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If you are reading this document, you obviously care a great deal about the recreational boating experience and the people, assets and industries involved in this wonderful endeavor. Amed with passions for our nation's waterway treasures and safety of our people, we are kindred spirits. Recreational boating is one of the most widely enioyed forms of outdoor

INTRODUCTION recreation in the United States, and understandably so. Our nation's spectacular waterways, including the oceans, Gulf of Mexico, Great Lakes, thousands of inland lakes, reservoirs and the many river systems, offer breathtaking boating opportunities nationwide. In our continuing effort to protect and/or make your boating experience even better, the U.S. Coast Guard and our many partners strive to enhance both safety and enjoyment. Our focused effort begins by gaining the knowledge and understanding of who the boating community is, the assets they use, and defining the services and needs they desire. Through proper planning and insightful stewardship of limited resources, we seek to optimize those resources and align them to meet the boating community's needs and desires. This National Recreational Boating Survey is a foundational tool for doing just that. With the data gathered through this Survey, we now have valid scientific estimates of how many boats there are in our country, the types of boats, how many people participate in boating, and how much time is spent boating on the water. This data is then used to comply with the directions given to the U.S. Coast Guard by the Office of Management and Budget and through audits to assess the effectiveness of our programs and to normalize various performance measures so that we and our partners can determine which variables most significantly influence boating participation and safety (i.e. the weather, the economy, changes in Ilfestyle, and saferty initiatives). You can locate this data both in this report and on our website (mw. uscgboating.org). I encourage you to use it to support your efforts and ultimately to inform and enhance the public's safety and our boating experience.

Yours in appreciative and respectful service,

## Capt. Francis T. Boross

INTRODUCTION
In the maritime realm, the duty of safeguarding the lives of U.S. citizens including mariners and recreational boaters falls mainly to the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) Marine safety responsibilities include ensuring the safe and environmentally sound operation of more than twenty million recreational vessels and hundreds of thousands of U.S.. -flagged commercial vessels. The USCG partners with federal agencies, state, local, and tribal govemments, marine industries, nonprofit organizations and individual mariners to improve boat safety at sea and on inland waters through complementary programs of mishap prevention and accident investigation. The USCG also has many other missions that it is responsible for in addition to marine safety, including maritime security, drug interdiction, aids to navigation, search and rescue, living marine resources, defense readiness, marine environmental protection, migrant interdiction, ice operations and other law enforcement

NATIONAL RECREATIONAL BOATING SAFETY PROGRAM
The Federal Boat Safety Act of 1971 established the National Recreational Boating Safety (RBS) Program. The Act was designed to "improve boating safety and to foster greater development, use, and enjoyment of all the waters of the United States by encouraging and assisting participation by the several States, the boating industry, and the boating public in development of more comprehensive boating safety programs. The Act goes on to declare, "the policy of Congress [is] to encourage greater and continuing uniformity of boating laws and regulations as among the several States and the Federal Government, a higher degree of reciprocity and comity
among the several jurisdictions, and closer cooperation and assistance between the Federal Government and the several States in developing, administering, and enforcing Federal and State laws and regulations pertaining to boating safety." Currently, the Coast Guard's authority to carry out the National RBS Program is contained in 46 U.S.C. 13102.

The RBS Program is a leader in improving the boating experience of the maritime public by minimizing loss of life, personal injury, property damage, and environmental harm associated with this activity. The program accomplishes this through public education and awareness programs, law enforcement of boating laws, regulation of boat design and construction, approval of boating safety equipment, and vessel safety checks for compliance with federal and state safety requirements. Its success depends on working in partnership with all stakeholders within the waterways activity spectrum and across all modes of transportation.

In 2012, 95\% (approximately $\$ 108$ million) of the RBS Program's total budget was used for grants to state boating safety programs and nonprofit organizations Ninety-five percent of that funding pool went to state agencies. Grants to state boating safety programs can be used for the following:

- providing public boating safety education:
- purchase, operation and maintenance of facilities, equipment, and supplies for boating safety educa tion and law enforcement;
- training personnel in skills related to boating safety and to the enforcement of boating safety laws and regulations;
- conducting boating safety inspections and marine casualty investigations:
- establishing and maintaining emergency or search and rescue facilities, and providing emergency or search and rescue assistance regarding recreational boating accidents;
- providing state recreational vessel numbering and titiling programs;
- acquiring, constructing, or repairing public access sites used primarly by recreational boaters and;
- establishing and maintaining waterway markers and other appropriate aids to navigation.

RBS PROGRAM'S EFFORTS TO ENHANCE EFFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS The USCG is vigorously pursuing different means to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the resourc es it has available to reduce boating-related deaths and injuries from accidents and to improve the quality of boating experiences. These efforts are important for a
number of reasons. First, the amount of money available to the RBS Program for both administration and grants was $\$ 128$ million in 2009, but decreased (by $12 \%$ ) to $\$ 113$ million in 2012 meaning that, after adjusting for inflation, significantly less dollars were available to fund boating safety efforts. Second, decades ago, Congress directed the U.S. Coast Guard to conduct research in order to obtain valid data about boating activity and about which initiatives are effective in enhancing safety. Third, the executive office's agenda to improve the management and performance of the Federal govermment is emphasizing the importance of complete information for program monitoring and improving program results. The accuracy and reliability of program reports submitted by states and grantees using Federal funds are fundamental elements of good administration, and are necessary tools for maintaining and demonstrating system integrity.

Fourth, over the years, a number of different performance audits of the RBS Program have recommended
that it improve data needed to conduct program evaluations. A report titled "Audit of the Performance Measure for the Recreational Boating Program," conducted by the USDOT Office of the Inspector General, identified that the USCG had not established criteria for monitoring of the state's RBS Program efforts. Further, the report indicated that the formula for determining the state's allotted share of the appropriated RBS Program funds did not include the state's programs in reducing fatalities. Authors of that audit recommended that the USCG institute a plan for monitoring the effectiveness of state's boating safety programs in reducing fatalities that include prescribed criteria. Another report titled "Coast Guard: Marine Safety Assessment," conducted by the Office of Management and Budget, concluded that there was no evidence that the USCG had identified or systematically monitored the key drivers of the marine safety program performance. The report further recommended that the USCG should work to normalize its existing performance measures to reflect the effect of a changing boating population (e.g., number of boats, types of boats used demographic aspects of boating participants) on the Program's performance

Finally, federal regulations require operators or owners of boats used for recreational purposes to file a boating accident report when a person dies or disappears from the vessel under circumstances that indicate death or injury, or a person is injured and requires medical treatment beyond first aid. During the last 54 years, the USCG has used annual boating deaths and injuries as a measure of recreational boating safety effectiveness; and more recently, it added the five-year average num-
ber of deaths and injuries as an indicator of long-term performance trends. However, the USCG accepts that numbers of deaths and injuries alone are not an adequate measure for assessing the effectiveness of boat ing safety programs since numbers of boating fatalities and accidents are affected by many factors, including the number of boats that are used on the water during a given year, the number of hours that they are operated, and even the number of persons that are aboard when boats are out on the water

There are countless different safety-related strategies (e.g., regulatory, education) that can be employed to reduce boating accidents and fatalities, but resources to fund them are limited. The USCG has recently initiated a number of integrated actions to enhance its capabilities to more validly and reliably assess performance and also oo identify and assess trends that may have an impact on boating safety and therefore suggest adjustments in programs. To better assign its resources, the RBS Program, with support from the National Boating Safety Advisory Council and other partners, has created the Strategic Plan of the National RBS Program. The goals, objectives, and strategies in this Plan are designed to assist all partners in boating safety in working together to reduce the incidents of preventable, boating-related deaths, injuries, and property damage. This Strategic Plan contains the performance goals of the Nationa RBS Program, and provides the USCG, states and other RBS partners with new ideas to reduce casualties and tools to measure their programs' effectiveness. The Strategic Plan concludes that to make informed policy decisions, it is essential to know the extent and compo-
sition of recreational boating activity and key facts and data relative to recreational boating accidents. The Plan recommends a number of different strategies including (1) to improve the quality, consistency, relevance, accuracy, and timeliness of accident reports, and (2) to collect reliable data (on the National Recreational Boating Survey) to develop valid and accurate exposure estimates for various types and lengths of boats.

A primary purpose of the National Recreational Boating Survey (NRBS) is to produce valid and reliable estimates of recreational boating exposure to be used to generate risk ratios. As defined by the USCG, recreational boats are craft that are operated out on the water for pleasure and recreation, not for commercial purposes. Recreational boats include: outboard, inboard and stern-drive power boats, jet boats, pontoon boats, houseboats, rowboats, canoes, kayaks, personal watercraft (e.g., jet skis), inflatable boats, kiteboards, sailboards, stand-up paddleboards and various types of sail boats. Recreational boats are also boats rented to be operated by the renter, but they do not include captained charter or party boats, ferries, cruise ships or toy boats.

A recreational boating exposure rate can be calculated and presented in the following ways:

1. Boat Day - any day that a boat is used out on the water regardless of the amount of time that day it is used.
2. Boat Hours - the number of hours a boat is used out on the water during a given period of time (e.g., day, month, year):
3. Boat-Person Day - an estimate of the number of
persons on a boat on the day that it is used out on

## the water;

4. Boat-Person Hours - the total number of hours persons are aboard a boat in use out on the water The "boat-person hours" definition is most commonly used to mean "exposure" or "recreationa boating exposure hours" in this report.

Recreational Boating Risk Ratios are fractions with exposure rates (boat days/ hours, or boat-person days/ hours) as the denominators and either the number of recreational boating accidents or fatalities are the numerators. For example: number of recreational boating accidents/ boat-person hours or the number of boating fatalities/ boat-person hours.

Recreational Boating Risk Ratios provide a more valid and consistent measure that can be used to compare rates of boating accidents and fatalities across states and different types and sizes of boats. For example, based on 2011 exposure-hour estimates, boating deaths in states per 100 million exposure hours ranged from 3 to 130. Two states had 12 reported boating deaths in 2011, but the risk of boating-related deaths was very dissimilar. For one state, the rate was 121 deaths per 100 million exposure hours; and for the other, it was 82 deaths per 100 million exposure hours, Over the next several years, the RBS Program plans to utilize exposure-based risk ratios as a primary perfor mance criterion that will be employed to evaluate the effectiveness of efforts to reduce accidents and fatalities.

## 2011 AND 2012 USCG NATIONAL RECREATIONAL BOATING SURVEYS

The USCG conducted National Recreational Boating Survey in 2011 and again in 2012. The primary purpose of both of these surveys was to develop a new system of surveys (e.g., recreational boating participants, boating trips) and methods (e.g., survey instruments, sampling, weighting) to produce reliable and valid measures of the effectiveness of elements of the RBS Program. The 2011 NRBS was designed as a system of two surveys - Participant Survey and Boat Owner Survey. The Participant Survey is a telephone, population-based survey of U.S. residents regarding their boating participation and related behaviors. The Boat Owner Survey utilized mail and telephone surveys to collect information conceming both registered and non-registered boats. Respondents were also asked if they and/or others in their household would be willing to participate in the 2012 monthly trip survey by providing information concerning the use of a specific, sampled boat.

In 2012, the Participant Survey was again conducted
by telephone using a similar survey instrument, but the Boat Owner Survey was not conducted. It was replaced by a monthly Trip Survey, conducted by telephone and web, that collected exposure-related data (e.g., whether the sampled boats were taken out on the water, the number of days out on the water, hours per day out on the water, and number of persons aboard). The monthy Trip Survey was considered a more reliable way to collect data about the number, timing, and duration of boating trips, as well as how much was spent on these trips. Conducting surveys about use of boats in the previous month reduced the recall period significantly, and most probably recall bias. The data collected on the monthly Trip Survey, along with some information from the 2011 Boat Owner Survey, were used to estimate 2012 exposure rates rather than the annual data that were used from Boat Owner Survey to estimate 2011 exposure rates. The 2012 Participant Survey was con ducted once, in January 2013. So, coverage was for the entire year.

Table 1 illustrates the structure and schedule of the 2012 NRBS, while Table 2 describes the different survey populations, data collection methods used, and key analytic goals of each survey.

TABLE 1:
The 2012 NRBS Implementation Schedule

| YEAR | QUARTER | 2011 Boat Surver | 2012 TRIP SURVEY | 2012 PARTICIPATION SURVEY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | x |  |  |
| 2012 | 4 | x |  |  |
|  | 1 | x | x |  |
|  | 2 |  | x |  |
| 2013 | 3 |  | x |  |
|  | 4 |  | x |  |
|  | 1 |  | x | x |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 2
Description of the Three NRBS' Components

| SURVEY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATA } \\ & \text { COLLECTION } \\ & \text { MODE } \end{aligned}$ | SAMPLE SOURCE(S) | UNIVERSE |  | RESPONDENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boat Survey | Phone | Random Digit Dial (RDD) | Privately owned recreational boats in al States | Non-registered recreational boats in all States | Member of boat-owning household |
|  |  |  |  | Registered recreationa boats in all States | Member of boat-owning household |
|  | Mail | Registry lists | Privately owned recreational boats | Registered recreational boats in States sharing lists | Registered boat owner |
| Trip Survey | Web and Phone | Panel | Privately-owned recreational boats |  | Boat owner panelist |
| 2011 \& 2012 <br> Participant Surveys | Phone | RDD | Boating Participants | U.S. households | Any adult household member |
|  |  |  |  | U.S. child (<16) boating population | Any adult household member (proxy) |
|  |  |  |  | U.S. adult boating population | Adult boater |
|  |  |  | Rented Boats |  | Adult boater: rented boat |



## DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE 2011 AND 2012 NRBSs

There were some significant and noteworthy differences in certain estimates reported in the 2011 and 2012 NRBSS. Some modifications in the methods employed in 2011 and the 2012 NRBSs are important to recognize and take into account when comparing both the 2011 and 2012 participation and exposure estimates. The 2011 Participant Survey began prior to the end of the 2011 and continued until the end of January 2012 meaning that some respondents reported their boating activities for the full year while others reported only for less than a year. The number of U.S. households used in the 2011 and 2012 NRBS reports came from the 2010 U.S. Census of the population. However, the number of U.S. households used in 2011 $(116,716,000)$ to estimate boating participants is smaller by $1,377,000$ households than the number used to derive 2012 estimates because households in Puerto Rico were not included for 2011 .
The number of recreational boating exposure hours estimated for 2012 ( 3.58 billion hours) is about $21 \%$ higher than the 2011 estimate (2.97 billion hours). There are also differences in the 2011 and 2012 estimated exposure rate estimates for different types of boats (Table 3 and Table 4). This does not infer, and it should not be concluded that there was an increase in exposure hours from 2011 to 2012. The reasons for this caution are briefly mentioned below and will be discussed in depth later in the report

1. The data used to estimate 2011 exposure rates came from the annual Boat Owner Survey that required respondents to recall and report boating that took place over 12 months, whereas 2012 exposure data were collected on a monthly basis.
2. The 2012 Trip Survey collected information (e.g., hours on the water, persons aboard the boat) for a particular boating day only from a person that was actually aboard the boat during the trip. On the 2011 Boat Owner Survey, respondents could report exposure-related information even if they were not actually aboard the boat on the days it was used.
3. The types of boats for which information was collected were slightly different between the two years and thus the number of boats used in estimating exposure rates was different in 2011 and 2012. Table 5 reports the number of different types of boats used to estimate 2011 and 2012 exposure rates.
4. The methods used to estimate exposure hours in 2011 and 2012 are different. In 2012, exposure hours were first calculated for each boat and then these numbers were extrapolated to the entire universe of recreational boats. A different method was used in 2011. Average number of boat use days, hours per use day, and persons aboard per use
day were calculated first for the type or entire population of boats. Then these were used along with data on the number of boats used in 2011 to estimate 2011 exposure hours. The average-based method used in 2011 was neces sary since the data collected from many boat owners did not cover 2011 entirely making it impossible to calculate valid and accurate annual exposure hours at the boat level. The USCG is confident that these improvements in the 2012 NRBS resulted in enhanced exposure estimates, but not necessarily in the higher actual number of exposure hours.

TABLE 3:
Exposure Hours by Boat Type in 2011

| BOAT TYPE | nUMBER OF BOATS IN THE U.S. (000) | BOATS USED (\%) | AVERAGE NUMBER OF USE DAYS PER YEAR | AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS ON WATER PER USE DAY | AVERAGE NUMBER OF PEOPLE ABOARD PER USE DAY | BOATING PERSONHOURS1 (000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power Boat | 10,119 | 70.3 | 19.3 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 2,053,042 |
| Sailboat | 733 | 50.4 | 19.2 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 70,906 |
| PWC | 1,689 | 69.3 | 16.3 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 130,686 |
| Canoe | 2,505 | 50.1 | 8.8 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 90,350 |
| Kayak | 3,898 | 69.3 | 12.8 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 133,125 |
| Pontoon Boat | 854 | 83.4 | 21.8 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 301,209 |
| Row/nflatable/Other Boat | 2,418 | 50.7 | 14.7 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 193,682 |
| All Boats | 22,217 | 65.5 | 16.7 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 2,972,999 |

TABLE 4:
Exposure Hours by Boat Type in 2012

| BOAT TYPE | NUMBER OF BOATS (THOUSANDS) | AVERAGE NUMBER OF USE DAYS PER YEAR | AVERAGE number of hours on WATER PER USE DAY | AVERAGE number OF PEOPLE ABOARD PER USE DAY | BOATING PERSON-HOURS (MILLIONS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power Boat | 10,147 | 12.0 | 6.0 | 2.7 | 2,035 |
| Sailboat | 735 | 11.1 | 7.8 | 2.4 | 154 |
| PWC | 1,704 | 11.0 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 212 |
| Canoe | 2,508 | 8.6 | 6.3 | 2.3 | 362 |
| Kayak | 3,916 | 11.2 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 280 |
| Pontoon Boat | 854 | 14.9 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 220 |
| Row/Inflatable/Other Boat | 1,747 | 10.0 | 6.8 | 2.4 | 322 |
| All Boats | 21,611 | 11.3 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 3,584 |

1 This represents the estimated number of boats in 2012 in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

TABLE 5:
Number of registered boats and estimate of non-registered boats in the U.S. and numbers that were used to estimate 2011 and 2012 exposure rates

| RECREATIONAL BOATS IN THE U.S. | U.S. TOTAL | USED TO ESTIMATE <br> 2011 EXPOSURE | USED TO ESTIMATE <br> 2012 EXPOSURE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boats in Puerto Rico | 65,000 | 0 | 65,000 |
| Boats of type "Other/Unknown" | 671,000 | 671,000 | 0 |
| Boats of known type in 50 States \& DC | $21,546,000$ | $21,546,000$ | $21,546,000$ |
| Total number of boats in U.S. | $22,282,000$ | $22,217,000$ | $21,611,000$ |

## ABOUT THIS REPORT

Section II provides more details about the 2012 Participant and Trip Surveys methodologies. The results of the 2012 surveys are presented in Section III. The last section offers some conclusions and discussion of when and how the RBS Program expects to conduct its next National Recreational Boating Survey


## SURVEY METHODOLOGIES

## 2012 BOATING PARTICIPANT SURVEY

The reference period for the 2012 survey was January 1,2012 to December 31, 2012. In order to insure complete coverage for the reporting period, sunveys were conducted during first quarter of 2013 . A complex, stratified sampling design was employed to collect data about recreational boating participation from 52 jurisciotions, which included all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. Both landine ( $\mathbf{( L )}$ ) and cell phone (CP) numbers were sampled via MSG Inc.'s Survey Genesys system. Households were the primary
selection unit (l.e., the entity with which the first survey contact was established). Basic demographic and participation data were collected for up to 10 members esiding in contacted households. When available, one adult participant (age 16 or older) and one child particpant were randomly selected from among the eligible (i.e., boating) household members to provide detailed person-level participation data.

## SAMPLING FRAMES AND STRATIFICATION

in order to obtain the residential telephone numbers necessary to contact households, two sampling frames were used as sources of phone numbers: the Random Digit Dial landline (RDD-LL) and cell phone (RDD-CP) frames. Both frames were list-assisted (meaning known businesses and nonworking numbers were removed) and included $1+$ blocks (blocks of 100 phone numbers from which random sample of phone numbers was selected). The participant survey sample was allocated between the two frames to achieve a $75 \%-25 \%$ land-line-cell phone number distribution.
n order to produce accurate estimates at the state level, the sample was stratified by jurisdiction. Specifically, the sample was allocated to achieve a margin of error of $\pm 5 \%$ for household-level estimates within eac uriscicition. Participation estimates produced by the 2011 NRBS were employed to determine the minimum number of individuals that must be inteniewed in order o achieve the desired precision. Table 6 shows the sample allocation and number of completed participar tion interviews in each iurisciction.

## TABLE 6:

Allocation of the Phone (Number) Sample and Number of Completed Interviews for Different Jurisdictions

| Jurisdiction | SAMPLEALLOCATION |  |  | completes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LL | CP | Total | LL | CP | Total |
| United States | 12,490 | 4,163 | 16,653 | 12,110 | 5,212 | 17,322 |
| Alabama | 227 | 76 | 302 | 213 | 95 | 308 |
| Alaska | 287 | 96 | 383 | 267 | 131 | 398 |
| Arizona | 195 | 65 | 259 | 190 | 82 | 272 |
| Arkansas | 258 | 86 | 344 | 242 | 104 | 346 |
| Calfomia | 210 | 70 | 280 | 206 | 88 | 294 |
| Colorado | 238 | 79 | 318 | 234 | 96 | 330 |
| Conneatiout | 248 | 83 | 330 | 233 | 98 | 331 |
| Delaware | 217 | 72 | 289 | 202 | 88 | 290 |
| District of Coumbia | 202 | ${ }^{67}$ | 270 | 188 | 86 | 274 |
| Florida | 257 | 86 | 343 | 240 | 105 | 345 |
| Georgia | 229 | 76 | 306 | 217 | 92 | 309 |
| Hawai | 232 | 77 | 310 | 222 | 97 | 319 |
| Idaho | 262 | 87 | 350 | 249 | 104 | 353 |
| llinois | 233 | 78 | 310 | 219 | 99 | 318 |
| Indiana | 259 | 86 | 345 | 247 | 102 | 349 |
| lowa | 247 | 82 | 329 | 238 | 102 | 340 |


| JURISDICTION | SAMPLE ALLOGATION |  |  | COMPLETES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LL | CP | Total | LL | CP | Total |
| Kansas | 218 | 73 | 291 | 211 | 95 | 306 |
| Kentucky | 255 | 85 | 340 | 240 | 105 | 345 |
| Louisiana | 240 | 80 | 320 | 231 | 94 | 325 |
| Maine | 288 | 96 | 384 | 266 | 118 | 384 |
| Maryland | 247 | 82 | 330 | 245 | 93 | 338 |
| Massachusetts | 253 | 84 | 337 | 245 | 101 | 346 |
| Michigan | 267 | 89 | 357 | 251 | 108 | 359 |
| Minnesota | 288 | 96 | 384 | 273 | 111 | 384 |
| Mississippi | 234 | 78 | 312 | 229 | 93 | 322 |
| Missouri | 260 | 87 | 347 | 252 | 103 | 355 |
| Montana | 277 | 92 | 369 | 260 | 110 | 370 |
| Nebraska | 246 | 82 | 328 | 240 | 103 | 343 |
| Nevada | 195 | 65 | 259 | 190 | 73 | 263 |
| New Hampshire | 282 | 94 | 376 | 283 | 104 | 387 |
| New Jersey | 211 | 70 | 282 | 202 | 84 | 286 |
| New Mexico | 177 | 59 | 236 | 171 | 75 | 246 |
| New York | 209 | 70 | 279 | 201 | 84 | 285 |
| North Carolina | 202 | 67 | 270 | 190 | 82 | 272 |
| North Dakota | 274 | 91 | 366 | 252 | 114 | 366 |
| Ohio | 245 | 82 | 326 | 233 | 93 | 326 |
| Oklahoma | 210 | 70 | 279 | 200 | 96 | 296 |
| Oregon | 254 | 85 | 338 | 241 | 106 | 347 |
| Pennsylvania | 234 | 78 | 312 | 237 | 100 | 337 |
| Rhode Island | 235 | 78 | 313 | 229 | 94 | 323 |
| South Carolina | 266 | 89 | 354 | 255 | 111 | 366 |
| South Dakota | 233 | 78 | 311 | 219 | 93 | 312 |
| Tennessee | 252 | 84 | 336 | 241 | 97 | 338 |
| Texas | 190 | 63 | 253 | 182 | 84 | 266 |
| Utah | 245 | 82 | 326 | 233 | 96 | 329 |
| Vermont | 281 | 94 | 374 | 267 | 116 | 383 |
| Virginia | 228 | 76 | 304 | 218 | 99 | 317 |
| Washington | 284 | 95 | 379 | 267 | 115 | 382 |
| West Virginia | 198 | 66 | 265 | 191 | 83 | 274 |
| Wisconsin | 288 | 96 | 383 | 278 | 118 | 396 |
| Wyoming | 263 | 88 | 350 | 264 | 107 | 371 |
| Puerto Rico | 163 | 54 | 218 | 316 | 185 | 501 |

## WEIGHTING OF THE 2012

## PARTICIPANT SURVEY SAMPLE

The Participant Survey responses were weighted to make data representative of all U.S. households and the general population. Data were collected at both the household and person levels; analyses were conducted at four different levels: (1) the household level, (2) adult level (16+ years old), (3) child level, and (4) the individual level (i.e., children and adults combined). This required the application of four weights: (1) a household weight (to represent all U.S. households) (2) adult weight (to represent all U.S. adults), (3) child weight (to represent all U.S. children), and (4) individual weight for all U.S. individuals. A more complete description of the weighting schemes is included in the 2012 NRBS Methodology Report available on the U.S. Coast Guard Boating Safety Division's website.

## THE 2012 TRIP SURVEY

The 2012 Tip Survey was designed to be administered to a panel of households that owned a representative sample of boats and agreed to complete the trip surveys after completing their 2011 Boat Owner Survey. This is refered to as the 2012 Tip Survey Boat Panel since the information (e.g., number of hours on the water, number of persons aboarc) that was collected concemed a speciic boat, regardess of whom in the household took the boat out on the water. Repetitive monithy surveys conceming the use of the different size and types of boats comprising the panel was considered to be the most efiective and efficient way to collect the accurate data needed to estimate the duration of trips taken on recreational boats throughout the year in the U.S. and Puerto Rico.

## RECRUITMENT <br> AND CONFIGURATION <br> OF THE 2012 TRIP PANEL

To ensure an accurate representation of registered and non-registered vessels of different types and sizes located in different states, the 2011 Boat Owner Survey was conducted using two data collection modes: the telephone and the mail. A mail version of the Boat Owner Survey was administered to a random sample of the owners of registered and documented boats in 43 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico that provided their boat registry information (i.e., the names and addresses of owners) to Info-Link Technologies, Inc. The sample was designed so that: (1) estimates of the total number of boats could be calculated with an error margin of $\pm 1$ percent at the 95 percent confidence level, (2) estimates of the numbers of different types of boats could be calculated with an error margin of $\pm 3$ percent at the 95 percent confidence level, and (3) at least 200 boats would be allocated to each state, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico (i.e., 52 jurisdictions).

The mail version of the 2011 Boat Owner Survey was sent to the sample of registered and documented boat owners in November 2011 and December 2011. The completed questionnaires were received until the end of February 2012. Approximately 13,020 boat-owning households retumed a completed questionnaire.

To gather the boat information for the seven states that did not provide their boat registry information, it was necessary to conduct telephone surveys of households in these states to find owners of registered boats Telephone surveys were also necessary to identify non-registered boat owners in all 52 jurisdictions. A special Random Digit Dial (RDD) cell and landline survey of he general population was conducted which collected data from boat-owning households in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. Respondents for households that owned registered, documents or non-registered boats of any type or size were asked to provide a roster of their boats and some basic information about each of them. If households owned just one boat, they were asked to provide detailed characteristics of that boat. Households owning multiple boats profiled one randomly selected boat. Rare boat types (e.g., very large sailboats and power boats) were sub-sampled at a higher rate than more common boat types. In states where mail Boat Owner Surveys were conducted, the emphasis was directed at collecting data about non-registered boats. Almost 19,550 households completed telephone interview between September 2011 and January 2012.

At the end of the 2011 Boat Owner Survey, respondents were asked if they would be willing to participate
in a panel and be contacted two to four times in the coming year to provide information about boating trips, and would be compensated $\$ 5$ each time they participated. The Boat Owner Survey collected contact information including name, mailing address, telephone number, and e-mail address. Those boat owners who agreed to join the panel became the sample for the

2012 Trip Survey

A total of 32,568 mail and telephone Boat Owner Surveys were conducted of boat-owning households. The size and type of boats for which a Boat Owner Survey was conducted is shown in Table 7.

## TABLE 7:

Number and Type of Boats

| Stratum | REGISTERED BOATS |  | COMPLETED BOAT SURVEYS ABOUT REGISTERED AND NON-REGISTERED BOATS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Listed | Selected for Mail Survey | Mail | Telephone | Total |
| Power Boat <16 ft | 3,121,539 | 5,941 | 1,429 | 1,920 | 3,349 |
| Power Boat 16-20 ft | 4,562,441 | 8,708 | 3,184 | 4,474 | 7,658 |
| Power Boat 21-28 ft | 1,435,749 | 5,135 | 1,852 | 1,742 | 3,594 |
| Power Boat >28 ft | 270,313 | 2,021 | 929 | 466 | 1,395 |
| Sailboat 25 fto or less | 205,132 | 2,099 | 930 | 677 | 1,607 |
| Sailboat 26 ft or greater | 112,301 | 2,318 | 1,252 | 349 | 1,601 |
| Pontoon Boat | 801,466 | 2,811 | 1,410 | 904 | 2,314 |
| Personal Water Cratt (PWC) | 1,279,095 | 4,806 | 1,083 | 1,339 | 2,422 |
| Canoe |  |  | 315 | 2,858 | 3,173 |
| Kayak | 7,176,201 | 2,287 | 270 | 2,684 | 2,954 |
| Other Boat |  |  | 366 | 2,135 | 2,501 |
| Total | 12,505,656 | 36,126 | 13,020 | 19,548 | 32,568 |

The Trip Survey panelists consisted of members of households that owned a variety of boat types and represented registered and unregistered boats in all 50 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. The panelists' boats were selected to be proportionately representative of the number of boats in different states, Puerto Rico and District of Columbia in 2011.

Since only persons that owned boats in 2011 were surveyed in the 2011 Boat Owner Survey, the Boat Trip Panel was representative of the universe of boats owned in 2011. Boats purchased during 2012 were not accounted for on the Boat Trip Panel. However, since the proportion of different type and size boats registered in 2011 and 2012 were not statistically different, the Boat Trip Panel was considered to be representative of boats owned in 2012.

A total of 23,881 recreational boats comprised the Boat Trip Panel. Table 8 shows the distribution of the Panel boat sample by boat type and size. Table 9 shows the distribution of the Trip Survey panel sample across states and the other two jurisdictions. The number of boats in different states and the other two jurisdictions is shown in Table 9 and ranged from a low of 125 for the District of Columbia to a high of 1,113 for Minnesota and 1,088 for Michigan.

| BOAT TYPE |  |  | NUMBER OF BOATS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Powerboat <16 feet |  |  | 2,178 |
| Powerboat 16-20 feet |  |  | 5,477 |
| Powerboat 21-28 feet |  |  | 2,529 |
| Powerboat greater than 28 feet |  |  | 1,002 |
| Sailboat 25 feet or less |  |  | 1,137 |
| Sailboat 26 feet or greater |  |  | 1,198 |
| Pontoon boat |  |  | 1,559 |
| Personal watercratt |  |  | 1,684 |
| Self-propelled |  |  | 6,021 |
| Other |  |  | 1,096 |
| Total |  |  | 23,881 |
| TABLE 9: <br> Boat Trip Panel Composition by Jurisdiction of Boat Registration |  |  |  |
| JURISDICTION | FREQUENCY | JURISDICTION | FREQUENCY |
| Alabama | 531 | Montana | 372 |
| Alaska | 381 | Nebraska | 217 |
| Arizona | 253 | Nevada | 216 |
| Arkansas | 427 | New Hampshire | 298 |
| Califomia | 551 | New Jersey | 377 |
| Colorado | 273 | New Mexico | 186 |
| Connecticut | 431 | New York | 683 |
| Delaware | 237 | North Carolina | 581 |
| District of Columbia | 125 | North Dakota | 284 |
| Florida | 1,011 | Ohio | 656 |
| Georgia | 494 | Oklahoma | 393 |
| Hawai | 212 | Oregon | 562 |
| Idaho | 304 | Pennsylvania | 589 |
| Ilinois | 540 | Rhode Island | 286 |
| Indiana | 385 | South Carolina | 729 |
| Iowa | 474 | South Dakota | 296 |
| Kansas | 287 | Tennessee | 531 |
| Kentucky | 372 | Texas | 569 |
| Louisiana | 559 | Utah | 282 |
| Maine | 534 | Vermont | 334 |
| Maryland | 507 | Virginia | 524 |
| Massachusetts | 540 | Washington | 625 |
| Michigan | 1,088 | West Virginia | 219 |
| Minnesota | 1,113 | Wisconsin | 978 |
| Mississippi | 463 | Wyoming | 223 |
| Missouri | 557 | Puerto Rico | 222 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 23,881 |

Figure 1: Strata to which Trip Panel boats were assigned.
 and to more fully represent the boating season, in different states and the other jurisdictions, the Trip Survey design called for panelists in the Southem Stratum to be sampled up to four times (once per quarter) over the course of 12 months, and for panelists in the Northern Stratum to be sampled up to two times over six months (for trips taken from April through September). To have a full-year account of boat trips in Northern Stratum, surveys conducted there in April collected information about trips taken from January to March 2012 and then,
in January 2013, about trins taken between October and December 2012. Once selected in a given quarter, the panel boats were not eligible to be surveyed again in that quarter. Following this selection protocol, boats selected in the first month of the first quarter were always selected in the first month of subsequent quarters. Likewise, boats selected in the second month of the first quarter were always selected in the second month of subsequent quarters. Table 10 shows the distribution of 52 jurisdictions between these two strata. The quarters and months that surveys were conducted in the two Strata are provided in Table 11.

TABLE 10:
Distribution of Jurisdictions by Northern or Southern Strata

| JURISDICTION | STRATUM | JURISDICTION | STRATUM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | Southem | Montana | Northem |
| Alaska | Northem | Nebraska | Northerm |
| Arizona | Southem | Nevada | Souther |
| Arkansas | Southem | New Hampshire | Northem |
| Califomia | Southem | New Jersey | Northerm |
| Colorado | Northem | New Mexico | Southem |
| Connecticut | Northem | New York | Northerm |
| Delaware | Northem | North Carolina | Southem |
| District of Columbia | Northem | North Dakota | Northerm |
| Florida | Southem | Ohio | Northem |
| Georgia | Southem | Oklahoma | Southem |
| Hawai | Southem | Oregon | Northerm |
| Idaho | Northem | Pennsylvania | Northerm |
| Ilinois | Northem | Rhode Island | Northem |
| Indiana | Northem | South Carolina | Southem |
| Iowa | Northem | South Dakota | Northem |
| Kansas | Northem | Tennessee | Southem |
| Kentucky | Northem | Texas | Southem |
| Louisiana | Southem | Utah | Northerm |
| Maine | Northem | Vermont | Northerm |
| Maryland | Northem | Virginia | Southem |
| Massachusetts | Northem | Washington | Northern |
| Michigan | Northem | West Virginia | Northerm |
| Minnesota | Northem | Wisconsin | Northem |
| Mississippi | Southem | Wyoming | Northerm |
| Missouri | Northem | Puerto Rico | Southem |

TABLE 11:
Quarters for which surveys were conducted in different Strata.

| QUARTER 2012 | SURVEY MONTHS | STRATUM SURVEYED |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | January 2012-March 2012 | Southem |
| 2 | Apri 2012 - June 2012 | Northern and Southem |
| 3 | July 2012 - September 2012 | Northern and Southern |
| 4 | October 2012 - December 2012 | Southem |
| QUARTER 2013 | January 2013 |  |
| 1 |  | Northem |



The owners of boats comprising the Boat Trip Panel were surveyed either by telephone or by web-based survey. Owners who provided a valid e-mail address upon completing 2011 Boat Owner Survey received web surveys. All emails that were provided were verified. The web sample was comprised of boats whose owners' e-mail addresses were checked to determine they were properly formed and that emails sent to these addresses were deliverable. The owners of boats on the panel that provided only telephone numbers were, obviously, interviewed by telephone. Boat owners who provided both an e-mail address and a telephone number were contacted first by e-mail, and if they did not respond, then an attempt was made to survey them by phone.

Each trip survey focused on only one specific boating day randomly selected from all reported days the particular boat was taken out on the water during the previous month. Information was gathered concerning the time during which the boat was out on the water during that entire day. Data collected included hours of boat use, safety behaviors, occurrence of negative incidents (e.g., accidents), and number of persons aboard. If the owner was not on board during the selected boating day, then he or she was asked to provide contact information for
a person that was on board the boat, and, if willing, that person was asked to provide the information concerning the use of the boat on that day.

Each time Trip Survey panelists completed the survey they received a gift code in the amount of $\$ 5$ redeemable at Amazon.com. The motive for using incentives was to minimize panel and survey attrition and promote prompt survey response. Prompt survey response is important because accurate recall of hours on the water is critical to achieving accurate exposure estimates.

A total of 82,431 trip survey interviews, each targeting information about one specific boating day, were initially allocated across 52 jurisdictions. The initial allocation was determined in order to gather information about approximately two boating days for each boat on the panel. Due to various factors (e.g., non- response) a total of 40,406 trip survey interviews were successfully completed; 25,447 of them were conducted by telephone while the remaining 14,959 were completed on a survey web site. Table 12 shows the number of trip surveys that were initially allocated and eventually completed in each state, District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. For example, at the outset, 649 trip surveys were allocated to Puerto Rico and 210 were successfully conducted.

TABLE 12:
Distribution of the Allocated and Completed Trip Interviews by State

| STATE | SAMPLE |  | STATE | SAMPLE |  | STATE | SAMPLE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Initial } \\ \text { Allocation } \end{gathered}$ | Completes |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Initial } \\ \text { Allocation } \end{gathered}$ | Completes |  | Initial Allocation | Completes |
| Alaska | 1,111 | 579 | Kentucky | 1,095 | 532 | New York | 2,024 | 1,021 |
| Alabama | 2,327 | 1,029 | Louisiana | 2,373 | 969 | Ohio | 1,954 | 1,084 |
| Arkansas | 1,800 | 843 | Massachusetts | 1,627 | 870 | Oklahoma | 1,720 | 695 |
| Arizona | 1,121 | 567 | Maryland | 1,507 | 686 | Oregon | 1,678 | 1,048 |
| California | 2,400 | 1,031 | Maine | 1,544 | 746 | Pennsylvania | 1,793 | 960 |
| Colorado | 779 | 433 | Michigan | 3,209 | 1,533 | Rhode Island | 853 | 388 |
| Connecticut | 1,298 | 668 | Minnesota | 3,226 | 1,640 | South Carolina | 3,260 | 1,547 |
| D.c. | 347 | 258 | Missouri | 1,612 | 811 | South Dakota | 871 | 416 |
| Delaware | 706 | 330 | Mississippi | 2,045 | 946 | Tennessee | 2,381 | 1,210 |
| Florida | 4,508 | 2,006 | Montana | 1,067 | 583 | Texas | 2,451 | 1,111 |
| Georgia | 2,272 | 1,094 | North Carolina | 2,667 | 1,346 | Utah | 819 | 368 |
| Hawaii | 932 | 368 | North Dakota | 849 | 412 | Virginia | 2,432 | 1,280 |
| Iowa | 1,372 | 676 | Nebraska | 642 | 302 | Vermont | 981 | 500 |
| Idaho | 856 | 430 | New Hampshire | 866 | 384 | Washington | 1,883 | 1,079 |
| Ilinois | 1,583 | 975 | New Jersey | 1,136 | 614 | Wisconsin | 2,882 | 1,464 |
| Indiana | 1,082 | 536 | New Mexico | 826 | 406 | West Virginia | 623 | 243 |
| Kansas | 842 | 473 | Nevada | 936 | 439 | Wyoming | 614 | 267 |



## WEIGHTING OF THE TRIP

 SURVEY PANELWeighting of the sample data (completed surveys about trips [boating days] taken on Panel boats) was done to produce estimates similar to what would have been obtained if the target population (all boats in the U.S.) was surveyed in its en tirety. Three different weights were devised and applied: (1) a boat weight ensured that the results reflect all boats owned in the United States on January 1, 2012; (2) a water-day (refered to as a boating day in this report) weight to ensure that the survey estimates reflect all days when the boat was taken out on the water; and (3) the docked-day weight to make survey estimates reflect all days when the boat was used at dock. A more detailed description of the procedures used to weight the trip survey data can be found in the National Recreational Boating Survey Methodology Report of the 2102 Trip Survey posted on the U.S. Coast Guard Boating Safety Program's website (http://www.uscgboating.org)


## SURVEY FINDINGS

This section presents the findings of both the Boating Participation and Tip Surveys. Some of the findings include individual and household participation rates, age and gender of participants, popularity of various boating activities, boat ownership, and boating exposure hours, Also included are state boating risk ratios calculated using exposure hours and boating accident reports (e.g., casualies).

## HOUSEHOLD PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING

Previous research has shown that some individuals do not perceive fishing from a boat or canoeing/kayaking to be a form of boating and, as a consequence, answer "no" when asked if they had gone boating even though they went fishing from a boat, kayaked or canoed. Therefore, in this study, respondents were asked a general question if anyone in their household spent time on a recreational boat in 2012, and two specific questions: if anyone in the household fished from a boat and if anyone used a canoe or kayak in 2012.

About $27.3 \%$ or 32.3 million of the estimated 118.1 million U.S. households had at least one member who boated in 2012.

Figure 2: Household Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in U.S. Regions.


TABLE 14:
Household Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 by State in the Northeast Region

| NORTHEAST STATES | households in NORTHEAST REGION (SOURCE: 2010 U.S. GENSUS) |  | HOUSE | OLD P | RTICIPATION IN RECREA OATING IN 2012 |  | ONAL | $\begin{gathered} \text { BOAT } \\ \text { OWNERSHIP } \\ \text { RATE (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (thousands) | Percent <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | Percent <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overall } \\ & \text { Participation } \\ & \text { Rate' }^{\prime}(\%) \end{aligned}$ | Fished from a Recreationa Boat (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Used a } \\ \text { Canoe / } \\ \text { Kayak (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Northeast Region | 21,215 | 100.0 | 6,286 | 100.0 | 29.6 | 16.3 | 19.2 | 19.8 |
| Connecticut | 1,371 | 6.5 | 438 | 7.0 | 31.9 | 20.2 | 21.8 | 23.6 |
| Maine | 557 | 2.6 | 255 | 4.1 | 45.7 | 29.8 | 37.7 | 48.9 |
| Massachusetts | 2,547 | 12.0 | 924 | 14.7 | 36.3 | 16.0 | 25.1 | 22.2 |
| New Hampshire | 519 | 2.4 | 224 | 3.6 | 43.2 | 23.6 | 35.9 | 45.1 |
| New Jersey | 3,214 | 15.2 | 745 | 11.9 | 23.2 | 16.9 | 16.1 | 17.2 |
| New York | 7,318 | 34.5 | 2,021 | 32.2 | 27.6 | 13.6 | 17.6 | 16.2 |
| Pennsylvania | 5,019 | 23.7 | 1,452 | 23.1 | 28.9 | 16.1 | 15.3 | 17.0 |
| Rhode Island | 414 | 1.9 | 111 | 1.8 | 26.8 | 17.0 | 18.2 | 23.3 |
| Vermont | 256 | 1.2 | 116 | 1.9 | 45.4 | 23.1 | 37.4 | 42.9 |

'This represents the number of boating households per 100 households.
TABLE 15:
Household Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 by State in the Midwest Region

| MIDWEST STATES | HOUSEHOLDS IN MIDWEST REGION (SOURCE: 2010 U.S GENSUS) |  | HOUSEHOLD PARTICIPATION IN REGREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BOAT } \\ \text { OWNERSHIP } \\ \text { RATE (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overall } \\ & \text { Participation } \\ & \text { Rate }^{\prime}(\%) \end{aligned}$ | Fished from a Recreationa Boat (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Used a } \\ \text { Canoe } \\ \text { Kayak (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Midwest Region | 26,216 | 100.0 | 8,139 | 100.0 | 31.0 | 20.8 | 14.9 | 23.6 |
| Illinois | 4,837 | 18.5 | 1,108 | 13.6 | 22.9 | 13.1 | 9.4 | 17.2 |
| Indiana | 2,502 | 9.5 | 775 | 9.5 | 31.0 | 17.6 | 14.2 | 20.8 |
| lowa | 1,222 | 4.7 | 354 | 4.4 | 29.0 | 21.0 | 13.5 | 19.6 |
| Kansas | 1,112 | 4.2 | 278 | 3.4 | 25.0 | 13.4 | 7.3 | 19.1 |
| Michigan | 3,873 | 14.8 | 1,304 | 16.0 | 33.7 | 23.1 | 21.6 | 29.2 |
| Minnesota | 2,087 | 8.0 | 966 | 11.9 | 46.3 | 33.5 | 23.6 | 35.6 |
| Missouri | 2,376 | 9.1 | 788 | 9.7 | 33.2 | 22.2 | 14.3 | 23.4 |
| Nebraska | 721 | 2.8 | 207 | 2.5 | 28.7 | 22.1 | 10.3 | 19.1 |
| North Dakota | 281 | 1.1 | 119 | 1.5 | 42.3 | 36.0 | 14.2 | 34.7 |
| Ohio | 4,603 | 17.6 | 1,157 | 14.2 | 25.1 | 16.6 | 11.5 | 17.4 |
| South Dakota | 322 | 1.2 | 124 | 1.5 | 38.5 | 29.8 | 13.0 | 31.0 |
| Wisconsin | 2,280 | 8.7 | 958 | 11.8 | 42.0 | 32.6 | 21.2 | 35.9 |

[^0]TABLE 16:
Household Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 by State in the South Region

SOUTH STATES \begin{tabular}{cccc}
HOUSEHOLDS IN <br>
THE SOUTH <br>
(SOURCE: 2010 U.S. <br>
CENSUS)

$\quad$ HOUSEHOLD PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL 

BOATING IN 2012

 

OWNERSHIP <br>
RATE (\%)
\end{tabular}

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | Percent (\%) | Overall Participation Rate $^{1}$ (\%) | Fished from a Recreational Boat (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Used a } \\ \text { Canoe / } \\ \text { Kayak (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South Region | 43,610 | 100.0 | 11,552 | 100.0 | 26.5 | 19.5 | 12.7 | 20.7 |
| Alabama | 1,884 | 4.3 | 557 | 4.8 | 29.6 | 21.5 | 11.3 | 21.1 |
| Arkansas | 1,147 | 2.6 | 289 | 2.5 | 25.2 | 27.3 | 10.0 | 27.0 |
| Delaware | 342 | 0.8 | 93 | 0.8 | 27.3 | 19.5 | 13.0 | 21.9 |
| D.c. | 267 | 0.6 | 55 | 0.5 | 20.8 | 7.9 | 12.5 | 7.7 |
| Florida | 7,421 | 17.0 | 2,120 | 18.3 | 28.6 | 21.3 | 16.8 | 21.0 |
| Georgia | 3,586 | 8.2 | 1,061 | 9.2 | 29.6 | 19.3 | 11.6 | 15.8 |
| Kentucky | 1,720 | 3.9 | 437 | 3.8 | 25.4 | 22.6 | 9.6 | 23.0 |
| Louisiana | 1,728 | 4.0 | 525 | 4.5 | 30.4 | 26.8 | 9.1 | 24.5 |
| Maryland | 2,156 | 4.9 | 548 | 4.7 | 25.4 | 18.2 | 16.9 | 18.2 |
| Mississippi | 1,116 | 2.6 | 271 | 2.3 | 24.3 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 21.9 |
| North Carolina | 3,745 | 8.6 | 1,144 | 9.9 | 30.6 | 19.9 | 14.1 | 23.9 |
| Oklahoma | 1,460 | 3.3 | 390 | 3.4 | 26.7 | 21.2 | 8.4 | 22.7 |
| South Carolina | 1,801 | 4.1 | 525 | 4.5 | 29.1 | 17.4 | 12.9 | 23.6 |
| Tennessee | 2,494 | 5.7 | 642 | 5.6 | 25.8 | 18.7 | 11.7 | 21.2 |
| Texas | 8,923 | 20.5 | 1,876 | 16.2 | 21.0 | 17.0 | 9.9 | 19.2 |
| Virginia | 3,056 | 7.0 | 824 | 7.1 | 27.0 | 15.6 | 16.3 | 20.1 |
| West Virginia | 764 | 1.8 | 195 | 1.7 | 25.5 | 18.6 | 10.9 | 18.4 |

'This represents the number of boating households per 100 households.


## TABLE 17:

Household Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 by State in the West Region

| WEST STATES | households in THE WEST (SOURCE: 2010 U.S. CENSUS) |  | hOUSEHOLD PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |  |  | BOAT OWNERSHIP RATE (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overall } \\ & \text { Participation } \\ & \text { Rate }^{\prime}(\%) \end{aligned}$ | Fished from a Recreationa Boat (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Used a } \\ \text { Canoe / } \\ \text { Kayak (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| West Region | 25,675 | 100.0 | 6,121 | 100.0 | 23.8 | 13.8 | 11.7 | 17.1 |
| Alaska | 258 | 1.0 | 128 | 2.1 | 49.7 | 42.5 | 27.6 | 44.4 |
| Arizona | 2,381 | 9.3 | 395 | 6.5 | 16.6 | 11.4 | 7.1 | 14.9 |
| California | 12,577 | 49.0 | 2,545 | 41.6 | 20.2 | 9.5 | 10.7 | 11.1 |
| Colorado | 1,973 | 7.7 | 441 | 7.2 | 22.4 | 13.9 | 9.2 | 19.4 |
| Hawaii | 455 | 1.8 | 104 | 1.7 | 22.8 | 9.3 | 16.5 | 17.1 |
| Idaho | 579 | 2.3 | 230 | 3.8 | 39.7 | 26.7 | 15.7 | 36.6 |
| Montana | 410 | 1.6 | 145 | 2.4 | 35.4 | 25.8 | 19.8 | 38.2 |
| Nevada | 1,006 | 3.9 | 183 | 3.0 | 18.2 | 8.9 | 4.8 | 13.8 |
| New Mexico | 791 | 3.1 | 91 | 1.5 | 11.5 | 9.0 | 5.3 | 10.5 |
| Oregon | 1,519 | 5.9 | 519 | 8.5 | 34.1 | 24.8 | 15.1 | 28.9 |
| Utah | 878 | 3.4 | 249 | 4.1 | 28.4 | 18.1 | 13.5 | 22.3 |
| Washington | 2,620 | 10.2 | 1,030 | 16.8 | 39.3 | 24.5 | 20.4 | 29.6 |
| Wyoming | 227 | 0.9 | 60 | 1.0 | 26.7 | 20.8 | 9.3 | 26.4 |

'This represents the number of boating households per 100 households.


## INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING

The tables in this section report the percentage of persons in the U.S. "who went out on the water on different types and sizes of recreational boats in 2012. Persons that went out on the water aboard boats are identified as boating partici pants". A small percentage (less than 10\%) of individuals in the U.S. spent time aboard docked boats (e.g., socializing), and did not go out on the water. These are not considered to be boating participants and the time they spent on boats is not included in exposure estimates.

The participation rate of individuals was greatest in the Midwest, at 29.1\% (as compared with the national participation rate of $23.5 \%$ ). A quarter ( $25.6 \%$ ) of the persons residing in states in the Northeast region went out on the water aboard recreational boats at least once during 2012. The South region had the largest number of boating participants, 26.3 million, which constituted over a third of all boating participants in the U.S.

States with highest individual participation rates included Maine (47.7\%), Minnesota (45.7\%), North Dakota (44.6\%), and Alaska (43.9\%). States with the lowest individual participation rates included New Mexico (7.7\%), Arizona (13.0\%), Neva da (15.0\%), and Texas (17.1\%).

Figure 3: Individual Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in U.S. Regions.


TABLE 18:
Individual Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the U.S. Regions

| RECION | U.S. POPULATION ${ }^{1}$ |  | INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\%)}{\text { Percent }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\%)}{\text { Percent }}$ | Overall Participation Rate ${ }^{2}$ (\%) |
| United States | 317,581 | 100.0 | 74,537 | 100.0 | 23.5 |
| Northeast | 55,761 | 17.6 | 14,290 | 19.2 | 25.6 |
| Midwest | 67,316 | 21.2 | 19,572 | 26.3 | 29.1 |
| South | 117,257 | 36.9 | 26,344 | 35.3 | 22.5 |
| West | 73,579 | 23.2 | 13,966 | 18.7 | 19.0 |
| Puerto Rico | 3,667 | 1.2 | 365 | 0.5 | 9.9 |

Source: 2012 U.S. Census Burea's current population estint
Source: 2012 U.S. Census Bureau's current population estimates
eThis represents the number of boating participants per 100 individuals in the population

TABLE 19:
Individual Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the States in the Northeast Region

| NORTHEAST STATE | POPULATION IN NORTHEAST' |  | INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> (\%) | Overall Participation Rate $^{2}$ (\%) |
| Northeast Region | 55,761 | 100.0 | 14,290 | 100.0 | 25.6 |
| Connecticut | 3,590 | 6.4 | 937 | 6.6 | 26.1 |
| Maine | 1,329 | 2.4 | 634 | 4.4 | 47.7 |
| Massachusetts | 6,646 | 11.9 | 2,169 | 15.2 | 32.6 |
| New Hampshire | 1,321 | 2.4 | 535 | 3.7 | 40.5 |
| New Jersey | 8,865 | 15.9 | 1,783 | 12.5 | 20.1 |
| New York | 19,570 | 35.1 | 4,694 | 32.9 | 24.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 12,764 | 22.9 | 2,991 | 20.9 | 23.4 |
| Rhode Island | 1,050 | 1.9 | 288 | 2.0 | 27.4 |
| Vermont | 626 | 1.1 | 260 | 1.8 | 41.5 |

Source: 2012 U.S. Census Bureau's current population estimates
'This represents the number of boating participants per 100 individuals in the population

TABLE 20:
Individual Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the States in the Midwest Region

| MIDWEST STATE | POPULATION IN MIDWEST' |  | INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | Percent (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | Percent (\%) | Overall Participation Rate ${ }^{2}$ (\%) |
| Midwest Region | 67,316 | 100.0 | 19,572 | 100.0 | 29.1 |
| Illinois | 12,875 | 19.1 | 2,293 | 11.7 | 17.8 |
| Indiana | 6,537 | 9.7 | 2,006 | 10.3 | 30.7 |
| lowa | 3,074 | 4.6 | 821 | 4.2 | 26.7 |
| Kansas | 2,886 | 4.3 | 625 | 3.2 | 21.7 |
| Michigan | 9,883 | 14.7 | 3,655 | 18.7 | 37.0 |
| Minnesota | 5,379 | 8.0 | 2,461 | 12.6 | 45.7 |
| Missouri | 6,022 | 8.9 | 1,956 | 10.0 | 32.5 |
| Nebraska | 1,856 | 2.8 | 515 | 2.6 | 27.8 |
| North Dakota | 700 | 1.0 | 312 | 1.6 | 44.6 |
| Ohio | 11,544 | 17.1 | 2,310 | 11.8 | 20.0 |
| South Dakota | 833 | 1.2 | 331 | 1.7 | 39.7 |
| Wisconsin | 5,726 | 8.5 | 2,287 | 11.7 | 39.9 |

'Source: 2012 U.S. Census Bureau's current population estimate
SThis represents the number of boating participants per 100 individuals in the population


TABLE 21:
Individual Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the States in the South Region

| SOUTH STATE | POPULATION IN SOUTH |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: 2012 U.S. Census Bureau's current population estimate
Source: 2012 U.S. Census Bureau's current population estimates
2This represents the number of boating participants per 100 individuals in the population

TABLE 22:
Individual Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the States in the West Region

| WEST STATE | POPULATION IN WEST' |  | INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> (\%) | Overall Participation Rate $^{2}$ (\%) |
| West Region | 73,579 | 100.0 | 13,966 | 100.0 | 19.0 |
| Alaska | 731 | 1.0 | 321 | 2.3 | 43.9 |
| Arizona | 6,553 | 8.9 | 853 | 6.1 | 13.0 |
| California | 38,041 | 51.7 | 5,571 | 39.9 | 14.6 |
| Colorado | 5,188 | 7.1 | 1,026 | 7.3 | 19.8 |
| Hawaii | 1,392 | 1.9 | 235 | 1.7 | 16.9 |
| Idaho | 1,596 | 2.2 | 615 | 4.4 | 38.6 |
| Montana | 1,005 | 1.4 | 344 | 2.5 | 34.2 |
| Nevada | 2,759 | 3.7 | 414 | 3.0 | 15.0 |
| New Mexico | 2,086 | 2.8 | 161 | 1.2 | 7.7 |
| Oregon | 3,899 | 5.3 | 1,248 | 8.9 | 32.0 |
| Utah | 2,855 | 3.9 | 781 | 5.6 | 27.4 |
| Washington | 6,897 | 9.4 | 2,260 | 16.2 | 32.8 |
| Wyoming | 576 | 0.8 | 136 | 1.0 | 23.5 |

'Source: 2012 U.S. Census Bureau's current population estimates
2This represents the number of boating participants per 100 individuals in the population


## GENDER OF RECREATIONAL <br> BOATING PARTICIPANTS

This survey also collected information about the gender of boating participants. A few of the survey respondents did not report their gender and as a result they were excluded from the calculations. Table 23 reports the gender of $312,526,000$ of the $317,581,000$ U.S. residents: 5,055,000 residents had unknown gender.

In 2012, women comprised just under half ( $45 \%$, 33.3 milion) of the almost 74 million U.S. boating participants. The rate of participation among females did not dififer a great deal across dififerent regions. In Northeast,
women of all ages represented $46.5 \%$ of participants; in Midwest, $46.3 \%$; in South, $43.3 \%$; in West, 45.0\%, and in Puerto Rico, 48.8\%.

Overall, $20.5 \%$ of adult women and $21.0 \%$ of women of all ages (compared with $27.1 \%$ of adult men and $26.5 \%$ of men of all ages) across the U.S. participated in boating in 2012. About 32.6 million females in the Midwest participated in boating, which is about $26.7 \%$ of females of all ages in the region.

| REGION | GENDER | U.S. POPULATION ${ }^{1}$ |  | individual participation in recreational BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Number (thousands) | $\underset{(\%)}{\text { Percent }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Overall } \\ \text { Participation Rate }{ }^{2} \\(\%)}}{ }$ |
| United States | Female | 158,891 | 50.8 | 33,316 | 45.0 | 21.0 |
|  | Male | 153,635 | 49.2 | 40,681 | 55.0 | 26.5 |
|  | U.S. Total | 312,526 | 100.0 | 73,997 | 100.0 | 23.7 |
| Northeast | Female | 28,126 | 51.4 | 6,620 | 46.5 | 23.5 |
|  | Male | 26,614 | 48.6 | 7,627 | 53.5 | 28.7 |
|  | Northeast Total | 54,740 | 100.0 | 14,247 | 100.0 | 26.0 |
| Midwest | Female | 33,558 | 50.6 | 8,968 | 46.3 | 26.7 |
|  | Male | 32,721 | 49.4 | 10,402 | 53.7 | 31.8 |
|  | Midwest Total | 66,278 | 100.0 | 19,371 | 100.0 | 29.2 |
| South | Female | 59,123 | 51.1 | 11,338 | 43.3 | 19.2 |
|  | Male | 56,663 | 48.9 | 14,873 | 56.7 | 26.2 |
|  | South Total | 115,787 | 100.0 | 26,211 | 100.0 | 22.6 |
| West | Female | 36,197 | 50.2 | 6,213 | 45.0 | 17.2 |
|  | Male | 35,893 | 49.8 | 7,594 | 55.0 | 21.2 |
|  | West Total | 72,091 | 100.0 | 13,807 | 100.0 | 19.2 |
| Puerto Rico | Female | 1,887 | 52.0 | 176 | 48.8 | 9.3 |
|  | Male | 1,744 | 48.0 | 185 | 51.2 | 10.6 |
|  | Puerto Rico Total | 3,630 | 100.0 | 361 | 100.0 | 9.9 |

'Source: 2012 U.S. Census Bureau's current population estimates
2This represents the number of boating participants per 100 individuals in the population


TABLE 24:
Adult Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the U.S. Regions by Gender

| REGION | GENDER | U.S. ADULT POPULATION ${ }^{1}$ |  | adult participation in recreational BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number (thousands) | $\underset{(\%)}{\text { Percent }}$ | Number (thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Overall Participation Rate ${ }^{2}$ $(\%)$ |
| United States | Female | 124,763 | 51.2 | 25,547 | 44.2 | 20.5 |
|  | Male | 118,702 | 48.8 | 32,216 | 55.8 | 27.1 |
|  | U.S. Total | 243,464 | 100.0 | 57,763 | 100.0 | 23.7 |
| Northeast | Female | 22,181 | 51.3 | 4,982 | 45.3 | 22.5 |
|  | Male | 21,097 | 48.7 | 6,017 | 54.7 | 28.5 |
|  | Northeast Total | 43,277 | 100.0 | 10,999 | 100.0 | 25.4 |
| Midwest | Female | 26,476 | 51.3 | 6,897 | 46.0 | 26.1 |
|  | Male | 25,094 | 48.7 | 8,096 | 54.0 | 32.3 |
|  | Midwest Total | 51,570 | 100.0 | 14,993 | 100.0 | 29.1 |
| South | Female | 46,779 | 51.7 | 9,087 | 42.8 | 19.4 |
|  | Male | 43,656 | 48.3 | 12,147 | 57.2 | 27.8 |
|  | South Total | 90,435 | 100.0 | 21,234 | 100.0 | 23.5 |
| West | Female | 27,827 | 50.3 | 4,443 | 43.4 | 16.0 |
|  | Male | 27,484 | 49.7 | 5,803 | 56.6 | 21.1 |
|  | West Total | 55,311 | 100.0 | 10,246 | 100.0 | 18.5 |
| Puerto Rico | Female | 1,500 | 52.2 | 137 | 47.3 | 9.2 |
|  | Male | 1,371 | 47.8 | 153 | 52.7 | 11.2 |
|  | Puerto Rico Total | 2,871 | 100.0 | 291 | 100.0 | 10.1 |

'Source: 2012 U.S. Census Bureau's current population estimates
2This represents the number of boating particicanats per 100 individuals in the population

TABLE 25:
Child Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the U.S. Regions by Gender

| RECION | GENDER | U.S. CHILD POPULATION ${ }^{1}$ |  | GHILD PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number (thousands) | Percent (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | Percent <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overall } \\ & \text { Participation } \text { Rate }^{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ |
| United States | Female | 31,404 | 49.0 | 7,447 | 48.1 | 23.7 |
|  | Male | 32,726 | 51.0 | 8,048 | 51.9 | 24.6 |
|  | U.S. Total | 64,130 | 100.0 | 15,496 | 100.0 | 24.2 |
| Northeast | Female | 5,400 | 52.2 | 1,601 | 51.5 | 29.7 |
|  | Male | 4,946 | 47.8 | 1,510 | 48.5 | 30.5 |
|  | Northeast Total | 10,346 | 100.0 | 3,111 | 100.0 | 30.1 |
| Midwest | Female | 6,547 | 47.9 | 1,963 | 47.4 | 30.0 |
|  | Male | 7,130 | 52.1 | 2,178 | 52.6 | 30.6 |
|  | Midwest Total | 13,677 | 100.0 | 4,142 | 100.0 | 30.3 |
| South | Female | 11,329 | 47.7 | 2,122 | 44.7 | 18.7 |
|  | Male | 12,417 | 52.3 | 2,626 | 55.3 | 21.1 |
|  | South Total | 23,746 | 100.0 | 4,747 | 100.0 | 20.0 |
| West | Female | 7,754 | 49.6 | 1,722 | 50.3 | 22.2 |
|  | Male | 7,870 | 50.4 | 1,703 | 49.7 | 21.6 |
|  | West Total | 15,624 | 100.0 | 3,425 | 100.0 | 21.9 |
| Puerto Rico | Female | 374 | 50.8 | 39 | 55.2 | 10.4 |
|  | Male | 363 | 49.2 | 31 | 44.8 | 8.7 |
|  | Puerto Rico Total | 737 | 100.0 | 70 | 100.0 | 9.5 |

SThis represents the number of boating participants per 100 individuals in the population


## AGES OF RECREATIONAL BOATING PARTICIPANTS

This section reports survey findings and related estimates of boating participants in different age categories. The population reported in Table 26 is 308,237,000 whereas the U.S. population in 2012 was 317,581,000. The discrepancy of $9,344,000$ is the number of persons whose ages were unknown and therefore they are not included in the estimates, Any discrepancies between numbers reported in different tables comprising this section are due to a combination of sampling errors and missing data (e.g. age and gender).

About 73.4 million persons representing almost a quarter (23.8\%) of the U.S. population participated in recreational boat ing in 2012. This includes 57.9 million adults and 15.6 million children. Rates of participation ranged from $30.4 \% \mathrm{among}$ persons aged $11-15$ to a low of $15.5 \%$ of persons ages $0-5$ years. Participation drops off substantially for persons aged $65+(15.6 \%)$ compared to those aged 35 to 44 ( $27.5 \%$ ).

Rates of participation among persons in different age categories are very different across the four regions of the U.S. For example, participation among persons 65 or older is $10.7 \%$ in the West region compared to $17.9 \%$ in the Midwest region.

Almost $42 \%$ of recreational boating participants are ages 35 to $64 ; 16.3 \%$ are 45 and 54 years old. Only $9.1 \%$ of boating participants are 65 or older.

Figure 4: Recreational Boating Participants in 2012 by Age


TABLE 26:
Individual Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the U.S. by Age

| AGE | U.S. POPULATION ${ }^{1}$ |  | INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | Percent (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Percent }}{\substack{\text { (\%) }}}$ | Overall Participation $\operatorname{Rate}^{2}$ (\%) |
| All Ages | 308,237 | 100.0 | 73,414 | 100.0 | 23.8 |
| 0 to 5 | 24,086 | 7.8 | 3,734 | 5.1 | 15.5 |
| 6 to 10 | 20,162 | 6.5 | 5,733 | 7.8 | 28.4 |
| 11 to 15 | 20,075 | 6.5 | 6,098 | 8.3 | 30.4 |
| 16 to 24 | 45,643 | 14.8 | 11,450 | 15.6 | 25.1 |
| 25 to 34 | 34,721 | 11.3 | 9,092 | 12.4 | 26.2 |
| 35 to 44 | 36,747 | 11.9 | 10,115 | 13.8 | 27.5 |
| 45 to 54 | 46,318 | 15.0 | 11,995 | 16.3 | 25.9 |
| 55 to 64 | 37,766 | 12.3 | 8,521 | 11.6 | 22.6 |
| $65+$ | 42,718 | 13.9 | 6,678 | 9.1 | 15.6 |

Source: 2012 U.S. Census Bureau's current population estimates
${ }^{2}$ This represents the number of boating participants per 100 individuals in the population.


Individual Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the Regions by Age


TABLE 27 CONT

## REGION

AGE
POPULATION
individual participation in


| 55 to 64 | POPULATION |  | RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8,203 | 11.5 | 1,287 | 9.4 | 15.7 |
| 65+ | 9,109 | 12.8 | 973 | 7.1 | 10.7 |
| West Total | 71,089 | 100.0 | 13,737 | 100.0 | 19.3 |
| 0 to 5 | 250 | 6.9 | 18 | 5.1 | 7.3 |
| 6 to 10 | 229 | 6.3 | 21 | 5.8 | 9.2 |
| 11 to 15 | 259 | 7.2 | 31 | 8.6 | 11.9 |
| 16 to 24 | 541 | 15.0 | 68 | 18.8 | 12.5 |
| 25 to 34 | 410 | 11.4 | 66 | 18.3 | 16.1 |
| 35 to 44 | 427 | 11.8 | 65 | 17.9 | 15.1 |
| 45 to 54 | 521 | 14.4 | 38 | 10.5 | 7.3 |
| 55 to 64 | 456 | 12.6 | 31 | 8.5 | 6.8 |
| $65+$ | 518 | 14.3 | 23 | 6.5 | 4.5 |
| Puerto Rico Total | 3,610 | 100.0 | 361 | 100.0 | 10.0 |

'Source: 2012 U.S. Census Bureau's current population estimates
2This represents the number of boating participants per 100 individuals in the population.


## ADULT

 PARTICIPATION INRECREATIONAL
BOATING

For the purposes of this survey, an adult is defined as an individual who is 16 years old or older, while an individual 15 or younger is considered a child. A few survey participants did not report their age, making it impos sible to categorize them as either adults or children. Due to missing age information for some respondents, the number of adults and children reported in Tables 28 - 32 , which sum to $308,273,000$, is smaller than the

2012 U.S. population $(317,581,000)$. The difference represents individuals with unknown age.

Adult participation rates varied considerably across different regions of the U.S.. The rate of participation was greatest in the Midwest region, at 29.1\%. Minnesota (47.1\%), Maine (47.3\%), and Alaska (44.2\%) had the highest adult participation among the states.

TABLE 29:
Adult Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the States in the Northeast Region

| NORTHEAST STATE | ADULT' POPULATION IN NORTHEAST ${ }^{2}$ |  | ADULT PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent (\%) | Overall Participation Rate ${ }^{3}$ (\%) |
| Northeast Region | 43,348 | 100.0 | 11,012 | 100.0 | 25.4 |
| Connecticut | 2,789 | 6.4 | 739 | 6.7 | 26.5 |
| Maine | 1,082 | 2.5 | 511 | 4.6 | 47.3 |
| Massachusetts | 5,264 | 12.1 | 1,703 | 15.5 | 32.4 |
| New Hampshire | 1,053 | 2.4 | 413 | 3.7 | 39.2 |
| New Jersey | 6,791 | 15.7 | 1,391 | 12.6 | 20.5 |
| New York | 14,914 | 34.4 | 3,579 | 32.5 | 24.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 10,114 | 23.3 | 2,234 | 20.3 | 22.1 |
| Rhode Island | 830 | 1.9 | 231 | 2.1 | 27.9 |
| Vermont | 512 | 1.2 | 210 | 1.9 | 41.1 |

${ }^{2}$ Adults are individuals who are 16 years old or older
ensus Bureau's current population estimates
${ }^{3}$ This represents the number of adult boating participants per 100 adults in the population

TABLE 30:
Adult Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the States in the Midwest Region

| MIDWEST STATE | ADULT' POPULATION IN MIDWEST ${ }^{2}$ |  | AdULT PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | Percent (\%) | Overall Participation Rate $^{3}$ (\%) |
| Midwest Region | 51,657 | 100.0 | 15,016 | 100.0 | 29.1 |
| Illinois | 9,735 | 18.8 | 1,767 | 11.8 | 18.1 |
| Indiana | 5,005 | 9.7 | 1,471 | 9.8 | 29.4 |
| lowa | 2,351 | 4.6 | 650 | 4.3 | 27.6 |
| Kansas | 2,198 | 4.3 | 458 | 3.0 | 20.8 |
| Michigan | 7,647 | 14.8 | 2,724 | 18.1 | 35.6 |
| Minnesota | 4,105 | 7.9 | 1,933 | 12.9 | 47.1 |
| Missouri | 4,651 | 9.0 | 1,482 | 9.9 | 31.9 |
| Nebraska | 1,397 | 2.7 | 368 | 2.5 | 26.4 |
| North Dakota | 535 | 1.0 | 228 | 1.5 | 42.6 |
| Ohio | 8,976 | 17.4 | 1,956 | 13.0 | 21.8 |
| South Dakota | 633 | 1.2 | 244 | 1.6 | 38.6 |
| Wisconsin | 4,422 | 8.6 | 1,736 | 11.6 | 39.3 |

Adults are individuals who are 16 years old or older
pulation estimates
${ }^{3}$ This represents the number of adult boating participants per 100 adults in the population
TABLE 30:

TABLE 28:
Adult Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the U.S. Regions

| REGION | U.S. ADULT' POPULATION ${ }^{2}$ |  | ADULT PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Number (thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ (\%))^{\text {P }} \end{gathered}$ | Overall Participatio Rate ${ }^{3}$ (\%) |
| United States | 243,950 | 100.0 | 57,886 | 100.0 | 23.7 |
| Northeast | 43,348 | 17.8 | 11,012 | 19.0 | 25.4 |
| Midwest | 51,657 | 21.2 | 15,016 | 25.9 | 29.1 |
| South | 90,636 | 37.2 | 21,274 | 36.8 | 23.5 |
| West | 55,436 | 22.7 | 10,293 | 17.8 | 18.6 |
| Puerto Rico | 2,873 | 1.2 | 291 | 0.5 | 10.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Adults are individuals who are 16 years old or older
${ }_{\text {BThis }}$ represents the num
${ }^{\text {sin }}$ This represents the number of adult boating participants per 100 adulis in the population

Adult Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the States in the South Region

| SOUTH STATE | ADULT' POPULATION IN THE SOUTH ${ }^{2}$ |  | adult participation in recreational BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Overall Participation Rate ${ }^{3}$ (\%) |
| South Region | 90,636 | 100.0 | 21,274 | 100.0 | 23.5 |
| Alabama | 3,691 | 4.1 | 990 | 4.7 | 26.8 |
| Arkansas | 2,256 | 2.5 | 634 | 3.0 | 28.1 |
| Delaware | 704 | 0.8 | 167 | 0.8 | 23.7 |
| District of Columbia | 514 | 0.6 | 90 | 0.4 | 17.4 |
| Florida | 15,440 | 17.0 | 3,828 | 18.0 | 24.8 |
| Georgia | 7,538 | 8.3 | 1,817 | 8.5 | 24.1 |
| Kentucky | 3,304 | 3.6 | 757 | 3.6 | 22.9 |
| Louisiana | 3,579 | 3.9 | 935 | 4.4 | 26.1 |
| Maryland | 4,623 | 5.1 | 1,089 | 5.1 | 23.6 |
| Mississippi | 2,283 | 2.5 | 490 | 2.3 | 21.5 |
| North Carolina | 7,536 | 8.3 | 1,864 | 8.8 | 24.7 |
| Oklahoma | 2,937 | 3.2 | 776 | 3.6 | 26.4 |
| South Carolina | 3,716 | 4.1 | 1,015 | 4.8 | 27.3 |
| Tennessee | 5,072 | 5.6 | 1,189 | 5.6 | 23.4 |
| Texas | 19,562 | 21.6 | 3,816 | 17.9 | 19.5 |
| Virginia | 6,374 | 7.0 | 1,496 | 7.0 | 23.5 |
| West Virginia | 1,509 | 1.7 | 323 | 1.5 | 21.4 |

TABLE 32:
Adult Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the States in the West Region

| WEST STATE | ADULT' POPULATION IN THE WEST ${ }^{2}$ |  | INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ (\%))^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Overall Participation Rate ${ }^{3}$ (\%) |
| West Region | 55,436 | 100.0 | 10,293 | 100.0 | 18.6 |
| Alaska | 543 | 1.0 | 240 | 2.3 | 44.2 |
| Arizona | 4,743 | 8.6 | 676 | 6.6 | 14.2 |
| California | 28,797 | 51.9 | 3,906 | 38.0 | 13.6 |
| Colorado | 3,944 | 7.1 | 783 | 7.6 | 19.9 |
| Hawaii | 1,085 | 2.0 | 194 | 1.9 | 17.9 |
| Idaho | 1,189 | 2.1 | 459 | 4.5 | 38.6 |
| Montana | 769 | 1.4 | 244 | 2.4 | 31.7 |
| Nevada | 2,098 | 3.8 | 320 | 3.1 | 15.3 |
| New Mexico | 1,548 | 2.8 | 128 | 1.2 | 8.3 |
| Oregon | 3,016 | 5.4 | 986 | 9.6 | 32.7 |
| Utah | 1,983 | 3.6 | 487 | 4.7 | 24.6 |
| Washington | 5,277 | 9.5 | 1,756 | 17.1 | 33.3 |
| Wyoming | 443 | 0.8 | 113 | 1.1 | 25.5 |

Adults are individuals who are 16 years old or older
${ }^{3}$ This represents the number of adult boating participants per 100 adults in the population
${ }^{1}$ Adults are individuals who are 16 years old or older
${ }^{3}$ This represents the number of adult boating participants per 100 adults in the population


## PARTICIPATION OF CHILDREN IN RECREATIONAL BOATING

This section presents information pertaining to the percentage of children (i.e., persons aged 15 or younger) in the U.S. who went out on the water aboard boats. The participation rate of ohildren in Midwest in 2012 was $30.3 \%$ compared with the national average of $24.2 \%$. South was the region with the lowest percent (20.2\%) of children that went out on the water aboard recreational boats in 2012.

States with highest rates of children's participation in boating included North Dakota (56.1\%), Maine (52.0\%), Vermont (48.5\%), South Dakota (46.5\%), and Alaska (46.5\%).

Conversely, states with the lowest recreational boating participation rates for children were New Mexico (7.2\%), Texas (10.1\%), Arizona (11.3\%), and Hawaii (14.6\%).

TABLE 34:
Child Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the States in the Northeast Region

| NORTHEAST STATE | CHILD' POPULATION IN NORTHEAST ${ }^{2}$ |  | adult participation in recreational BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ (\%) \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | Overall Participation Rate $^{3}$ (\%) |
| Northeast Region | 10,361 | 100.0 | 3,111 | 100.0 | 30.0 |
| Connecticut | 686 | 6.6 | 173 | 5.5 | 25.2 |
| Maine | 233 | 2.2 | 121 | 3.9 | 52.0 |
| Massachusetts | 1,215 | 11.7 | 442 | 14.2 | 36.4 |
| New Hampshire | 234 | 2.3 | 109 | 3.5 | 46.8 |
| New Jersey | 1,735 | 16.7 | 357 | 11.5 | 20.6 |
| New York | 3,627 | 35.0 | 1,095 | 35.2 | 30.2 |
| Pennsylvania | 2,351 | 22.7 | 719 | 23.1 | 30.6 |
| Rhode Island | 183 | 1.8 | 48 | 1.5 | 26.1 |
| Vermont | 98 | 0.9 | 48 | 1.5 | 48.5 |

${ }^{1}$ Children are individuals who are 15 years old or younger
Census Bureau's current population estimates
${ }^{3}$ This represents the number of adult boating participants per 100 children in the population

TABLE 35:
Child Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the States in the Midwest Region

| MIDWEST STATE | CHILD' POPULATION IN MIDWEST ${ }^{2}$ |  | AdULT PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | Percent (\%) | Overall Participation Rate ${ }^{3}$ (\%) |
| Midwest Region | 13,763 | 100.0 | 4,168 | 100.0 | 30.3 |
| Illinois | 2,621 | 19.0 | 515 | 12.4 | 19.7 |
| Indiana | 1,390 | 10.1 | 495 | 11.9 | 35.6 |
| lowa | 623 | 4.5 | 149 | 3.6 | 24.0 |
| Kansas | 631 | 4.6 | 157 | 3.8 | 24.8 |
| Michigan | 1,972 | 14.3 | 844 | 20.3 | 42.8 |
| Minnesota | 1,113 | 8.1 | 450 | 10.8 | 40.4 |
| Missouri | 1,220 | 8.9 | 416 | 10.0 | 34.1 |
| Nebraska | 406 | 2.9 | 137 | 3.3 | 33.7 |
| North Dakota | 135 | 1.0 | 76 | 1.8 | 56.1 |
| Ohio | 2,326 | 16.9 | 328 | 7.9 | 14.1 |
| South Dakota | 178 | 1.3 | 83 | 2.0 | 46.5 |
| Wisconsin | 1,148 | 8.3 | 517 | 12.4 | 45.0 |

'Children are individuals who are 15 years old or younger
Source: 2012 U.S. Census Bureau's current population estimate
${ }^{\text {sTh }}$ This represents the number of adult boating participants per 100 children in the population

Child Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the U.S. Regions

| REGION | U.S. CHILD' POPULATION2 |  | CHILD PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Overall Participation Rate ${ }^{3}$ (\%) |
| United States | 64,323 | 100.0 | 15,565 | 100.0 | 24.2 |
| Northeast | 10,361 | 16.1 | 3,111 | 20.0 | 30.0 |
| Midwest | 13,763 | 21.4 | 4,168 | 26.8 | 30.3 |
| South | 23,804 | 37.0 | 4,766 | 30.6 | 20.0 |
| West | 15,658 | 24.3 | 3,449 | 22.2 | 22.2 |
| Puerto Rico | 737 | 1.1 | 70 | 0.5 | 9.5 |

'Children are individuals who are 15 years old or younger
${ }_{\text {SThis represent the }}$
${ }^{\text {ST}}$ This represents the number of adult boating participants per 100 children in the population

| SOUTH STATE | GHILD' POPULATION IN THE SOUTH² |  | CHILD PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | Percent (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ (\%)) \end{gathered}$ | Overall Participation Rate ${ }^{3}$ (\%) |
| South Region | 23,804 | 100.0 | 4,766 | 100.0 | 20.0 |
| Alabama | 953 | 4.0 | 246 | 5.2 | 25.8 |
| Arkansas | 619 | 2.6 | 162 | 3.4 | 26.2 |
| Delaware | 179 | 0.8 | 41 | 0.9 | 22.7 |
| District of Columbia | 91 | 0.4 | 24 | 0.5 | 25.8 |
| Florida | 3,491 | 14.7 | 725 | 15.2 | 20.8 |
| Georgia | 2,149 | 9.0 | 430 | 9.0 | 20.0 |
| Kentucky | 883 | 3.7 | 222 | 4.7 | 25.2 |
| Louisiana | 940 | 4.0 | 224 | 4.7 | 23.8 |
| Maryland | 1,183 | 5.0 | 254 | 5.3 | 21.5 |
| Mississippi | 662 | 2.8 | 172 | 3.6 | 26.0 |
| North Carolina | 1,998 | 8.4 | 491 | 10.3 | 24.6 |
| Oklahoma | 799 | 3.4 | 204 | 4.3 | 25.6 |
| South Carolina | 919 | 3.9 | 239 | 5.0 | 26.0 |
| Tennessee | 1,325 | 5.6 | 273 | 5.7 | 20.6 |
| Texas | 5,698 | 23.9 | 577 | 12.1 | 10.1 |
| Virginia | 1,585 | 6.7 | 410 | 8.6 | 25.9 |
| West Virginia | 330 | 1.4 | 71 | 1.5 | 21.6 |

## TABLE 37:

Child Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the States in the West Region

| WEST STATE | CHILD' POPULATION IN THE WEST ${ }^{2}$ |  | CHILD PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Percent (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Number } \\ \text { (thousands) } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Percent (\%) | Overall Participation Rate ${ }^{3}$ (\%) |
| West Region | 15,658 | 100.0 | 3,449 | 100.0 | 22.0 |
| Alaska | 165 | 1.1 | 77 | 2.2 | 46.5 |
| Arizona | 1,428 | 9.1 | 161 | 4.7 | 11.3 |
| California | 8,105 | 51.8 | 1,633 | 47.3 | 20.1 |
| Colorado | 1,094 | 7.0 | 235 | 6.8 | 21.5 |
| Hawaii | 245 | 1.6 | 36 | 1.0 | 14.6 |
| Idaho | 363 | 2.3 | 144 | 4.2 | 39.6 |
| Montana | 189 | 1.2 | 85 | 2.5 | 45.0 |
| Nevada | 584 | 3.7 | 94 | 2.7 | 16.1 |
| New Mexico | 458 | 2.9 | 33 | 1.0 | 7.2 |
| Oregon | 732 | 4.7 | 199 | 5.8 | 27.2 |
| Utah | 794 | 5.1 | 288 | 8.3 | 36.2 |
| Washington | 1,385 | 8.8 | 444 | 12.9 | 32.0 |
| Wyoming | 116 | 0.7 | 22 | 0.6 | 18.6 |

'Children are individuals who are 15 years old or younger
2Source: 2012 U.S. Census Bureau's current population estimate
${ }^{3}$ This represents the number of adult boating participants per 100 children in the population
'Children are individuals who are 15 years old or younger
2Source: 2012 U. Census Bureau's current popultion
${ }^{\text {sT}}$ This represents the number of adult boating participants per 100 children in the population


BOATING PARTICIPATION ON DIFFERENT TYPES OF BOATS

About $70.4 \%$ of the 57.9 million adults who boated in 2012 did so at least once on a power boat, $29 \%$ in canoes, $32.7 \%$ in kayaks, and $26.1 \%$ on pontoon boats.

Figure 5: Adult ${ }^{1}$ Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 by Type of Boat Used out On the Water


TABLE 38:
Adult Participation in Recreational Boating in 2012 in the U.S. Regions by Type of Boat Used

| REGION | ADULT PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 |  | AdULT PARTICIPATION IN RECREATIONAL BOATING IN 2012 BY BOAT TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (thousands) | Percent (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Power } \\ \text { Boats } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sail } \\ \text { boats } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | pwcs (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canoe } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | Kayaks (\%) | Potoons (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ |
| United States | 57,886 | 100.0 | 70.4 | 12.2 | 20.8 | 29 | 32.7 | 26.1 | 26.1 |
| Northeast | 11,012 | 19.0 | 65.9 | 21.5 | 16.2 | 36.6 | 46.7 | 14.1 | 22.1 |
| Midwest | 15,016 | 25.9 | 72.5 | 8.3 | 25 | 34 | 28.4 | 40.9 | 31.1 |
| South | 21,274 | 36.8 | 72.2 | 7.8 | 21.2 | 24.6 | 28.6 | 26.5 | 21.6 |
| West | 10,293 | 17.8 | 68.9 | 17 | 19.6 | 23.4 | 32.4 | 17.6 | 32.3 |
| Puerto Rico | 291 | 0.5 | 64.7 | 10.3 | 9 | 6.8 | 29.7 | 5.4 | 27.6 |



## PARTICIPATION IN

RECREATIONAL BOATING ACTIVITIES

Persons aboard recreational boats participate in a great variety of both active and passive recreational activities in boating. Relaxing alone or with friends was reported by $88.2 \%$ boating participants, followed next by socializing (84.3\%), cruising (74.2\%), and sightseeing and/or nature observation (70.6\%). Other popular activities included swimming or diving (58.7\%), and fishing or crabbing (56.6\%). There was not strong regional variation in boating activities across different regions, except for Puerto Rico where some rates of participation significantly differ from the other regions. Waterskiing, wakeboarding, and tubing were more popular in the Midwest (47.6\%) than the national average; and rowing was more popular in the Northeast (37.2\%), as was paddling (40.5\%),

## Figure 6: Individual Participation in Specific Recreational Boating Activities in 2012



TABLE 39:
Individual Participation in Recreational Boating by Residence Region, and Boating Activity

| BOATING ACTIVITY | INDIVIDUAL BOATING PARTICIPATION BY REGION |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States (\%) | Northeast <br> (\%) | Midwest <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { South } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { West } \\(\%)}}{ }$ | Puerto Rico (\%) |
| Cruising | 74.2 | 77.0 | 80.5 | 68.9 | 73.2 | 61.6 |
| Fishing or Crabbing | 56.6 | 48.1 | 61 | 61.0 | 51.2 | 31.8 |
| Hunting | 5.7 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 7.9 | 4.6 | 9.5 |
| Sightseeing or Nature Observation | 70.6 | 72.4 | 70.3 | 69.1 | 72.4 | 69.9 |
| Water Paddling | 34.3 | 40.5 | 34.7 | 33.0 | 29.6 | 32.8 |
| Racing | 5.9 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 5.6 | 3.0 | 5.8 |
| Relaxing Alone or with Friends | 88.2 | 90.7 | 91.8 | 84.1 | 88.7 | 87.4 |
| Rowing | 27.1 | 37.2 | 26.2 | 21.8 | 28.7 | 15.6 |
| Sailing | 12.7 | 22.2 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 16.6 | 12.7 |
| Sunbathing | 46.7 | 49.8 | 51.5 | 44.1 | 41.0 | 60.9 |
| Scuba Diving or Snorkeling | 12.6 | 14.1 | 8.1 | 15.0 | 11.9 | 33.2 |
| Socializing | 84.3 | 85.9 | 87.9 | 82.3 | 81.5 | 93.7 |
| Swimming or Diving | 58.7 | 58.6 | 65.4 | 56.3 | 53.6 | 66.6 |
| Waterskiing, Wakeboarding, or Tubing | 38.1 | 29.5 | 47.6 | 36.3 | 37.7 | 8.4 |
| Whitewater Boating | 7.5 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 11.2 | 2.3 |



## DAYS AND HOURS OF RECREATIONAL BOATS' USE

On average, a sailboat was used for 11.1 days in 2012, and boaters logged about 154 million person-hours on them. Canoes were used on the smallest number of days (8.6). They were also out on the water for an average of 6.3 hours.

On average recreational boats were taken out on the water an average of 11.3 days in 2012. This study made special effort to collect boat use data for non-reg istered boats. The results revealed that, on average, canoes were taken out on the water fewer days ( 8.6 days) in 2012 than other boat types. In comparison, kayaks were taken out an average of 11.2 days. Pontoon boats were taken out on the water more days (14.9) on average than any other boat type of boat

Recreational boats were out on the water an average of 5.7 hours each day they were operated on the water with an average of 2.4 persons aboard. It is estimated that in 2012 there were 3.6 billion person-hours spent on board recreational boats taken out on the water. Power boats were by far the most used type of boat, Sixty percent (2.04 billion) of all boating person-hours in 2012 were spent on powerboats. About 4\% (154 million) of all persons-hours aboard boat occurred on sailboats.

The survey results also verified the increasing popularity of recreational paddling as measured by participation rates, numbers of variety of paddle boats and boating
person-hours. About 29\% of the recreational boats owned in the country were canoes and kayaks. Canoeists and kayakers logged 642 million hours on them during 2012.

Personal watercraft (PWC) were taken out on the water an average of 11 days in 2012, and persons spent over 212 million hours aboard them. About 220 million per-son-hours were spent aboard pontoon boats while they were out on the water.

On average canoes were used on the fewest number of days (8.6) and an average of 6.3 hours the days they were used.

Canoes were used on the fewest number of days (8.6). They were out on the water an average of 6.3 hours with 2.3 persons aboard on the days that they were used. Kayaks were used more days (11.3) with an average of 1.3 persons aboard.

On average, a sailboat was used for 11.1 days in 2012, and boaters logged about 154 million person-hours on them.

Figure 7: Boating Person-Hours (in Millions) in 2012 by Boat Type


TABLE 40:
2012 Boating Days and Hours by Boat Type in the U.S.

| BOAT TYPE | NUMBER OF <br> BOATS <br> OWNED IN <br> THE <br> (IHOUSANDS) | NUMBER OF <br> BOATING <br> DAYS <br> (THOUSANDS) | NUMBER OF <br> PERSON- <br> HOURS <br> (MILLIONS) | NUMBER OF <br> DAYS/ <br> BOAT² | NUMBER <br> OF HOURS / <br> BOATING <br> DAY | PASSENGERS <br> ON BOAT/ <br> BOATING <br> DAY |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Types' | 21,611 | 244,203 | 3,584 | 11.3 | 5.7 | 2.4 |
| Powerboat | 10,147 | 121,865 | 2,035 | 12 | 6 | 2.7 |
| Sailboat | 735 | 8,137 | 154 | 11.1 | 7.8 | 2.4 |
| Pontoon Boat | 854 | 12,694 | 220 | 14.9 | 4.1 | 3.8 |
| PWC | 1,704 | 18,728 | 212 | 11 | 4.7 | 2.3 |
| Canoe | 2,508 | 21,580 | 362 | 8.6 | 6.3 | 2.3 |
| Kayak | 3,916 | 43,741 | 280 | 11.2 | 4.6 | 1.3 |
| Row/ Inflatable | 1,747 | 17,458 | 322 | 10 | 6.8 | 2.4 |

TThese numbers exclude boats reported in the type category "Other", but include boats owned in Puerto Rico
TThese numbers exclude boats reported in the type category "Other," but include boat
${ }^{\text {This ratio represents the number of boating days per boat owned (not per boat used) }}$


## BOAT USE IN <br> DIFFERENT REGIONS

The South region alone accounts for 43.5\% of the total number of person hours aboard recreational boats in the United States followed by the Midwest (27.7\%), the Northeast (14.5\%), and the West (13.4\%). Puerto Rico accounts for about $1 \%$ of that total

About 1.6 billion boating hours were logged in the South region, where recreational boats were used for an aver-
age of 13 days and 6 hours per use day. It is estimated that 991 million person-hours of boating took place in Midwest where boats were taken out on the water an average of 11 days and 5 boating per day. Approximately 521 and 479 million boating person-hours were logged in the Northeast and the West regions respectively.

Figure 8: Percentage of Boating Person-Hours in U.S. Regions


In the Northeast, New York and Pennsylvania account for $22.8 \%$ and $22.3 \%$ of the regional exposure hours. Following closely is New Jersey where 19.8\% of the boating hours were logged.

About $21.5 \%$ and $17.3 \%$ of boating person-hours in the Midwest take place in Michigan and Wisconsin respec tively. $14.5 \%$ of this regions in the South region, the state of Minnesota and Missouri also reported $14.5 \%$ and $13.3 \%$ of the regional boating hours.

The state of Florida represents nearly a quarter of all boating person-hours in the South. A distant second was Texas with only $10 \%$ of the hours in the South.

The State of California is the most dominant boating state in the West with approximately $37.2 \%$ of regional boating hours. Washington state, and Oregon are the next two with $15.4 \%$ and $11.1 \%$ of the total regional boating hours respectively.

TABLE 41:
2012 Boating Days and Hours by Boat Type in the Northeast Region

| BOAT TYPE | NUMBER OF BOATS OWNED IN THE <br> NORTHEAST REGION (THOUSANDS) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUMBER OF } \\ & \text { BOATING } \\ & \text { DAYS } \\ & \text { (THOUSANDS) } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER OF PERSONHOURS (MILLIONS) | NUMBER OF DAYS / BOAT² | NUMBER OF HOURS / BOATING DAY | MEAN <br> NUMBER OF PERSONS ABOARD/ BOATING DAY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Types ${ }^{1}$ | 3,552 | 39,732 | 521 | 11.2 | 5.3 | 2.2 |
| Powerboat | 1,323 | 16,192 | 250 | 12.2 | 5.8 | 2.7 |
| Sailboat | 193 | 1,800 | 33 | 9.3 | 7.6 | 2.5 |
| Pontoon Boat | 64 | 1,153 | 17 | 18.1 | 3.3 | 4.1 |
| PWc | 177 | 1,606 | 23 | 9.1 | 4.6 | 2.7 |
| Canoe | 538 | 4,150 | 65 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 2 |
| Kayak | 1,028 | 11,623 | 52 | 11.3 | 3.6 | 1.1 |
| Row/ Inflatable | 229 | 3,209 | 82 | 14 | 6.9 | 2.5 |

'These numbers exclude boats reported in the type category "Other," but include boats owned in Puerto Rico
${ }^{2}$ This ratio represents the number of boating days per boat owned (not per boat used)

## TABLE 42:

2012 Boating Days and Hours by Boat Type in the Midwest Region

| BOAT TYPE | NUMBER OF BOATS ${ }^{1}$ OWNED IN THE MIDWEST REGION (THOUSANDS) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUMBER OF } \\ & \text { BOATING } \\ & \text { DAYS } \\ & \text { (THOUSANDS) } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER OF PERSONHOURS (MILLIONS) | NUMBER OF DAYS / BOAT ${ }^{2}$ | NUMBER OF HOURS / BOATING DAY | MEAN number of PERSONS ABOARD/ BOATING DAY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Types ${ }^{1}$ | 6,047 | 66,116 | 991 | 10.9 | 5.1 | 2.7 |
| Powerboat | 3,046 | 33,072 | 544 | 10.9 | 5.5 | 2.8 |
| Sailboat | 171 | 1,390 | 19 | 8.1 | 6.6 | 2.3 |
| Pontoon Boat | 423 | 6,935 | 106 | 16.4 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| PWC | 436 | 5,546 | 79 | 12.7 | 5.1 | 2.8 |
| Canoe | 770 | 6,631 | 140 | 8.6 | 5.6 | 3 |
| Kayak | 770 | 8,288 | 56 | 10.8 | 4.7 | 1.3 |
| Row/ Inflatable | 432 | 4,254 | 46 | 9.9 | 4.2 | 2.6 |

1These numbers exclude boats reported in the type category "Other"" but include boats owned in Puerto Rico
'These numbers exclude boats reported in the type category "Other", but include boat
2This ratio represents the number of boating days per boat owned (not per boat usea)

TABLE 43:
2012 Boating Days and Hours by Boat Type in the South Region

| BOAT TYPE | NUMBER OF BOATS OWNED IN THE SOUTH RECION (THOUSANDS) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUMBER OF } \\ & \text { BOATING } \\ & \text { DAYS } \\ & \text { (THOUSANDS) } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER OF PERSONHOURS (MILEIONS) | NUMBER OF DAYS / BOAT ${ }^{2}$ | NUMBER OF HOURS / BOATING DAY | MEAN <br> NUMBER OF PERSONS ABOARD/ BOATING DAY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Types ${ }^{1}$ | 8,286 | 108,980 | 1,558 | 13.2 | 6 | 2.2 |
| Powerboat | 4,174 | 58,840 | 937 | 14.1 | 6.1 | 2.5 |
| Sailboat | 243 | 3,114 | 61 | 12.8 | 8 | 2.4 |
| Pontoon Boat | 316 | 4,323 | 89 | 13.7 | 5 | 3.7 |
| PWC | 709 | 9,420 | 84 | 13.3 | 4.3 | 1.9 |
| Canoe | 903 | 9,608 | 141 | 10.6 | 6.6 | 2 |
| Kayak | 1,403 | 18,233 | 135 | 13 | 5.1 | 1.4 |
| Row/ Inflatable | 538 | 5,442 | 112 | 10.1 | 9 | 2.1 |

"These numbers exclude boats reported in the type category "Other", but include boats owned in Puerto Rico

TABLE 44:
2012 Boating Days and Hours by Boat Type in the West Region

| BOAT TYPE | NUMBER OF BOATS ${ }^{1}$ OWNED IN THE WEST REGION (THOUSANDS) | NUMBER OF BOATING DAYS (THOUSANDS) | NUMBER OF PERSONHOURS (MILLIONS) | NUMBER OF DAYS / BOAT ${ }^{2}$ | NUMBER OF HOURS / BOATING DAY | MEAN <br> number of PERSONS ABOARD/ BOATING DAY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Types ${ }^{1}$ | 3,661 | 28,412 | 479 | 7.8 | 6.6 | 2.3 |
| Powerboat | 1,580 | 13,331 | 284 | 8.4 | 7.3 | 2.8 |
| Sailboat | 127 | 1,642 | 29 | 12.9 | 8.5 | 2.1 |
| Pontoon Boat | 51 | 283 | 8 | 5.6 | 7.2 | 4 |
| PWC | 368 | 2,029 | 25 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 2 |
| Canoe | 296 | 1,191 | 16 | 4 | 6.5 | 1.9 |
| Kayak | 695 | 5,393 | 34 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 1.2 |
| Row/ Inflatable | 544 | 4,543 | 82 | 8.3 | 6.7 | 2.3 | 'These numbers exclude boats reporied in the type category "Other," but include boats owned in Puerto Rico

This ratio represents the number of boating days per boat owned (not per boat used)
(hepresents the number of boating days per boat owned (not per boat used)

TABLE 45:
2012 Boating Days and Hours by Boat Type in the Puerto Rico

| BOAT TYPE | NUMBER OF BOATS ${ }^{1}$ OWNED IN THE PUERTO RICO (THOUSANDS) | NUMBER OF BOATING DAYS (THOUSANDS) | NUMBER OF PERSONHOURS (MIL-IONS) | NUMBER OF DAYS / BOAT ${ }^{2}$ | NUMBER OF HOURS / BOATING DAY | MEAN <br> NUMBER OF PERSONS ABOARD/ BOATING DAY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Types ${ }^{1}$ | 65 | 962 | 35 | 14.7 | 8.6 | 3.7 |
| Powerboat | 24 | 430 | 20 | 18.1 | 9.7 | 4.7 |
| Sailboat | 2 | 190 | 11 | 93.6 | ... | ... |
| Pontoon Boat | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| PWC | 14 | 127 | 2 | 9.1 | 5.5 | 2.1 |
| Canoe | 1 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... |
| Kayak | 21 | 205 | 2 | 10 | ... | ... |
| Row/ Inflatable | 4 |  |  |  |  | ... |

i. Sample size is too small or estimate has large sampling error
iThese numbers exclude boats reported in the type category "Other," but include boats owned in Puerto Rico ${ }^{2}$ This ratio represents the number of boating days per boat owned (not per boat used)


| STATE | NUMBER OF BOATS ${ }^{1}$ OWNED IN THE U.S. AND PUERTO RICO (THOUSANDS) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUMBER OF } \\ & \text { BOATING } \\ & \text { DAYS } \\ & \text { (THOUSANDS) } \end{aligned}$ | nUMBER OF PERSONhouns (MILLIONS) | NUMBER OF DAYS / BOAT ${ }^{2}$ | NUMBER OF HOURS / BOATING DAY | MEAN <br> NUMBER OF PERSONS ABOARD/ BOATING DAY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| u.s. | 21,611 | 244,203 | 3,584 | 11.3 | 5.7 | 2.4 |
| NORTHEAST | 3,552 | 39,732 | 521 | 11.2 | 5.3 | 2.2 |
| Ст | 272 | 3,052 | 36 | 11.2 | 5.3 | 2.1 |
| MA | 565 | 6,560 | 59 | 11.6 | 4.2 | 1.9 |
| ME | 254 | 2,969 | 28 | 11.7 | 4.1 | 2.2 |
| NH | 230 | 2,642 | 30 | 11.5 | 4.5 | 2.5 |
| nJ | 385 | 4,971 | 103 | 12.9 | 6.4 | 2.3 |
| NY | 980 | 10,808 | 119 | 11 | 4.9 | 2.2 |
| PA | 638 | 6,271 | 116 | 9.8 | 6.9 | 2.4 |
| RI | 101 | 1,080 | 14 | 10.7 | 6.2 | 1.9 |
| vT | 127 | 1,379 | 16 | 10.8 | 4.9 | 2.3 |
| MIDWEST | 6,047 | 66,116 | 991 | 10.9 | 5.1 | 2.7 |
| IA | 297 | 2,552 | 45 | 8.6 | 6 | 3.1 |
| 1 L | 638 | 5,200 | 73 | 8.2 | 5.5 | 2.5 |
| in | 473 | 5,405 | 66 | 11.4 | 4.2 | 2.7 |
| ks | 161 | 1,459 | 20 | 9.1 | 6.5 | 2.2 |
| Mı | 1,182 | 14,757 | 213 | 12.5 | 4.9 | 2.7 |
| MN | 976 | 11,055 | 144 | 11.3 | 4.8 | 2.6 |
| мо | 511 | 5,301 | 132 | 10.4 | 6.3 | 3.1 |
| ND | 85 | 730 | 10 | 8.6 | 5 | 3.1 |
| NE | 108 | 1,048 | 23 | 9.7 | 6.8 | 2.7 |
| OH | 539 | 5,237 | 83 | 9.7 | 5.2 | 2.7 |
| SD | 75 | 753 | 12 | 10 | 6.2 | 2.3 |
| wi | 1,002 | 12,617 | 171 | 12.6 | 4.9 | 2.8 |
| SOUTH | 8,286 | 108,980 | 1,558 | 13.2 | 6 | 2.2 |
| AL | 374 | 6,018 | 73 | 16.1 | 4.7 | 2.3 |
| AR | 334 | 4,209 | 48 | 12.6 | 5.2 | 2.1 |
| DC | 8 | 122 | 1 | 14.9 | 4.5 | 1.5 |
| DE | 77 | 706 | 12 | 9.2 | 5.9 | 2.7 |
| FL | 1,636 | 25,414 | 370 | 15.5 | 6.4 | 2.2 |
| GA | 792 | 9,747 | 123 | 12.3 | 5.5 | 2.1 |
| kY | 281 | 3,374 | 69 | 12 | 7.4 | 2.6 |
| LA | 404 | 6,350 | 104 | 15.7 | 7.1 | 2.2 |
| MD | 342 | 2,597 | 41 | 7.6 | 6.3 | 2.5 |
| MS | 284 | 3,436 | 42 | 12.1 | 5.6 | 2.1 |
| NC | 742 | 10,841 | 134 | 14.6 | 5.3 | 2.2 |


| STATE | NUMBER OF BOATS OWNED IN THE U.S. AND PUERTO RICO (THOUSANDS) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUMBER OF } \\ & \text { BOATING } \\ & \text { DAYS } \\ & \text { (THOUSANDS) } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER OF PERSONHOURS (MIL-IONS) | NUMBER OF DAYS / BOAT $^{2}$ | NUMBER OF HOURS / BOATING DAY | MEAN NUMBER OF PERSONS ABOARD/ BOATING DAY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ок | 233 | 3,490 | 92 | 15 | 9.2 | 2.7 |
| sc | 609 | 9,411 | 101 | 15.5 | 5.1 | 2 |
| TN | 445 | 4,966 | 73 | 11.1 | 5.3 | 2.6 |
| TX | 1,054 | 11,585 | 164 | 11 | 5.5 | 2.3 |
| va | 534 | 5,600 | 99 | 10.5 | 6.8 | 2.4 |
| wv | 136 | 1,112 | 14 | 8.2 | 5.7 | 1.8 |
| WEST | 3,661 | 28,412 | 479 | 7.8 | 6.6 | 2.3 |
| AK | 97 | 973 | 21 | 10 | 7.7 | 2.7 |
| Az | 238 | 1,731 | 32 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 2.2 |
| CA | 1,365 | 11,869 | 178 | 8.7 | 6.3 | 2.3 |
| co | 264 | 1,713 | 22 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 1.9 |
| Hi | 30 | 484 | 8 | 16.2 | 7.3 | 1.8 |
| ID | 174 | 1,253 | 17 | 7.2 | 5.4 | 2.3 |
| MT | 174 | 1,008 | 21 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 2.7 |
| NM | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| NV | 117 | 837 | 14 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 2.3 |
| OR | 372 | 2,555 | 53 | 6.9 | 8 | 2.4 |
| UT | 166 | 957 | 31 | 5.8 | 8.3 | 3.4 |
| WA | 604 | 4,669 | 74 | 7.7 | 6.4 | 2.3 |
| wr | 57 | 340 | 7 | 6 | 6.8 | 2.6 |
| PUERTO RICO | 65 | 962 | 35 | 14.7 | 8.6 | 3.7 |

.. Sample size is too small or estimate has large sampling error

## BOATING SAFETY EQUIPMENT ONBOARD BOATS

Boaters carry various safety equipment onboard their recreational boats during boat trips. A fire extinguisher was present on boats on almost $90 \%$ of the days that al recreational boats they were taken out on the water and this differed little across the four regions of the country. Conversely, the safety equipment that was present on the fewest (13.2\%) boating days was the Emergency Position Indicating Radio Beacon (EPIRB),

In 2012, the Midwest had the lowest proportion of boating days during which boats had safety equipment onboard. The first-aid kit was onboard for an average of $66 \%$ of the days boats were used in the Midwest, compared to the national average of $77 \%$ of days. Boats in the Midwest had an EPIRB onboard on only $7.7 \%$ of days they were used, whereas the national average was $13.2 \%$ of days.

Figure 9: Boating Days with the Specified Safety Equipment Onboard the Boats in the U.S. in 2012


TABLE 47:
Boating Days with the Specified Safety Equipment Onboard the Boat in 2012 in the U.S. Regions

| REGION | BOATING DAYS (THOUSANDS) | FIRSTAID KIT (\%) | FIRE EXTINGUISHER <br> (\%) | EPIRB ${ }^{1}$ | vISUAL DISTRESS SIGNAL (\%) | OTHER SAFETY EQUIPMENT (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 244,203 | 77.0 | 89.1 | 13.2 | 57.4 | 95.2 |
| Northeast | 39,732 | 82.7 | 88.8 | 16.4 | 74.4 | 95.9 |
| Midwest | 66,116 | 66.4 | 86.4 | 7.7 | 41.7 | 93.9 |
| South | 108,980 | 80.2 | 91.3 | 14.7 | 59.6 | 96.5 |
| West | 28,412 | 82.3 | 87.6 | 16.5 | 63.8 | 92.6 |
| Puerto Rico | 962 | 95.1 | 98.0 | 25.4 | 98.5 | 99.4 |

Emergency Position Indicating Radio Beacon

Figure 10:
Boating Days with the Specified Safety Equipment Onboard the Boat in 2012 in the U.S. Regions ${ }^{2}$


| First-Aid kit | $\square$ Fire Extinguisher |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Visual Distress Signal | $\square$ Other Safety Equipment |

TABLE 48:
Powerboats' Boating Days with the Specified Safety Equipment Onboard in the U.S. Regions

| REGION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUMBER OF } \\ & \text { BOATING } \\ & \text { DAYS } \\ & \text { (THOUSANDS) } \end{aligned}$ | FIRST AID KIT (\%) | FIRE EXTINGUSHER $(\%)$ | EPIRB ${ }^{1}$ | VISUAL DISTRESS SIGNAL (\%) | OTHER SAFETY EQUIPMENT <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 121,865 | 80.4 | 91.7 | 14.8 | 61.8 | 97.3 |
| Northeast | 16,192 | 88.4 | 93 | 18.5 | 78.8 | 97.6 |
| Midwest | 33,072 | 70.2 | 89.4 | 9.9 | 47.7 | 95.9 |
| South | 58,840 | 82.5 | 92.0 | 15.7 | 63.5 | 97.8 |
| West | 13,331 | 86.3 | 93.7 | 17.6 | 66.0 | 97.8 |
| Puerto Rico | 430 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 39.3 | 99.6 | 100 |

${ }^{1}$ Emergency Position Indicating Radio Beacon

TABLE 49:
Sailboats' Boating Days with the Specified Safety Equipment Onboard in the U.S. Regions

| RECION |  | FIRSTAID KIT (\%) | FIRE EXTINGUISHER <br> (\%) | EPIRB ${ }^{1}$ | VISUAL DISTRESS SIGNAL (\%) | OTHER SAFETY EQUIPMENT (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 8,137 | 80.0 | 81.4 | 23.6 | 79 | 90.8 |
| Northeast | 1,800 | 89.1 | 90.3 | 16.6 | 91.1 | 97.2 |
| Midwest | 1,390 | 65.5 | 65.5 | 12.7 | 56.6 | 80.1 |
| South | 3,114 | 75.1 | 76.9 | 27.3 | 73.4 | 87.3 |
| West | 1,642 | 89.7 | 91.7 | 37.0 | 93.4 | 98.7 |
| Puerto Rico | 190 | 98.8 | 98.8 | ... | 98.8 | 100 |

TEmergency Position Indicating Radio Beacon
Sample size is too small or estimate has large sampling error

## TABLE 50:

Pontoon Boats' Boating Days with the Specified Safety Equipment Onboard in the U.S. Regions

| REGION |  | FIRSTAID KIT (\%) | FIRE EXTINGUISHER (\%) | EPIRB ${ }^{1}$ | VISUAL DISTRESS SIGNAL (\%) | OTHER SAFETY EQUIPMENT (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 12,694 | 72.5 | 90.7 | 5.0 | 37.3 | 95.4 |
| Northeast | 1,153 | 79.9 | 95.6 | ... | 60.6 | 100.0 |
| Midwest | 6,935 | 61.3 | 84.9 | ... | 24.7 | 91.5 |
| South | 4,323 | 86.3 | 98.3 | 7.3 | 48 | 99.9 |
| West | 283 | 90.6 | 91.3 | ... | 62.4 | 98.1 |
| Puerto Rico | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |

Emergency Position Indicating Radio Beacon
.Emergency Position Indicating Racio Beacon

TABLE 51:
PWC's Boating Days with the Specified Safety Equipment Onboard in the U.S.

| REGION | nUMBER OF BOATING DAYS (THOUSANDS) | FIRSTAID KIT (\%) | FIRE EXTINGUISHER <br> (\%) | EPIRB ${ }^{1}$ | VISUAL DISTRESS SIGNAL (\%) | OTHER SAFETY EQUIPMENT <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 18,728 | 61.2 | 94.1 | 4.3 | 39.9 | 92.8 |
| Northeast | 1,606 | 60.5 | 93.4 | ... | 62.4 | 97.0 |
| Midwest | 5,546 | 59.6 | 94.1 | ... | 35.4 | 92.2 |
| South | 9,420 | 62.8 | 94.8 | ... | 36.1 | 92.8 |
| West | 2,029 | 58.8 | 91.1 | 10.5 | 47.2 | 90.8 |
| Puerto Rico | 127 | 73.6 | 96.2 |  | 100 | 98.0 |

Emergency Position Indicating Radio Beacon
Sample size is too small or estimate has large sampling error



RECREATIONAL BOAT OWNERSHIP IN 2012 This section provides statistics on recreational boats owned on January 1, 2012. These statistics are based on data obtained from boat component of the National Recreational Boating Survey. The primary objective of the boat survey was to collect detailed information concerning both registered and non-registered recreation boats that will be owned and available for use on January 1, 2012

This survey was also conducted to build a panel of recreational boats that were tracked monthly throughout the year 2012 to gather exposure hours. The boat survey was conducted in the fourth quarter of 2011, prior to the reference date of January 1, 2012, but it was assumed that all boats owned during the data collection period were still owned as of the reference date.

This report summarizes key findings of the boat survey. A more detailed statistical profile of the universe of boats in the U.S. can be found in the 2011 National Recreational Boating Survey report.

OWNERSHIP OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF RECREATIONAL BOATS
About half ( $51 \%$ ) of boat-owning households owned power boats, $3.7 \%$ owned sailboats, $8.5 \%$ owned personal watercraft (PWCs), 12.6\% owned canoes, 19.7\% owned kayaks, 4.3\% owned pontoon boats, and 8.8\% owned row boats or inflatable boats

The distribution of all boats owned by households across the United States was similar, with power boats representing $45.5 \%$ of all boats; sailboats about $3.3 \%$; PWCs $7.6 \%$, canoes about 11.3\%, kayaks $17.5 \%$, pontoon boats $3.8 \%$, row/inflatable boats $7.9 \%$, and other boats 3\%.

The Northeast had the lowest percentage of power boats (36.7\%) and PWCs (4.9\%) in comparison with other regions, and had the highest percentage of canoes and kayaks (43.4\%) and sailboats (5.4\%).

The Midwest and the South had the highest proportions of power boats at $48.6 \%$ and $48.5 \%$ respectively.

Figure 11: Distribution of Households by Type of Recreational Boat Owned


## OWNERSHIP OF DIFFERENT SIZES OF BOATS

About 48\% of the 22.2 million recreational boats owned in the U.S. of known size (without Puerto Rico and territories) were less than 16 feet long and about $85 \%$ were less than 26 feet. The size of $12 \%$ of the boats was unknown. Small boats were particularly common in the Northeast, with more than half (56.5\%) being less than

Figure 12: Distribution of Recreational Boats in 2011 by Boat Type


|  | Power Boats |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Sail Boats |
|  | PWC |
|  | Canoes |
|  | Kayaks |
|  | Pontoons |
|  | Row/ Inflatable Boats |

16 feet in length. This is consistent with the high per centage of boats in this region that were not registered. Boats 40 feet or longer comprised less than half of one percent of all recreational boats in the U.S. 2011 by Boat Size

## TABLE 52:

Distribution of Recreational Boats in 2011 in the United States by Boat Type and Size ${ }^{3}$

| BOAT TYPES | BOAT SIZE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ALL BOATS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 16ft |  | 16 ft to 25ft |  | 26ft to 39ft |  | Unknown |  |  |  |
|  | Number (thousands) | Percent <br> (\%) | Number (thousands) | Percent <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent (\%) | Number (thousands) | Percent w(\%) | Number (thousands) | Percent <br> (\%) |
| All Boats | 10,636 | 100.0 | 8,262 | 100.0 | 684 | 100.0 | 2,536 | 100.0 | 22,217 | 100.0 |
| Power Boats | 3,250 | 30.6 | 5,840 | 70.7 | 463 | 67.7 | 495 | 19.5 | 10,119 | 45.5 |
| Sail Boats | 336 | 3.2 | 262 | 3.2 | 94 | 13.7 | 16 | 0.6 | 733 | 3.3 |
| PWCs | 1,194 | 11.2 | 134 | 1.6 | ... | ... | 362 | 14.3 | 1,689 | 7.6 |
| Canoes | 1,276 | 12.0 | 908 | 11.0 | ... | ... | 319 | 12.6 | 2,505 | 11.3 |
| Kayaks | 3,155 | 29.7 | 389 | 4.7 | ... | ... | 354 | 14.0 | 3,898 | 17.5 |
| Pontoons | 42 | 0.4 | 614 | 7.4 | 119 | 17.4 | 77 | 3.0 | 854 | 3.8 |
| Row/Inflatable Boats | 1,383 | 13.0 | 115 | 1.4 | ... | ... | 243 | 9.6 | 1,747 | 7.9 |
| Other | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 671 | 26.4 | 671 | 3.0 |

These numbers and percentages are not reported because of the low numbers of responses for these types and sizes of boats. ${ }^{3}$ Some boat sizes are not reported on this table due to low numbers of responses.


## REGISTERED AND NON-REGISTERED BOATS

State boat registration requirements (e.g., size, types of boats that must be registered) differ considerably from state to state. Registration requires the payment of a fee, and the issuance of a registration number and decal that must be affixed to the vessel. In all States, all recreational boats powered by a motor or engine of some sort are required to be registered, and other vessels may be required to be registered as well. In some States, only boats with mechanical propulsion must be registered, but in States such as Ohio all boats must be registered.

Nearly 12.75 million, or $57 \%$ of the estimated total number of recreational boats in 2011 , were registered. It is estimated that about 9.5 million of the recreational boats owned in the country were not registered. Non-registered boats comprise more than half (55.9\%) of all boats in the Northeast and about half of all boats in the West. In comparison, two-thirds of boats owned in the Midwest region were registered.

Figure 14: Recreational Boat Registration Status in 2011 in U.S. Regions


TABLE 53:
Distribution of Recreational Boats in 2011 in the U.S. Regions by Registration Status.

| REGION | REGISTERED BOATS (THOUSANDS) | UNREGISTERED BOATS² (THOUSANDS | TOTAL (THOUSANDS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 12,749 | 9,468 | 22,217 |
| Northeast | 1,592 | 2,014 | 3,606 |
| Midwest | 4,211 | 2,047 | 6,258 |
| South | 5,059 | 3,544 | 8,603 |
| West | 1,887 | 1,863 | 3,750 |

'For registered boats, the region represents the region of registration. The state of residence was used when respondents did not know the state of registration or the owner refused to reveal it
FFor unregistered boats, the region represents the region of boat owners.



## EXPOSURE RATES AND RISK RATIOS

As previously discussed in the introduction, the U.S. Coast Guard is committed to producing and applying more valid and reliable performance measures includ ing boating exposure rates and risk ratios. The survey produced necessary information to estimate boating exposure hours reliably. These estimates are similar in some ways to the Department of Transportation's Fed eral Highway Administration's estimate of Vehicle Miles Traveled. VMT is the total number of miles driven by all vehicles within a given time period and geographic area The Federal Highway Administration estimates monthly national VMT with highway traffic volume counts and it also conducts the National Household Travel Survey that collects more specific information about VMT and Americans' driving habits. VMT is used to set various policy and program objectives and as a measure of performance.

The risk of boating-related casualties and deaths is a function of different factors, including the number of days and hours boats are operated and the number of persons aboard boats. If over a certain period of time the number of boating deaths or casualties decreases
$5 \%$ (e.g., in a particular state), and during that same period the number of boating exposure hours stays relatively stable (e.g., similar number of boats owned, boats used, and boating days) then the risk of deaths and casualties would be reduced. In this situation, it may be valid to attribute the decrease in deaths and casualties to greater effectiveness of boating safety efforts. Currently, the number of deaths and casualties are commonly employed as a stand-alone standard, or as the numerator in a ratio with the number of registered boats as the denominator (e.g., 4 (deaths) /93,000 (registered boats). However this does not take into account either use rate or non-registered boats. Recently, in some states the number of registered boats has declined in contrast to increases in the numbers of various types of non-registered boats.

The last table includes: exposure hours estimated for every state, Puerto Rico and the District of Columba, 2012 reported boating accidents, casualties, injuries and deaths, and risk ratios which are the number of deaths and casualties per 100 million (person) exposure hours. This information exposes why, given differences
in the amount of boating activity (e.g., number of boat days, exposure hours), that number of deaths and casualties alone are not sufficiently comprehensive mea sures for the purpose of assessing or comparing either trends or performance that are in keeping with recommendations (e.g., audit reports) that the USCG develop and employ new criteria for validly evaluating the effectiveness of boating safety programs. For example, one state had 4 reported boating deaths in 2012 and it is estimated that there were 12 million boating exposure hours or 35 deaths per 100 million exposure hours. In comparison, another state also had 4 deaths, but 30 million exposure hours, and a risk ratio of 13 deaths per 100 million exposure hours. The risks of boating casualties and/or deaths in various states can be significantly different even when the number of deaths and casualties are the same, depending on the number of exposure hours. Similarly, risk can decrease even if the number of deaths or casualties remains relatively constant if boating exposure hours increase.

The average risk ratios for the U.S. (including Puerto Rico) in 2012 were 18 deaths/100 million exposure
hours and 101 casuatios/ 100 million exposure hours. Average death and casualty risk ratios were lowest in the Midwest region and highest in the West. Alaska had the highest risk of death ratios - 103/100 million exposure hours. The highest risk of casualties were in Nevada (350/100 million exposure hours) and Idaho $345 /$ million exposure hours). Indiana had the lowest isk of death ratio ( $3 / 100$ million exposure hours) and he risk of casualties was lowest in North Dakota (30/100 million exposure hours).

Exposure hours, estimated for various types of boats, can be utilized to calculate boat-type-specific risk ratios e.g., canoes, kayaks) since death and casualty reports are required to include the type and size of the boat(s) involved in the incident. These boat-specific risk ratios can assist in allocating available resources to efforts aimed at boats with the highest and or increasing risk atios. Exposure hours can also be employed to track boating safety-relevant boat use trends such as relative ates of use of specific boat types as well as registered vs. non-registered boats

Boating Casualty Numbers and Ratios per 100 Million Exposure Hours for 2012 by State (also DC and Puerto Rico) and Region

| STATE | EXPOSURE HOURS (MILLIONS) | ACCIDENTS | DEATHS | INJURIES | CASUALTIES | DEATHS / 100 MILLION EXPOSURE HOURS | CASUALTIES 100 MILLION EXPOSURE HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| us Total | 3,584 | 4,497 | 648 | 2,987 | 3,635 | 18 | 101 |
| Northeast Region | 521 | 610 | 81 | 384 | 465 | 16 | 89 |
| ст | 36 | 49 | 6 | 26 | 32 | 16 | 88 |
| MA | 59 | 68 | 17 | 40 | 57 | 29 | 97 |
| ME | 28 | 48 | 6 | 28 | 34 | 22 | 123 |
| NH | 30 | 40 | 4 | 22 | 26 | 13 | 87 |
| NJ | 103 | 115 | 7 | 80 | 87 | 7 | 85 |
| NY | 119 | 197 | 27 | 127 | 154 | 23 | 129 |
| PA | 116 | 59 | 11 | 45 | 56 | 9 | 48 |
| RI | 14 | 31 | 3 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 129 |
| vt | 16 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Midwest Region | 991 | 851 | 122 | 582 | 704 | 12 | 71 |
| IA | 45 | 33 | 11 | 25 | 36 | 25 | 81 |
| IL | 73 | 101 | 17 | 77 | 94 | 23 | 129 |
| in | 66 | 43 | 2 | 23 | 25 | 3 | 38 |
| кs | 20 | 27 | 2 | 13 | 15 | 10 | 74 |
| mı | 213 | 103 | 16 | 65 | 81 | 8 | 38 |
| mN | 144 | 84 | 15 | 70 | 85 | 10 | 59 |
| мо | 132 | 141 | 12 | 96 | 108 | 9 | 82 |
| ND | 10 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 30 |
| NE | 23 | 45 | 8 | 47 | 55 | 35 | 240 |
| OH | 83 | 136 | 11 | 83 | 94 | 13 | 114 |
| sD | 12 | 18 | 4 | 12 | 16 | 35 | 139 |
| wi | 171 | 110 | 23 | 69 | 92 | 13 | 54 |
| South Region | 1,558 | 2,033 | 270 | 1,365 | 1,635 | 17 | 105 |
| AL | 73 | 71 | 17 | 47 | 64 | 23 | 88 |
| AR | 48 | 68 | 8 | 50 | 58 | 17 | 121 |
| DC | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | ... | ... |
| DE | 12 | 13 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 17 | 78 |
| FL | 370 | 662 | 50 | 398 | 448 | 14 | 121 |
| GA | 123 | 111 | 13 | 80 | 93 | 11 | 76 |
| KY | 69 | 47 | 8 | 22 | 30 | 12 | 44 |
| LA | 104 | 116 | 25 | 84 | 109 | 24 | 105 |
| MD | 41 | 145 | 11 | 123 | 134 | 27 | 330 |
| ms | 42 | 57 | 12 | 39 | 51 | 28 | 121 |

## TABLE 54 CONT

| STATE | EXPOSURE HOURS (MILLIONS) | ACCIDENTS | DEATHS | INJURIES | GASUALTIES | DEATHS / 100 MILLION EXPOSURE HOURS | casualties 100 MILLION EXPOSURE HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NC | 134 | 145 | 23 | 97 | 120 | 17 | 90 |
| ок | 92 | 71 | 15 | 56 | 71 | 16 | 78 |
| sc | 101 | 108 | 14 | 85 | 99 | 14 | 98 |
| tN | 73 | 147 | 21 | 99 | 120 | 29 | 165 |
| TX | 164 | 162 | 32 | 104 | 136 | 19 | 83 |
| va | 99 | 89 | 15 | 63 | 78 | 15 | 79 |
| wv | 14 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 28 | 90 |
| West Region | 479 | 1002 | 173 | 656 | 829 | 36 | 173 |
| AK | 21 | 23 | 22 | 5 | 27 | 103 | 126 |
| Az | 32 | 99 | 4 | 77 | 81 | 12 | 252 |
| CA | 178 | 365 | 49 | 249 | 298 | 28 | 168 |
| co | 22 | 46 | 9 | 36 | 45 | 41 | 207 |
| HI | 8 | 28 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 65 | 143 |
| ID | 17 | 66 | 11 | 47 | 58 | 66 | 345 |
| MT | 21 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 47 | 71 |
| NM | 0 | 18 | 1 | 13 | 14 | ... | ... |
| nv | 14 | 57 | 4 | 46 | 50 | 28 | 350 |
| OR | 53 | 70 | 19 | 30 | 49 | 36 | 92 |
| UT | 31 | 99 | 8 | 66 | 74 | 26 | 241 |
| WA | 74 | 105 | 30 | 63 | 93 | 41 | 126 |
| wy | 7 | 9 | 1 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 192 |
| PR | 35 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 |

Unreliable number due to large statistical error


## CONCLUSION

The 2012 NRBS produced a great amount of data about recreational boats and boating on different types and sizes of boats in the country. While comparisons wil certainly be made between the results from the NRBS with other boating surveys, it is important to comprehensively understand the methods (e.g., sampling and sample sizes, modes of data collection, survey instruments, weighting) employed in both the Participant and Trip Surveys that collected the data that produced the results presented in this report. While various organizations either regularly or periodically collect boating data, it is highly improbable that they collected the identical data for the same time period, or employed the same collection and analysis methods as the NRBS

The USCG is committed to efforts to collect accurate and reliable information that can be used to identify and analyze long-term trends and to assist in evaluat ing the performance of different boating safety-related programs. It is determined to conduct research that
produces valid and reliable exposure estimates and to enhance boating and accident statistics (e.g., accuracy, completeness, timeliness) to be used to calculate Recreational Boating Risk Ratios. It recognizes that this will require a concerted effort to: keep costs down and to produce data that is viewed to be valid, reliable, meaningful and conveniently accessible. This coopera tion will include sharing data, data collection instruments and methods with other federal and state agencies (e.g., U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Fish \& Wild life Service, NOAA,) and organizations (e.g., National Boating Safety Advisory Council, National Association of State Boating Law Administrators, National Safe Boating Council, U.S. Power Squadrons,) that collect and/or use recreational boating data.

The USCG expects to conduct its next NRBS in 2015 n an effort to reduce costs while continuing to enhance the accuracy of the data that are produced, the USCG will entertain applications from qualified nongovemmen
tal organizations primarily in existence to provide public service. A Funding Opportunity Announcement titled Boating Safety Data Collection and Analysis (DHS-US CG-2014-002) that was released in January 2014 includes requirements for the 2015 survey, and outlines the survey requirements and expected outcomes.

The 2012 National Boating Survey produced a variety of different data much of which are currently being anaIyzed or remain to be analyzed. The spending data that were collected as part of the Trip Survey are now being analyzed for possible use in a new web-based boating economic impact tool. The survey produced data on boat use for many different types of boats around the country along with information (e.q., socioeconomics) about their owners. There are also many opportunities to conduct methodological studies including statistical comparisons between survey data collected on telephone and web surveys and comparing the different statistical methods for estimating exposure rates. The USCG is interested in facilitating other agencies, organizations and universities to conduct analyses using the data. The databases, survey instruments and method ologies can be downloaded from the U.S. Coast Guard Boating Safety Division's website, uww.uscgboating.org



[^0]:    'This represents the number of boating households per 100 households.

