LOS VAQUEROS RESERVOIR EXPANSION PROJECT

Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Impact Report State Clearinghouse No. 2006012037

March 2010

Prepared for United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation Mid-Pacific Region Contra Costa Water District Western Area Power Administration







FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT/ ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT for the Los Vaqueros Reservoir Expansion Project

This Final Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR) has been prepared by the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Region (Reclamation) and the Contra Costa Water District (CCWD) in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The Western Area Power Administration (Western) is a cooperating agency under NEPA.

The Los Vaqueros Reservoir Expansion Project involves enlarging the existing 100 thousand acre-foot (TAF) Los Vaqueros Reservoir located in southeastern Contra Costa County, and constructing or modifying related reservoir system facilities to develop water supplies for environmental water management that supports fish protection, habitat management, and other environmental water needs in the Delta and tributary river systems, and to improve water supply reliability and water quality for urban users in the San Francisco Bay Area.

The Final EIS/EIR presents the No Project/No Action Alternative as well as four action alternatives:

- Alternative 1 Expanded 275-TAF Reservoir, South Bay Connection, Environmental Water Management and Water Supply Reliability Dual Emphasis
- Alternative 2 Expanded 275-TAF Reservoir, South Bay Connection, Environmental Water Management Emphasis
- Alternative 3 Expanded 275-TAF Reservoir, No South Bay Connection, Environmental Water Management Emphasis
- Alternative 4 Expanded 160-TAF Reservoir, No South Bay Connection, Water Supply Reliability Emphasis

The project alternatives would result in significant adverse environmental impacts, after mitigation, to Important Farmland (up to 22 acres; Alternatives 1 and 2) and a potential movement corridor for the San Joaquin kit fox on the west side of the existing reservoir (Alternatives 1-4). The project would result in beneficial effects on Delta fisheries and aquatic resources under Alternatives 1 and 2. Alternative 4 is Reclamation's preferred alternative.

For further information please contact either Marguerite Naillon, Contra Costa Water District, P.O. Box H2O, Concord, CA 94524, (925) 688-8018, Fax (925) 686-2187, Email: mnaillon@ccwater.com; or Sharon McHale, Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Region, 2800 Cottage Way, MP-730, Room W-2830, Sacramento, CA 95825, (916) 978-5086 (TTY (916) 978-5608), Fax (916) 978-5094, Email: smchale@usbr.gov. FINAL – Volume 4 of 4

LOS VAQUEROS RESERVOIR EXPANSION PROJECT

Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Impact Report State Clearinghouse No. 2006012037

Prepared for United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation Mid-Pacific Region Contra Costa Water District Western Area Power Administration March 2010







"The U.S. Department of the Interior protects America's natural resources and heritage, honors our cultures and tribal communities, and supplies the energy to power our future."

"The mission of the Bureau of Reclamation is to manage, develop, and protect water and related resources in an environmentally and economically sound manner in the interest of the American public."

"The Mission of the Contra Costa Water District is to strategically provide a reliable supply of high quality water at the lowest cost possible, in an environmentally responsible manner."

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Los Vaqueros Reservoir Expansion Project Final EIS/EIR

			<u>Page</u>
	Acro	nyms and Abbreviations	vi
1.	Introduction		1-1
	1.1	Los Vaqueros Reservoir Expansion Project	1-1
	1.2	Purpose of the Final EIS/EIR	1-2
	1.3	CEQA and NEPA Requirements for Responding to Comments	1-3
	1.4	Requirements for Certification and Future Steps in Project Approval	1-3
	1.5	Organization and Format of the Final EIS/EIR	1-4
	1.6	Organization of Comments and List of Commenters	1-4
	1.7	Overview of Responses to Comments	1-5
2.	Proje	ect Description Update	2-1
	2.1	Introduction	2-1
	2.2	Treatment of Alternative 3 in the Final EIS/EIR	2-1
	2.3	Project Description Update	2-2
	2.4		2-21
	2.5	Environmentally Superior Alternative and Environmentally	
	0.0	Preferable Alternative	2-28
	2.6	Reclamation Preferred Alternative	2-28
3.	Master Responses		3-1
	3.1	Master Response 1: Project Purpose and Description	3-1
	3.2	Master Response 2: Relationship to Other Initiatives and Projects	3-11
	3.3	Master Response 3: Project Alternatives	3-19
	3.4	Master Response 4: Approvals and Permits	3-33
	3.5	Master Response 5: Delta Hydrology and Aquatic Resources	3-37
	3.6	Master Response 6: Local Hydrology and Drainage	3-55
	3.7	Master Response 7: Agriculture	3-63
	3.8	Master Response 8: Biological Resources	3-75
	3.9	Master Response 9: Transportation and Circulation	3-117 3-125
		Master Response 10: Hazardous Materials/Public Health and Utilities Master Response 11: Recreation	3-125
		Master Response 12: Cultural Resources	3-151
		Master Response 12: Growth-Inducing Effects	3-157
		Master Response 14: Climate Change	3-161
		Master Response 15: Procedural Issues	3-167

		Page
4.	ndividual Responses to Comments	4-1
	I.1 Federal Agencies	4-1
	I.2 State Agencies	4-3
	I.3 Local and Regional Agencies	4-17
	I.4 Organizations	4-32
	I.5 Individuals	4-40
5.	Revisions to the Draft EIS/EIR	5.1-1
	5.1 Introduction	5.1-1
	5.2 Selected Text Revisions to the Draft EIS/EIR	5.2-1
	5.3 Updated Draft EIS/EIR Sections 4.2 and 4.3	5.3-1
6.	Comments Received	6-1
	5.1 Federal Agencies	6.1-1
	5.2 State Agencies	6.2-1
	5.3 Local and Regional Agencies	6.3-1
	6.4 Organizations 6.5 Individuals	6.4-1 6.5.1
		0.5.1
7.	References	7-1
Арре	dices	
A.	Facility Refinements Assessment	A-1
В.	Timing Variant Assessment	B-1
C.	Updated Modeling Analyses	C-1
D.	Public Meeting Transcripts	D-1
List o	Figures	
2-1	Eastside Trail Reduction (Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4)	2-4
2-2	Westside Trail Realignment (Alternative 4)	2-8
2-3	160 TAF Primary and Secondary Core Borrow Area Zones (A	
4.6-1	(Revised) Regional Distribution of San Joaquin Kit Fox	5.2-11
4.6-1		
4.6-1	and Stockponds within the Los Vaqueros Watershed (Revised) Existing Access Restrictions within the Los Vaquero	5.2-12
4.0-1	Watershed	5.2-13
4.12-	(Revised) Potential Utility Crossings	5.2-21
4.2-1	Existing Average Monthly Sacramento River Flow at Freeport	
4.2-2	Existing Average Monthly San Joaquin Flow at Vernalis	5.3-17
4.2-3	Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Overview	5.3-19
4.2-4	Delta Inflow and Outflow by Water Year Type	5.3-21
4.2-5	Delta Inflow and Outflow Exceedance Curves	5.3-22
4.2-6	Regional Survey Grab Sample Data Station #14 – Old River a	
	CCWD Intake	5.3-30
4.2-7	Station #15 – Confluence of Old River and Victoria Canal at	F 0 00
100	Widows Island	5.3-30
4.2-8	Station #18 – Middle River at Highway 4 Bridge	5.3-31

Page

List of Figures (continued)

4 0 0		
4.2-9	Regional Survey Grab Sample Data - Station #14 – Old River at CCWD Intake	5.3-33
4.2-10	Station #15 – Confluence of Old River and Victoria Canal at Widows	
	Island	5.3-33
4.2-11	Station #18 – Middle River at Highway 4 Bridge	5.3-34
4.3-1	Major Delta Fish Sampling Survey Locations within the Delta	5.3-82
4.3-2	2004 Seasonal (Daily) Distribution of Juvenile Chinook Salmon in CVP	
	and SWP Fish Salvage Operations	5.3-91
4.3-3	2004 Seasonal (Daily) Distribution of Juvenile Steelhead in CVP and	
	SWP Fish Salvage Operations	5.3-95
4.3-4	Time Periods of CDFG Delta Fish Surveys	5.3-98
4.3-5	CDFG Fall Midwater Trawl Abundance Indices for Delta Smelt,	
	1967-2007	5.3-99
4.3-6	2004 Seasonal (Daily) Distribution of Juvenile and Adult Delta Smelt	
	in CVP and SWP Fish Salvage Operations	5.3-100
4.3-7	1995-2005 CDFG 20mm Larval Smelt Survey Average Densities	
	in the South Delta near CCWD Intakes and the Vicinity	5.3-102
4.3-8	2004 Seasonal (Daily) Distribution of Longfin Smelt in CVP and	
	SWP Fish Salvage Operations	5.3-106
4.3-9	2004 Seasonal (Daily) Distribution of Juvenile and Subadult Splittail in	
	CVP and SWP Fish Salvage Operations	5.3-108
List of T	ables	
1-1	Commenter Categories and Abbreviations	1-5
1-1		1-5 1-6
	Federal Agencies that Submitted Comments on the Draft EIS/EIR	
1-3	State Agencies that Submitted Comments on the Draft EIS/EIR	1-6
1-4	Local and Regional Agencies that Submitted Comments on the	4.0
4 5	Draft EIS/EIR	1-6
1-5	Organizations that Submitted Comments on the Draft EIS/EIR	1-7
1-6	Individuals Who Submitted Comments on the Draft EIS/EIR	1-8
2-1	Alternatives Affected by Proposed Project Description Refinements	2-3
2-2	Eastside Trail Footprint Comparison of Original and Refined Proposals	2-5
2-3	Westside Trail Footprint (Alternative 4) Comparison of Original and	
	Refined Proposals	2-9
2-4	Total Core Borrow Area Zone Footprint Comparison of Original and	
	Refined Proposals	2-15
2-5	Key Assumptions for Timing Variant	2-23
4.6-9	(Revised) Sensitive Plant Community Impacts by Project Component	3-105
3.11-1	Recreational Opportunities in Contra Costa and Alameda Counties	
	Similar to Those Offered at the Los Vaqueros Watershed	3-139
4.10-10	(Revised) Indirect GHG Emissions from Project Electricity Use	3-165
4-1	Federal Agencies that Submitted Comments on the Draft EIS/EIR	4-1
4-2A	State Agencies that Submitted Comments on the Draft EIS/EIR	4-3
4-2B	Location of Pertinent Information in EIS/EIR	4-8

- 4-2B Location of Pertinent Information in EIS/EIR4-2C Reclamation and DWR Delta Water Right Permits
- 4-2C
 Reclamation and DWR Delta Water Right Permits
 4-9

 4-3
 Local and Regional Agencies that Submitted Comments on the Draft
 4-17

 EIS/EIR
 4-17
- 4-4Organizations that Submitted Comments on the Draft EIS/EIR4-32

Page

List of Tables (continued)

4-5	Individuals Who Submitted Comments on the Draft EIS/EIR	4-40
4.6-9	(Revised) Sensitive Plant Community Impacts by Project Component	5.2-16
4.10-10	(Revised) Indirect GHG Emissions from Project Electricity Use	5.2-19
4.2-1	Federal and State Drinking Water Regulations	5.3-14
4.2-2	Water Quality for Selected Stations in the Delta	5.3-28
4.2-3	(Revised) Annual Average Delta Diversions at Rock Slough, Old River,	
	Victoria Canal, and New Delta Intake Facilities, by Water Use	5.3-41
4.2-4	(Revised) Alternative 1 Summary of Benefits	5.3-44
4.2-5	(Revised) Alternative 2 Summary of Benefits	5.3-45
4.2-7	(Revised) Alternative 4 Summary of Benefits	5.3-47
4.2-8	(Revised) Summary of Impacts – Water Supply, Water Quality, and Water Level	5.3-50
4.2-9	(Revised) Summary of Changes Used to Evaluate Water Delivery	0.0 00
7.2-3	to Other Users	5.3-51
4.2-10	(Revised) Wet Year Annual Averages of Changes Used to Evaluate	5.5-51
4.2-10	Water Delivery to Other Users	5.3-53
1011		5.5-55
4.2-11	(Revised) Above Normal Year Annual Averages of Changes Used to	F 0 F 0
4 0 4 0	Evaluate Water Delivery to Other Users	5.3-53
4.2-12	(Revised) Below Normal Year Annual Averages of Changes Used to	
4 0 4 0	Evaluate Water Delivery to Other Users	5.3-54
4.2-13	(Revised) Dry Year Annual Averages of Changes Used to Evaluate	4
	Water Delivery to Other Users	5.3-54
4.2-14	(Revised) Critical Year Annual Averages of Changes Used to	
	Evaluate Water Delivery to Other Users	5.3-55
4.2-15	Summary of Selected Water Quality Standards in the Delta	5.3-59
4.2-16	Frequency of Potential Standards Violations	5.3-61
4.2-17	(Revised) Summary of Long-Term Salinity Changes at Delta Intakes	5.3-64
4.2-18	(Revised) Frequency of Sizable Changes in Salinity at Drinking Water Intakes	5.3-67
4.2-19	(Revised) Largest Water Level Decrease at Lower-Low Tide in	010 01
	Irrigation Season	5.3-69
4.2-20	(Revised) Percent of Time When Maximum Decrease in Water	0.0 00
7.2 20	Level Exceeds 0.1 Foot	5.3-70
4.3-1	Fish Species Inhabiting the Delta Potentially Affected by Construction	5.5-70
- .5 ⁻ 1	or Operation of the Project Alternatives	5.3-85
4.3-2	Special-Status Fish Species Inhabiting the Delta Potentially Affected	0.0-00
4.5-2	by Construction or Operation of the Project Alternatives	5.3-86
100		0.0-00
4.3-3	Seasonal Timing of Chinook Salmon Migration through the	F 2 07
4.0.4	Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta	5.3-87
4.3-4	(Revised) Summary of Impacts – Delta Fisheries and Aquatic	50404
405		5.3-121
4.3-5	(Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of Delta Inflow under 2005	
	Level of Development	5.3-140
4.3-6	(Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of Delta Inflow under 2030	
	Level of Development	5.3-140
4.3-7	(Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of Sacramento River Inflow	
	to the Delta under 2005 Level of Development	4.3-141
4.3-8	(Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of Sacramento River Inflow	
	to the Delta under 2030 Level of Development	4.3-141

Page

List of Tables (continued)

4.3-9	(Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of San Joaquin River at Vernalis under 2005 Level of Development	5.3-142
4.3-10	(Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of San Joaquin River at Vernalis under 2030 Level of Development	5.3-143
4.3-11	(Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of Delta Outflow under 2005 Level of Development	5.3-144
4.3-12	(Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of Delta Outflow under 2030 Level of Development	5.3-145
4.3-13	(Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of X2 Locations under 2005 Level of Development	5.3-147
4.3-14	(Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of X2 Location under 2030 Level of Development	5.3-147
4.3-15	(Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of Export to Inflow Ratio under 2005 Level of Development	5.3-148
4.3-16	(Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of Export to Inflow Ratio under 2030 Level of Development	5.3-148
4.3-17	(Revised) Long-Term, Seasonal Average Percent of Particles Traveling Past Chipps Island 120 Days After Particles are	
4.3-18	Released at Designated Locations 2030 Level of Development (Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of Qwest under 2005 Level	5.3-151
4.3-19	of Development (Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of Qwest under 2030 Level of Development	5.3-153 5.3-153
4.3-20	(Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of Old and Middle River Net Flow using Delta Flow Model (DSM2) Under 2005 Level of Development	5.3-155
4.3-21	(Revised) Long-Term Monthly Average of Old and Middle River Net Flow using Delta Flow Model (DSM2) Under 2030 Level of	
4.3-22	Development (Revised) Percent Change in Entrainment Index from the	5.3-155
4.3-23	Without Project Conditions (Revised) Long-Term, Seasonal Average Percent of Particles	5.3-160
	Potentially Entrained 120 Days After Particles are Released at Designated Locations 2030 Level of Development	5.3-162
4.3-24	Changes in Potential Entrainment Index (PEI) for March-June	5.3-163
6.1-1	Federal Agencies that Submitted Comments on the Draft EIS/EIR	6.1-1
6.2-1	State Agencies that Submitted Comments on the Draft EIS/EIR	6.2-1
6.3-1	Local and Regional Agencies that Submitted Comments on the Draft	
	EIS/EIR	6.3-1
6.4-1	Organizations that Submitted Comments on the Draft EIS/EIR	6.4-1
6.5-1	Individuals Who Submitted Comments on the Draft EIS/EIR	6.5-1

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ACWD AFT	Alameda County Water District American Farmland Trust
AIP	Alternative Intake Project
BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District
BDCP	Bay Delta Conservation Plan
BMP	Best Management Practice
BO	Biological Opinion
CalEMA	California Emergency Management Agency
CALFED	CALFED Bay-Delta Program
Cal-OSHA	California Occupational Safety and Health Administration
Caltrans	California Department of Transportation
CCCDCD	Contra Costa County, Department of Conservation and Development
CCCSD	Central Contra Costa Sanitary District
CCCFB	Contra Costa County Farm Bureau
CCCFC	Contra Costa County, Flood Control and Water Conservation District
CCCPW	Contra Costa County, Public Works Department
CCWD	Contra Costa Water District
CDFG	California Department of Fish and Game, see DFG
CEMC	Chevron Environmental Management Company
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CESA	California Endangered Species Act
CFBF	California Farm Bureau Federation
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cfs	cubic foot (feet) per second
CO ₂ E	Carbon dioxide equivalent
County	Contra Costa County
CRLF	California red-legged frog
CTS	California tiger salamander
CVP	Central Valley Project
CVFPB	Central Valley Flood Protection Board
CVPIA	Central Valley Project Improvement Act
DA 109	Drainage Area 109
DCC	Delta Cross Channel
DDSD	Delta Diablo Sanitation District
Delta	Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta
DFG	California Department of Fish and Game, see CDFG
DHCCP	Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program
2.1001	2 one habitat concervation and conveyance riogram

DMV	California Department of Motor Vehicles
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DOC	California Department of Conservation
DPBC	Delta Pedalers Bicycle Club
DSOD	California Department of Water Resources, Division of Safety of Dams
DSRSD	Dublin San Ramon Services District
DWP	Delta Wetlands Project
DWR	California Department of Water Resources
EBATC	East Bay Area Trails Council
EBBC	East Bay Bicycle Coalition
EBCNPS	East Bay California Native Plant Society
EBMUD	East Bay Municipal Utility District
EBRPD	East Bay Regional Park District
ECCC	East Contra Costa County
ECCCHC	East Contra Costa County Habitat Conservancy
ECCID	East Contra Costa Irrigation District
EDR	Environmental Data Resources, Inc.
EIR	Environmental Impact Report
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
EWA	Environmental Water Account
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FESA	Federal Endangered Species Act
FMMP	Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program
FWSS	Future Water Supply Study
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
HCP	Habitat Conservation Plan
HM	Habitat Management
iair	Initial Alternatives Information Report
Itp	Incidental Take Permit
MAFA	Million acre-feet annually
MMRP	Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program
MOU	Memorandum of understanding
MSCS	Multi Species Conservation Strategy
MTBE	Mean time between events
MTC	Metropolitan Transportation District

MWD	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
NASNF	Native Alliance of the Sierra Nevada Foothills
NCCP	Natural Community Conservation Plan
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NOD	Notice of Determination
NOP	Notice of Preparation
NRDC	Natural Resources Defense Council
OCAP	Operations Criteria and Plan
OMR	Old and Middle River
PCBs	Polychlorinated biphenyls
PCL	Planning and Conservation League
PG&E	Pacific Gas and Electric
Preserve	Vasco Caves Regional Preserve
Program	Capital Road Improvement and Preservation Program
PTM	Particle Tracking Model
RCRA	Richmond Community Redevelopment Agency
RD	Reclamation District
RD800	Reclamation District 800
Reclamation	U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Region
RMP	Resource Management Plan
ROD	Record of Decision
ROW	right-of-way
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
SB	Senate Bill
SBA	South Bay Aqueduct
SCVWD	Santa Clara Valley Water District
SFPUC	San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
SMD	Save Mount Diablo
SOM	Soil Organic Matter
SR	State Route
SR4	State Route 4
SRA	State Recreation Area
SRCSD	Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District
SWC	State Water Contractors
SWP	State Water Project
SWRCB	California State Water Resources Control Board

TAF	thousand acre-foot (feet)
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UWMP	Urban Water Management Plan
Western	Western Area Power Administration
WWTP	Waste Water Treatment Plant
Zone 7	Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, Zone 7 aka Zone 7 Water Agency