DOI: 10.1002/ecs2.4139

ARTICLE

Freshwater Ecology



Check for updates

Intraspecific variation in migration timing of green sturgeon in the Sacramento River system

Scott F. Colborne¹ | Lawrence W. Sheppard^{2,3} | Daniel R. O'Donnell¹ | Daniel C. Reuman² | Jonathan A. Walter⁴ | Gabriel P. Singer⁵ | John T. Kelly⁶ | Michael J. Thomas¹ | Andrew L. Rypel^{1,7}

¹Department of Wildlife, Fish, and Conservation Biology, University of California, Davis, California, USA
 ²Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology and Kansas Biological Survey, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA
 ³Continuous Plankton Recorder Survey, Marine Biological Association, Plymouth, UK
 ⁴Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA
 ⁵California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Water Branch, West Sacramento, California, USA
 ⁶California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Fisheries Branch, West Sacramento, California, USA
 ⁷Center for Watershed Sciences, University of California, Davis, California, USA

Correspondence Scott F. Colborne Email: scolbor@gmail.com

Funding information

Delta Stewardship Council, Grant/Award Number: 18204; Peter B Moyle and California Trout Endowment for Coldwater Fish Conservation and the California Agricultural Experimental Station of the University of California Davis, Grant/Award Number: CA-D-WFB-2467-H

Handling Editor: Jane S. Rogosch

Abstract

Understanding movement patterns of anadromous fishes is critical to conservation and management of declining wild populations and preservation of habitats. Yet, the duration of observations for individual animals can constrain accurate descriptions of movements. In this study, we synthesized over a decade (2006-2018) of acoustic telemetry tracking observations of green sturgeon (Acipenser medirostris) in the Sacramento River system to describe major anadromous movement patterns. We observed that green sturgeon exhibited a unimodal in-migration during the spring months but had a bimodal distribution of out-migration timing, split between an "early" out-migration (32%) group during May-June, or, alternatively, holding in the river until a "late" out-migration (68%), November-January. Focusing on these out-migration groups, we found that river discharge, but not water temperature, may cue the timing of migration and that fish showed a tendency to maintain out-migration timing between subsequent spawning migration events. We recommend that life history descriptions of green sturgeon in this region reflect the distinct out-migration periods described here. Furthermore, we encourage the continued use of biotelemetry to describe migration timing and life history variation, in not only this population but also other green sturgeon populations and other species.

Scott F. Colborne and Lawrence W. Sheppard contributed equally to the work reported here.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. Ecosphere published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of The Ecological Society of America.

INTRODUCTION

Humans modify waterways to suit energy, industrial, agricultural, and drinking water needs, and these modifications impact the life histories of migratory fishes. For example, dams and diversions change river flow patterns and often reduce discharge rates in natural channels, present direct barriers to fish movements, and cause loss of essential feeding, spawning, and nursery habitats (Arthington et al., 2016; Lau et al., 2006; Nilsson et al., 2005). Yet, a poor understanding of species life histories often results in infrastructure that fundamentally blocks migrations, reduces access to specific habitat patches, or increases the risk of injury and mortality during movements (Bork & Rypel, 2020; Fitzpatrick et al., 2021). As a result, conservation and management efforts often focus on restoring connectivity within riverine systems (McLaughlin et al., 2013; Silva et al., 2018). These efforts attempt to balance human needs (i.e., ecosystem services) with the ecological requirements of the other organisms that depend on these systems (Silva et al., 2018).

Life history strategies can vary within species, contributing to community structure and stability (Blanck & Lamouroux, 2007; Winemiller & Rose, 1992). Intraspecific variation may buffer populations from stochastic events, for example, through portfolio effects (Carlson & Satterthwaite, 2011; Moore et al., 2010; Satterthwaite & Carlson, 2015). Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) migrate to oceans as smolts and spend several years maturing before returning to their natal streams and rivers (Quinn, 2018). However, Chinook salmon also exhibit variation in migration timing of smolts and adults (Fisher, 1994; Singer et al., 2020; Yoshiyama et al., 2000). Striped bass (Morone saxatilis) in the Hudson River Estuary migrate different distances to spawning sites and maintain these site preferences across years (Secor et al., 2020), effectively dispersing reproductive effort across multiple sections of the river. Effective management of wild populations requires understanding diversity in migratory tactics, but intraspecific variation in movement may be hard to describe while monitoring wild fishes with traditional sampling techniques, such as setlines and trapping.

Along the Pacific coast of North America, green sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*) are a long-lived, intermittent spawning fish of conservation concern. The southern distinct population segment (sDPS) in the Sacramento River of California is listed as "threatened" under the US Endangered Species Act (ESA), and throughout their range, green sturgeon are listed under Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) Appendix II (https://cites.org) (Adams et al., 2002; Israel et al., 2004). The movement ecology of green sturgeon in the Sacramento River has been broadly described as (1) in-migration to the river occuring during the spring months, peaking in March, with fish traveling over 400 km upriver to spawning grounds; (2) spawning occurs during the late spring months (April through June); (3) adults spend the summer months in the river near to spawning grounds; (4) outmigration to the Pacific Ocean happens over an extended period in the late summer through autumn months; and (5) individuals then remain in the Pacific Ocean for 2-4 years between migration events (see Israel & Klimley, 2008, for details). Conceptual models such as these are valuable for general life history descriptions of wild animals but can be improved upon when highresolution data on movements of individuals become available.

The specific objectives of this study were to synthesize the long-term movement profiles of individual green sturgeon from acoustic telemetry to (1) describe the timing of green sturgeon migrations in the Sacramento River; (2) determine whether out-migration events were correlated with environmental variables (discharge and temperature); and (3) evaluate whether individuals exhibited fidelity to a particular pattern in migration timing across migration events. We expected in-migrations in the spring months would occur over a single period so that adult green sturgeon arrived at spawning grounds when conditions were optimal for the development of eggs and larvae. We did not have similar expectations for outmigration movements. Past observations of green sturgeon have described out-migrations occurring across a span of several months (Heublein et al., 2009; Miller et al., 2020), including two pulses of downriver movements in the Klamath and Trinity Rivers (northern DPS [nDPS]; see Benson et al., 2007).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study system and acoustic tagging

The lower Sacramento River system of California, defined here as the portion of the river below the Keswick Dam $(40.612^\circ, -122.446^\circ)$, is over 400 km long and is fed by

multiple tributaries before it joins the San Francisco Estuary and the Pacific Ocean. The Sacramento River system has been altered in numerous ways; flow pathway changes, water discharge control through dam facilities, large-scale water abstractions, and loss of habitats are factors of concern (Lindley et al., 2009). However, due to the overall biodiversity, the Sacramento River remains a focus of conservation efforts for freshwater fishes. The Sacramento River system is perhaps most widely known for its Chinook salmon (O. tshawytscha; e.g., Perry et al., 2010) and steelhead trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss; e.g., Singer et al., 2013), but it is also the central hub for a rich and highly endemic assemblage of fishes in California (Moyle, 2002). An estimated 83% of the 129 native freshwater fish species in California are extinct or at risk of extinction (Moyle et al., 2011).

Over 300 acoustic receivers (VR2W-69 kHz, InnovaSea, Inc., Halifax, Canada; Figure 1) have been deployed throughout the Sacramento River, the Inland Delta, the



FIGURE1 Positions of receivers throughout the San Francisco Bay, Sacramento River, and San Joaquin River systems during the 2006 through 2018 observation period used in this study.

San Francisco Estuary, and nearshore regions of the Pacific Ocean to passively monitor the movements of tagged fish (also see Heublein et al., 2009; Miller et al., 2020). During the lifetime of these acoustic telemetry projects, deployment configurations and receiver coverage have varied to address the questions of specific projects. However, there was consistent coverage throughout much of the Sacramento River system during the 12-year observation period (2006-2018) used in this study. In addition to the acoustic receivers for fish detections, environmental monitoring stations are scattered throughout the river recording parameters including water temperature and flow rates. These data are publicly available and could be used to correlate fish movements with environmental conditions in the Sacramento River (see Appendix S1: Figures S2 and S3 for river discharge and water temperature profiles during our study years, respectively).

From 2002 to 2014, green sturgeon were surgically implanted with acoustic transmitters (n = 350 tagged sturgeon considered for analysis) by scientists from 13 agencies and research groups (e.g., Heublein et al., 2009; Kelly et al., 2007; Miller et al., 2020; Wyman et al., 2018). Most of these tags were expected to have 10-year life spans. Tagging and capture methods varied slightly across research groups and over time but were consistent in the following respects. Fish tagged and released were caught by trammel or gillnets at multiple locations in the Sacramento River, San Pablo Bay, and Suisun Bay. Nets were either watched for movement in float lines or soaked for a maximum of 30 min to minimize capture stress on green sturgeon. Fish selected for tagging were inverted to initiate a tonic immobility-like state, and water flow was provided continuously across their gills. The surgical procedure for these fish is outlined in Miller et al. (2020) but is reviewed briefly here. A small incision, approximately 30 mm, was made anterior to the pelvic girdle and offset from the midline. Green sturgeon were tagged with V16 (n = 322), V13 (n = 5), or V9 (n = 23) transmitters (InnovaSea, Inc.), depending on the size of the fish being tagged. Transmitters were inserted into the body cavity, and the incision was closed with two to three simple interrupted sutures (PDS II Violet Monofilament, absorbable) tied with 2×2 surgeon's knots. This general surgical method has been employed successfully on multiple fishes, including sturgeon, and has been shown to not impact survival, growth, or swimming performance of juvenile green sturgeon (Miller et al., 2014). In total, the surgical period lasted approximately 5 min, after which the fish were immediately released at the site of capture or, if showing signs of stress, temporarily held in an aerated stock tank until they resumed normal responses.

Data selection and longitudinal profiles

The UC Davis Biotelemetry Lab (http://path.wfcb. ucdavis.edu), has been used as part of the Interagency Telemetry Advisory Group to compile detection records across multiple organizations. We accessed green sturgeon detection data from 2006 through 2018 from the PATH (Pacific Aquatic Telemetry Hub) database. For individual green sturgeon, we compiled detection data across the Sacramento River system to create an ordered series of detections that included time of detection, location of receiver recording each detection, and river kilometer (measured as a distance from the entrance to the Pacific Ocean marked by the Golden Gate Bridge in San Francisco Bay, hereafter "rkm").

Green sturgeon longitudinal detection profiles based on rkm were used to determine when fish were moving into and out of the river system (see Figure 1 for general area categories). When a fish was first detected downriver and then upriver in the same calendar year, the date of the first record above rkm 105 was logged as the "up-date" and considered when the fish began migration upriver, presumably to spawn. We selected the 105 rkm value to reflect transition from Suisun Marsh and the inland Delta region into the Sacramento River proper (Figure 1). When a fish on the spawning grounds was detected moving downriver, the date of first record below rkm 400 was classified as the "down-date" and recorded as the day out-migration commenced. We selected a value of 400 rkm as the threshold separating spawning and holding grounds from the rest of the river based on visual inspection of movement paths (see Appendix S1: Figure S1) and previously used thresholds (Poytress et al., 2015) based on descriptions of green sturgeon activity in the Sacramento River (Israel & Klimley, 2008).

To describe the distribution of days fish either began moving up the Sacramento River or began their outmigration back down the river, a cumulative distribution function tallying the observed event dates (see above) was used. If we observed a plateau in dates consistent across observation years, that is, a period during which no migration events were observed, the mid-value of the plateau was used as a dividing value between groups.

Because green sturgeon migrations often spanned more than one calendar year, day of the year alone could not be used to measure migration timing. Rather, we tabulated "journey days" relative to 1 January of the year that a green sturgeon began upriver migration runs; for example, a fish that migrated upriver on 1 March 2017 and downriver on 5 January 2018 would have been described as moving upriver on Day 60 and downriver on Day 370. Many green sturgeon were tagged in the Sacramento River during spring months, presumably already on a spawning run up the river at the time of tagging, with 71 sturgeon tagged between rkm 150 and 518. For these fish, the first detected migration event using the criteria outlined above was their downriver migration. As such, the greater number of downriver migration events observed (n = 224 events) as compared to upriver migration events (n = 129 events) was attributed primarily to tagging in the river. We established upriver and downriver migration dates representing the complete history of a migration event, referred to as "paired up-down dates" for 117 migration events during the observation period.

Environmental data

We collated environmental data from multiple long-term monitoring stations in the Sacramento River. We compiled upriver environmental data spanning the study period from two stations: Discharge rates were retrieved from records of the ORD station (California Department of Water Resources, National Wildlife Refuge Ord Bend Unit; 39.628° , -121.993°) and temperature data from the RDB station (US Forest Service, Red Bluff Recreation Area; 40.154° , -122.202°). These stations are located ~60 km apart but chosen for having the most complete records covering the observation period and their proximity to upriver habitats used by green sturgeon. For downriver sites, we collected data from two stations: discharge rates from the DTO station (California Department of Water Resources, Delta outflow discharge station; 38.059° , -122.025°) and temperature data from the RVB station (California Department of Water Resources, Rio Vista Bridge; 38.160° , -121.686°), which were approximately 30 km apart.

Environmental variables and migrations

For each up- or downriver migration event that could be determined from acoustic detections, we created profiles of discharge and temperature for the 21 days surrounding the day of migration. Each date that a migration was deemed to have commenced (either upriver or downriver) was designated Day 0; we then extracted river discharge and temperature data from the 14 days prior to that date and the 7 days afterward to form a profile around each migration event. A corresponding segment of environmental data (discharge and temperature) was extracted from the matched dates using the stations outlined above, that is, DTO/RVB for upriver and ORD/RDB for downriver profiles. Once profiles were created for individual fish, the mean values across all fish for discharge and temperature in each of the 22 days were determined to provide a qualitative description of these parameters in relation to migration events.

We had a priori interest in downriver migrations due to the extended total duration over which they have been previously observed to occur (see Introduction). We therefore used semiparametric Cox proportional hazard regression (hereafter CPH) (Cox, 1972) to determine whether temperature and/or discharge characteristics were predictive of downriver migrations. Environmental parameters and swim-down events were binned into 5-day intervals. We chose this interval due to findings that migration timing for other sturgeon was predicted by environmental conditions over multiple days, for example, lake sturgeon (Forsythe et al., 2012). The covariates of mean temperature and discharge were tested for collinearity during the "early" and "late" out-migration periods based on variance inflation factor (VIF) scores (McGowan et al., 2012). Models for both the "early" and "late" out-migration groups (VIF ≤ 1.17) were found to be below a threshold of 5.0 indicating problematic collinearity (Welzel & Deutsch, 2012). Within each 5-day interval, we determined the mean discharge (in cubic meters per second), minimum daily discharge (in cubic meters per second), and mean water temperature (in degrees Celsius). We also considered the percent change in mean discharge (Δ discharge) and percent change in mean water temperature (Δ temperature) calculated as the change between subsequent time intervals, that is, $[(\text{Temp}_{(t+1)} - \text{Temp}_{(t)})/\text{Temp}_{(t)}] \times 100$ and $[(\text{Discharge}_{(t+1)} - \text{Discharge}_{(t)})/\text{Discharge}_{(t)}] \times 100.$

The CPH model can be described by the formula:

$$h(t) = h_0(t) \times \exp(\beta_1 \chi_1 + \beta_2 \chi_2 + \dots + \beta_i \chi_i),$$

where h(t) is the "expected hazard" at time t, $h_0(t)$ represents the "baseline hazard" assuming no effect of any covariates, and β_i is the regression coefficient for an explanatory variable (χ_i) . A hazard ratio (HR) independent of time (t) was estimated for each explanatory variable (χ_i) based on the regression coefficients determined in the CPH model (HR = $\exp(\beta_i)$). A positive regression coefficient, and consequently a HR value >1, for a covariate indicates a positive relationship between that covariate and migration probability; larger values of HR indicate a greater probability of migration for each unit increase in the explanatory variable. The CPH models were fitted using the coxph function in the survival analysis R package (Therneau et al., 2020). The proportional hazard for each covariate is assumed, by the CPH approach, to be independent of time; this was tested using the Schoenfeld residuals (*cox.zph* function), with p > 0.05 for each

covariate in the model considered passing this assumption. Due to violations of this assumption when including migration year as a continuous variable, we included year as a stratification factor.

We used an information-theoretic model selection process that considered models including all possible combinations of the five covariates outlined above. Using Akaike information criterion (AIC), the model with the lowest AIC score was identified as the most supported model (Δ AIC = 0), but all models with Δ AIC <4 were considered competitive (Arnold, 2010). The relative importance of each covariate was determined by summing the AIC weights of the models in which they occurred. The CPH model coefficients were determined using model averaging across the competitive models determined by AIC scores (Burnham & Anderson, 2002; Taylor et al., 2016) using the *dredge* and *model.avg* functions of *MuMIn* R package (Barton & Barton, 2015).

Repeatability in timing of migration events

Due to the duration of this study, some fish made more than one migration up and down the river. Where multiple migration events occurred for the same fish, it was possible to compare the first and second observed swimdown dates to determine whether the timing of migration was correlated across events. To determine whether there was repeatability in the timing of migrations, journey days (see definition above) were correlated between (1) first and second upriver migrations, (2) first and second downriver migrations, and (3) upriver and downriver migrations. If individuals were observed making more than two migrations during the observation period, only the first event and the second event were considered. If normality was violated (Shapiro-Wilk test; p < 0.05) for either of the two variables for which correlation was to be computed, Spearman's rank correlation was used; otherwise, Pearson's correlation was used. For correlation testing purposes, only paired migration events with both up and down dates observed were considered because up- and down-movement dates were involved in the comparisons; this constraint was applied for the up/up and down/down comparisons, as well as for the up/down comparisons, to produce a consistent dataset on which all the correlation results were based.

Given the presence of two distinct out-migration groups (see *Results*), we evaluated whether fish tended to switch groups between their first and second observed out-migrations, more or less often than expected by chance. A randomization trial performed in MATLAB (version R2021a; updated 13 April 2021) was used to test the null hypothesis that fish selected their downriver migration group at random and that the out-migration timing during the second event was not related to the first event. For each simulation, the same number of fish observed in the field making multiple migration events (n = 64) was randomly assigned to either an "early" (journey day < 250) or an "late" (journey day > 250) out-migration group (first migration), and then independently assigned to a second "early" or "late" group (second migration). Probabilities for assignments were based on empirical values (equal to the fraction of all migration observations in this group that were early or late). The number of fish that switched out-migration timing, that is, "early to late" or "late to early," was tallied to determine the total number of switches that occurred in the simulation. This process was repeated for 100,000 simulations to generate a distribution of numbers of migration timing switches that would be expected if migration timing was random and independent across events. The empirical number of switches was then compared with the distribution of simulated values, and if the observed number was less than that found in 95% of the simulations, we considered the fish to exhibit significant consistency in their migration timing groups between events. All fish were included in this analysis for which at least two downriver dates were available.

To determine whether river discharge was related to repeatability in the migration timing of fish, we first determined whether there was a linear relationship between the journey-day departure timing of first and second outmigration events for fish that departed "early" for both migrations (i.e., "early-early" fish). Because there was evidence that "early-early" fish out-migration journey days were strongly correlated between migrations (see Results), the out-migration dates for fish that switched groups between events (i.e., "early to late," n = 13, and "late to early," n = 8) were used to estimate matched dates for the "early" period for the year they migrated "late" based on the regression relationship determined for "early-early" fish. For each of the fish that switched migration groups, this provided an observed "early" migration date (either first or second migration) and regression-inferred "early" date (i.e., for the year, the individual was observed migrating "late"). Mean flow over a 7-day period leading up to the out-migration dates was determined for the years fish were observed departing "early" and compared with the years in which they instead held over and departed as part of the "late" group. Discharge was compared between the two groups (observed "early" departure and regressionmatched "early" dates) using a paired Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Here, we systematically evaluated river flow during actual "early" departures compared with matched "early" times when a fish could, in principle, have departed but instead chose to migrate "late."

RESULTS

Based on the tagging records of 350 individual green sturgeon, 151 individuals were detected in the Sacramento River system during 2006–2018. Nine fish were tagged prior to the beginning of the observation period (2003– 2005), while the remainder of green sturgeon were tagged 2006–2013 (2006, n = 21; 2008, n = 10; 2009, n = 29; 2010, n = 18; 2011, n = 46; 2012, n = 16; and 2013, n = 2). Average fork length of green sturgeon tagged (mean ± 1 SE) was 1726 ± 16 mm. Fish of both sexes were represented in the sample (females = 15, males = 35), but most green sturgeon were not sexed (n = 101) during tagging.

A total of 129 upriver migrations and 224 downriver movements were identified (see Table 1 for details), including 117 paired detection events (n = 85 unique sturgeon) covering the full migration sequence (i.e., migration upriver to spawning grounds and subsequent outmigration back downriver to the Pacific Ocean). When considering all downriver movements including migration events without a corresponding upriver date, there were 62 green sturgeon that made more than one downriver migration during the observation period with a mean interval between downriver migration events of 4.3 years (1562 days).

TABLE 1	Counts of the numbers of unique green sturgeon
observed unde	rtaking upriver and downriver migrations in each
calendar year	(2006–2018).

	Count of migrating fis				
Year	Upriver	Downriver			
2006	2	18			
2007	4	3			
2008	2	8			
2009	3	20			
2010	4	34			
2011	3	13			
2012	18	36			
2013	14	5			
2014	14	24			
2015	20	19			
2016	28	28			
2017	17	10			
2018	0	6			
Total	129	224			

Note: See *Materials and methods* for criteria used to identify that individual green sturgeon were migrating through the Sacramento River system in each year.

Timing and duration of migration

Across all observation years, the 117 paired migration events began with green sturgeon swimming into the Sacramento River on 22 March \pm 22 days (range 10 February-14 June) (see Table 2 for individual year summaries). Across all fish, the mean date of out-migration was 16 October \pm 93 days (min., 15 April; max., 24 March of the year following upriver migration) and individual green sturgeon were present in the Sacramento River for an average of 204 ± 97 days (Figure 2a). Upriver migration dates followed a unimodal distribution that peaked in late March to early April (Figure 2b). In comparison, downriver migration dates followed a bimodal distribution (Figure 2c), with some green sturgeon returning downriver during May-June, and others remaining for several months, out-migrating to the Pacific Ocean during November-January (Table 2). All tagged green sturgeon returned to the Pacific Ocean after a holding period, and there was no evidence of permanent river residency by any tagged fish.

Based on the distributions of downriver migration dates, we defined two swim-down groups, "early" fish migrated downriver before September (<day 250) and "late" fish spent a period of several extra months in the upper Sacramento River before returning to the Pacific Ocean during late autumn and early winter (>day 250; Figure 2). Across the 117 paired up-down migration events, we observed 37 early return events (n = 34 unique individuals) that spent 76 days in the river system from swim up to swim down (range 26–142 days). In comparison, there were 80 late return events

(n = 71 unique individuals) with fish spending a mean of 263 days in the river (range 139–368 days).

"Early" returning green sturgeon (mean length = 1707 mm; females = 4, males = 7, unknown sex = 23) began their downriver migration on 12 June \pm 31 days (min., 15 April; max., 17 August), and "late" returning fish (mean length = 1709 mm; females = 5, males = 11, unknown sex = 55) began their migration on 14 December \pm 37 days (min., 22 September; max., 24 March of the year following migration). The days "early" out-migration began occurred at higher water temperatures and lower discharge levels (mean ± 1 SE, interquartile range [IQR]; temperature: $14.88 \pm 0.22^{\circ}$ C, IQR = 2.11° C; discharge: $227.66 \pm 11.20 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $IQR = 119.28 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) as compared to the "late" out-migration group (temperature: $11.20 \pm 0.18^{\circ}$ C, IQR = 2.32° C; discharge: $346.00 \pm 21.32 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $IQR = 195.12 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) (see Appendix S1: Figures S2 and S3 for further details).

Environmental variables and migrations

Based on the unimodal distribution of upriver migrations and bimodal distribution of downriver dates described above, we described green sturgeon as migrating "upriver" in a single group and out-migrating in two distinct groups based on timing ("early" and "late"). We created 22-day profiles relating discharge and water temperature to each of these three movement groups (14 days prior to migration and 7 days after migration; see *Materials and methods* for details). Based on these profiles, green sturgeon began

TABLE 2 Count and dates of migrations for green sturgeon divided into in-migration, "early" out-migration, and "late" out-migration groups.

	In-migration		"Early" out-migration		"Late" out-migration		
Year	Count	Date	Count	Date	Count	Date	
2007	4	9 April (21 March–17 May)	1	17 August	3	18 December (7 December–6 January)	
2008	0		0		0		
2009	3	1 April (12 March–23 April)	0		3	16 November (14 October–14 January)	
2010	3	21 March (2 March–25 April)	0		3	9 December (7 December–11 December)	
2011	2	1 March (23 February-8 March)	1	28 June	1	23 January	
2012	17	3 April (6 March–5 May)	10	14 June (24 May–24 July)	7	25 November (21 November-2 December)	
2013	13	30 March (18 February–6 May)	3	7 July (1 July–12 July)	10	5 February (15 December–14 February)	
2014	13	21 March (15 February–5 May)	3	11 June (11 May–26 July)	10	4 December (1 December–6 December)	
2015	20	5 April (18 February–14 June)	4	23 June (20 May–26 July)	16	13 December (15 October–9 January)	
2016	26	20 March (10 February–14 April)	9	21 May (15 April–7 July)	17	13 November (22 September–12 December)	
2017	16	20 March (24 February–4 May)	6	9 June (18 May–7 July)	10	14 January (22 November–24 March)	

Note: The mean and range (in parentheses) of dates are presented based on the year a fish was detected migrating up the Sacramento River.



FIGURE 2 Cumulative number of fish migration events (maximum = 117) commenced by a given day of a calendar year (journey day). Upriver migration (purple) was used to determine the calendar year migrations began, and this start year was applied to downriver migrations (blue); therefore, journey days >365 indicate a fish that migrated upriver in one calendar year, for example, 2013, and migrated downriver the following calendar year, for example, 2014. The distribution of (b) swim-up and (c) swim-down dates is shown with a smoother curve. The dashed line in panel (a) illustrates the cutoff we applied to distinguish between "early" and "late" departing fish based on the downriver migrations summarized in this figure. rkm, river kilometer.

upriver movements during periods of increasing temperature as winter concluded (Figure 3a). There was no visually detectable pattern in discharge and timing of downriver migration in the "early" out-migration group (Figure 3b), but there was a tendency for the "late" outmigration group to begin downriver movements after an initial increase in discharge (Figure 3c). Downriver migrations did not have apparent correlations to water temperature.

There were 10 competitive models for the "early" out-migration period ($\Delta AIC \leq 2.78$) and 15 for the "late" out-migration period ($\Delta AIC \leq 3.90$; Table 3). Relative importance among possible covariates in the

"early" group was highest for minimum discharge (0.98), followed by Δ discharge (0.73), mean discharge (0.46), and Δ temperature (0.36), and was lowest for mean temperature (0.34). In the "late" out-migration group, relative importance was highest for minimum discharge (0.93), followed by Δ discharge (0.55), mean discharge (0.44), mean temperature (0.43), and Δ temperature (0.42).

Model averaging of the 90% confidence sets found that in "early" out-migrants, minimum discharge was significantly related to sturgeon beginning downriver migrations, but the confidence intervals for all other variables spanned 0, and therefore, they were



FIGURE 3 Profiles of Sacramento River discharge rate (in cubic meters per second) and temperature (in degrees Celsius) over a 21-day period surrounding the day of migration (14 days before and 7 days following migration). Profiles were created for (a) upriver migration dates, (b) "early" downriver migrations, and (c) "late" downriver migrations. Day 0 (dashed line) represents the date identified as the beginning of out-migration. The black line in each panel represents the mean discharge rate or temperature (across all fish for each day), and each colored line represents an individual fish, over 22 days. Environmental measures were collected from two stations each for the upriver and downriver migrations (see *Materials and methods* for details).

considered nonsignificant effects (Table 4). Green sturgeon likelihood of departure was positively related to minimum discharge values within a 5-day time interval (HR = 1.48). Among green sturgeon that adopted the "early" out-migration strategy, fish were more likely to depart at higher minimum discharge values—the mean minimum daily flows for the 5-day intervals when fish began their downriver migration were 218 (range 118–396) m³ s⁻¹.

Most green sturgeon migration events (68%) were classified into the "late" out-migration group. Based on CPH model averaging, the timing of out-migrations in this group was related to the minimum discharge levels (HR = 1.03), but based on confidence intervals, it was not related to other variables (Table 4). Changes in discharge during the period of "late" out-migrations included seasonal influxes of water that increased magnitude and variability in discharge, and also included a

Model variables	K	LL	AIC _c	ΔΑΙC	w _i
(a) "Early" out-migration					
Δ Discharge + min discharge	2	-29.52	63.06	0	0.19
Δ Discharge + min discharge + Δ temp	3	-28.93	63.92	0.86	0.12
Δ Discharge + min discharge + temp	3	-29.00	64.07	1.01	0.11
$Discharge + \Delta \ discharge + min \ discharge$	3	-29.14	64.35	1.28	0.10
Discharge + min discharge	2	-30.39	64.80	1.74	0.08
$Discharge + \Delta \ discharge + min \ discharge + \Delta \ temp$	4	-28.62	65.34	2.28	0.06
$Discharge + \Delta \ discharge + min \ discharge + temp$	4	-28.65	65.40	2.34	0.06
$Discharge + min \ discharge + \Delta \ temp$	3	-29.70	65.46	2.40	0.06
Discharge + min discharge + temp	3	-29.82	65.69	2.63	0.05
$\Delta \ Discharge + min \ discharge + temp + \Delta \ temp$	4	-28.87	65.84	2.78	0.05
$Discharge + min\ discharge + temp + \Delta\ temp$	4	-29.54	67.17	4.10	0.02
(b) "Late" out-migration					
Δ Discharge + min discharge	2	-105.51	215.04	0	0.13
Δ Discharge + min discharge + temp	3	-104.80	215.62	0.58	0.10
Δ Discharge + min discharge + Δ temp	3	-104.88	215.78	0.74	0.09
Discharge + min discharge	2	-106.02	216.05	1.01	0.08
Discharge + min discharge + temp	3	-105.12	216.26	1.22	0.07
Min discharge $+ \Delta$ temp	2	-106.16	216.33	1.29	0.07
Min discharge + temp	2	-106.16	216.33	1.29	0.07
$Discharge + min \ discharge + \Delta \ temp$	3	-105.16	216.33	1.30	0.07
$Discharge + \Delta \ discharge + min \ discharge$	3	-105.51	217.04	2.00	0.05
$\Delta \ Discharge + min \ discharge + temp + \Delta \ temp$	4	-104.51	217.06	2.02	0.05
$Discharge + \Delta \ discharge + min \ discharge + temp$	4	-104.80	217.63	2.59	0.04
$Discharge + \Delta \ discharge + min \ discharge + \Delta \ temp$	4	-104.88	217.79	2.75	0.03
$Discharge + min\ discharge + temp + \Delta\ temp$	4	-105.10	218.23	3.19	0.03
Min discharge + temp + Δ temp	3	-106.16	218.33	3.29	0.03
$\label{eq:Discharge} \begin{split} \text{Discharge} + \Delta \ \text{discharge} + \min \ \text{discharge} + \\ \text{temp} + \Delta \ \text{temp} \end{split}$	5	-104.45	218.94	3.90	0.02
Min discharge	1	-108.64	219.29	4.25	0.02

TABLE 3 Model fit summary for combinations of five covariations related to water discharge and temperature predicted to be related to the migration timing of (a) "early" and (b) "late" out-migration green sturgeon from the Sacramento River system.

Note: Predictor variables for each model are shown along with the number of parameters in each model (*K*), log likelihood (LL), Akaike information criterion (AIC), difference in AIC score compared with the top model (Δ AIC), and model weight (w_i). Models up to the first with a Δ AIC score >4 are shown. Abbreviations: min discharge, minimum discharge; temp, temperature.

decline in the overall minimum discharge rate, with a 33% decline in minimum discharge from $187 \pm 24 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at the beginning of the "late" period to $126 \pm 25 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ over a period of 150 days. Considering both the 22-day profiles (Figure 3) and CPH results, green sturgeon adopting a "late" out-migration timing were likely to depart not only after initial increases in seasonal discharge rates but also when minimum discharge levels were higher.

Repeatability of migration timing

There was a positive correlation between the journey days that individuals began upriver migrations for their first and second observed migrations (Pearson's correlation, t = 2.07, df = 19, p = 0.05; Figure 4a), but there was not a corresponding correlation between journey days that down-river migrations began (Spearman's correlation, rho = 0.29, p = 0.20; Figure 4b). There was no correlation between the

TABLE 4	Model-averaged	Cox proportional	hazarc	l parameter estimate	s for greer	n sturgeon	classified	into either	"early"	or'	'late''	out-
migration grou	ups in the Sacram	iento River.										

Covariate	Coefficient (β) and CI	SE β	HR (e^{β})	HR CI
(a) "Early" out-migration				
Min discharge	0.39 (0.14 to 0.65)	0.13	1.48	1.15-1.92
Δ Discharge	-0.74 (-2.36 to 0.44)	0.75	0.48	0.11-1.55
Δ Temp	-0.10 (-1.75 to 1.06)	0.42	0.90	0.40-1.55
Mean temp	-0.24 (-10.85 to 9.11)	2.70	0.79	0.58-1.56
Mean discharge	0.02 (-1.09 to 1.19)	0.39	1.02	0.48-2.18
(b) "Late" out-migration				
Min discharge	0.04 (0.01 to 0.07)	0.02	1.03	1.00 - 1.07
Δ Discharge	-0.02 (-0.06 to 0.03)	0.02	0.98	0.94-1.03
Δ Temp	-0.001 (-0.85 to 0.85)	0.43	0.99	0.43-2.33
Mean temp	0.96 (-6.55 to 8.46)	3.83	2.61	0.001-4.72
Mean discharge	-0.006 (-0.04 to 0.02)	0.01	0.99	0.96-1.02

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; min discharge, minimum discharge; temp, temperature.

journey days that fish began migrating upriver and the day they began to move back downriver; that is, individuals that migrated upriver earlier in the spring run did not necessarily return to the Pacific Ocean earlier (Spearman's correlation, rho = -0.09, p = 0.56; Figure 4c).

Comparing swim-down classifications between the first and second swim-down events, 10 sturgeon adopted an "early-early" strategy as compared to 13 with an "earlylate" strategy, representing 56% of fish changing from "early" to "late" timing between their first and second migrations (Figure 5). In comparison, 33 fish adopted a "late-late" strategy, with 8 fish (20%) changing strategy between migration periods (i.e., a "late-early" strategy). Across all years of the observation period, we documented a total of 21 fish switching out-migration groups between their first and second migration intervals (13 fish early to late and 8 fish late to early) compared with a mean of 28 switches expected under random assignments over 100,000 simulations. The proportion of fish that switched strategy in these randomizations was found to be marginally significantly greater than the number observed empirically (p = 0.05). We interpreted this as a tendency for conservation of out-migration timing group between subsequent migrations and further investigated the conditions under which a fish might switch strategy.

Among the "early–early" green sturgeon (n = 10), there was a strong linear relationship between first and second observed departures following tagging (Second migration day = $1.685 \times$ First migration day – 116.47; $R^2 = 0.92$; Figure 5), but on average, fish departed 4 days earlier on the second observed migration, a difference unlikely to be biologically significant. This estimated relationship was used to estimate matched dates for switching fish (i.e., "early to late" and "late to early"; see *Materials* and methods for details) and comparisons of mean flow during the "early" departure period in years fish departed "early" versus holding to the "late" departure period. Among the fish that switched migration timing (n = 21), river discharge was greater for the migration event when fish departed in the "early" group (mean ± 1 SE: $283 \pm 24 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, median: $250 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) as compared to the discharge levels matched to the "early" period on years when fish departed "late" (mean ± 1 SE: $187 \pm 10 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, median: $189 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$; Wilcoxon rank-sum test, Z = 205, p = 0.001). Therefore, among fish observed switching migration strategy (n = 23), sturgeon were more likely to depart during the "early" period when the Sacramento River discharge rates were higher.

DISCUSSION

Green sturgeon in California are a prime example of how conservation management of endangered or threatened species must proceed even while detailed information on the life history and ecology of the species is the focus of study. Here, we provided an example of how long-term biotelemetry studies can be collated to reveal complexity in behavioral life histories and describe ecological characteristics of species directly relevant to conservation actions. We found that adult green sturgeon were likely to migrate upriver in a single pulse during the spring months, but their return to the Pacific Ocean was bifurcated into distinct periods in either the early summer months or holding over in the upper Sacramento River for several months until the winter. Understanding the



FIGURE 4 Comparison of migration timings (journey days) for individual green sturgeon that were tracked making more than one complete migration during the study observation period. Correlations of (a) upriver migration days, (b) downriver migration days, and (c) upriver day and the corresponding downriver date for a given migration are shown. The line of the best fit is shown for correlations determined to be statistically significant. Only individuals with complete detection records for a given year from the time of entry to the Sacramento River were considered; that is, fish tagged mid-migration in the river system were not included in these correlations because upriver journey days could not be determined (see *Materials and methods*).

diversity and function of intraspecific life history variability has implications for the management of species, ecosystems, and water systems broadly.

Timing of migration events

The unimodal upriver migration of green sturgeon into the Sacramento River during the spring months, peaking during the month of March, resembled observations of the nDPS green sturgeon in Oregon (Benson et al., 2007). The discrete period of spring migrations was consistent with selection pressures related to offspring development and survival (Tillotson & Quinn, 2018; Wright & Trippel, 2009). Reproductive success of fish migrating long distances from their home ranges to spawning grounds (such as sDPS green sturgeon migrating to California from areas near Vancouver Island; Lindley et al., 2008) may be under selection to ensure that arrival coincides with that of potential mates and optimal conditions for offspring development (Forsythe et al., 2012).

All the tagged green sturgeon returned to the Pacific Ocean with none of the tagged fish exhibiting permanent residency in the Sacramento River system. Miller et al. (2020) detected green sturgeon in the Sacramento River system during all months of the year, raising the potential that the sDPS green sturgeon population includes partial migration strategies; that is, some individuals exhibit permanent river residency. River residents have been described for other sturgeon species, including lake sturgeon (Borkholder et al., 2002; Colborne et al., 2019; Rusak & Mosindy, 1997) and shortnose sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum; Kynard et al., 2009). Furthermore, alternate migration strategies are a common aspect of salmonid biology in California, presumably as a bet-hedging adaptation for the variable Mediterranean climate (Cordoleani et al., 2021; Prince et al., 2017; Thompson et al., 2020). Even though some of the green sturgeon monitored in this study spent over a year in the Sacramento River, they all eventually returned to the Pacific Ocean and on average returned to the river on a 4-year cycle (see Appendix S1: Figure S1). The extended post-spawning holding time for some fish, that is, the "late" downriver group identified here, contributed to overlap among upriver and downriver migration groups across years, which explains the observation of green sturgeon present in the Sacramento River during all months (Miller et al., 2020). Given that some fish were present in the Sacramento River for over 1 year, there were likely foraging and habitat requirements specific to this residence period, which are worthy of further investigation.



FIGURE 5 Timing of swim down for fish observed making two downriver migrations during the 2006–2018 observation period. Dashed lines represent the journey day 250 cutoff used to classify early and late swim-down groups. For fish tagged mid-migration in the Sacramento River system, the swim-down journey date is given assuming they began to migrate upriver during the calendar year of capture (see *Materials and methods* for details).

Environmental cues of downriver migration

Heublein et al. (2009) reported conflicting annual patterns relating discharge to downriver migrations across study years; these results may be due in part to the use of daily mean discharge and limited total sample size during initial tracking efforts. Indeed, mean discharge was considered during CPH model selection, but ranked low in relative importance scoring of variables (\leq 0.46 in both "early" and "late" groups). River flow characteristics have previously been described as likely drivers of green sturgeon migration (Heublein et al., 2009). Our analysis across multiple years and repeated spawning events provided further support for river discharge as a primary factor influencing out-migration behaviors, particularly minimum discharge rates as measured across multiple days.

In addition to the identification of the role of minimum discharge for both "early" and "late" migrants in this study, seasonal patterns of flow variation in the system add context inferences of sturgeon migrations. "Early" out-migrants were largely exiting during the early summer months (June) and based on discharge profiles of the Sacramento River (see Appendix S1: Figure S2), departed prior to annual lows in river discharge. While there has been an interest in fish stranding occurring due to modifications of waterways, for example, hydroelectric-related alterations to water discharge rates, there are natural sources of discharge variation that can also pose risks to fishes (reviewed by Nagrodski et al., 2012). It is possible that evolutionary responses to these natural cycles in river discharge resulted in cues observed here for the "early" fish to depart the system at a perceived threshold or wait until levels increased during the winter months ("late" group).

In contrast to discharge patterns in the early summer months, the "late" downriver group initiated migration during a period of seasonal discharge increases, and based on the 22-day profiles began out-migration after an influx of water. The pattern of an initial influx triggering downriver migration has also been reported for the nDPS green sturgeon (Benson et al., 2007) and was predicted for sDPS green sturgeon (Steel et al., 2019). Fish have been tracked moving downriver in response to changing discharge levels associated with stochastic events, including striped bass observed egressing the Hudson River ahead of storm-related flow surges (Bailey & Secor, 2016). Recent studies on high discharge rates and green sturgeon in the Sacramento River system have focused on factors impacting fish migrating upriver (Thomas et al., 2013), but seasonal fluctuations in discharge may also influence the evolution of differential out-migration patterns within this population.

Green sturgeon migration patterns have been predicted to be related to water temperature (Benson et al., 2007). In this study, we found links between discharge rates but not temperature for either out-migration group identified. Temperature is likely to have impacts on many aspects of green sturgeon life history, but it does not appear to be a primary factor in the migration patterns for sDPS green sturgeon (see Appendix S1 for additional discussion about temperature). The temperature may have a greater impact on rivers further north, for example, nDPS population of green sturgeon in Oregon and Washington states, but the sDPS green sturgeon did not show patterns of water temperature predicting out-migration timing.

Repeatability of migration timing across bouts

Multiple downriver migration groups with timing similar to those in this study have been reported for nDPS green sturgeon in the Klamath and Trinity Rivers (Benson et al., 2007). In the Sacramento River system, the "late" downriver group represented most observed migration events (68%), but the "early" downriver departures still represented a significant portion of the downriver movements (32%). "Late" departing fish were more likely to depart a second time in the same out-migration timing group than the "early" departing fish (80% repeatability compared with 44%); nonetheless, nearly half of the "early" group departed a second time in the "early" group.

It has been speculated that rapid spring out-migration could be the result of tagging and handling effects due to previous observations of white sturgeon abandoning spawning runs following tagging (Schaffter, 1997). However, a previous study reported that 71% of green sturgeon tagged following stranding events in the Sacramento River continued moving upriver after tagging and release (Thomas et al., 2013). In this study, we observed 62 repeat migration events separated by an average of 4 years and still observed the two distinct out-migration periods; therefore, we suggest "early" and "late" groups described here are unlikely to be related to tagging or handling effects on green sturgeon.

Repeatability of downriver migration times across spawning bouts was consistent with differential migration in sDPS green sturgeon. A total of 24 fish (36% of individuals with multiple migration events observed) switched downriver migration timing between "early" and "late" periods, but overall, we observed a tendency of maintaining out-migration timing between subsequent events. We argue that these tactics could be in part condition-dependent life history tactics rather than fixed for a lifetime. Life history variation is particularly of interest in fishes because it is widespread across taxa and falls into both fixed and conditional strategies (Engqvist & Taborsky, 2016). For example, Coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) males exhibit both

morphologically distinct jack versus hooknose life histories, fixed strategies maintained for the entire lifetime, and fight versus sneak behaviors, conditional strategies that can change across reproductive events (Gross, 1991). Here, the presence of distinct downriver migration groups in green sturgeon may represent aspects of reproduction-related life history variation, but given the ability of fish to switch groups across spawning bouts, this variation is likely a form of conditional life history strategy that can vary between reproductive bouts. Indeed, among the 23 fish observed switching between "early" and "late" strategies, we observed patterns in river discharge that support further consideration of river flow as the likely mechanism behind conditional switching of out-migration strategies. Our results comparing flow at early departures with flows at time-matched "early" dates in years when fish out-migrated late suggested the possibility that fish have a preferred "early" departure times, which they abandon in favor of a "late" departure when flows are too low during their preferred "early" time. Though we emphasize data that only support this as a hypothesis and further work is needed, the possibility has intriguing implications for conservation and river flow management if months-delayed departures cause additional consequences ranging from energetic costs, particularly for post-spawn females, to risk of mortality.

Anthropogenic impacts on life history variation

Anthropogenic impacts on communities may not impact all stages or forms of life history equally. For green sturgeon, the Red Bluff Diversion Dam (RBDD) had a long period of potential impacts on sDPS migration from the mid-20th century until its full deactivation in 2013 (Reck, 2008; Steel et al., 2019). For much of its operational history, water gates of RBDD would have been closed during the months when the "early" group of green sturgeon would be migrating downriver. If these gates increased risk—either through injuries or through mortality—to this specific group of sturgeon, it could account for some of the disparity observed between the overall number of fish adopting "early" and "late" migrations, especially for a long-lived intermittently spawning species such as green sturgeon.

Increased flows have been associated with increased spawning efficiency of diverse native fishes in California (Bennett & Burau, 2015; Bottom et al., 2005), and much focus has been placed on the management of flow rates to increase spawning success and larval sturgeon survival (reviewed by Israel & Klimley, 2008). However, we also found that adult migration timing may be related to flow and that when fish experienced lower discharge rates

during the late spring months ("early" group here), they may be more likely to hold over for several months in the river. Prior to its closure, the RBDD controlled water flow to 283–425 m^3 s⁻¹ (May–September) (Brown, 2007), which were consistent with the flow rates observed to be correlated with "early" downriver migration here, but the dam itself presented a barrier to fish movements. Among the fish that switched between "early" and "late" out-migration timing, we observed lower flow rates in the years fish switched to a "late" strategy (mean = $187 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$), suggesting that facilitating adult green sturgeon migrations during the spring and early summer months will require more than deactivation of RBDD. Furthermore, if persistent drought conditions continue to impact river discharge, it is plausible that increasing numbers of green sturgeon will adopt a "late" out-migration strategy. This extended river-holding behavior raises further questions about holding locations in the river, resource needs during the extended river-holding period, physiological impacts of extended periods in freshwater, and whether holding in the river increases exposure to other threats, for example, susceptibility to poaching and overall capture risk. Despite the general perception of adult green sturgeon as residing in the Pacific Ocean, the extended river residency exhibited during many migration events suggests that further investigation into the ecology of adult sturgeon in freshwater systems is warranted and could inform conservation efforts for this species.

Conclusions

Our synthesis drew on telemetry data gathered for over a decade and provided further details on migration timing, identified potential environmental cues to downriver migration, and described within-population life history variability for the threatened population of green sturgeon in the Sacramento River. Long-term biotelemetry data therefore hold great potential for understanding the life histories of species such as sturgeon that conduct large-scale migrations (Cooke et al., 2013; Klimley et al., 2013). We concluded that the two downriver outmigration groups were robust across time and represented differential migration patterns based on the timing of movements, and we encourage their inclusion in conservation planning for sDPS green sturgeon. Furthermore, given the duration of activity in the Sacramento River and the potential for continued drought-related conditions causing stress for this population, we recommend further examination of movement and habitat use within the upper reaches of the Sacramento River because adult green sturgeon may face stressors and risks in the river environment that could impact individual fitness and survival beyond the spawning season alone.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to recognize the contributions of many people in the UC Davis Biotelemetry Laboratory across multiple individual research projects that made this extensive green sturgeon dataset a possibility. We especially recognize A.P. Klimley for his leadership and efforts in organizing the initial "core 69 kHz array," and CDFW for funding it. The number of people involved with these projects across multiple agencies and institutions is too numerous to list here, but we express our sincerest gratitude for their dedication and hard work over many years. All data regarding green sturgeon movements used in this study were compiled from the University of California Davis biotelemetry database (BARD-http://cftc.metro.ucdavis.edu/biotelemetry-auto nomous-real-time-database/fishtrack). This synthesis work was supported by a grant to ALR, DCR, and JAW through the Delta Stewardship Council (award: 18204; Synchrony of native fish movements). ALR was also supported by the Peter B. Moyle and California Trout Endowment for Coldwater Fish Conservation and the California Agricultural Experimental Station of the University of California Davis (grant number CA-D-WFB-2467-H).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The acoustic detection data specifically used in this study (Colborne et al., 2022) are available from Dryad: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.jh9w0vtdm. Other data used in this study are available from the University of California Biote-lemetry Database: http://cftc.metro.ucdavis.edu/biotele metry-autonomous-real-time-database/landingmap.

ORCID

Scott F. Colborne D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0143-8456

Lawrence W. Sheppard ¹⁰ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9032-2059

Jonathan A. Walter D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2983-751X

Gabriel P. Singer D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4420-1747 Andrew L. Rypel D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9812-8306

REFERENCES

- Adams, P. B., C. B. Grimes, J. E. Hightower, S. T. Lindley, and M. L. Moser. 2002. "Status Review for North American Green Sturgeon, *Acipenser medirostris.*" Santa Cruz, CA: National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Fisheries Science Center.
- Arnold, T. W. 2010. "Uninformative Parameters and Model Selection using Akaike's Information Criterion." *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 74: 1175–8.

- Arthington, A. H., N. K. Dulvy, W. Gladstone, and I. J. Winfield. 2016. "Fish Conservation in Freshwater and Marine Realms: Status, Threats and Management." *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 26: 838–57.
- Bailey, H., and D. H. Secor. 2016. "Coastal Evacuations by Fish during Extreme Weather Events." *Scientific Reports* 6: 1–9.
- Barton, K., and M. K. Barton. 2015. "Package 'mumin'." Version 1:439. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/MuMIn/MuMIn.pdf.
- Bennett, W. A., and J. R. Burau. 2015. "Riders on the Storm: Selective Tidal Movements Facilitate the Spawning Migration of Threatened Delta Smelt in the San Francisco Estuary." *Estuaries and Coasts* 38: 826–35.
- Benson, R. L., S. Turo, and B. W. McCovey, Jr. 2007. "Migration and Movement Patterns of Green Sturgeon (Acipenser medirostris) in the Klamath and Trinity Rivers, California, USA." Environmental Biology of Fishes 79: 269–79.
- Blanck, A., and N. Lamouroux. 2007. "Large-Scale Intraspecific Variation in Life-History Traits of European Freshwater Fish." *Journal of Biogeography* 34: 862–75.
- Bork, K., and A. Rypel. 2020. "Improving Infrastructure for Wildlife." *Natural Resources and Environment* 34(4): 38–42.
- Borkholder, B. D., S. D. Morse, H. T. Weaver, R. A. Hugill, A. T. Linder, L. M. Schwarzkopf, T. E. Perrault, M. J. Zacher, and J. A. Frank. 2002. "Evidence of a Year-Round Resident Population of Lake Sturgeon in the Kettle River, Minnesota, Based on Radiotelemetry and Tagging." North American Journal of Fisheries Management 22: 888–94.
- Bottom, D. L., K. K. Jones, T. J. Cornwell, A. Gray, and C. A. Simenstad. 2005. "Patterns of Chinook Salmon Migration and Residency in the Salmon River Estuary (Oregon)." *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 64: 79–93.
- Brown, K. 2007. "Evidence of Spawning by Green Sturgeon, Acipenser medirostris, in the Upper Sacramento River, California." Environmental Biology of Fishes 79: 297–303.
- Burnham, K. P., and D. R. Anderson. 2002. Model Selection and Multimodal Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach, 2nd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Carlson, S. M., and W. H. Satterthwaite. 2011. "Weakened Portfolio Effect in a Collapsed Salmon Population Complex." Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 68: 1579–89.
- Colborne, S. F., D. W. Hondorp, C. M. Holbrook, M. R. Lowe, J. C. Boase, J. A. Chiotti, T. C. Wills, E. F. Roseman, and C. C. Krueger. 2019. "Sequence Analysis and Acoustic Tracking of Individual Lake Sturgeon Identify Multiple Patterns of River– Lake Habitat Use." *Ecosphere* 10: e02983.
- Colborne, S.F., L. Sheppard, D. O'Donnell, D. Reuman, J. Walter, G. Singer, J. Kelly, M. Thomas, and A. Rypel. 2022. "Sacramento River Green Sturgeon Acoustic Telemetry Detections." Dryad. Dataset. https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.jh9w0vtdm.
- Cooke, S. J., J. D. Midwood, J. D. Thiem, P. Klimley, M. C. Lucas,
 E. B. Thorstad, J. Eiler, C. Holbrook, and B. C. Ebner. 2013.
 "Tracking Animals in Freshwater with Electronic Tags: Past,
 Present and Future." *Animal Biotelemetry* 1: 1–19.
- Cordoleani, F., C. C. Phillis, A. M. Sturrock, A. M. FitzGerald, A. Malkassian, G. E. Whitman, P. K. Weber, and R. C. Johnson. 2021. "Threatened Salmon Rely on a Rare Life History Strategy in a Warming Landscape." *Nature Climate Change* 11: 1–7.
- Cox, D. R. 1972. "Regression Models and Life-Tables." Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B: Methodological 34: 187–202.

- Engqvist, L., and M. Taborsky. 2016. "The Evolution of Genetic and Conditional Alternative Reproductive Tactics." *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 283: 20152945.
- Fisher, F. W. 1994. "Past and Present Status of Central Valley Chinook Salmon." *Conservation Biology* 8: 870–3.
- Fitzpatrick, K. B., A. T. Moody, A. Milt, M. E. Herbert, M. Khoury, E. Yacobson, J. A. Ross, P. J. Doran, M. C. Ferris, and P. B. McIntyre. 2021. "Can Indicator Species Guide Conservation Investments to Restore Connectivity in Great Lakes Tributaries?" *Biodiversity and Conservation* 30: 165–82.
- Forsythe, P. S., K. T. Scribner, J. A. Crossman, A. Ragavendran, E. A. Baker, C. Davis, and K. K. Smith. 2012. "Environmental and Lunar Cues Are Predictive of the Timing of River Entry and Spawning-Site Arrival in Lake Sturgeon Acipenser fulvescens." Journal of Fish Biology 81: 35–53.
- Gross, M. R. 1991. "Evolution of Alternative Reproductive Strategies: Frequency-Dependent Sexual Selection in Male Bluegill Sunfish." *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* 332: 59–66.
- Heublein, J. C., J. T. Kelly, C. E. Crocker, A. P. Klimley, and S. T. Lindley. 2009. "Migration of Green Sturgeon, Acipenser medirostris, in the Sacramento River." Environmental Biology of Fishes 84: 245–58.
- Israel, J. A., and A. P. Klimley. 2008. "Life History Conceptual Model for North American Green Sturgeon (Acipenser medirostris)." Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Implementation Plan, 1–49. Sacramento, CA: Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Implementation Plan.
- Israel, J. A., J. F. Cordes, M. A. Blumberg, and B. May. 2004. "Geographic Patterns of Genetic Differentiation among Collections of Green Sturgeon." North American Journal of Fisheries Management 24: 922–31.
- Kelly, J. T., A. P. Klimley, and C. E. Crocker. 2007. "Movements of Green Sturgeon, Acipenser medirostris, in the San Francisco Bay Estuary, California." Environmental Biology of Fishes 79: 281–95.
- Klimley, A. P., R. B. MacFarlane, P. T. Sandstrom, and S. T. Lindley. 2013. "A Summary of the Use of Electronic Tagging to Provide Insights into Salmon Migration and Survival." *Envi*ronmental Biology of Fishes 96: 419–28.
- Kynard, B., M. Breece, M. Atcheson, M. Kieffer, and M. Mangold. 2009. "Life History and Status of Shortnose Sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum) in the Potomac River." Journal of Applied Ichthyology 25: 34–8.
- Lau, J. K., T. E. Lauer, and M. L. Weinman. 2006. "Impacts of Channelization on Stream Habitats and Associated Fish Assemblages in East Central Indiana." *The American Midland Naturalist* 156: 319–30.
- Lindley, S. T., M. L. Moser, D. L. Erickson, M. Belchik, D. W. Welch, E. L. Rechisky, J. T. Kelly, J. Heublein, and A. P. Klimley. 2008. "Marine Migration of North American Green Sturgeon." *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 137: 182–94.
- Lindley, S. T., C. B. Grimes, M. S. Mohr, W. T. Peterson, J. E. Stein, J. J. Anderson, L. W. Botsford, D. L. Bottom, C. A. Busack, and T. K. Collier. 2009. "What Caused the Sacramento River Fall Chinook Stock Collapse." Santa Cruz, CA: National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Fisheries Science Center.
- McGowan, B. S., M. Wasko, B. S. Vartabedian, R. S. Miller, D. D. Freiherr, and M. Abdolrasulnia. 2012. "Understanding the Factors that Influence the Adoption and Meaningful Use of

Social Media by Physicians to Share Medical Information." Journal of Medical Internet Research 14: e117.

- McLaughlin, R. L., E. R. B. Smyth, T. Castro-Santos, M. L. Jones, M. A. Koops, T. C. Pratt, and L. Vélez-Espino. 2013. "Unintended Consequences and Trade-Offs of Fish Passage." *Fish and Fisheries* 14: 580–604.
- Miller, E. A., H. E. Froehlich, D. E. Cocherell, M. J. Thomas, J. J. Cech, A. P. Klimley, and N. A. Fangue. 2014. "Effects of Acoustic Tagging on Juvenile Green Sturgeon Incision Healing, Swimming Performance, and Growth." *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 97: 647–58.
- Miller, E. A., G. P. Singer, M. L. Peterson, E. D. Chapman, M. E. Johnston, M. J. Thomas, R. D. Battleson, M. Gingras, and A. P. Klimley. 2020. "Spatio-Temporal Distribution of Green Sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*) and White Sturgeon (*A. transmontanus*) in the San Francisco Estuary and Sacramento River, California." *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 103: 577–603.
- Moore, J. W., M. McClure, L. A. Rogers, and D. E. Schindler. 2010. "Synchronization and Portfolio Performance of Threatened Salmon." *Conservation Letters* 3: 340–8.
- Moyle, P. B. 2002. *Inland Fishes of California: Revised and Expanded*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Moyle, P. B., J. V. E. Katz, and R. M. Quiñones. 2011. "Rapid Decline of California's Native Inland Fishes: A Status Assessment." *Biological Conservation* 144: 2414–23.
- Nagrodski, A., G. D. Raby, C. T. Hasler, M. K. Taylor, and S. J. Cooke. 2012. "Fish Stranding in Freshwater Systems: Sources, Consequences, and Mitigation." *Journal of Environmental Management* 103: 133–41.
- Nilsson, C., C. A. Reidy, M. Dynesius, and C. Revenga. 2005. "Fragmentation and Flow Regulation of the world's Large River Systems." *Science* 308: 405–8.
- Perry, R. W., J. R. Skalski, P. L. Brandes, P. T. Sandstrom, A. P. Klimley, A. Ammann, and B. MacFarlane. 2010. "Estimating Survival and Migration Route Probabilities of Juvenile Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta." North American Journal of Fisheries Management 30: 142–56.
- Poytress, W. R., J. J. Gruber, J. P. Van Eenennaam, and M. Gard. 2015. "Spatial and Temporal Distribution of Spawning Events and Habitat Characteristics of Sacramento River Green Sturgeon." *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 144: 1129–42.
- Prince, D. J., S. M. O'Rourke, T. Q. Thompson, O. A. Ali, H. S. Lyman, I. K. Saglam, T. J. Hotaling, A. P. Spidle, and M. R. Miller. 2017. "The Evolutionary Basis of Premature Migration in Pacific Salmon Highlights the Utility of Genomics for Informing Conservation." *Science Advances* 3: e1603198.
- Quinn, T. P. 2018. *The Behavior and Ecology of Pacific Salmon and Trout.* Bethesda, MD: University of Washington Press.
- Reck, D. 2008. "Red Bluff Diversion Dam Final Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report." US Bureau of Reclamation. Document E8-11349. Sacramento, CA: Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration.
- Rusak, J. A., and T. Mosindy. 1997. "Seasonal Movements of Lake Sturgeon in Lake of the Woods and the Rainy River, Ontario." *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 75: 383–95.

- Satterthwaite, W. H., and S. M. Carlson. 2015. "Weakening Portfolio Effect Strength in a Hatchery-Supplemented Chinook Salmon Population Complex." *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 72: 1860–75.
- Schaffter, R. G. 1997. "White Sturgeon Spawning Migrations and Location of Spawning Habitat in the Sacramento River, California." *California Fish & Game* 83: 1–20.
- Secor, D. H., M. H. P. O'Brien, B. I. Gahagan, D. A. Fox, A. L. Higgs, and J. E. Best. 2020. "Multiple Spawning Run Contingents and Population Consequences in Migratory Striped Bass *Morone saxatilis.*" *PLoS One* 15: e0242797.
- Silva, A. T., M. C. Lucas, T. Castro-Santos, C. Katopodis, L. J. Baumgartner, J. D. Thiem, K. Aarestrup, P. S. Pompeu, G. C. O'Brien, and D. C. Braun. 2018. "The Future of Fish Passage Science, Engineering, and Practice." *Fish and Fisheries* 19: 340–62.
- Singer, G. P., A. R. Hearn, E. D. Chapman, M. L. Peterson, P. E. LaCivita, W. N. Brostoff, A. Bremner, and A. P. Klimley. 2013. "Interannual Variation of Reach Specific Migratory Success for Sacramento River Hatchery Yearling Late-Fall Run Chinook Salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) and Steelhead Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)." Environmental Biology of Fishes 96: 363–79.
- Singer, G. P., E. D. Chapman, A. J. Ammann, A. P. Klimley, A. L. Rypel, and N. A. Fangue. 2020. "Historic Drought Influences Outmigration Dynamics of Juvenile Fall and Spring-Run Chinook Salmon." *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 103: 543–59.
- Steel, A. E., M. J. Thomas, and A. P. Klimley. 2019. "Reach Specific Use of Spawning Habitat by Adult Green Sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*) under Different Operation Schedules at Red Bluff Diversion Dam." *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 35: 22–9.
- Taylor, K. L., J. L. Beck, and S. V. Huzurbazar. 2016. "Factors Influencing Winter Mortality Risk for Pronghorn Exposed to Wind Energy Development." *Rangeland Ecology & Management* 69: 108–16.
- Therneau, T., C. Crowson, and E. Atkinson. 2020. "Multi-state Models and Competing risks." CRAN-R. https://cran.r-project. org/web/packages/survival/vignettes/compete.pdf.
- Thomas, M. J., M. L. Peterson, N. Friedenberg, J. P. Van Eenennaam, J. R. Johnson, J. J. Hoover, and A. P. Klimley. 2013. "Stranding of Spawning Run Green Sturgeon in the Sacramento River: Post-Rescue Movements and Potential Population-Level Effects." North American Journal of Fisheries Management 33: 287–97.
- Thompson, N. F., E. C. Anderson, A. J. Clemento, M. A. Campbell, D. E. Pearse, J. W. Hearsey, A. P. Kinziger, and J. C. Garza. 2020. "A Complex Phenotype in Salmon Controlled by a Simple Change in Migratory Timing." *Science* 370: 609–13.
- Tillotson, M. D., and T. P. Quinn. 2018. "Selection on the Timing of Migration and Breeding: A Neglected Aspect of Fishing-Induced Evolution and Trait Change." *Fish and Fisheries* 19: 170–81.
- Welzel, C., and F. Deutsch. 2012. "Emancipative Values and Nonviolent Protest: The Importance of 'ecological' effects." *British Journal of Political Science* 42: 465–79.
- Winemiller, K. O., and K. A. Rose. 1992. "Patterns of Life-History Diversification in North American Fishes: Implications for

Population Regulation." *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 49: 2196–218.

- Wright, P. J., and E. A. Trippel. 2009. "Fishery-Induced Demographic Changes in the Timing of Spawning: Consequences for Reproductive Success." *Fish and Fisheries* 10: 283-304.
- Wyman, M. T., M. J. Thomas, R. R. McDonald, A. R. Hearn, R. D. Battleson, E. D. Chapman, P. Kinzel, J. T. Minear, E. A. Mora, and J. M. Nelson. 2018. "Fine-Scale Habitat Selection of Green Sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*) within Three Spawning Locations in the Sacramento River, California." *Canadian Journal* of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 75: 779–91.
- Yoshiyama, R. M., P. B. Moyle, E. R. Gerstung, and F. W. Fisher. 2000. "Chinook Salmon in the California Central Valley: An Assessment." *Fisheries* 25: 6–20.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Colborne, Scott F., Lawrence W. Sheppard, Daniel R. O'Donnell, Daniel C. Reuman, Jonathan A. Walter, Gabriel P. Singer, John T. Kelly, Michael J. Thomas, and Andrew L. Rypel. 2022. "Intraspecific Variation in Migration Timing of Green Sturgeon in the Sacramento River System." *Ecosphere* 13(6): e4139. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.4139

Appendix S1

Title: Intraspecific variation in migration timing of green sturgeon in the Sacramento River system **Journal:** Ecosphere **Authors:** Scott F. Colborne, Lawrence W. Sheppard, Daniel R. O'Donnell, Daniel C. Reuman, Jonathan A. Walter, Gabriel P. Singer, John T. Kelly, Michael Thomas, and Andrew L. Rypel

Temperature and downriver movements

Temperature was not related to the probability of green sturgeon beginning downriver migrations in either the 'early' or 'late' groups identified here. In the Klamath and Trinity rivers, part of the nDPS green sturgeon population, out-migration was observed when water temperatures were 10 – 12°C (Benson et al. 2007), comparable to the 11°C temperature for the 'late' group in this study, but lower than the 15°C for the 'early' group migrating in the early summer months. Information on the thermal tolerances and preferences of adult green sturgeon is sparse relative to early life history stages (reviewed by Rodgers et al. 2020), but tracking studies have detected green sturgeon presence across a wide range of temperatures in the San Francisco Estuary (14.5-20.8°C; Kelly et al. 2007) and along the coast of Oregon (9.5-16.0°C; Huff et al. 2012), well within the temperature ranges for the migration groups reported here.

Thermal tolerances for green sturgeon eggs, larvae, and juveniles have been thoroughly studied (reviewed by Rodgers et al. 2020), and the thermal optimum and tolerance ranges for these early life history stages is narrower than that of adults: the optimal range is 15-19°C for juveniles (Mayfield and Cech 2004). As such, adult timing to reach the spawning grounds over a relatively short window may be related to the more restrictive temperature requirements of eggs and early life history phases during development. In comparison, the out-migration back downriver occurred over a wider range of temperatures and was not related to temperature in the CPH models. The downriver return phase of migration would be related only to the thermal

tolerances and preferences of adults, which may explain the wider range of temperatures over which the out-migration occurred.

Temperature is recognized as an important factor environmental variable for fishes in the Sacramento River, including and previous work has suggested a target to a goal of maintaining temperatures below 18°C to support early life stage development (Israel and Klimley 2008), but for adult green sturgeon in the Sacramento River, downriver migrations are likely also driven by flow characteristic, including discharge rates.

Synthesis studies and biotelemetry databases

When academic research groups, private companies, and government agencies coordinate the types of technology used, share infrastructure and maintenance requirements, and share collected data, the scope of projects can increase (Reubens et al. 2019). As such, tracking networks that share resources, workloads, and data have been formed on regional (e.g., Great Lakes Acoustic Telemetry Observation System, GLATOS, and Florida Atlantic Coast Telemetry Network, FACT), national (e.g., Integrated Marine Observing System, IMOS, in Australia), and international scales (e.g., Ocean Tracking Network, OTN, and European Tracking Network, ETN) (Krueger et al. 2018, Reubens et al. 2019, Young et al. 2020). As these networks grow and age, they have also begun to generate longitudinal detection databases providing new opportunities for synthesis-based studies and expanding the spatial scale of observations beyond those possible with many individual studies (Boucek and Morley 2019). We believe that this green sturgeon study is an example of how long-term, collaborative biotelemetry efforts are enhancing our understanding of basic ecology for wild populations and providing information applicable to specific conservation and management efforts. We encourage future efforts that leverage telemetry data across discrete projects to examine longitudinal patterns in movements and spatial ecology.

Table S1. Model fit summary for combinations of five covariations related to water discharge and temperature predicted to be related to the migration timing of green sturgeon assigned to the 'early' out-migration period in the Sacramento River system. Predictor variables for each model are shown along with the number of parameters in each model (*K*), log likelihood (*LL*), Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC), difference in AIC score compared to the top model (ΔAIC), and model weight (w_i).

Model variables	K	LL	AICc	<i>∆AIC</i>	Wi
Δ discharge + min discharge	2	-29.52	63.06	0	0.19
Δ discharge + min discharge + Δ temp	3	-28.93	63.92	0.86	0.12
Δ discharge + min discharge + temp	3	-29.00	64.07	1.01	0.11
discharge + Δ discharge + min discharge	3	-29.14	64.35	1.28	0.10
discharge + min discharge	2	-30.39	64.80	1.74	0.08
discharge + Δ discharge + min discharge + Δ temp	4	-28.62	65.34	2.28	0.06
discharge + Δ discharge + min discharge + temp	4	-28.65	65.40	2.34	0.06
discharge + min discharge + Δ temp	3	-29.70	65.46	2.40	0.06
discharge + min discharge + temp	3	-29.82	65.69	2.63	0.05
Δ discharge + min discharge + temp + Δ temp	4	-28.87	65.84	2.78	0.05
discharge + min discharge + temp + Δ temp	4	-29.54	67.17	4.10	0.02
min discharge	1	-32.65	67.31	4.24	0.02
discharge + Δ discharge + min discharge + temp + Δ temp	5	-28.62	67.38	4.32	0.02
min discharge + Δ temp	2	-32.04	68.11	5.05	0.02
min discharge + temp	2	-32.13	68.29	5.23	0.01
min discharge + temp + Δ temp	3	-31.94	69.93	6.87	0.01
discharge + Δ discharge	2	-33.48	71.00	7.94	0.004
discharge + Δ discharge + temp	3	-32.56	71.17	8.11	0.003
discharge + Δ discharge + Δ temp	3	-32.61	71.27	8.21	0.003
discharge + Δ discharge + temp + Δ temp	4	-32.55	73.19	10.13	0.001
Δ temp	1	-37.15	76.31	13.25	0.0003
discharge + Δ temp	2	-36.18	76.39	13.33	0.0002
discharge + temp	2	-36.29	76.62	13.56	0.0002
temp	1	-37.31	76.63	13.57	0.0002
discharge	1	-37.79	77.59	14.53	0.0001
Δ discharge + Δ temp	2	-36.80	77.62	14.56	0.0001
Δ discharge + temp	2	-36.94	77.91	14.85	0.0001
temp + Δ temp	2	-37.10	78.22	15.16	0.0001
discharge + temp + Δ temp	3	-36.14	78.33	15.27	0.0001
Δ discharge + temp + Δ temp	3	-36.73	79.53	16.47	0.0001
Δ discharge	1	-39.05	80.11	17.05	0.0000

Table S2. Model fit summary for combinations of five covariations related to water discharge and temperature predicted to be related to the migration timing of green sturgeon assigned to the 'late' out-migration period in the Sacramento River system. Predictor variables for each model are shown along with the number of parameters in each model (*K*), log likelihood (*LL*), Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC), difference in AIC score compared to the top model (ΔAIC), and model weight (w_i).

Model variables	K	LL	AICc	<i>∆AIC</i>	Wi
Δ discharge + min discharge	2	-105.51	215.04	0	0.13
Δ discharge + min discharge + temp	3	-104.80	215.62	0.58	0.10
Δ discharge + min discharge + Δ temp	3	-104.88	215.78	0.74	0.09
discharge + min discharge	2	-106.02	216.05	1.01	0.08
discharge + min discharge + temp	3	-105.12	216.26	1.22	0.07
min discharge + Δ temp	2	-106.16	216.33	1.29	0.07
min discharge + temp	2	-106.16	216.33	1.29	0.07
discharge + min discharge + Δ temp	3	-105.16	216.33	1.30	0.07
discharge + Δ discharge + min discharge	3	-105.51	217.04	2.00	0.05
Δ discharge + min discharge + temp + Δ temp	4	-104.51	217.06	2.02	0.05
discharge + Δ discharge + min discharge + temp	4	-104.80	217.63	2.59	0.04
discharge + Δ discharge + min discharge + Δ temp	4	-104.88	217.79	2.75	0.03
discharge + min discharge + temp + Δ temp	4	-105.10	218.23	3.19	0.03
min discharge + temp + Δ temp	3	-106.16	218.33	3.29	0.03
discharge + Δ discharge + min discharge + temp + Δ temp	5	-104.45	218.94	3.90	0.02
min discharge	1	-108.64	219.29	4.25	0.02
discharge + Δ discharge + temp	3	-106.83	219.67	4.63	0.01
discharge + Δ discharge + Δ temp	3	-107.01	220.04	5.00	0.01
discharge + temp	2	-108.17	220.34	5.30	0.01
discharge + Δ temp	2	-108.23	220.46	5.43	0.01
discharge + Δ discharge + temp + Δ temp	4	-106.28	220.59	5.55	0.01
Δ discharge + temp	2	-109.11	222.23	7.19	0.004
discharge + temp + Δ temp	3	-108.15	222.32	7.29	0.003
Δ discharge + Δ temp	2	-109.19	222.38	7.34	0.003
temp	1	-110.72	223.44	8.40	0.002
discharge + Δ discharge	2	-109.84	223.69	8.65	0.002
Δ temp	1	-111.10	224.21	9.17	0.001
Δ discharge + temp + Δ temp	3	-109.10	224.21	9.17	0.001
temp + Δ temp	2	-110.33	224.66	9.62	0.001
discharge	1	-113.37	228.74	13.70	0.0001
Δ discharge	1	-115.48	232.97	17.93	0.00002

Table S3. Relative importance scores for each covariate modelled in relation to (a) 'early' and (b) 'late' out-migration timing of green sturgeon from the Sacramento River system. Models are ordered to present relative importance scores in the same order as models are presented in Tables S2 and S3 (see above).

Group	Discharge	Min discharge	Δ discharge	Temperature	Δ Temperature
'Early' out-m	nigration				
	0.022	0.022	0.022	0.022	0.022
	0.000	0.190	0.000	0.115	0.000
	0.004	0.123	0.000	0.047	0.123
	0.003	0.115	0.190	0.000	0.047
	0.100	0.100	0.123	0.000	0.000
	0.061	0.080	0.115	0.059	0.000
	0.059	0.061	0.047	0.003	0.003
	0.003	0.059	0.000	0.001	0.061
	0.001	0.057	0.000	0.051	0.001
	0.000	0.051	0.004	0.024	0.000
	0.080	0.047	0.003	0.000	0.057
	0.057	0.024	0.100	0.000	0.024
	0.051	0.023	0.061	0.014	0.000
	0.024	0.015	0.059	0.006	0.015
	0.000	0.014	0.003	0.000	0.006
	0.000	0.006	0.001	0.000	0.000
Sum	0.466	0.987	0.729	0.344	0.361
'Late' out-mi	igration				
	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019
	0.000	0.132	0.000	0.099	0.003
	0.002	0.099	0.003	0.048	0.091
	0.011	0.091	0.132	0.004	0.048
	0.048	0.079	0.091	0.001	0.001
	0.033	0.071	0.099	0.036	0.001
	0.036	0.069	0.048	0.013	0.011
	0.013	0.069	0.004	0.008	0.033
	0.008	0.069	0.001	0.071	0.008
	0.009	0.048	0.002	0.027	0.009
	0.079	0.048	0.011	0.003	0.069
	0.069	0.036	0.048	0.009	0.027
	0.071	0.033	0.033	0.069	0.003
	0.027	0.027	0.036	0.025	0.069
	0.003	0.025	0.013	0.002	0.025
	0.009	0.016	0.008	0.001	0.001
Sum	0.438	0.932	0.548	0.436	0.420

Table S4. Return group assignments based on sex classification of green sturgeon that made two migration runs during the monitoring period. Individuals were classified as female, male, or unknown sex based on identification records at the time of tagging.

Return group	Females	Males	Unknown sex
Early – Early	1	6	3
Early – Late	1	5	7
Late – Early	2	6	3
Late – Late	3	5	25



Figure S1. Migration profile plots displaying the River km (rkm) associated with each detection of all green sturgeon commencing a presumed spawning run for the years 2012 through 2017. Green sturgeon detections were examined across the years 2006 - 2018, but only years with > 10 individuals detected migrating both up and downriver are shown here. Colors represent the migration profile tracks through the river for individual green sturgeon.



Figure S2. Sacramento river discharge rates $(m^3 s^{-1})$ as measured in the upper portion of the river (ORD station) based on California water year calendar dates (beginning in October of each year). Monthly average discharge is shown with ribbons reflecting 1 S.E. and boxes represent the period of 'early' and 'late' swim down events across all years.



Figure S3. Sacramento river water temperature (°C) as measured in the upper portion of the river (RDB station) classified into California water years (i.e., beginning 1 October of each year). Monthly average temperature is shown with ribbons reflecting 1 S.E. and boxes represent the period of 'early' and 'late' swim down events across all years.

Literature Cited

- Benson, R. L., S. Turo, and B. W. McCovey Jr. 2007. Migration and movement patterns of green sturgeon (Acipenser medirostris) in the Klamath and Trinity rivers, California, USA. Environmental Biology of Fishes 79:269–279.
- Boucek, R. E., and D. Morley. 2019. Demonstrating the value of cross-ecosystem syntheses and comparisons in animal movement and acoustic telemetry research. Elsevier.
- Huff, D. D., S. T. Lindley, B. K. Wells, and F. Chai. 2012. Green sturgeon distribution in the Pacific Ocean estimated from modeled oceanographic features and migration behavior. PLoS One 7:e45852.
- Israel, J. A., and A. P. Klimley. 2008. Life history conceptual model for North American green sturgeon (Acipenser medirostris). Delta Reg Ecosyst Restor Implement Plan Rep:1–49.
- Kelly, J. T., A. P. Klimley, and C. E. Crocker. 2007. Movements of green sturgeon, Acipenser medirostris, in the San Francisco Bay estuary, California. Environmental Biology of Fishes 79:281–295.
- Krueger, C. C., C. M. Holbrook, T. R. Binder, C. S. Vandergoot, T. A. Hayden, D. W. Hondorp, N. Nate, K. Paige, S. C. Riley, A. T. Fisk, and S. J. Cooke. 2018. Acoustic telemetry observation systems: challenges encountered and overcome in the Laurentian Great Lakes. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 75:1755–1763.
- Mayfield, R. B., and J. J. Cech Jr. 2004. Temperature effects on green sturgeon bioenergetics. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 133:961–970.
- Reubens, J., P. Verhelst, I. van der Knaap, B. Wydooghe, T. Milotic, K. Deneudt, F. Hernandez,

and I. Pauwels. 2019. The need for aquatic tracking networks: the Permanent Belgian Acoustic Receiver Network. Animal Biotelemetry 7:1–6.

- Rodgers, E. M., J. B. Poletto, D. F. Gomez Isaza, J. P. Van Eenennaam, R. E. Connon, A. E. Todgham, A. Seesholtz, J. C. Heublein, J. J. Cech Jr, and J. T. Kelly. 2020. Integrating physiological data with the conservation and management of fishes: a meta-analytical review using the threatened green sturgeon (Acipenser medirostris). Conservation physiology 7:coz035.
- Young, J. M., M. E. Bowers, E. A. Reyier, D. Morley, E. R. Ault, J. D. Pye, R. M. Gallagher, and R. D. Ellis. 2020. The FACT Network: philosophy, evolution, and management of a collaborative coastal tracking network. Marine and Coastal Fisheries 12:258–271.