this alternative, the highest noise~~  
13 ~~levels are expected to occur at those sites where the duration and intensity~~  
14 ~~operate at any work area identified for this alternative, longer-term impacts at noise-sensitive~~  
15 ~~receiver locations are expected to occur at those sites where the duration and intensity~~ of  
16 construction activities would be greatest. This includes all construction sites along the tunnel  
17 conveyance alignment, as well as at the site of the Byron Tract Forebay adjacent to and south of  
18 Clifton Court Forebay. For a map of the proposed pipeline/tunnel alignment under Alternative 4, see  
19 Mapbook Figure M3-4. The tunnel and forebay construction work areas would extend through  
20 several residential areas and communities near the Sacramento River. Noise from construction  
21 activities is predicted to exceed daytime and nighttime noise thresholds at nearby residences;  
22 ~~schools~~ and outdoor ~~parks-use areas~~ indicated in Table 23-62.

23 Although this assessment includes daytime and nighttime construction noise estimates for the  
24 forebays, barge unloading facilities, and conveyance tunnels, construction of the forebays and barge  
25 unloading facilities would primarily occur during daytime hours. If nighttime construction of the  
26 forebays and barge unloading facilities were to occur, noise levels could be the same as those  
27 generated during daytime hours. Construction of the conveyance tunnels and RTM storage actions  
28 would occur on a 24-hour basis.

1 The effect of exposing these noise-sensitive land uses to noise increases above thresholds would be  
 2 adverse. Mitigation Measures NOI-1a and NOI-1b are available to reduce this effect.

3 **Table 23-62. Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Conveyance and**  
 4 **Associated Facilities, Alternative 4**

Location	Zoning	Daytime Threshold (60 dBA $L_{eq}$ [1h])	Nighttime Threshold (50 dBA $L_{max}$ $L_{eq}$ [1h])
		Total Affected Parcels	Total Affected Parcels
Sacramento County – including River Road near the community of Hood; neighborhoods in the community of Hood; Lambert Road; Twin Cities Road.	Residential	<del>120</del> 118	<del>121</del> 120
	Natural/Recreational	<del>10</del> 7	<del>29</del> 18
	Agricultural/Other <sup>a</sup>	<del>184</del> 237	<del>250</del> 394
	Schools	None	<del>None</del> N/A
Yolo County – including County Road E9 near the community of Clarksburg; neighborhoods in the community of Clarksburg.	Residential	10	<del>95</del> 105
	Natural/Recreational	1	<del>5</del> 6
	Agricultural/Other <sup>a</sup>	<del>100</del> 99	104 <del>140</del>
	Schools	None	<del>N/A</del> Clarksburg Middle School, River Delta Community Day
San Joaquin County	Residential	8	18
	Natural/Recreational	4	<del>10</del> 8
	Agricultural/Other <sup>a</sup>	<del>164</del> 239	<del>435</del> 521
Contra Costa County	Agricultural/Other <sup>a</sup>	<del>92</del> 125	<del>122</del> 216
	Natural/Recreational	1	<del>1</del> 2
Alameda County	Agricultural/Other <sup>a</sup>	13	<del>27</del> 22

<sup>a</sup> Includes agricultural or unclassified use that permits residential use.

5

### 6 **Truck Trips and Worker Commutes**

7 Project-generated heavy trucks and worker commutes are predicted to result in increased traffic  
 8 noise levels at noise-sensitive land uses adjacent to local roadways. Based on information provided  
 9 by DWR as part of the cost estimate (see Appendix 22A), project-generated vehicle traffic volumes  
 10 for the pipeline/tunnel conveyance alternative are predicted to have a maximum heavy truck  
 11 composition of 41%, which was assumed to apply to any of the local roadways under a worst-case  
 12 noise scenario. Future noise levels at a reference distance of 100 feet are shown in Table 23-63.

1 **Table 23-63. Predicted ~~Future-Loudest-hour Future~~ Traffic Noise Levels on Commuter Roads and Haul**  
 2 **Routes, Alternative 4**

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line to Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Brentwood Blvd</u>	<u>Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits) to Balfour Rd</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Brentwood Blvd</u>	<u>Balfour Rd to Brentwood City Limits (South)</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Balfour Rd</u>	<u>Brentwood Blvd to Brentwood City Limits</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Bethel Island Rd</u>	<u>Oakley City Limits to End</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Balfour Rd</u>	<u>Brentwood City Limits to Byron Hwy</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Old SR 41</u>	<u>Brentwood City Limits (South) to Marsh Creek Rd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Delta Rd to Old SR 4</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>SR 4 to Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Florin Rd to Pocket Rd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Florin Rd to Pocket Rd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Pocket Rd to Laguna Blvd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Pocket Rd to Laguna Blvd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Laguna Blvd to Elk Grove Blvd</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Laguna Blvd to Elk Grove Blvd</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Elk Grove Blvd to Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Elk Grove Blvd to Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Hood Franklin Rd to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Hood Franklin Rd to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Rd to Peltier Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Rd to Peltier Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Peltier Rd to Turner Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Peltier Rd to Turner Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Turner Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Turner Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>SR 12 to Eight Mile Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>SR 12 to Eight Mile Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Eight Mile Rd to Hammer Ln</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Eight Mile Rd to Hammer Ln</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)</u>	<u>Sacramento City Limits to Freeport Bridge</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160 (Freeport Blvd/ River Rd)</u>	<u>Freeport Bridge to Scribner Rd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Scribner Rd to Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Hood Franklin Rd to Lambert Rd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Lambert Rd to Paintersville Bridge</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160 (Paintersville Bridge)</u>	<u>Sutter Slough Bridge Rd to SR 160 (River Rd)</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Paintersville Bridge to Walnut Grove Bridge</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Bridge to A St (Isleton)</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>A St (Isleton) to SR 12</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>SR 12 to Brannan Island Rd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 84</u>	<u>West Sacramento City Limits to Courtland Rd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 84 (Courtland Rd/ Ryer Ave)</u>	<u>Courtland Rd to Cache Slough Ferry</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-80 EB</u>	<u>Suisun Valley Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-80 WB</u>	<u>Suisun Valley Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 12 EB</u>	<u>I-80 to Beck Ave</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 12 WB</u>	<u>I-80 to Beck Ave</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Beck Ave to Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd to Walters Rd/</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Walters Rd/ to SR 113</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>SR 113 to SR 84 (River Rd)</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12 (Rio Vista Bridge)</u>	<u>SR 84 (River Rd) to SR 160 (River Rd)</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>SR 160 (River Rd) to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-80 EB</u>	<u>SR 113 to Pedrick Rd</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-80 WB</u>	<u>SR 113 to Pedrick Rd</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 113</u>	<u>I-80 to Dixon City Limits</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 113</u>	<u>Dixon City Limits to SR 12</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4 (Marsh Creek Rd)</u>	<u>Vasco Rd to Byron Hwy</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4</u>	<u>Marsh Creek Rd to Discovery Bay Blvd</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4</u>	<u>Discovery Bay Blvd to Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4</u>	<u>Tracy Blvd to I-5</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Freeway) to SR 4 (Charter Way)</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Freeway) to SR 4 (Charter Way)</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Charter Way) to Eighth Street</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Charter Way) to Eighth Street</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>I-580 to Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>I-580 to Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>Mountain House Pkwy to Eleventh St</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>Mountain House Pkwy to Eleventh St</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>Grant Line Rd to Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>Grant Line Rd to Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>Tracy Blvd to MacArthur Dr</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>Tracy Blvd to MacArthur Dr</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>A St/4th St/ Jackson Blvd.</u>	<u>SR 160 to Isleton City Limits</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Main Street (Old SR 4)</u>	<u>SR 160 to Cypress Rd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Main Street (Old SR 4)</u>	<u>Cypress Rd to Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits)</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Cypress Rd</u>	<u>Main Street to Bethel Island Rd</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Bethel Island Rd</u>	<u>Cypress Rd to Oakley City Limits</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Delta Rd</u>	<u>Main Street to Byron Hwy</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Pocket Rd</u>	<u>I-5 to Freeport Blvd</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>Freeport Blvd (Old SR 160)</u>	<u>Pocket Rd to Sacramento City Limits</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Freeport Bridge</u>	<u>River Rd to SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>SR 160 (River Rd) to I-5</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Lambert Rd</u>	<u>SR 160 (River Rd) to Herzog Rd</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Lambert Rd</u>	<u>Herzog Rd to Franklin Blvd</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Franklin Blvd</u>	<u>Lambert Rd to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>River Rd to I-5</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>I-5 to Franklin Blvd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Sutter Slough Bridge Rd</u>	<u>Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line to Paintersville Bridge</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Paintersville Bridge to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Bridge</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Walnut Grove Rd/River Rd</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Bridge to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Isleton Rd</u>	<u>River Rd (Walnut Grove)/Isleton Rd Bridge to 1.5 miles west of Isleton Rd Bridge</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Race Track Rd/ Tyler Island Rd</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Rd to Southern End of Tyler Island</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Tyler Island Rd</u>	<u>Southern End of Tyler Island to SR 160 (River Rd)</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Jackson Slough Rd</u>	<u>Isleton City Limits to SR 12</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Jackson Slough Rd</u>	<u>Brannan Island Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Walnut Grove Rd</u>	<u>Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Peltier Rd</u>	<u>Blossom Rd to I-5</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>SR 4 to Clifton Court Rd</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>Clifton Court Rd to Tracy City Limits</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line to Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>Byron Hwy to Arnaudo Blvd</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>Arnaudo Blvd to I-205</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Eight Mile Rd</u>	<u>Stockton City Limits to I-5</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>Tracy City Limits to I-205</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>



<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>Harbor Blvd</u>	<u>Industrial Blvd to US 50</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Industrial Blvd/ Lake Washington Blvd</u>	<u>Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)</u>	<u>Lake Washington Blvd to Southport Pkwy</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)</u>	<u>Southport Pkwy to West Sacramento City Limits</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Freeport Bridge to Courtland Rd</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Courtland Rd to Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Courtland Rd</u>	<u>SR 84 to River Rd</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>Yes</u>

1

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Substantial Increase?</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line to Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>Brentwood Blvd</u>	<u>Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits) to Balfour Rd</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>Brentwood Blvd</u>	<u>Balfour Rd to Brentwood City Limits (South)</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>Balfour Rd</u>	<u>Brentwood Blvd to Brentwood City Limits</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>Bethel Island Rd</u>	<u>Oakley City Limits to End</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>Balfour Rd</u>	<u>Brentwood City Limits to Byron Hwy</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>Old SR 41</u>	<u>Brentwood City Limits (South) to Marsh Creek Rd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Delta Rd to Old SR 4</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>SR 4 to Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)</u>	<u>Sacramento City Limits to Freeport Bridge</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>no</u>
<u>SR 160 (Freeport Blvd/ River Rd)</u>	<u>Freeport Bridge to Scribner Rd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Scribner Rd to Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Hood Franklin Rd to Lambert Rd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Lambert Rd to Paintersville Bridge</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>yes</u>

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase?
SR 160 (Paintersville Bridge)	Sutter Slough Bridge Rd to SR 160 (River Rd)	53	68	15	yes
SR 160	Paintersville Bridge to Walnut Grove Bridge	53	68	15	yes
SR 160	Walnut Grove Bridge to A St (Isleton)	59	69	10	no
SR 160	A St (Isleton) to SR 12	58	69	11	no
SR 160	SR 12 to Brannan Island Rd	62	70	8	no
SR 84	West Sacramento City Limits to Courtland Rd	55	67	12	yes
SR 84 (Courtland Rd/ Ryer Ave)	Courtland Rd to Cache Slough Ferry	46	46	0	no
SR 12 EB	I-80 to Beck Ave	65	69	4	no
SR 12 WB	I-80 to Beck Ave	64	69	5	no
SR 12	Beck Ave to Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd	68	72	4	no
SR 12	Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd to Walters Rd/	66	72	6	no
SR 12	Walters Rd/ to SR 113	63	71	8	no
SR 12	SR 113 to SR 84 (River Rd)	64	71	7	no
SR 12 (Rio Vista Bridge)	SR 84 (River Rd) to SR 160 (River Rd)	64	71	7	no
SR 12	SR 160 (River Rd) to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line	62	65	3	no
SR 12	Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5	63	65	2	no
SR 113	I-80 to Dixon City Limits	64	69	5	no
SR 113	Dixon City Limits to SR 12	57	68	11	no
SR 4 (Marsh Creek Rd)	Vasco Rd to Byron Hwy	61	68	7	no
SR 4	Marsh Creek Rd to Discovery Bay Blvd	63	69	6	no
SR 4	Discovery Bay Blvd to Tracy Blvd	61	68	7	no
SR 4	Tracy Blvd to I-5	64	69	5	no
A St/4th St/ Jackson Blvd.	SR 160 to Isleton City Limits	48	48	0	no
Main Street (Old SR 4)	SR 160 to Cypress Rd	62	67	5	no
Main Street (Old SR 4)	Cypress Rd to Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits)	61	67	6	no
Cypress Rd	Main Street to Bethel Island Rd	58	58	0	no

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase?
Bethel Island Rd	Cypress Rd to Oakley City Limits	55	55	0	no
Delta Rd	Main Street to Byron Hwy	55	55	0	no
Pocket Rd	I-5 to Freeport Blvd	63	67	4	no
Freeport Blvd (Old SR 160)	Pocket Rd to Sacramento City Limits	56	65	9	no
Freeport Bridge	River Rd to SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)	55	55	0	no
Hood Franklin Rd	SR 160 (River Rd) to I-5	51	67	16	yes
Lambert Rd	SR 160 (River Rd) to Herzog Rd	44	66	22	yes
Lambert Rd	Herzog Rd to Franklin Blvd	46	66	20	yes
Franklin Blvd	Lambert Rd to Twin Cities Rd	48	48	0	no
Twin Cities Rd	River Rd to I-5	53	61	8	no
Twin Cities Rd	I-5 to Franklin Blvd	55	55	0	no
Sutter Slough Bridge Rd	Sacramento Co./Yolo Co. Line to Paintersville Bridge	50	66	16	yes
River Rd	Paintersville Bridge to Twin Cities Rd	51	58	7	no
River Rd	Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Bridge	55	61	6	no
Walnut Grove Rd/River Rd	Walnut Grove Bridge to Sacramento Co./SJ Co. Line	55	61	6	no
Isleton Rd	River Rd (Walnut Grove)/Isleton Rd Bridge to 1.5 miles west of Isleton Rd Bridge	54	59	5	no
Race Track Rd/ Tyler Island Rd	Walnut Grove Rd to Southern End of Tyler Island	45	57	12	yes
Tyler Island Rd	Southern End of Tyler Island to SR 160 (River Rd)	46	46	0	no
Jackson Slough Rd	Isleton City Limits to SR 12	47	47	0	no
Jackson Slough Rd	Brannan Island Rd to SR 12	47	47	0	no
Walnut Grove Rd	Sacramento Co./SJ Co. Line to I-5	53	61	8	no
Peltier Rd	Blossom Rd to I-5	44	44	0	no
Tracy Blvd	SR 4 to Clifton Court Rd	53	61	8	no
Tracy Blvd	Clifton Court Rd to Tracy City Limits	52	61	9	no
Byron Hwy	Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line to Mountain House Pkwy	59	66	7	no
Mountain House Pkwy	Byron Hwy to Arnaudo Blvd	54	66	12	yes
Mountain House	Arnaudo Blvd to I-205	58	66	8	no

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase?
Plkwy					
Eight Mile Rd	Stockton City Limits to I-5	58	58	0	no
Tracy Blvd	Tracy City Limits to I-205	58	63	5	no
Harbor Blvd	Industrial Blvd to US 50	63	68	5	no
Industrial Blvd/ Lake Washington Blvd	Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd	62	67	5	no
Jefferson Blvd (Old SR-84)	Lake Washington Blvd to Southport Pkwy	62	67	5	no
Jefferson Blvd (Old SR-84)	Southport Pkwy to West Sacramento City Limits	51	66	15	yes
River Rd	Freeport Bridge to Courtland Rd	54	54	0	no
River Rd	Courtland Rd to Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line	48	66	18	yes
Courtland Rd	SR 84 to River Rd	48	66	18	yes

1

2 As shown in Table 23-63, predicted future loudest-hour traffic noise levels from project-generated  
3 worker commutes and truck trips would result in a noise level of 60 dBA Leq or more, and an  
4 increase of 12-5 dB or more compared to existing traffic noise levels along 16-50 project roadway  
5 segments.

6 During intake construction, segments of SR 160 between Freeport Bridge and Walnut Grove Bridge  
7 would be temporarily realigned around intake construction sites. As a result, future project noise  
8 levels would further increase at residences located near intake sites. Under Alternative 4, noise  
9 levels at receivers near realigned segments of SR 160 would increase by up to 3 dB in addition to the  
10 noise increase shown in Table 23-63.

11 Traffic noise from haul trucks and commuter vehicles on public roads is predicted to exceed daytime  
12 traffic noise thresholds at nearby residences, parks and other uses at affected parcels indicated in  
13 Table 23-63A. Traffic noise contours are shown in Appendix 23A.

1 **Table 23-63A. Land Use Zones Adjacent to Project Haul Routes Affected by Increases in Traffic**  
 2 **Noise, Modified Pipeline-Tunnel Conveyance Option**

<u>Location</u>	<u>Zoning</u>	<u>Total Affected Parcels, Daytime Threshold (60 dBA L<sub>eq</sub> [1h]) and a 5 dB increase over existing levels</u>
<u>Alameda County</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Contra Costa County</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u> <u>Residential</u>	<u>363</u> <u>3</u>
<u>Sacramento County – including River Road near the community of Hood; neighborhoods in the community of Hood; Lambert Road; Vorden Road.</u>	<u>Residential</u> <u>Natural/Recreational</u> <u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>116</u> <u>155</u> <u>504</u>
<u>San Joaquin County</u>	<u>Residential</u> <u>Natural/Recreational</u> <u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>77</u> <u>1</u> <u>192</u>
<u>City of Stockton</u>		<u>70</u>
<u>City of Tracy</u>		<u>11</u>
<u>Solano County</u>	<u>Natural/Recreational</u> <u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>9</u> <u>589</u>
<u>Yolo County – including County Road E9 near the community of Clarksburg; neighborhoods in the community of Clarksburg.</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>628</u>
<u>City of West Sacramento</u>		<u>199</u>
<u>Other jurisdictions</u>		<u>538</u>
<u><sup>a</sup> Includes agricultural or unclassified use that permits residential use.</u>		

3

4 The increase in noise levels would exceed the project threshold for traffic noise and would be  
 5 considered adverse. Mitigation Measures NOI-1a and NOI-1b are available to address this effect.

### 6 ***Construction of Power Transmission Lines***

7 Potential reasonable worst-case equipment noise levels from construction of the power  
 8 transmission lines were evaluated by combining the noise levels of the three loudest pieces of  
 9 equipment that would likely operate at the same time (an excavator, a truck and a drill rig for  
 10 driving micropiles for construction of towers). Assuming 100% utilization within a given hour of  
 11 day, the combined noise level is 91 dBA L<sub>eq</sub> (1hr) at 50 feet. The estimated sound levels from  
 12 construction as a function of distance based on calculated point-source attenuation over “soft” (i.e.,  
 13 acoustically absorptive) ground are shown in Table 23-64.

1 **Table 23-64. Predicted Noise Levels from Construction of Transmission Lines**

Distance Between Source and Receiver (feet)	Calculated $L_{eq}$ (1hr)/Nighttime $L_{max}-L_{eq}$ Sound Level (dBA)
50	91
100	83
200	75
400	67
600	63
800	<b>60</b>
1,000	57
1,200	55
1,400	53
1,800	<b>50</b>
2,000	49
3,000	44

Notes: Calculations are based on Federal Transit Administration 2006. Calculations do not include the effects, if any, of local shielding from walls, topography, or other barriers that may reduce sound levels further.

**Bold** denotes daytime (1hr) and nighttime (1hr) ~~maximum~~ noise thresholds.

$L_{eq}$  (1 hour) = hourly-equivalent sound level (over 1 hour).

dBA = A-weighted decibel.

2

3 The results shown in Table 23-64 indicate that noise-sensitive land uses within 800 feet of an active  
 4 transmission line construction area could be exposed to construction noise in excess of the daytime  
 5 (7 a.m. to 10 p.m.) noise threshold of 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr). The nighttime threshold of 50 dBA  $L_{max}-L_{eq}$   
 6 would be exceeded at a distance of 1,800 feet from the construction area.

7 Construction of transmission lines would also include helicopter use for installing conductor line.  
 8 Use of helicopters would be temporary and intermittent. Two light-duty helicopters were assumed  
 9 to operate four hours a day to install new poles and lines. Light- to medium-duty helicopters have a  
 10 source level of up to 84  $L_{max}$  at a reference distance of 500 feet (Nelson 1987). It would generally  
 11 take less than 10 minutes to string the line at each structure. It is estimated that helicopters would  
 12 not be in any given line mile for more than 3 hours. Given that noise exposure to helicopters would  
 13 be generally isolated to line-stringing events, it is not considered to contribute significantly to  
 14 ambient noise during periods of construction.

15 Noise-sensitive land uses that could potentially be exposed to adverse noise impacts due to  
 16 transmission line construction would extend outside the transmission line right-of-way within the  
 17 utility planning area. Several residential land uses are near the proposed transmission line  
 18 construction footprint. Likewise, Delta Elementary School and Delta High School on the west bank of  
 19 the Sacramento River are within half a mile of the proposed Intake 2 transmission lines. Although  
 20 there would be risk of increased noise levels, compared to the conveyance and associated  
 21 components, the duration of construction of transmission lines would be shorter-term. Noise  
 22 impacts would be intermittent and temporary, and would cease once construction work is complete.

23 Although this assessment includes daytime and nighttime construction noise estimates, construction  
 24 of the transmission lines would primarily occur during daylight hours. If nighttime construction of

1 the transmission lines were to occur, noise levels could be the same as those generated during  
2 daytime hours.

3 The effect of exposing noise-sensitive land uses to noise increases above thresholds would be  
4 adverse. Mitigation Measures NOI-1a and NOI-1b would be available to reduce this effect.

5 ***Earth-moving activities at offsite borrow/spoil areas***

6 Potential reasonable worst-case equipment noise levels from earth-moving activities at offsite  
7 borrow/spoil areas were evaluated by combining the noise levels of the three loudest pieces of  
8 equipment that would likely operate at the same time (an excavator, a truck and a bulldozer).  
9 Assuming 100% utilization within a given hour of day, the combined noise level would be 91 dBA  $L_{eq}$   
10 (1hr) at 50 feet. The estimated sound levels from construction as a function of distance based on  
11 calculated point-source attenuation over “soft” (i.e., acoustically absorptive) ground are shown in  
12 Table 23-65.

13 The results shown in Table 23-65 indicate that noise-sensitive land uses within 800 feet of  
14 equipment operating in the borrow/spoil area could be exposed to construction noise in excess of  
15 the daytime (7 a.m. to 10 p.m.) noise threshold of 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr). The nighttime threshold of 50  
16 dBA  $L_{max}$ - $L_{eq}$  would be exceeded at a distance of 1,800 feet from the area. Borrow/spoil areas are  
17 located throughout the conveyance alignment and are generally adjacent to or in close proximity of  
18 intake pumping plant sites, forebays, and main tunnel construction shafts. Noise-sensitive land uses  
19 that could potentially be exposed to adverse noise impacts due to earth-moving activities in offsite  
20 borrow/spoil areas would extend outside the borrow/spoil area right-of-way. The effect of exposing  
21 these noise-sensitive land uses to noise increases above thresholds would be adverse. However,  
22 with the exception of tunneling and RTM placement, most construction activities would occur  
23 during daytime hours. Mitigation Measures NOI-1a and NOI-1b would be available to reduce this  
24 effect.

1 **Table 23-65. Predicted Noise Levels from Earth-moving at offsite borrow/spoil areas**

Distance Between Source and Receiver (feet)	Calculated $L_{eq}$ (1hr)/Nighttime <del><math>L_{max}</math></del> - <del><math>L_{eq}</math></del> Sound Level (dBA)
50	91
100	83
200	75
400	67
600	63
800	<b>60</b>
1,000	57
1,200	55
1,400	53
1,800	<b>50</b>
2,000	49
3,000	44

Notes: Calculations are based on Federal Transit Administration 2006. Calculations do not include the effects, if any, of local shielding from walls, topography, or other barriers that may reduce sound levels further.

**Bold** denotes daytime (1hr) and nighttime (1hr) ~~maximum~~-noise thresholds.

$L_{eq}$  (1 hour) = hourly-equivalent sound level (over 1 hour).

dBA = A-weighted decibel.

2

3 **Blasting at Excavation sites**

4 Noise and vibration generated by blasting is a complex function of the charge size, charge depth,  
5 hole size, degree of confinement, initiation methods, spatial distribution of charges, and other  
6 factors. To provide a general indication of the potential for airblast and vibration impacts from  
7 blasting, airblast and ground-vibration values as a function of distance have been estimated using  
8 methods recommended by Caltrans (2004). The calculation assumes a charge size of 300 pounds  
9 ignited under average normal confinement. Ground vibration from blasting would exceed the U.S.  
10 Bureau of Mines vibration criterion of 0.5 in/second PPV within about 550 of a blasting site. The  
11 probable peak overpressure would be about 130 dB within 300 feet of the blasting site. This impact  
12 is considered to be less than significant. (This assumes that a commitment can be added to not  
13 conduct blasting within 1000 feet of noise sensitive areas.)

14 ***Noise exposure to workers at construction sites***

15 Construction noise would affect workers on site. However, workers are subject to state and federal  
16 Occupational Health and Safety (OSHA) standards. OSHA mitigation standards for noise limits  
17 exposure are as follows: an 8-hour time-weighted average of 85 dBA or a dose of 50 percent are  
18 referred to as OSHA action levels [29 CFR 1910.95(c)(2)]. Occupational exposure to noise levels in  
19 excess of 85 dBA requires monitoring and mitigation to protect workers. Given that on-site workers  
20 would be protected under OSHA requirements, no adverse impacts would occur to workers.

21 **CEQA Conclusion:** The impact of exposing noise-sensitive land uses during construction to noise  
22 levels above the 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr) daytime, the 50 dBA  ~~$L_{max}$~~ - ~~$L_{eq}$~~  nighttime, or the ~~12-5~~ dB traffic noise



1 increase threshold would be considered significant. Based on reasonable worst-case modeling, the  
2 following significant impacts are expected as a result of Alternative 4 construction.

- 3 • **Intakes:** Sensitive receptors within ~~1,402,000~~ feet of an active intake construction site could  
4 be exposed to construction noise in excess of the 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr) daytime threshold. The  
5 nighttime threshold of 50 dBA  $L_{max-L_{eq}}$  would be exceeded at a distance of 2,800 feet. As shown  
6 in Table 23-61, ~~130-148~~ residential parcels, ~~3-9~~ natural/recreational parcels, and ~~205-282~~  
7 agricultural parcels would be affected by daytime noise levels in excess of this threshold during  
8 construction. The nighttime threshold would be exceeded at ~~191-191~~ residential parcels, ~~7-11~~  
9 natural/recreational parcels, ~~and 224-308~~ agricultural parcels, ~~and 1 school~~.
- 10 • **Conveyance and Associated Facilities:** Sensitive receptors within 1,200 feet of an active  
11 tunnel work area could be exposed to construction noise in excess of the daytime (7 a.m. to 10  
12 p.m.) noise threshold of 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr). The nighttime threshold of 50 dBA  $L_{max-L_{eq}}$  would be  
13 exceeded at a distance of 2,800 feet. As shown in Table 23-62, ~~138-136~~ residential parcels, ~~15-12~~  
14 natural/recreational parcels, and ~~553-713~~ agricultural parcels would be affected by daytime  
15 noise levels in excess of this threshold during construction. The nighttime threshold would be  
16 exceeded at ~~234-243~~ residential parcels, ~~45-34~~ natural/recreational parcels, ~~and 938-1,293~~  
17 agricultural parcels, ~~and 2 schools~~.
- 18 • **Truck Trips and Worker Commutes:** Traffic noise from truck trips and worker commutes  
19 would result in an increase of ~~12-5~~ dB or more compared to existing traffic noise levels at  
20 residences and outdoor use areas along ~~16-50~~ project roadway segments in the study area as  
21 shown in Table 23-63. The increase in noise levels would be ~~substantial~~ significant and exceed  
22 the project threshold for traffic noise.
- 23 • **Power Transmission Lines:** Sensitive receptors within 800 feet of an active transmission line  
24 construction area could be exposed to construction noise in excess of the daytime (7 a.m. to 10  
25 p.m.) noise threshold of 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr). The nighttime threshold of 50 dBA  $L_{max-L_{eq}}$  would be  
26 exceeded at a distance of 1,800 feet from the construction area. As noted above, several  
27 residential land uses are near the proposed transmission line construction footprint. Likewise,  
28 Delta Elementary School and Delta High School on the west bank of the Sacramento River are  
29 within half a mile of the proposed Intake 2 transmission lines.
- 30 • **Borrow/spoil areas:** Sensitive receptors within 800 feet of equipment operating in the  
31 borrow/spoil area could be exposed to construction noise in excess of the daytime (7 a.m. to 10  
32 p.m.) noise threshold of 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr). The nighttime threshold of 50 dBA  $L_{max-L_{eq}}$  would be  
33 exceeded at a distance of 1,800 feet from the area. Borrow/spoil areas are located throughout  
34 the conveyance alignment and are generally adjacent to or in close proximity of intake pumping  
35 plant sites, forebays, and main tunnel construction shafts.

36 As part of the project, DWR will implement the noise abatement plan as outlined in Appendix 3B,  
37 Environmental Commitments. Mitigation Measures NOI-1a and NOI-1b would further reduce noise  
38 impacts to sensitive land uses. ~~Although implementation of these measures will reduce the impact, it~~  
39 ~~is not anticipated that feasible measures will be available in all situations to reduce construction~~  
40 ~~noise to levels below the applicable thresholds. This impact would therefore be significant and~~  
41 ~~unavoidable.~~

1 **Mitigation Measure NOI-1a: Employ Noise-Reducing Construction Practices during**  
 2 **Construction**

3 During construction, BDCP proponents will employ best practices to reduce construction noise  
 4 at noise-sensitive land uses. Implementation of this measure will ensure that construction noise  
 5 levels, as applicable, do not exceed 60 dBA (one-hour  $L_{eq}$ ) during daytime hours (7:00 a.m. to  
 6 10:00 p.m.) and 50 dBA (~~one-hour  $L_{eq}$  single-event maximum~~) during nighttime hours (10:00  
 7 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.).

8 Measures used to limit construction noise include the following:

- 9 • Limiting above-ground noise-generating construction operations to the hours between 7  
 10 a.m. and 6 p.m. Monday through Friday, and between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. on Saturdays.
- 11 ~~• Locating stationary equipment (e.g., generators, compressors, rock crushers, cement mixers,  
 12 idling trucks) as far as possible from noise-sensitive land uses.~~
- 13 • Prohibiting gasoline or diesel engines from having unmuffled exhaust.
- 14 • Requiring that all construction equipment powered by gasoline or diesel engines have  
 15 sound-control devices that are at least as effective as those originally provided by the  
 16 manufacturer and that all equipment be operated and maintained to minimize noise  
 17 generation.
- 18 • Preventing excessive noise by shutting down idle vehicles or equipment.
- 19 • Using noise-reducing enclosures around noise-generating equipment.
- 20 • Selecting haul routes that affect the fewest number of people.
- 21 • Constructing barriers between noise sources and noise-sensitive land uses or take  
 22 advantage of existing barrier features (e.g., terrain, structures) to block sound transmission  
 23 to noise-sensitive land uses. The barriers shall be designed to obstruct the line of sight  
 24 between the noise-sensitive land use and on-site construction equipment.

25 **Mitigation Measure NOI-1b: Prior to Construction, Initiate a Complaint/Response**  
 26 **Tracking Program**

27 Prior to construction, BDCP proponents will make a construction schedule available to residents  
 28 living in the vicinity of the construction areas before construction begins, and designate a noise  
 29 disturbance coordinator. The coordinator will be responsible for responding to complaints  
 30 regarding construction noise, will determine the cause of the complaint, and will ensure that  
 31 reasonable measures are implemented to correct the problem when feasible. A contact  
 32 telephone number for the noise disturbance coordinator will be conspicuously posted on  
 33 construction site fences and will be included in the notification of the construction schedule.

34 Achievable noise reduction varies by measure. Shutting off a piece of equipment would eliminate its  
 35 contribution to ambient noise. Noise barriers and enclosures would provide noise reduction within  
 36 the discrete area shielding noise from surrounding noise sensitive receptors. Barriers can provide 5  
 37 to 15 dB of noise reduction depending configuration relative to surrounding terrain. Although  
 38 implementation of these measures will reduce the impact, it is not anticipated that feasible  
 39 measures will be available in all situations to reduce construction noise to levels below the  
 40 applicable thresholds. This impact would therefore be significant and unavoidable.

1 **Impact NOI-2: Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Vibration or Groundborne Noise from**  
 2 **Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities**

3 **NEPA Effects:** Construction at the intake sites would involve use of impact pile driving and drilled  
 4 piles, and tunnel construction would involve the use of TBMs and tunnel locomotives, both of which  
 5 would cause groundborne vibration in localized areas. Groundborne vibrations from pile driving at  
 6 intake sites and barge loading facilities would be intermittent, and temporary, occurring over a two  
 7 month period during the in-river work period (June 1 to October 31). All pile driving activities will  
 8 cease after construction is complete. During tunnel construction, groundborne noise due to  
 9 vibrations from tunnel locomotive passbys and TBMs could occur intermittently where tunnels are  
 10 located under or near residential areas.

11 ***Pile Driving at Intake Sites***

12 Construction of the intakes would involve driving sheet piles within the intake rights-of-way. Use of  
 13 impact piles would cause groundborne vibrations to exceed the threshold of 0.2 in/sec PPV at  
 14 residential buildings within 70 feet of pile driving sites, as shown in Table 23-66.

15 **Table 23-66. Predicted Vibration Levels from Construction Activities—Impact Pile Driving at Intake**  
 16 **Structures**

Distance Between Source and Receiver (feet)	Calculated Peak Particle Velocity (in/sec PPV)
50	0.3004
60	0.2458
<b>70</b>	<b>0.2075</b>
75	0.1923
80	0.1792
90	0.1574
100	0.1402
150	0.0897

Note: Calculations are based on Federal Transit Administration 2006 and California Department of Transportation Vibration Guidance Manual 2004. Assumes ground type n value of 1.1.  
 PPV = peak particle velocity.

17  
 18 Groundborne vibration from impact pile driving is predicted to exceed vibration thresholds at  
 19 nearby residences in the areas shown in Table 23-67. While groundborne vibration levels in excess  
 20 of 0.2 in/sec PPV could occur at any of these residences, the highest vibration levels are expected at  
 21 those residences nearest to the intake work areas. Construction of intakes and barge unloading  
 22 facilities would result in excessive groundborne vibration levels at these nearby residential  
 23 structures. The effect of exposing sensitive receptors to groundborne vibration would be adverse.  
 24 Mitigation Measure NOI-2 is available to reduce this effect.

1 **Table 23-67. Land Use Affected By Vibrations From Pile Driving During Construction Of Intakes,**  
 2 **Alternative 4**

Location	Zoning	Total Affected Parcels
Sacramento County – including River Road near the community of Hood; Neighborhoods in the community of Hood	Residential <sup>a</sup>	<del>7862</del>
San Joaquin County	Residential <sup>a</sup>	47
<u>Contra Costa County</u>	<u>Residential<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>1</u>

<sup>a</sup> Includes agricultural or unclassified use that permits residential use.

3  
 4 ***Construction of Water Conveyance (Tunnel)***

5 The use of tunneling equipment during construction would cause groundborne vibration and  
 6 potentially groundborne noise within buildings in the vicinity of tunnel construction areas.  
 7 Vibration sources include the TBM and locomotives moving soil, equipment, and construction  
 8 workers between tunnel shaft sites. As discussed in Chapter 3, *Description of Alternatives*, the typical  
 9 depth of tunnel installation would be approximately 100 feet below msl, but could be up to 160 feet  
 10 below msl depending on site conditions. This analysis uses a conservative worst-case assumption of  
 11 60 feet below msl despite the fact that all proposed Delta tunnels will be constructed with a  
 12 minimum of 100 feet of soil (soft ground) cover.

13 Groundborne vibration levels from operation of the TBM and tunnel locomotives are described  
 14 below. Sensitive receptors that may be exposed to increased groundborne vibration include  
 15 residences, outdoor parks, schools, and agriculture areas. As shown in Table 23-~~67-19~~, there are a  
 16 number of potentially affected parcels within 1,200 feet of the tunnel conveyance. However, at a 60-  
 17 foot tunnel depth, groundborne vibrations from the TBM are estimated to be 0.008 in/sec PPV,  
 18 which is below the threshold of 0.04 in/sec PPV.<sup>1</sup> As demonstrated by measured ground vibration  
 19 data from modern tunneling projects, the deep soil cover will effectively dampen, and absorb  
 20 propagated energy.

21 During tunnel construction, passbys from locomotives hauling workers and material inside of the  
 22 tunnel would produce localized groundborne vibration that could manifest as noise inside of  
 23 buildings. However, as described in Section 23.4.2, *Determination of Effects*, tunnel locomotives  
 24 would be operated at slow speeds inside of tunnels and would not result in excessive vibrations.  
 25 Groundborne noise from tunnel locomotive operation during construction is therefore not predicted  
 26 to exceed groundborne noise thresholds or result in an adverse noise impact to sensitive receptors  
 27 along the tunnel conveyance.

28 The potential for tunneling induced ground vibration effects will be thoroughly analyzed in the  
 29 preliminary and final design phases of the project, using site-specific geotechnical data and the  
 30 expected TBM configuration. ~~Potential effects on surface structures and human perception will be~~  
 31 ~~evaluated in detail during preliminary design. As additional precautions, and where necessary, a~~  
 32 ~~ground vibration monitoring program using seismographs and other high-precision equipment will~~

<sup>1</sup> A case study of a similar tunneling project (the New Crystal Springs Bypass Tunnel Project) shows that in a tunneling project which took place 60-155 feet below ground surface in an urban residential neighborhood more heavily populated than any of the BDCP alternatives, the groundborne vibration did not exceed 0.032 in/sec PPV during the daytime hours of 7 am to 6 pm, or 0.016 in/sec PPV during the nighttime hours of 6 pm to 7 am and was indistinguishable from the surrounding noise. (Wilson et al., 2011)

1 ~~be implemented during construction to ensure ground vibration is within the required contract~~  
2 ~~limits.~~

3 **CEQA Conclusion:** Groundborne vibrations during tunneling would not exceed 0.008 in/sec PPV at  
4 60-foot tunnel depth and would therefore be less than significant. Likewise, locomotives are not  
5 expected to generate significant noise levels because they will travel at low speeds between 5 and  
6 10 miles per hour. However, the impact of exposing residential structures to groundborne vibration  
7 during intake construction would be significant as reasonable worst-case modeling indicates that up  
8 to 82 residential parcels could be exposed to vibration levels in excess of 0.2 in/sec PPV during  
9 intake pile driving (see Table 23-67). Although Mitigation Measure NOI-2 will reduce the impact, it  
10 is not anticipated that feasible measures will be available in all situations to reduce vibration to  
11 levels below the applicable thresholds. This impact would therefore be considered significant and  
12 unavoidable.

### 13 **Mitigation Measure NOI-2: Employ Vibration-Reducing Construction Practices during** 14 **Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities**

15 During construction, BDCP proponents will implement vibration-reducing construction  
16 practices such that vibration from pile driving does not exceed 0.2 in/sec PPV at nearby  
17 residences.

18 The BDCP proponents shall ensure that the following measures are implemented to reduce  
19 adverse effects and/or significant effects as described above if the measures are applicable and  
20 feasible. Not all measures listed below may be feasible or applicable to all contractors. Rather,  
21 these measures serve as an overlying mitigation framework to be used for specific construction  
22 practices. The applicability of measures listed below would vary based on the location, timing,  
23 nature, and feasibility of each activity.

- 24 • Locating equipment as far as practical from vibration-sensitive (and noise-sensitive) land  
25 uses (at least 100 feet)
- 26 • Use of alternative pile driving methods such as vibratory driving, hydraulic press-in driving,  
27 or use of pre-drilled pile holes.

28 Depending on the equipment selected, the measures identified above can reduce vibration from  
29 pile driving to below 0.2 in/sec PPV at nearby residences. The specific noise reduction cannot be  
30 currently quantified since the actual equipment to be used is unknown and that the contractor  
31 may have alternative ways to achieve the performance limit. If the above measures are  
32 determined feasible, BDCP proponents will retain a qualified acoustical consultant or  
33 engineering firm to conduct vibration monitoring at potentially affected buildings to measure  
34 the actual vibration levels during construction and ensure vibration from pile driving does not  
35 exceed 0.2 in/sec PPV.

36 For cases where the above measures are not feasible, the resident or property owner will be  
37 notified in writing prior to construction activity that construction may occur within 100 feet of  
38 their building. A representative for the BDCP proponents will inspect the potentially affected  
39 buildings prior to construction to inventory existing cracks in paint, plaster, concrete, and other  
40 building elements. BDCP proponents will retain a qualified acoustical consultant or engineering  
41 firm to conduct vibration monitoring at potentially affected buildings to measure the actual  
42 vibration levels during construction. Following completion of construction, a representative for  
43 the BDCP proponents will conduct a second inspection to inventory changes in existing cracks

1 and new cracks or damage, if any, that occurred as a result of construction-induced vibration. If  
 2 new damage is found, then the BDCP proponents will promptly arrange to have the damage  
 3 repaired, or will reimburse the property owner for appropriate repairs.

4 In addition, if construction activity is required within 100 feet of residences or other vibration-  
 5 sensitive buildings, a designated complaint coordinator will be responsible for handling and  
 6 responding to any complaints received during such periods of construction. A reporting  
 7 program will be required that documents complaints received, actions taken, and the  
 8 effectiveness of these actions in resolving disputes.

9 **Impact NOI-3: Exposure of Noise-Sensitive Land Uses to Noise from Operation of Water**  
 10 **Conveyance Facilities**

11 **NEPA Effects:** Potential reasonable worst-case pump noise levels during operation of the ~~intake~~  
 12 ~~structures were~~ Combined Pumping Plant was evaluated by calculating sound power levels of the  
 13 pump based on horsepower (Hoover and Keith 2000). The analysis assumes that air handling units,  
 14 compressors and emergency generators are integrated into the building structure. Faceplate  
 15 horsepower for ~~vertical column and vertical volute type~~ pumps is specified in ~~pump selection~~  
 16 ~~appendix of~~ the Conceptual Engineering Report. The results shown assume maximum horsepower  
 17 and flow capacity of the plant. Pump specifications are shown in Table 23-68. Combined source  
 18 noise levels assume that pump enclosures (including buildings) provide a nominal 15 dB of noise  
 19 attenuation. This is a conservative estimate based on masonry construction with openings in the  
 20 structure for ventilation (FHWA 2011). This analysis assumes that pumps are operating 24 hours a  
 21 day.

22 **Table 23-68. Pump Specifications—Alternative 4**

Pump Location	Quantity	Pumping Plant Capacity (cfs)	Pump Horsepower	Individual Pump Source Level (dBA)	Combined Equipment Source Level (dBA)	Assumed Attenuation (dB)	Combined Source Level with Attenuation (dBA)
<u>Clifton Court Forebay Pumping Plant</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>9,000</u>	<u>6,000</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>106</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>91</u>
	<u>2</u>		<u>3,000</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>98</u>		

cfs = cubic feet per second.

dB = decibels.

dBA = A-weighted sound level in decibels.

23  
 24 The estimated sound levels from pump operation as a function of distance based on calculated  
 25 point-source attenuation over “soft” (i.e., acoustically absorptive) ground are shown in Table 23-69.  
 26 Project operation noise contours are shown in Appendix 23B.

1 **Table 23-69. Predicted Noise Levels from Pumping Plant Operation, Intakes, Alternative 4**

Distance Between Source and Receiver (Feet)	<del>Intake 2</del> Combined Pumping Plant Calculated $L_{eq}$ Sound Level (dBA)	Intakes 3 and 5 Calculated $L_{eq}$ Sound Level (dBA)
50	<del>91</del> 89	<del>88</del>
100	<del>83</del> 82	<del>80</del>
200	<del>75</del> 74	<del>72</del>
300	<del>71</del> 69	<del>68</del>
400	<del>67</del> 66	<del>65</del>
600	<del>63</del> 61	<del>60</del>
800	<del>59</del> 58	<del>57</del>
1,000	<del>57</del> 55	<del>54</del>
1,200	<del>55</del> 53	<del>52</del>
1,400	<del>53</del> 52	<del>50</del>
1,600	<del>52</del> 50	<del>49</del>
<del>2,000</del> 1,800	<del>50</del> 47	<del>46</del>
<del>2,200</del> 2,000	<del>49</del> 46	<del>45</del>
<del>2,600</del> 2,500	<del>47</del> 45	<del>43</del>
<del>3,600</del> 2,800	<del>45</del> 41	<del>40</del>
<del>5,000</del> 3,500	<del>43</del> 37	<del>36</del>
<del>6,000</del> 4,500	<del>40</del> 35	<del>34</del>
<del>7,000</del> 5,280	<del>38</del> 33	<del>32</del>

Notes: Calculations are based on Federal Transit Administration 2006. Calculation do not include the effects, if any, of local shielding from walls, topography, or other barriers that may reduce sound levels further.

Noise levels assume a nominal pump enclosure attenuation of 15 dB.

**Bold** denotes daytime and nighttime ~~maximum~~ noise thresholds.

dBA = A-weighted sound level in decibels.

- 2
- 3 The results shown in Table 23-69 indicate that operating noise ~~from intake pumping plants~~ would
- 4 exceed the nighttime threshold of 45 dBA at noise-sensitive land uses within a distance of up to
- 5 ~~2,600~~2,800 feet from ~~intake pumping plant locations~~the Combined Pumping Plant. Noise from
- 6 operation of ~~intake the~~ pumping plants is predicted to exceed daytime and nighttime noise
- 7 thresholds at ~~nearby residences and outdoor parks in~~ areas indicated in Table 23-70.



1 **Table 23-70. Land Use Affected by Noise from Operation of Pumping Plants, Alternative 4**

Location	Zoning	50 dBA $L_{eq}$ Daytime	45 dBA $L_{eq}$ Nighttime
		Operations Threshold	Operations Threshold
		Total Affected Parcels	Total Affected Parcels
<del>Sacramento County—</del>	<del>Natural/Recreational</del>	<del>1</del>	<del>1</del>
<del>including River Road near</del>	<del>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></del>	<del>27</del>	<del>38</del>
<del>the community of Hood;</del>			
<del>neighborhoods in the</del>			
<del>community of Hood;</del>			
<del>Lambert Road; Vorden Road.</del>			
<del>Yolo County—including</del>	<del>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></del>	<del>43</del>	<del>72</del>
<del>County Road E9 near the</del>			
<del>community of Clarksburg;</del>			
<del>neighborhoods in the</del>			
<del>community of Clarksburg.</del>			
<del>Contra Costa County</del>	<del>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></del>	<del>3</del>	<del>5</del>
<del>San Joaquin County</del>	<del>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></del>	<del>1</del>	<del>3</del>

<sup>a</sup> Includes agricultural or unclassified use that permits residential use.

2

3 Operation of water conveyance facilities could result in increases in noise levels affecting nearby  
4 communities and residences. While operating noise levels in excess of applicable thresholds could  
5 occur throughout the affected area, the highest noise levels are expected at those land uses most  
6 adjacent to the pumping plants. The effect of exposing noise-sensitive land uses to noise increases  
7 above thresholds would be adverse. Mitigation Measure NOI-3 is available to reduce this effect.

#### 8 **Noise exposure to workers at conveyance facilities**

9 Noise from operation of conveyance facilities would affect workers on site. However, workers are  
10 subject to state and federal Occupational Health and Safety (OSHA) standards. OSHA mitigation  
11 standards for noise limits exposure are as follows: an 8-hour time-weighted average of 85 dBA or a  
12 dose of 50 percent are referred to as OSHA action levels [29 CFR 1910.95(c)(2)]. Occupational  
13 exposure to noise levels in excess of 85 dBA requires monitoring and mitigation to protect workers.  
14 Given that on-site workers would be protected under OSHA requirements, no adverse impacts  
15 would occur to workers.

16 **CEQA Conclusion:** The impact of exposing noise-sensitive land uses during pumping plant  
17 operations to noise levels above the daytime (50 dBA  $L_{maxLeq}$ ) or nighttime (45 dBA  $L_{maxLeq}$ ) noise  
18 thresholds would be considered significant. Based on reasonable worst-case modeling, ~~70-4~~  
19 agricultural parcels would be affected by daytime noise levels in excess of the operational threshold.  
20 The nighttime threshold would be exceeded at ~~110-8~~ agricultural parcels (see Table 23-70). ~~The~~  
21 ~~impact of exposing these receptors to noise increases above thresholds would be significant.~~  
22 Mitigation Measure NOI-3 would reduce operational noise levels below applicable thresholds, thus  
23 resulting in a less-than-significant level.

24 **Mitigation Measure NOI-3: Design and Construct ~~Intake Facilities and Other Pumping~~**  
25 **~~Plant~~ Facilities Such That Operational Noise Does Not Exceed 50 dBA (One-Hour  $L_{eq}$ )**  
26 **~~during Daytime Hours (7:00 A.M. to 10:00 P.M.) or 45 dBA (One-Hour  $L_{eq}$ ) during~~**



1 **Nighttime Hours (10:00 P.M. to 7:00 A.M.) or the Applicable Local Noise Standard**  
 2 **(Whichever Is Less) at the property line of Nearby Noise Sensitive Land Uses**

3 BDCP proponents will retain a qualified acoustical consultant to design acoustical treatments for  
 4 the ~~intake facilities and other~~ pumping plant facilities. Implementation of this measure will  
 5 ensure that operational noise levels, as applicable, do not exceed 50 dBA (one-hour  $L_{eq}$ ) during  
 6 daytime hours (7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.) or 45 dBA (one-hour  $L_{eq}$ ) during nighttime hours (10:00  
 7 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.) or the applicable local noise standard (whichever is less) at nearby noise-  
 8 sensitive land uses. Measures that can be implemented to achieve this include but are not  
 9 limited to:

- 10 • enclosing all pumps, motors, and other noise-generating equipment in solid wall structures;
- 11 • limiting openings in the enclosing structure and installing acoustic ventilation louvers  
12 where ventilation openings are required,
- 13 • installing acoustic access doors and wall panels,
- 14 • using low-noise motors (if available and feasible),
- 15 • using low noise transformers (if available and feasible),
- 16 • placing sound barriers (earth berms or constructed barriers) around noise sources

17 Verification noise monitoring will be conducted at ~~each operational intake or the~~ pumping plant  
 18 location to confirm that acoustical treatments reduce operational noise to comply with the  
 19 applicable noise standard. If noise is not in compliance with the applicable standard, BDCP  
 20 proponents will implement additional necessary treatments until compliance is achieved.

21 **Impact NOI-4: Exposure of Noise-Sensitive Land Uses to Noise from Implementation of**  
 22 **Proposed Conservation Measures 2-10CM2-CM10**

23 **NEPA Effects:** Implementation of CM2 and CM3–CM10 could generate increases in noise related to  
 24 restoration or enhancement activities. Habitat restoration and enhancement conservation measures  
 25 are anticipated to require use of noise-generating equipment during construction and maintenance:

- 26 • Grading, excavation, and placement of fill material.
- 27 • Breaching, modification, or removal of existing levees, and construction of new levees.
- 28 • Modification, demolition, and removal of existing infrastructure (e.g., buildings, roads, fences,  
29 electric transmission and gas lines, irrigation infrastructure).
- 30 • Construction of new infrastructure (e.g., buildings, roads, fences, electric transmission and gas  
31 lines, irrigation infrastructure).
- 32 • Removal of existing vegetation and planting/seeding of vegetation.
- 33 • Levee maintenance.
- 34 • Mowing, burning, and trimming to manage vegetation.
- 35 • Because the specific areas for implementing these conservation measures have not been  
36 determined, this effect is evaluated qualitatively.
- 37 • Yolo Bypass Fishery Enhancement (CM2). Noise-generating activities from enhancement  
38 activities in the Yolo Bypass would include use of construction vehicles and equipment for

- 1 modifying or installing new facilities, or changes in operation of existing facilities, including the  
 2 following.
- 3 ○ Installing fish ladders and experimental ramps at Fremont Weir or widening the existing  
 4 fish ladder.
  - 5 ○ Installing fish screens on small Yolo Bypass diversions.
  - 6 ○ Constructing new or replacement operable check-structures at Tule Canal/Toe Drain.
  - 7 ○ Replacing the Lisbon Weir with a fish-passable gate structure.
  - 8 ○ Realigning Lower Putah Creek.
  - 9 ○ Increasing operation of upstream unscreened pumps.
  - 10 ○ Installing operable gates at Fremont Weir.
  - 11 ○ Constructing physical barriers in the Sacramento River.
  - 12 ○ Constructing associated support facilities (operations buildings, parking lots, access  
 13 facilities such as roads and bridges).
  - 14 ○ Improving levees adjacent to the Fremont Weir Wildlife Area.
  - 15 ○ Replacing agricultural crossings of the Tule Canal/Toe Drain with fish-passable structures  
 16 such as flat car bridges, earthen crossings with large, open culverts.
  - 17 ○ Grading, removal of existing berms, levees, and water control structures, construction of  
 18 berms or levees, re-working of agricultural delivery channels, and earthwork or  
 19 construction of structures to reduce Tule Canal/Toe Drain channel capacities.
  - 20 ● Tidal Habitat Restoration (CM4). Restoration of freshwater tidal habitat in the Cache Slough,  
 21 Cosumnes/Mokelumne, West Delta, South Delta, and Suisun Marsh ROAs would require  
 22 breaching and lowering of levees, installing new or modified levees to protect adjacent areas  
 23 from flooding, connecting remnant sloughs or channels to improve circulation, and modifying  
 24 ground elevations to reduce impacts of subsidence. Noise-generating activities would include  
 25 use of construction vehicles and equipment for the following activities.
  - 26 ○ Construction site preparation could require clearing and grubbing, demolition of existing  
 27 structures, surface water quality protection, dust control, establishment of storage areas and  
 28 stockpile areas, temporary utilities and fuel storage, and erosion control.
  - 29 ○ Earthwork activities for development of the restoration habitat areas could include the  
 30 construction activities described below on the landside and waterside of existing levees in  
 31 areas that would be selected for tidal habitat restoration.
  - 32 ● Seasonally Inundated Floodplain Restoration (CM5). Seasonally inundated floodplain habitat  
 33 would be restored within the north, east, and/or south Delta. Noise-generating activities would  
 34 include use of construction vehicles and equipment for modifying or installing new facilities, or  
 35 changes in operation of existing facilities, including the following activities.
  - 36 ○ Site preparation could require clearing and grubbing, demolition of existing structures,  
 37 surface water quality protection, dust control, establishment of storage areas and stockpile  
 38 areas, temporary utilities and fuel storage, and erosion control.

- 1           ○ Earthwork activities for development of the seasonally inundated floodplains could include  
2           setting back levees, removal of existing levees, removal of riprap to allow for channel  
3           meander between the setback levees, grading to restore drainage patterns and increase  
4           inundation frequency and duration, and establishment of riparian habitat.
- 5           ● Channel Margin Habitat Enhancement (CM6). Channel margin habitat would be enhanced on the  
6           Sacramento River between Freeport and Walnut Grove, the San Joaquin River between Vernalis  
7           and Mossdale, Steamboat and Sutter Sloughs, and the North and South Forks of the Mokelumne  
8           River. Noise-generating activities would include use of construction vehicles and equipment for  
9           the following activities.
- 10          ○ Site preparation could require clearing and grubbing, demolition of existing structures,  
11          surface water quality protection, dust control, establishment of storage areas and stockpile  
12          areas, temporary utilities and fuel storage, and erosion control.
- 13          ○ Earthwork activities for development of the channel margin habitat areas could include  
14          modification of levees or setting back levees. Riprap would be removed where levees are set  
15          back and channel geometry would be modified in unconfined channel reaches or along  
16          channels where levees are set back.
- 17          ● Riparian Habitat Restoration (CM7). Riparian habitat restoration in Cosumnes/Mokelumne,  
18          east, west, and south Delta areas would require site preparation and earthwork using noise-  
19          generating construction vehicles and equipment for the following activities.
- 20          ○ Clearing and grubbing, demolition of existing structures, surface water quality protection,  
21          dust control, establishment of storage areas and stockpile areas, temporary utilities and fuel  
22          storage, and erosion control.
- 23          ○ Removal of riprap, minor landform modifications to restore water circulation, planting of  
24          riparian vegetation, irrigation and maintenance of plantings, and control of nonnative  
25          species.
- 26          ● Grassland Communities Restoration (CM8). Restoration of grassland habitat would require  
27          sowing native species using a variety of techniques (e.g., seed drilling, native hay spreading,  
28          plugs). Noise-generating activities would include use of construction vehicles and equipment for  
29          reseeding and for recontouring graded land.
- 30          ● Vernal Pool Complex Restoration (CM9). Vernal pool complex restoration could require use of  
31          noise-generating construction vehicles and equipment to excavate or recontour historical vernal  
32          pools and swales to natural bathymetry.
- 33          ● Nontidal Marsh Restoration (CM10). Nontidal wetlands restoration could include the use of  
34          noise-generating construction vehicles and equipment for site preparation, planting of native  
35          marsh vegetation, and maintenance of plantings, including grading to establish an elevational  
36          gradient to support both open water perennial aquatic habitat intermixed with shallower marsh  
37          habitat.

38          The effect would vary according to the type of construction equipment and techniques used in  
39          construction of the specific conservation measure, the location and timing of the actions called for in  
40          the conservation measure, and the noise environment at the time of implementation. However, the  
41          noise levels from these activities are expected to be similar to those shown in Table 23-16~~5~~ because  
42          similar types of equipment will be used. The results shown in Table 23-16 indicate that residences  
43          within 1,200 feet of an active restoration work area could be exposed to construction noise in excess

1 of the daytime (7 a.m. to 10 p.m.) noise threshold of 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr). The nighttime threshold of 50  
2 dBA  $L_{maxLeq}$  would be exceeded within a distance of 2,800 feet.

3 The effect of exposing sensitive land uses to increases in construction noise levels above thresholds  
4 would be adverse. Mitigation Measures NOI-1a and NOI-1b are available to reduce this effect.

5 **CEQA Conclusion:** The impact of exposing noise-sensitive land uses during construction to noise  
6 increases above the daytime (60 dBA  $L_{eq}$ ) and nighttime (50 dBA  $L_{maxLeq}$ ) thresholds would be  
7 significant. Noise levels during implementation of these conservation measures are expected to vary  
8 according to the type of construction equipment and techniques used, but are likely to be similar to  
9 noise levels shown in Table 23-59. The results shown in Table 23-59 indicate that residences within  
10 1,200 feet of an active restoration work area could be exposed to construction noise in excess of the  
11 daytime (7 a.m. to 10 p.m.) noise threshold of 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr). The nighttime threshold of 50 dBA  
12  $L_{maxLeq}$  would be exceeded within a distance of 2,800 feet. The impact of exposing these receptors to  
13 noise increases above thresholds would be significant. Although Mitigation Measures NOI-1a and  
14 NOI-1b will reduce the impact, it is not anticipated that feasible measures will be available in all  
15 situations to reduce construction noise to levels below the applicable thresholds. This impact would  
16 therefore be considered significant and unavoidable.

17 **Mitigation Measure NOI-1a: Employ Noise-Reducing Construction Practices during**  
18 **Construction**

19 Please see Mitigation Measure NOI-1a under Impact NOI-1.

20 **Mitigation Measure NOI-1b: Prior to Construction, Initiate a Complaint/Response**  
21 **Tracking Program**

22 Please see Mitigation Measure NOI-1b under Impact NOI-1.

23 ~~23.3.3.15~~ **23.3.3.16 Alternative 9—Through Delta Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs;**  
24 **Operational Scenario G)**

25 **Impact NOI-1: Exposure of Noise-Sensitive Land Uses to Noise from Construction of Water**  
26 **Conveyance Facilities**

27 **NEPA Effects:** Construction of operable barriers and pumping plants under Alternative 9 would  
28 require the use of impact-driven sheet piles to construct cofferdams and barrier foundations.  
29 Potential reasonable worst-case equipment noise levels from construction work areas would be  
30 comparable to those listed for the intake sites in Table 23-17. Assuming 100% equipment utilization  
31 within a given hour of day, the combined noise level at work areas is 98 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr) at 50 feet.

32 The results shown in Table 23-17 indicate that during periods of pile driving, residences located  
33 within 1,400 feet of an active intake construction site could be exposed to construction noise in  
34 excess of the DWR daytime (7 a.m. to 10 p.m.) maximum noise threshold of 60 dBA  $L_{eq}$  (1hr). The  
35 nighttime threshold of 50 dBA  $L_{maxLeq}$  would be exceeded at a distance of 2,800 feet. Construction  
36 noise contours are shown in Appendix 23A.

1 **Truck Trips and Worker Commutes**2 **Table 23-82. Predicted ~~Future-Loudest-hour Future~~ Traffic Noise Levels on Commuter Roads and**  
3 **Haul Routes, Through Delta/Separate Corridors**

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line to Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Brentwood Blvd</u>	<u>Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits) to Balfour Rd</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Brentwood Blvd</u>	<u>Balfour Rd to Brentwood City Limits (South)</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Balfour Rd</u>	<u>Brentwood Blvd to Brentwood City Limits</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Bethel Island Rd</u>	<u>Oakley City Limits to End</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Balfour Rd</u>	<u>Brentwood City Limits to Byron Hwy</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Old SR 41</u>	<u>Brentwood City Limits (South) to Marsh Creek Rd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Delta Rd to Old SR 4</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>SR 4 to Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Florin Rd to Pocket Rd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Florin Rd to Pocket Rd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Pocket Rd to Laguna Blvd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Pocket Rd to Laguna Blvd</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Laguna Blvd to Elk Grove Blvd</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Laguna Blvd to Elk Grove Blvd</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Elk Grove Blvd to Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Elk Grove Blvd to Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Hood Franklin Rd to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Hood Franklin Rd to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Rd to Peltier Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Rd to Peltier Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Peltier Rd to Turner Rd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Peltier Rd to Turner Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Turner Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Turner Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>SR 12 to Eight Mile Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>SR 12 to Eight Mile Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>Eight Mile Rd to Hammer Ln</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>Eight Mile Rd to Hammer Ln</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)</u>	<u>Sacramento City Limits to Freeport Bridge</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 160 (Freeport Blvd/ River Rd)</u>	<u>Freeport Bridge to Scribner Rd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Scribner Rd to Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Hood Franklin Rd to Lambert Rd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Lambert Rd to Paintersville Bridge</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>SR 160 (Paintersville Bridge)</u>	<u>Sutter Slough Bridge Rd to SR 160 (River Rd)</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Paintersville Bridge to Walnut Grove Bridge</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Bridge to A St (Isleton)</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>A St (Isleton) to SR 12</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 160</u>	<u>SR 12 to Brannan Island Rd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 84</u>	<u>West Sacramento City Limits to Courtland Rd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 84 (Courtland Rd/ Ryer Ave)</u>	<u>Courtland Rd to Cache Slough Ferry</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>I-80 EB</u>	<u>Suisun Valley Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-80 WB</u>	<u>Suisun Valley Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12 EB</u>	<u>I-80 to Beck Ave</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12 WB</u>	<u>I-80 to Beck Ave</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Beck Ave to Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>Yes</u>

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd to Walters Rd/</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Walters Rd/ to SR 113</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>SR 113 to SR 84 (River Rd)</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12 (Rio Vista Bridge)</u>	<u>SR 84 (River Rd) to SR 160 (River Rd)</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>SR 160 (River Rd) to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 12</u>	<u>Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-80 EB</u>	<u>SR 113 to Pedrick Rd</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-80 WB</u>	<u>SR 113 to Pedrick Rd</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 113</u>	<u>I-80 to Dixon City Limits</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 113</u>	<u>Dixon City Limits to SR 12</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4 (Marsh Creek Rd)</u>	<u>Vasco Rd to Byron Hwy</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4</u>	<u>Marsh Creek Rd to Discovery Bay Blvd</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4</u>	<u>Discovery Bay Blvd to Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>SR 4</u>	<u>Tracy Blvd to I-5</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Freeway) to SR 4 (Charter Way)</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Freeway) to SR 4 (Charter Way)</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 NB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Charter Way) to Eighth Street</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-5 SB</u>	<u>SR 4 (Charter Way) to Eighth Street</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>I-580 to Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>I-580 to Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>Mountain House Pkwy to Eleventh St</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>Mountain House Pkwy to Eleventh St</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>Grant Line Rd to Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>Grant Line Rd to Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-205 EB</u>	<u>Tracy Blvd to MacArthur Dr</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>I-205 WB</u>	<u>Tracy Blvd to MacArthur Dr</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>A St/4th St/ Jackson Blvd.</u>	<u>SR 160 to Isleton City Limits</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Main Street (Old SR 4)</u>	<u>SR 160 to Cypress Rd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Main Street (Old SR 4)</u>	<u>Cypress Rd to Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits)</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Cypress Rd</u>	<u>Main Street to Bethel Island Rd</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Bethel Island Rd</u>	<u>Cypress Rd to Oakley City Limits</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Delta Rd</u>	<u>Main Street to Byron Hwy</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Pocket Rd</u>	<u>I-5 to Freeport Blvd</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Freeport Blvd (Old SR 160)</u>	<u>Pocket Rd to Sacramento City Limits</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Freeport Bridge</u>	<u>River Rd to SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Hood Franklin Rd</u>	<u>SR 160 (River Rd) to I-5</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Lambert Rd</u>	<u>SR 160 (River Rd) to Herzog Rd</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Lambert Rd</u>	<u>Herzog Rd to Franklin Blvd</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Franklin Blvd</u>	<u>Lambert Rd to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>River Rd to I-5</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>I-5 to Franklin Blvd</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Sutter Slough Bridge Rd</u>	<u>Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line to Paintersville Bridge</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Paintersville Bridge to Twin Cities Rd</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Bridge</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Walnut Grove Rd/River Rd</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Bridge to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Isleton Rd</u>	<u>River Rd (Walnut Grove)/Isleton Rd Bridge to 1.5 miles west of Isleton Rd Bridge</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Race Track Rd/ Tyler Island Rd</u>	<u>Walnut Grove Rd to Southern End of Tyler Island</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Tyler Island Rd</u>	<u>Southern End of Tyler Island to SR 160 (River Rd)</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Jackson Slough Rd</u>	<u>Isleton City Limits to SR 12</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>



<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Adverse Impact due to Traffic Noise?</u>
<u>Jackson Slough Rd</u>	<u>Brannan Island Rd to SR 12</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Walnut Grove Rd</u>	<u>Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Peltier Rd</u>	<u>Blossom Rd to I-5</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>SR 4 to Clifton Court Rd</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>Clifton Court Rd to Tracy City Limits</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line to Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>Byron Hwy to Arnaudo Blvd</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Mountain House Pkwy</u>	<u>Arnaudo Blvd to I-205</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Eight Mile Rd</u>	<u>Stockton City Limits to I-5</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>Tracy Blvd</u>	<u>Tracy City Limits to I-205</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Harbor Blvd</u>	<u>Industrial Blvd to US 50</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Industrial Blvd/ Lake Washington Blvd</u>	<u>Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)</u>	<u>Lake Washington Blvd to Southport Pkwy</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)</u>	<u>Southport Pkwy to West Sacramento City Limits</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Freeport Bridge to Courtland Rd</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>River Rd</u>	<u>Courtland Rd to Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>Yes</u>
<u>Courtland Rd</u>	<u>SR 84 to River Rd</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>Yes</u>

1

<u>Roadway</u>	<u>Segment</u>	<u>Existing Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Future With-Project Noise Level, dBA</u>	<u>Noise Level Increase, dB</u>	<u>Substantial Increase?</u>
<u>Byron Hwy</u>	<u>Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line to Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>74</u>	<b><u>16</u></b>	<b><u>yes</u></b>
<u>Brentwood Blvd</u>	<u>Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits) to Balfour Rd</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>76</u>	<b><u>15</u></b>	<b><u>yes</u></b>
<u>Brentwood Blvd</u>	<u>Balfour Rd to Brentwood City Limits (South)</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>76</u>	<b><u>16</u></b>	<b><u>yes</u></b>

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With- Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase?
Balfour Rd	Brentwood Blvd to Brentwood City Limits	61	61	0	no
Bethel Island Rd	Oakley City Limits to End	55	55	0	no
Balfour Rd	Brentwood City Limits to Byron Hwy	54	54	0	no
Old SR 41	Brentwood City Limits (South) to Marsh Creek Rd	62	76	14	yes
Byron Hwy	Delta Rd to Old SR 4	53	53	0	no
Byron Hwy	SR 4 to Contra Costa Co./ Alameda Co. Line	59	74	15	yes
SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)	Sacramento City Limits to Freeport Bridge	59	59	0	no
SR 160 (Freeport Blvd/ River Rd)	Freeport Bridge to Scribner Rd	55	55	0	no
SR 160	Scribner Rd to Hood Franklin Rd	53	53	0	no
SR 160	Hood Franklin Rd to Lambert Rd	55	55	0	no
SR 160	Lambert Rd to Paintersville Bridge	53	53	0	no
SR 160 (Paintersville Bridge)	Sutter Slough Bridge Rd to SR 160 (River Rd)	53	77	24	yes
SR 160	Paintersville Bridge to Walnut Grove Bridge	53	77	24	yes
SR 160	Walnut Grove Bridge to A St (Isleton)	59	77	18	yes
SR 160	A St (Isleton) to SR 12	58	77	19	yes
SR 160	SR 12 to Brannan Island Rd	62	78	16	yes
SR 84	West Sacramento City Limits to Courtland Rd	55	77	22	yes
SR 84 (Courtland Rd/ Ryer Ave)	Courtland Rd to Cache Slough Ferry	46	46	0	no
SR 12 EB	I-80 to Beck Ave	65	76	11	no
SR 12 WB	I-80 to Beck Ave	64	76	12	yes
SR 12	Beck Ave to Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd	68	79	11	no
SR 12	Sunset Ave/ Grizzly Island Rd to Walters Rd/	66	79	13	yes
SR 12	Walters Rd/ to SR 113	63	79	16	yes
SR 12	SR 113 to SR 84 (River Rd)	64	79	15	yes

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With- Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase?
SR 12 (Rio Vista Bridge)	SR 84 (River Rd) to SR 160 (River Rd)	64	79	15	yes
SR 12	SR 160 (River Rd) to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line	62	68	6	no
SR 12	Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5	63	68	5	no
SR 113	I-80 to Dixon City Limits	64	79	15	yes
SR 113	Dixon City Limits to SR 12	57	78	21	yes
SR 4 (Marsh Creek Rd)	Vasco Rd to Byron Hwy	61	77	16	yes
SR 4	Marsh Creek Rd to Discovery Bay Blvd	63	78	15	yes
SR 4	Discovery Bay Blvd to Tracy Blvd	61	77	16	yes
SR 4	Tracy Blvd to I-5	64	78	14	yes
A St/4th St/ Jackson Blvd.	SR 160 to Isleton City Limits	48	48	0	no
Main Street (Old SR 4)	SR 160 to Cypress Rd	62	76	14	yes
Main Street (Old SR 4)	Cypress Rd to Delta Rd (Oakley City Limits)	61	76	15	yes
Cypress Rd	Main Street to Bethel Island Rd	58	58	0	no
Bethel Island Rd	Cypress Rd to Oakley City Limits	55	55	0	no
Delta Rd	Main Street to Byron Hwy	55	55	0	no
Pocket Rd	I-5 to Freeport Blvd	63	63	0	no
Freeport Blvd (Old SR 160)	Pocket Rd to Sacramento City Limits	56	56	0	no
Freeport Bridge	River Rd to SR 160 (Freeport Blvd)	55	55	0	no
Hood Franklin Rd	SR 160 (River Rd) to I-5	51	51	0	no
Lambert Rd	SR 160 (River Rd) to Herzog Rd	44	44	0	no
Lambert Rd	Herzog Rd to Franklin Blvd	46	46	0	no
Franklin Blvd	Lambert Rd to Twin Cities Rd	48	48	0	no
Twin Cities Rd	River Rd to I-5	53	70	17	yes
Twin Cities Rd	I-5 to Franklin Blvd	55	62	7	no
Sutter Slough Bridge Rd	Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line to Paintersville Bridge	50	75	25	yes

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With- Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase?
River Rd	Paintersville Bridge to Twin Cities Rd	51	70	19	yes
River Rd	Twin Cities Rd to Walnut Grove Bridge	55	70	15	yes
Walnut Grove Rd/River Rd	Walnut Grove Bridge to Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line	55	70	15	yes
Isleton Rd	River Rd (Walnut Grove)/Isleton Rd Bridge to 1.5 miles west of Isleton Rd Bridge	54	67	13	yes
Race Track Rd/ Tyler Island Rd	Walnut Grove Rd to Southern End of Tyler Island	45	45	0	no
Tyler Island Rd	Southern End of Tyler Island to SR 160 (River Rd)	46	46	0	no
Jackson Slough Rd	Isleton City Limits to SR 12	47	47	0	no
Jackson Slough Rd	Brannan Island Rd to SR 12	47	47	0	no
Walnut Grove Rd	Sacramento Co./ SJ Co. Line to I-5	53	70	17	yes
Peltier Rd	Blossom Rd to I-5	44	44	0	no
Tracy Blvd	SR 4 to Clifton Court Rd	53	73	20	yes
Tracy Blvd	Clifton Court Rd to Tracy City Limits	52	73	21	yes
Byron Hwy	Alameda Co./San Joaquin Co. Line to Mountain House Pkwy	59	74	15	yes
Mountain House Pkwy	Byron Hwy to Arnaudo Blvd	54	74	20	yes
Mountain House Pkwy	Arnaudo Blvd to I-205	58	74	16	yes
Eight Mile Rd	Stockton City Limits to I-5	58	58	0	no
Tracy Blvd	Tracy City Limits to I-205	58	73	15	yes
Harbor Blvd	Industrial Blvd to US 50	63	75	12	yes
Industrial Blvd/ Lake Washington Blvd	Harbor Blvd to Jefferson Blvd	62	75	13	yes
Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)	Lake Washington Blvd to Southport Pkwy	62	75	13	yes
Jefferson Blvd (Old SR 84)	Southport Pkwy to West Sacramento City Limits	51	75	24	yes
River Rd	Freeport Bridge to Courtland Rd	54	54	0	no

Roadway	Segment	Existing Noise Level, dBA	Future With- Project Noise Level, dBA	Noise Level Increase, dB	Substantial Increase?
River Rd	Courtland Rd to Sacramento Co./ Yolo Co. Line	48	75	27	yes
Courtland Rd	SR-84 to River Rd	48	75	27	yes

- 1
- 2 As shown in Table 23-82, predicted future loudest-hour traffic noise levels from project-generated
- 3 worker commutes and truck trips would result in a noise level of 60 dBA Leq or more, and an
- 4 increase of 5 dB or more compared to existing traffic noise levels along 68 project roadway
- 5 segments. ~~predicted future traffic noise levels from project-generated worker commutes and truck~~
- 6 ~~trips would result in an increase of 12 dB or more compared to existing traffic noise levels along 43~~
- 7 ~~project roadway segments.~~
- 8 Traffic noise from haul trucks and commuter vehicles on public roads is predicted to exceed daytime
- 9 traffic noise thresholds at nearby residences, parks and other uses at affected parcels indicated in
- 10 Table 23-82A. Traffic noise contours are shown in Appendix 23A.

1 **Table 23-82A. Land Use Zones Adjacent to Project Haul Routes Affected by Increases in Traffic**  
 2 **Noise, Separate Corridors Option**

<u>Location</u>	<u>Zoning</u>	<u>Total Affected Parcels, Daytime Threshold (60 dBA L<sub>eq</sub> [1h]) and a 5 dB increase over existing levels</u>
<u>Alameda County</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Contra Costa County</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>363</u>
	<u>Residential</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Sacramento County – including River Road near the community of Hood; neighborhoods in the community of Hood; Lambert Road; Vorden Road.</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>48</u>
	<u>Natural/Recreational</u>	<u>139</u>
	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>426</u>
<u>San Joaquin County</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>120</u>
	<u>Natural/Recreational</u>	<u>3</u>
	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>455</u>
<u>City of Stockton</u>		<u>88</u>
<u>City of Tracy</u>		<u>110</u>
<u>Solano County</u>	<u>Natural/Recreational</u>	<u>9</u>
	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>680</u>
<u>Yolo County – including County Road E9 near the community of Clarksburg; neighborhoods in the community of Clarksburg.</u>	<u>Agricultural/Other<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>90</u>
<u>City of West Sacramento</u>		<u>199</u>
<u>Other Jurisdictions</u>		<u>538</u>

<sup>a</sup> Includes agricultural or unclassified use that permits residential use.

3  
 4 **Mitigation Measure NOI-1b: Prior to Construction, Initiate a Complaint/Response**  
 5 **Tracking Program**

6 Please see Mitigation Measure NOI-1b under Impact NOI-1 in the discussion of Alternative 1A.

7 Achievable noise reduction varies by measure. Shutting off a piece of equipment would eliminate its  
 8 contribution to ambient noise. Noise barriers and enclosures would provide noise reduction within  
 9 the discrete area shielding noise from surrounding noise sensitive receptors. Barriers can provide 5  
 10 to 15 dB of noise reduction depending configuration relative to surrounding terrain. Although  
 11 implementation of these measures will reduce the impact, it is not anticipated that feasible  
 12 measures will be available in all situations to reduce construction noise to levels below the  
 13 applicable thresholds. This impact would therefore be significant and unavoidable.

## 1 23.4 References

### 2 23.4.1 Printed Communication

3 [Federal Highway Administration. 1998. FHWA Traffic Noise Model, Version 1.0: User's Guide. Report](#)  
4 [No. FHWA-PD-96-009 and DOT-VNTSC-FHWA-98-1. Cambridge, MA: John A. Volpe National](#)  
5 [Transportation Systems Center, Acoustics Facility, January.](#)

6 [Federal Highway Administration. 2004. FHWA Traffic Noise Model Version 2.5 Look-Up Tables](#)  
7 [User's Guide Report No. DOT-VNTSC-FHWA-0406. Cambridge, MA: John A. Volpe National](#)  
8 [Transportation Systems Center, Acoustics Facility, December.](#)

9 [Federal Highway Administration. 2011. Highway Traffic noise: Analysis and Abatement Guidance.](#)  
10 [No. FHWA-HEP-10-025. December. U.S. Department of Transportation.](#)

11 [Schultz, Theodore J. 1978. \*Synthesis of Social Surveys on Noise Annoyance\*. Journal of the Acoustical](#)  
12 [Society of America, Vol. 63, No. 8, August.](#)

13 [U.S. Bureau of Mines Report of Investigations 8485. Structure response and damage produced by](#)  
14 [airblast from surface mining. Washington, D.C.1980.](#)

15 [U.S. Bureau of Mines Report of Investigations 8507. Structure response and damage produced by](#)  
16 [ground vibration from surface mining. Washington, D.C. 1980.](#)  
17