

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Response of Lower Sacramento River phytoplankton to high-ammonium wastewater effluent

Aaron L. Strong<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Matthew M. Mills<sup>3</sup>, Ivy B. Huang<sup>4</sup>, Gert L. van Dijken<sup>3</sup>, Sara E. Driscoll<sup>5</sup>, G. Mine Berg<sup>5</sup>, Raphael M. Kudela<sup>6</sup>, Stephen G. Monismith<sup>4</sup>, Christopher A. Francis<sup>3</sup>, and Kevin R. Arrigo<sup>3</sup>

Since the 1980s, the San Francisco Bay Delta ecosystem has experienced large declines in primary production. Hypothesized reasons for this decline include (1) suppression of nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) uptake, and thus phytoplankton growth, due to high concentrations of ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$ , and (2) wastewater  $NH_4^+$ -induced changes in phytoplankton community composition away from large-celled diatoms. These twin hypotheses implicate  $NH_4^+$  loading from the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant effluent outfall in explaining declines in primary production in the region. They have been controversial within the water resources management community and have stimulated a lengthy public scientific and regulatory debate. Here, in an effort to resolve this debate, we present results from a 48-h incubation experiment with surface water from both upstream and downstream of the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant effluent outfall, a major source of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> loading to the ecosystem. We amended this water with either NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, or full wastewater effluent. All assays were incubated under high light (52% of incident irradiance) or low light (6% of incident irradiance).  $NO_3^-$  uptake rates were suppressed to near zero in all treatments with either added  $NH_4^+$ , added wastewater effluent, or high in situ  $NH_4^+$  concentrations. Yet, phytoplankton uniformly grew well on all dissolved inorganic nitrogen sources, including effluent and  $NH_4^+$ . Diatom species were the most abundant taxa at all stations, and diatom cell abundances increased at greater rates than all other taxa over the course of the experiment. Among all treatments, the light treatment had the greatest effects on chlorophyll a accumulation and phytoplankton growth rates. Our results suggest that high  $NH_4^+$  loading is not a driver of the lower productivity in the San Francisco Bay Delta. Although phytoplankton preferred  $NH_4^+$  to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>−</sup> when both were available in our experiment, the form of dissolved inorganic nitrogen had no effect on growth rates or species composition.

Keywords: Phytoplankton, Nutrient forms, Eutrophication, Wastewater, Nitrogen, Nutrient management

# Introduction

The Sacramento River is the largest river in California and extendss 400 miles from Mount Shasta to San Francisco Bay (SFB). Along this route, it drains an area of 62,000 km<sup>2</sup> and contributes an important fraction of the freshwater supply and nutrients that fuel the food webs of the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta (hereafter "Delta"), as well as SFB (Schemel, 1980; Dolmagalski and Dileanis, 2000; Sobota et al., 2009; Senn and Novick, 2014). SFB is the largest estuary on the western coast of North America.

The northern portions of SFB and the Delta have experienced significant ecological changes over the past several decades (Cloern and Jassby, 2012). Most notably, phytoplankton production dropped dramatically, beginning in 1986 (Jassby et al., 2002). The decrease in productivity has cascaded up the food web (Kimmerer, 2006), leading to an overall decline in fish production throughout the northern SFB and the Delta (Sommer et al., 2007). This phenomenon is known as pelagic organism decline (POD) and has been a major focus of federal and state agency

Email: astrong@hamilton.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Emmett Interdisciplinary Program in Environment and Resources, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Environmental Studies Program, Hamilton College, Clinton, NY, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Earth System Science, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Applied Marine Sciences, Santa Cruz, CA, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Ocean Sciences Department, University of California Santa Cruz, CA, USA

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author:

management efforts in the Delta region for decades. In particular, one species suffering under POD is the endangered Delta smelt (*Hypomesus transpacificus*), a federally listed species under the Endangered Species Act.

The POD presents a paradoxical puzzle because the Delta ecosystem is a high nutrient environment, receiving large inputs of nitrogen (N) from Central Valley agricultural runoff as nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) and from wastewater treatment plant discharges as ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$ . In particular, the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant (SRWTP) effluent outfall, located downstream of the City of Sacramento, discharges approximately 15,000 kg of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> per day into the Lower Sacramento River (Senn and Novick, 2014). The SRWTP is the single largest point source of N to the SFB-Delta system, and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentrations downstream of the effluent discharge in the Sacramento River are frequently 30–80 µM. The corresponding increase in phytoplankton biomass normally associated with such high dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) inputs (often leading to eutrophication) is not observed in SFB or the Delta, and environmental managers in the region have been concerned about decreased phytoplankton productivity and POD, despite these high concentrations of DIN (Novick and Senn, 2014).

The high concentrations of DIN, in particular high concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, have been hypothesized to reduce overall phytoplankton growth via depression in rates of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake (Dugdale et al., 2007). This idea was supported by Parker et al. (2012), who found that growth on NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> suppressed carbon fixation by phytoplankton in the Lower Sacramento River, lowering overall primary productivity. Glibert et al. (2014a) argued that the decline in productivity in the Bay Delta (the portions of the Sacramento River and Suisun Bay downstream from the SRWTP) is a function of the transition away from a diatom-dominated community due to inputs of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> from the SRWTP. Glibert (2010) specifically implicated changes in DIN loading and DIN speciation as a cause for POD, via the negative effects of high NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentrations on the phytoplankton community and its growth. These ideas run contrary to extensive bodies of both laboratory and field studies that show energetically favorable preferential uptake and assimilation by phytoplankton of the reduced DIN form  $NH_4^+$  rather than  $NO_3^-$  when both are present (Ohmori et al., 1977; Cresswell and Syrett, 1979; Dortch, 1990; Boyer et al., 1994; Berges et al., 1995; He et al., 2004; Song and Ward, 2007). Yet, given the societal and economic salience of the impacts of excess DIN loading to coastal ecosystems, our understanding of how specific chemical forms of human-introduced DIN influence total phytoplankton productivity and phytoplankton community composition, and therefore ecosystem services, in human-impacted coastal aquatic ecosystems remains surprisingly uncertain and is an area of active research (Esparza et al., 2014; Glibert et al., 2016; Berg et al., 2017; Kraus et al., 2017).

As a result, resource managers have been concerned about the potential influence of  $NH_4^+$  on the decline in phytoplankton standing stocks as well as POD. In part based on these concerns, a National Pollution Discharge



**Figure 1.** Map of San Francisco Bay Estuary and the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers. Shown are the water collection sites A–C and their locations relative to the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant. Also shown is the Delta Marina, Rio Vista, site where the experiments were conducted. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2021.040.f1

Elimination System (NPDES) permit was approved for the Sacramento Regional Sanitation District, which requires conversion of the SRWTP to a tertiary treatment system that will include both nitrification and denitrification steps (California Regional Water Board, Central Valley Region, 2014). These changes mean that the N in effluent will no longer discharge primarily as  $NH_4^+$  and that total DIN concentrations in the discharge will decrease by 74%.

In an attempt to bring scientific clarity to nutrient management of the Lower Sacramento River, we assessed the effects of high  $NH_4^+$  versus  $NO_3^-$  concentrations on in situ phytoplankton communities from the Lower Sacramento River using a replicated set of experimental incubations (**Figure 1**). This experiment investigates two key ecological questions about the impact of DIN species on phytoplankton growth, physiology, and community structure:

- Are phytoplankton accumulation and physiological state at the high in situ NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentrations found downstream of the SWTRP reduced relative to the response at lower in situ NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations?
- 2. How is phytoplankton community composition affected by the available DIN substrate?

<b>Table 1.</b> Initial $NH_4^+$ , $NO_3^-$ , $PO_4^{3-}$ , and $Si(OH)_4$ concentrations (µn	nol $L^{-1}$ ) at all stations, and at time zero, in the $NH_4^+$ ,
NO3 <sup>-</sup> , and effluent treatments. <sup>a</sup> DOI: https://doi.org/10.1525/ele	menta.2021.040.t1

	NH4 <sup>+</sup>			NO <sub>3</sub>		PO4 <sup>3-</sup>		Si(OH) <sub>4</sub>	
Station <sup>b</sup>	Initial	$\mathbf{NH_4}^+$	Effluent	Initial	NO <sub>3</sub>	Initial	Effluent	Initial	Effluent
A	0.8	55.4	44.6	2.9	7.9	0.6	1.5	288.6	298.3
В	1.7	47.5	41.2	6.7	10.6	0.8	1.8	270.6	277.9
С	56.7	c	_	2.2	_	7.8	_	278.3	_

<sup>a</sup>Treatments were targeted to concentrations measured using underway instrumentation during sampling transects.

<sup>b</sup>Stations A and B are located upstream of the SRWTP outfall (Figure 1); Station C is downstream from the outfall.

<sup>c</sup>dash indicates no amendment made.

Results from these experiments are critical to informing state and regional water quality decisions about how to manage the SFB and Delta ecosystems under future nutrient regimes, including how phytoplankton standing stocks and composition will change with future decreases in total DIN and concentrations of  $\rm NH_4^+$  in the Lower Sacramento River and in the context of ongoing climate change (Sinha et al., 2017). Our work also contributes new ecologically important insights into our understanding of the response of phytoplankton growth, physiology and community composition to varying nutrient forms in highly impacted riverine and estuarine systems.

#### Methods

Our study was designed to test the effects of high  $NH_4^+$ on phytoplankton growth in Lower Sacramento River waters surrounding the SRWTP. As such, we used waters upstream and downstream of the SRWTP for our experiments. The upstream waters were low in NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and represent the waters that flow southward into the effluent plume. Delta waters downstream of the SRWTP already contained effluent and thus already had elevated NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. The general design of the experiment was to amend water samples from the Sacramento River at two sites upstream and one site downstream of the SRWTP discharge location with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, or effluent taken directly from the SRWTP and to incubate the samples at two light levels.  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  amendments were designed to match the amounts of added NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and  $NO_3^-$  from the wastewater effluent. These samples were incubated for 48 h to assess phytoplankton physiological and community responses to different nitrogen forms at in situ concentrations (Table 1).

#### Sample water collection

Near-surface water was collected from the R/V *Questuary* between 07:30 and 11:30 on May 6, 2015, from three locations in the Sacramento River (**Figure 1**). Station A (the Interstate Highway 80 Bridge) was located immediately upstream of the confluence of the American and Sacramento rivers at 38.5997°N and 121.5513°W. Station B (upstream of the SRWTP effluent outfall pipe) was located at 38.5163°N and 121.5455°W downstream of the confluence of the American and Sacramento rivers, close

to USGS-Station 29: Garcia Bend. Station C (downstream of the SRWTP effluent outfall pipe) was located at 38.4348°N and 121.5163°W, near USGS-Station 26: River Mile 44. Our sites (A–C) are the same as, or in the immediate vicinity of, the sites I-80, OAK, and RM44 sampled by Parker et al. (2012).

At all stations, surface water was collected using prewashed plastic (high-density polyethylene) 5-gallon buckets deployed by hand from the deck of the ship. Sample water was poured through a funnel equipped with a 300-µm Nitex screen filter into 10-L acid-cleaned (10% hydrochloric acid [HCI] soak followed by 3 Milli-Q washes) cubitainers (ThermoScientific<sup>™</sup> LDPE Poly-Cubitainers) to remove macrozooplankton and large detrital particles. All cubitainers were washed 3 times with sample water prior to filling. At Stations A and B, twenty-four 10-L cubitainers were filled, and at Station C, six 10-L cubitainers were filled. All cubitainers were placed in the dark during transit to the incubation site at the Delta Marina in Rio Vista, CA (38.1491°N, 121.6925°W).

#### Incubation experiment design

The cubitainers were incubated for 48 h (starting May 6, 2015, at 15:00 until May 8, 2015, at 15:00) in two square, white-plastic incubators placed on the dock at the Delta Marina in Rio Vista, CA. Each incubator was continuously flushed with Sacramento River surface water to maintain ambient temperature. Treatments for incubated water from Stations A and B (both upstream of the outfall) included an unamended control and amendments with  $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_3^-$ , and SRWTP effluent water obtained the day before the experiments. The effluent water was kept at ambient temperature in the dark for 24 h prior to use in experiments. NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and effluent additions were targeted to increase  $NH_4^+$  concentrations to approximately 55  $\mu$ M, a concentration equivalent to that measured in nearsurface waters at Station C on the day of sampling (Table **1**).  $NO_3^-$  additions were targeted to achieve a concentration of 7.5  $\mu$ M NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, equivalent to the concentration measured in the water column at Station C on the day of sampling (Table 1). Water from Station C (downstream of the outfall) was kept as an unamended control and not subjected to any nutrient additions. All treatments were run in triplicate (Figure 2A). Subsamples were collected



Figure 2. Experimental design of the irradiance, nutrient, and effluent experiments. Shown are the nutrient (A) and light (B) treatments and the water column depths that correspond to the light treatments (C) at each station. See **Table 1** for initial nutrient concentrations at each site and in each treatment. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1525/ elementa.2021.040.f2

over the incubation period at t = 0 (initial), 12, 24, 36, and 48 h for analysis of concentrations of the nutrients  $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_3^-$  + nitrite ( $NO_2^-$ ), phosphate ( $PO_4^{3-}$ ), and dissolved silica (Si(OH)<sub>4</sub>) and of particulate organic carbon (POC) and chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*), as well as for determining

 $\rm NH_4^+$  and  $\rm NO_3^-$  uptake rates and phytoplankton photophysiological state, measured as the maximum photochemical efficiency of photosystem (PS) II (Fv/Fm) and the functional absorption cross section of PSII ( $\sigma_{\rm PSII}$ ). Note the initial samples (t = 0) for the variables listed above were collected from each cubitainer at the start of the incubation.

## Light treatments

Each of the two white-plastic incubators housed 27 cubitainers, representing triplicate samples for all nine combinations of station and nutrient treatments. Each incubator was then subjected to either high light (HL) or low light (LL) using neutral density screening placed over the top of the incubators (Figure 2B). The HL treatment held cubitainers at approximately 52% of ambient incident photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), and the LL treatment held cubitainers at approximately 6% of ambient incident PAR. PAR was obtained from the average of multiple measurements (as percentage of PAR just below the surface water in the incubators) made prior to the start of the experiment using a scalar PAR sensor (QSL-2100, Biospherical Instruments, San Diego, CA). PAR measurements were corrected for attenuation by the plastic sides of the cubitainer. Using PAR extinction profiles from the water columns at each of our three sampling locations, we calculated the water column depths for each location represented by the HL and LL treatments (Figure 2C). Light within the incubators was measured throughout the duration of the experiment using a cosine luminosity detector (HOBO<sup>™</sup> Pendant, Onset Corporation, Bourne, MA) extended from a metal fastener into the middle of each incubator. A reference cosine luminosity detector was mounted above the incubators and exposed to ambient above water irradiance.

#### Chl a and POC

Chl *a* samples collected on 25-mm Whatman GF/F filters were fluorometrically measured (Holm-Hansen et al., 1965) in triplicate at all 5 time points from each cubitainer using a Turner Fluorometer 10-AU (Turner Designs, Inc.). POC was analyzed by filtering sample water onto precombusted (450 °C for 4 h) 25-mm Whatman GF/Fs. The filters were fumed with HCl, dried at 60 °C, and packed into tin capsules (Costech Analytical Technologies, Inc.) for analysis on an Elementar Vario EL Cube elemental analyzer (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany) at the University of California, Davis. Peach leaves and glutamic acid were used as calibration standards. Experimental results are presented as treatment concentrations at the final time (t = 48 h) relative to the initial time.

#### Nutrient analyses

Samples for  $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_3^- + NO_2^-$ , and  $PO_4^{3-}$  were filtered through a 0.2-µm polycarbonate syringe filter (Acrodisc® Syringe Filter with Supor Membrane, Pall Laboratory, Port Washington, NY) into plastic collection vials and frozen at -20 °C until analysis. Samples for Si(OH)<sub>4</sub> analysis were also filtered through a 0.2-µm polycarbonate syringe filter but were kept at 4 °C until analysis.  $NH_4^+$  samples were analyzed by fluorometric development of ortho-phthalaldehyde (Holmes et al., 1999) on a FIALab 1000 ammonia analyzer.  $NO_3^-$  samples were analyzed colorimetrically using the cadmium-reduction method (Grasshoff et al., 1999) on a SmartChem 200 discrete analyzer (Unity Scientific, Brookfield, CT).  $PO_4^{3-}$  samples were analyzed colorimetrically using the ascorbic acid method on a spectrophotometer (Towns, 1986), and dissolved Si(OH)<sub>4</sub> samples were analyzed on an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (Thermo Scientific ICAP 6300 Duo View) after acid digestion. All analyses were performed using a standard curve at relevant concentrations, and the maximum error for replicates was 5%. All nutrient analyses were performed in laboratories at Stanford University.

#### Phytoplankton enumeration

Triplicate subsamples (125 mL) of the 300-µm prescreened water for the incubations were collected into amber bottles at each sampling location (Stations A-C, n = 9) and fixed with 2% acid Lugol's solution, then stored in the dark until analysis. Final subsamples were collected at t = 48 h, fixed, and stored similarly for all experimental cubitainers. For analysis, samples were filtered onto 0.2-µm polycarbonate membrane filters (Nuclepore) and enumerated using a Leica DMLB compound microscope according to McNabb (1966) as described in Beaver et al. (2013). Briefly, at least 400 natural units (colonies, filaments, and unicells) were enumerated to the lowest possible taxonomic level from each sample. The abundance of common taxa was estimated by random field counts. Rare taxa were quantified by scanning a transect of the filter. In the case of rare, large taxa, half of the filter was scanned and counted at a lower magnification. Cell volumes (biovolumes) were estimated by applying the geometric shapes that most closely matched the cell shape (Hillebrand et al., 1999). Biovolume calculations were based on measurements of 10 organisms per taxon for each sample where possible. Mean biovolume values were computed for any sampling event that included duplicate samples. Phytoplankton microscopy was performed by BSA Environmental Services, Inc. (Beachwood, OH, USA).

#### Phytoplankton physiology analyses

At all 5 time points during the experiment, we measured the  $F_{\rm v}/F_m$  and  $\sigma_{\rm PSII}$  by fast repetition rate fluorometry (FRRF, LIFT-FRR, Soliense, Santa Cruz, CA) according to Kolber et al. (1998). Briefly, the sample chamber was exposed to an FRRF excitation protocol composed of a series of microsecond-long flashlets of controlled excitation power. The saturation phase of the excitation was comprised of 100 flashlets at intervals of 2.5 ms. With the pulse excitation power of 30,000-50,000 µmol quanta  $m^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, the rate of excitation delivery to PSII centers far exceeded the capacity of photosynthetic electron transport between PSII and PSI. This excess resulted in a progressive saturation of the observed fluorescence transients within the first 40-60 flashlets, at a rate proportional to  $\sigma_{PSII}$ . The saturation phase was followed by 90 flashlets applied at exponentially increasing time intervals starting at 20 ms, over a period of 250 ms. As the average excitation power decreased, the fluorescence signal relaxed with kinetics mostly defined by the rate of electron transport

between PSII and PSI. Each sample measurement consisted of an average of 32 transients, and each sample was measured 3 times. Recorded fluorescence transients were processed with FRRF software (http://soliense.com) to estimate  $F_{\nu}/F_m$  and  $\sigma_{PSII}$  for all Chl *a*-containing cells (excitation wavelength of 470 nm). Blanks for individual samples analyzed by FRRF were prepared by syringe filtration (0.2 µm) of the sample, and all reported values were corrected for blank effects (Cullen and Davis, 2003).

#### <sup>15</sup>N uptake analyses

Measurements of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> uptake rates were made from all treatment cubitainers every 12 h during the experiment using the <sup>15</sup>N stable isotope tracer method (Glibert and Capone, 1993). Briefly, two subsamples from each cubitainer were taken in clean 250-mL polycarbonate square bottles, one for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> uptake and one for  $NO_3^-$  uptake. To measure <sup>15</sup>N uptake, bottles were spiked with clean-labeled nutrients (K<sup>15</sup>NO<sub>3</sub> or <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>4</sub>Cl) equivalent to 10% of the estimated concentration in the sample. Bottles were placed back into separate, shaded LL and HL incubators; after a 4-h incubation period, 125 mL were filtered onto a precombusted 25-mm Whatman GF/F. The  $\delta^{15}$ N of particulate nitrogen (PN) was measured following the above protocol for PN on a Micro Cube elemental analyzer (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany) interfaced to a PDZ Europa 20-20 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Sercon Ltd., Cheshire, United Kingdom). Samples for analysis of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations were also taken at the beginning and end of each 4-h uptake rate incubation. Specific uptake rates (V) for each DIN species were calculated following Dugdale and Goering (1967):

$$V = ({}^{15}N_p - {}^{15}N_{ue}) / ({}^{15}N_{diss} - {}^{15}N_p) * t$$

where  ${}^{15}N_p$  is the  ${}^{15}N$  atom percentage (atom%) in the particulate fraction,  ${}^{15}N_{ue}$  is the measured  ${}^{15}N$  atom% in the unenriched sample,  ${}^{15}N_{diss}$  is the atom%  ${}^{15}N$  in the dissolved fraction after spiking, and *t* is the time in hours of the incubation. *V* is the specific uptake rate in units of  $h^{-1}$ .

#### Statistical analyses

Prior to analysis, all triplicate measurements of Chl a concentration were averaged. The three replicates for each nutrient and light condition were then averaged, and standard deviations were calculated for each variable of interest. Statistical analyses were organized hierarchically. The effects of the incubation itself were assessed by comparing measurements of dependent variables of interest (Chl a and POC concentrations, diatom abundance and biovolume, nutrient uptake rates) at t = 0 and 48 h, combining all treatments across all light and nutrient treatments. The effect of light treatments was measured by comparing changes in dependent variables of interest at t = 48 h between the HL and LL treatments, across all nutrient treatments. The effects of nutrient treatments were measured by comparing changes in dependent variables at t =48 h between nutrient treatments, across all sampling

locations. Statistically significant effects were assessed at the  $\alpha = 0.05$  significance level. All tests of significance involved one-way or two-way analyses of variance followed by post hoc Tukey means comparison analysis. Standard, ordinary least squares linear regressions were performed to analyze the relationship between POC accumulation and Chl *a* accumulation, using the lm function in the base R statistical software.

#### Results

## Chl a and organic carbon accumulation

At all three sites, temperature and salinity profiles indicated that the water columns were well mixed. The initial Chl a concentrations were relatively low at each site, reflecting low phytoplankton abundance. Initially, Chl a concentrations at Station A (1.3  $\pm$  0.2 µg Chl a L<sup>-1</sup>, n = 3) were nearly double those at Station B (0.7  $\pm$  0.1  $\mu$ g Chl *a* L<sup>-1</sup>, *n* = 3) and more than double those at Station C (0.5  $\pm$  0.1 µg Chl a L<sup>-1</sup>, n = 3). After incubation for 48 h, Chl a concentration increased under all nutrient and light conditions (Figure 3), though increases and nutrient enrichment effects were greater in the HL treatment (Figure 3A–C) than the LL treatment (Figure 3D–F). Under HL conditions, Chl a concentrations were highest in the effluent-amended treatment, increasing by a factor of 6.4  $(\pm 2.7)$  and 8.9  $(\pm 1.5)$  at Stations A and B, respectively (Tables S1–S6). Similar increases (by factors of 5.2 + 0.9and 8.2  $\pm$  1.5) were detected in the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> treatment at both sites, respectively, and in the  $NO_3^-$  treatment (by a factor of 7.3  $\pm$  1.7) at Site B. At Site A, the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> amendment resulted in a 2.4-fold Chl a increase (Figure 3A, B, **D**, and **E**). Finally, the increase in Chl *a* at Site C was greater than at either site upstream of the SRWTP, with concentrations increasing by a factor of 13.7 ( $\pm$ 2.1) and 4.9 ( $\pm$ 1.3) in the HL and LL treatments, respectively (Figure 3C and F).

POC concentrations under the HL treatment followed Chl a concentrations in each treatment, with the effluent and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> amendments in the HL treatment showing the greatest increases (Figure 3G–L). POC and Chl a concentrations at 48 h were significantly positively correlated (p < p0.05,  $R^2 = 0.75$ ). Across all sampling locations and treatments, increases in POC were significantly greater under HL conditions (1,004  $\pm$  283 µg C L<sup>-1</sup>, n = 3) than under LL conditions (86  $\pm$  42 µg C L<sup>-1</sup>, n = 3). Just as for Chl a accumulation, POC increases under HL conditions were greatest in the effluent (3-fold) and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (3-fold) treatments at Station A and in the unamended water from Station C (3-fold), located downstream of the effluent outfall (Figure 3G and I; Tables S7-S12). In contrast, no significant amendment effect was observed on POC concentration in the HL treatment at Station B (Figure **3H**). In all treatments,  $PO_4^{3-}$  remained measurable at the final time point and therefore was unlikely to have limited biomass accumulation in any of the treatments (Figure S1).

# Phytoplankton community composition

The initial phytoplankton community composition in surface waters at Stations A–C was similar (**Figure 4**). Diatom species dominated initial phytoplankton cell counts



**Figure 3.** Relative Chl *a* and particulate organic carbon concentrations in the different treatments at the three sites. Values are calculated as treatment relative to control (*C*), where r.u. is relative units and \* indicates significant difference compared with control at the  $\alpha = 0.05$  significance level. Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean (n = 3). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2021.040.f3

(approximately 50%-60%) at all three sampling stations, with chlorophytes being the next most abundant taxon (28%-37%; **Table 2**). Cryptophytes (principally *Rhodomonas* spp.) and cyanobacteria (principally *Anabaena* spp.) were also present in initial samples, though they made up less than 15% of the total community combined. Together, these four taxonomic groups comprised over 99% of all cells in all samples. As a fraction of total

biovolume, diatoms were even more dominant, comprising 88%–95% of the total community biovolume across all stations (**Figure 5**; Table S13).

After the 48-h incubation, total phytoplankton cell densities and biovolumes were higher for all stations and under all nutrient and light treatments (**Figures 4 and 5**), consistent with observed increases in Chl *a* concentrations. After 48 h, the fraction of diatom cells significantly



**Figure 4.** Cell abundance responses for the major phytoplankton taxa. Shown are initial (*I*) and final cell densities for the different phytoplankton taxa from each treatment (control [*C*] and additives  $NO_3^-$ ,  $NH_4^+$ , and effluent) in each experiment under high light (upper panels) and low light (lower panels) for Sites A–C. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1525/ elementa.2021.040.f4

increased from the start of the experiment under all nutrient conditions at both light levels (**Table 2**). The fraction of cell counts comprising nondiatom taxa decreased or remained unchanged during the course of the experiment across all nutrient and light treatments (**Table 2**). No significant nutrient effects on the fractional composition of the phytoplankton community were detected (**Table 2**). However, total cell abundances were higher in the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and effluent treatments of the HL treatment for Site A and in the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> treatment of the LL treatment for Site B. For Site C, total cell densities increased 34- and 15-fold, in the HL and LL treatments, respectively (**Figure 4**). These increases were driven by diatoms across sites and treatments, as well as by chlorophytes in the HL treatment.

Biovolume trends (**Figure 5**) were slightly different than changes in cell densities (**Figure 4**), though for each taxonomic group, biovolume responses correlated significantly with the abundance responses across experiments (Table S14). Although diatoms dominated the total biovolume of all nutrient treatments under both light levels (diatoms were never less than 60% of total biovolume), they did not increase significantly as a percentage of total biovolume despite their density increase (Table S13). For Sites A and B, a decrease in the percentage contribution of diatoms to the total biovolume was detected in the HL control and LL + NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> treatment, respectively (Tables S15–S26). In both of these treatments, chlorophytes accounted for a greater percentage of total biovolume; however, the coefficients of variation associated with these changes were >80%. As such, these increases did not differ significantly from initial samples or the controls. No significant change in the percentage of total biovolume for other taxa was detected across nutrient and light treatments.

#### POC:Chl a

The high initial POC:Chl a at all sites (Figure 6) indicated that concentrations of nonphytoplankton organic C (e.g., detrital) were high, and differed between sites, with POC:Chl a increasing downstream from Site A to Site C. At the final time point (t = 48 h) under the HL treatment, the POC:Chl a values differed from the initial values. For Site A, POC:Chl a increased (approximately 1.5-fold) in the control, while for Sites B and C, the POC:Chl a decreased dramatically by approximately 50% and 80%, respectively. Nutrient additions resulted in lower POC:Chl a relative to both the initials and controls, with the effluent and  $NH_4^+$ treatments showing the greatest decline. Notably, the final POC:Chl a ratios of the treated waters from Sites A and B were similar in magnitude to that measured for Site C, which had a high initial  $NH_4^+$  concentration (56.7 µmol  $L^{-1}$ ; **Table 1**). Under the LL treatment, the final (t = 48 h) POC:Chl a values decreased relative to the initial values at all sites; however, there was no further decrease in the amended waters relative to the controls.

#### Phytoplankton physiology

The ratio of variable fluorescence to maximal fluorescence  $(F_v/F_m)$  did not vary within each light treatment across the

	Start of I	Experiment	End of Experiment ( $t = 48$ h)					
Station	Taxon	Initial	Unamended	$NH_4^+$	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Effluent		
High light								
A	Diatoms	52.2 ± 9.7	83.5 ± 9.4	79.0 ± 7.3	81.7 ± 6.2	70.0 ± 7.1		
	Chlorophytes	37.7 ± 5.5	8.9 ± 9.4	18.4 ± 7.2	12.8 ± 13.6	$26.0~\pm~4.8$		
	Cryptophytes	$4.8~\pm~0.6$	$0.4~\pm~0.5$	$1.7 \pm 0.2$	0.6 ± 0.1	$0.7 \pm 0.3$		
	Cyanobacteria	5.1 ± 6.0	6.2 ± 8.9	$1.0 \pm 0.8$	5.1 ± 5.9	3.9 ± 4.4		
В	Diatoms	50.1 ± 15.2	83.1 ± 11.4	86.2 ± 0.2	75.5 ± 25.8	78.9 ± 1.8		
	Chlorophytes	35.4 ± 9.2	14.3 ± 9.2	12.3 ± 2.5	$26.3 \pm 24.1$	$18.4~\pm~0.6$		
	Cryptophytes	6.6 ± 3.3	0.9 ± 1.0	1.1 ± 1.2	0.1 ± 0.2	$0.2 \pm 0.2$		
	Cyanobacteria	7.8 ± 8.1	$1.5 \pm 0$	$0.2 \pm 0$	$0.5 \pm 0$	$0.5 \pm 0.5$		
С	Diatoms	62.7 ± 24.3	67.8 ± 25	<sup>a</sup>	_	_		
	Chlorophytes	28.6 ± 14.7	24.6 ± 29.5	_	_	_		
	Cryptophytes	5.4 ± 3.0	1.0 ± 0.3	_	_	_		
	Cyanobacteria	$3.1 \pm 0.0$	$0.6~\pm~0.0$	_	_	_		
Low light								
A	Diatoms	52.2 ± 9.7	72.4 ± 22.1	77.4 ± 11.4	74.8 ± 22.7	78.6 ± 15.3		
	Chlorophytes	37.7 ± 5.5	$20.3 \pm 22.9$	$12.5~\pm~6.2$	15.9 ± 10.0	13.5 ± 7.6		
	Cryptophytes	$4.8~\pm~0.6$	$1.0 \pm 0.3$	1.8 ± 1.3	$0.7~\pm~0.6$	1.6 ± 1.0		
	Cyanobacteria	5.1 ± 6.0	6.2 ± 1.3	8.2 ± 9.2	8.7 ± 12.3	6.7 ± 8.4		
В	Diatoms	50.1 ± 15.2	67.0 ± 27.2	78.9 ± 27.9	71.0 ± 22.0	63.0 ± 32.4		
	Chlorophytes	35.4 ± 9.2	$24.5 \pm 16.2$	19.7 ± 27.1	27.1 ± 22.8	31.2 ± 3.1		
	Cryptophytes	6.6 ± 3.3	1.4 ± 1.4	0.9 ± 1.2	1.1 ± 1.0	2.6 ± 1.3		
	Cyanobacteria	7.8 ± 8.1	6.3 ± 9.4	0.0	0.0	2.3 ± 0.6		
С	Diatoms	62.7 ± 24.3	92.3 ± 2.4	_	_	_		
	Chlorophytes	28.6 ± 14.7	5.8 ± 2.3	_	_	_		
	Cryptophytes	5.4 ± 3.0	$1.2 \pm 0.5$	_	_	_		
	Cyanobacteria	3.1 ± 0.0	0.0	_	_	_		

**Table 2.** Relative cell density, as a percentage (%) of total cells, by taxon at the start of the experiment and after 48 h of nutrient and light treatment. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2021.040.t2

<sup>a</sup>dash indicates no amendment made.

nutrient treatments. Values in all samples were uniformly high, between 0.45 and 0.55 (**Table 3**) consistent with healthy phytoplankton populations. However, some  $F_v/F_m$ differences were detected between the light treatments. At Station A, all amendment treatments (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, and effluent) had significantly higher  $F_v/F_m$  in the HL treatment than in the LL treatment (Tables S27–S28). Functional absorption cross sections ( $\sigma_{PSII}$ ) did not differ significantly between initial and final time points or between nutrient or light treatments at any of the sites (**Table 4**).

#### <sup>15</sup>N uptake rates

Specific uptake rates  $V(h^{-1})$  for  $NH_4^+$  increased, relative to initial rates, in both the  $NH_4^+$  and effluent treatments at

Sites A and B in the HL treatment (**Figure 7A** and **B**; Tables S29–S32). In the control and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> treatments, rates of *V* were more variable. No increases were detected for Site A in either treatment, while rates for Site B increased (**Figure 7A** and **B**). At the downstream Site C, the final NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-specific uptake rate was approximately 5-fold higher than the initial rate (**Figure 7C**; Tables S33–S36). In contrast, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake rates were completely suppressed in the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and effluent treatments and in the unamended water from downstream of the outfall site (**Figure 7G–L**; Tables S37–S44). NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake rates were greatest in the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> treatments for Stations A and B to which no NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> was added and in which starting concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> were <5  $\mu$ M (**Figure 7G–L**, Tables S37 and S39). NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake rates also were low, but greater than zero, in the



**Figure 5.** Biovolume responses for the major phytoplankton taxa. Shown are initial (*I*) and final biovolumes for the different phytoplankton taxa from each treatment (control [C] and additives NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, and effluent) in each experiment under high light (upper panels) and low light (lower panels) for Sites A–C. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1525/elementa.2021.040.f5

unamended controls for Stations A and B, which had low (but nonzero) concentrations of both  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  at the start of the experiment. Under LL, initial and final differences in  $NH_4^+$ -specific uptake rates were only detected for Site B in the control and  $NO_3^-$  treatments and for Site C (**Figure 7D–F**). However, little effect of nutrient or effluent additions was detected on the LL  $NH_4^+$ -specific uptake rates overall.

#### Discussion

#### Light as the limiting factor for Lower Sacramento River phytoplankton

We present clear evidence of phytoplankton growth under the HL treatments when cells were supplied with nutrients, as either individual substrates ( $NH_4^+$  or  $NO_3^-$ ) or in effluent, as well as under the high ambient nutrient concentrations (**Table 1**) of the Lower Sacramento River downstream from the wastewater effluent outfall from the SRWTP. Contrary to the findings of previous studies in the region (Dugdale et al., 2007; Parker et al., 2012), we observed phytoplankton growth (e.g., POC and Chl *a* accumulation), increased cell abundance, and evidence of bloom formation in phytoplankton exposed to high  $NH_4^+$ concentrations (>40 µM).

Consistent with numerous laboratory (Dortch et al., 1991; Berges et al., 1995; Lomas and Glibert, 1999; Clark and Flynn, 2002; Song and Ward, 2007) and other in situ studies (McCarthy et al., 1977), as well as previous work in the Lower Sacramento River Delta (Dugdale et al., 2007), high concentrations of  $NH_4^+$  (whether present in the

water or added as NH4<sup>+</sup> or effluent) suppressed phytoplankton NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake rates to near zero. Yet the phytoplankton communities exposed to high NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> conditions grew well, as indicated by the increases in Chl a and POC in both the  $NH_4^+$  and effluent treatments.  $NH_4^+$  uptake rates were high and similar to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake rates in samples not exposed to high  $NH_4^+$  concentrations, and the amount of phytoplankton biomass produced from NH4<sup>+</sup> uptake was the same or greater than the amount of phytoplankton biomass produced from NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake. Only in the  $NO_3^-$  treatments at the final time point was  $NO_3^$ uptake higher than NH4<sup>+</sup> uptake. Measurements of the photophysiological parameters  $F_{\nu}/F_m$  and  $\sigma_{PSII}$  made throughout the experiment showed that phytoplankton communities under these high  $NH_4^+$  experimental conditions had well-functioning PS, which is consistent with culture studies of phytoplankton species isolated from Suisun Bay and Sacramento River demonstrating high  $F_{\nu}/F_m$  under high NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentrations (Berg et al., 2017, 2019). Thus, to answer our first ecological question: High concentrations of  $NH_4^+$  similar to that found in effluent of the SRWTP did not negatively affect the growth rate or physiology of Lower Sacramento River phytoplankton in this short-term experiment.

Across all treatments, rates of DIN uptake  $(h^{-1})$  were similar to those previously observed in this system (Parker et al., 2012). Although our experiment only lasted for 2 days, the rapid accumulation of Chl *a* and phytoplankton biomass during this time (cells were doubling at least once a day in many of our treatments under HL conditions)



**Figure 6.** POC:Chl *a* responses in the different treatments at the three sites. Shown are the initial and final values (t = 48 h) for the different nutrient treatments at the three sites for the (A) high light and (B) low light treatments. Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean (n = 3). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2021.040.f6

suggests that bloom conditions had been initiated within our nutrient replete incubations, including those in unamended water from the Lower Sacramento River. Our experimental results indicate that the paradoxically low in situ phytoplankton growth in the Lower Sacramento River, despite relatively high ambient concentrations of DIN from human inputs, is primarily due to light limitation (at least in the absence of benthic grazers). Although nutrient effects were detected on phytoplankton growth, Chl *a* accumulation, nutrient drawdown, DIN uptake, and cell division, the effects were greatest in HL treatments. When held at light levels equivalent to those at depths of 3–4 m (LL treatment) in a well-mixed 10-m water column, phytoplankton growth was a small fraction of the rates measured when cells were held at light levels equivalent to depths of 0.6–0.8 m (HL treatment).

POC:Chl *a* was greater under HL than under LL conditions in the controls at Stations A and B, as well as the  $NO_3^-$  treatment at Station A, consistent with a light acclimation response (Cloern et al., 1995). However, in the  $NH_4^+$  and Effluent treatments, little difference between HL and LL was observed, suggesting that changes in POC:Chl *a* over the course of the experiment at Site C were not solely due to light. The greater relative increase in Chl *a*, compared to POC, concentrations in the  $NH_4^+$ and Effluent treatments suggests that cells preferentially **Table 3.** Ratio of variable fluorescence to maximal fluorescence ( $F_v/F_m$ ) at the start of the experiment and after 48 h of nutrient and light treatment. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2021.040.t3

	Unam	ended	+ <b>N</b>	03	$+NH_4^+$		+Effluent	
Station	T = <b>0</b>	<i>T</i> = 48	T = <b>0</b>	<i>T</i> = <b>48</b>	T = <b>0</b>	<i>T</i> = <b>48</b>	T = <b>0</b>	<i>T</i> = 48
High lig	ht							
А	0.51 ± 0.01	0.51 ± 0.02	0.51 ± 0.01	0.53 ± 0.02	0.49 ± 0.01	0.53 ± 0.01	$0.49~\pm~0.06$	0.52 ± 0.01
В	$0.5~\pm~0.02$	0.54 ± 0.01	$0.50~\pm~0.04$	0.53 ± 0.01	0.51 ± 0.02	$0.49~\pm~0.04$	$0.47~\pm~0.07$	0.54 ± 0.01
С	$0.47~\pm~0.06$	$0.56~\pm~0.02$	a	-	-	-	-	_
Low ligh	ıt							
А	0.54 ± 0.01	0.53 ± 0.02	$0.52~\pm~0.04$	$0.48~\pm~0.06$	0.51 ± 0.05	$0.50~\pm~0.02$	$0.50~\pm~0.08$	$0.49~\pm~0.02$
В	$0.52~\pm~0.02$	0.44 ± 0.03	0.43 ± 0.13	$0.49~\pm~0.05$	$0.52~\pm~0.02$	$0.44~\pm~0.05$	$0.49~\pm~0.04$	0.54 ± 0.03
С	$0.5~\pm~0.03$	$0.54~\pm~0.01$	-	-	-	-	-	-
<sup>a</sup> dash in	dicates no amer	ndment made.						

**Table 4.** Functional absorption cross section ( $\sigma_{PSII}$ ) at the start of the experiment and after 48 h of nutrient and light treatment. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2021.040.t4

	Unamended		$+NO_3^-$		$+NH_4^+$		+Effluent	
Station	T = 0	<i>T</i> = 48	T = <b>0</b>	<i>T</i> = 48	T = <b>0</b>	<i>T</i> = 48	T = <b>0</b>	T = 48
High lig	ht							
А	460 ± 12.5	529 <u>+</u> 13.1	468 ± 15.9	510 ± 50.8	$467~\pm~16.0$	468 ± 27.4	474 ± 31.4	462 ± 31.3
В	472 ± 23.8	488 <u>+</u> 9	$480~\pm~42.5$	488 ± 12.4	454 ± 21.9	482 <u>+</u> 15.1	483 <u>+</u> 54.7	485 ± 12.4
С	479 <u>+</u> 39.9	493 <u>+</u> 16.2	<sup>a</sup>	-	-	-	-	-
Low ligh	nt							
А	474 <u>+</u> 9.4	499 <u>+</u> 3.8	478 ± 16.2	500 $\pm$ 2.2	486 ± 36.9	493 <u>+</u> 15.1	459 ± 8.2	494 ± 8.2
В	474 ± 9.7	534 <u>+</u> 9.5	439 ± 73.4	519 ± 17.4	$482~\pm~2.7$	527 <u>+</u> 14.6	481 ± 10.2	507 ± 6.0
С	482 ± 20.3	510 ± 5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>a</sup>dash indicates no amendment made.

allocated N toward synthesis of Chl *a*. This is consistent with light limitation of phytoplankton and their need to increase capture of photons (MacIntyre et al., 2002).

Our experimental data also suggest that effluentassociated  $NH_4^+$  loading to the river supports growth of most of the species within the in situ phytoplankton community. Specifically, the phytoplankton community in the Lower Sacramento River at all of our sampling locations was dominated by diatom species, in terms of both cell density and biovolume, at both the start and end of our experiment under all light and nutrient treatments. Importantly, given that POD and food web collapse have been attributed to a reduction in diatom production over the last several decades (Jassby et al., 2002; Glibert et al., 2014b), we show that diatom species grew readily on  $NH_4^+$ , as evidenced by increases in cell density in experimental conditions where  $NO_3^-$  uptake rates were near zero.

Our analysis also shows that diatom cell densities increased at greater rates than chlorophytes, in contrast to previous findings based on pigment analyses reported by Glibert et al. (2014b) and previous observations of upper Sacramento River blooms being dominated numerically (albeit not by biovolume) by chlorophytes (Glibert et al., 2014a). Chlorophyte biovolume did increase as a percentage of total biovolume in the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and effluent treatments under HL, but increases within this group, while smaller, were also detected in the control and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> treatments. Such compositional changes are expected in experiments such as these, as turbulence is substantially reduced inside experimental systems compared with conditions in the Sacramento River. Nondiatom species typically grow better than diatoms under calm and quiescent conditions, and vice versa, when nutrient concentrations are the same (Margalef, 1978; Richardson et al., 2016; Stumpner et al., 2020). Thus, with respect to our second ecological question: How is phytoplankton community composition affected by the available DIN substrate? we found that diatoms exhibited the greatest increases in abundance independently of the form of N being added



**Figure 7.** Specific NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake rates in the different treatments at the three sites. Specific uptake rates for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> are shown for the initial and final (t = 48 h) times under (A–C) high light (HL) and (D–F) low light (LL) conditions for all treatments (control [C] and additives NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, and effluent). Specific uptake rates for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> are similarly shown under HL (G–I) and LL (J–L) conditions for all treatments. An asterisk (\*) indicates significant difference (p < 0.05) from the initial value; † indicates significant difference (p < 0.05) from the control value. Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean (n = 3). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2021.040.f7

 $(NO_3^- \text{ or } NH_4^+ \text{ alone, or } NH_4^+ \text{ in SRWTP effluent)}$  and dominated the biovolumes of all treatments in all experiments. The composition of the phytoplankton community may instead depend on combinations of factors, including irradiance, temperature, and degree of turbulence and

mixing (Margalef, 1978; Levasseur et al., 1984; Butterwick et al., 2005; Boyd et al., 2013; Edwards et al., 2015; Richardson et al., 2016). Recent research has shown that residence time may also be a relevant factor, as small-celled picocyanobacterial communities dominated in regions of the the Delta with longer water residence times (Stumpner et al., 2020).

## Grazing

Previous studies demonstrated that grazing by the invasive clam species Potamocorbula amurensis, introduced to Suisun Bay in the 1980s, played a significant role in inhibiting bloom formation in the Delta (Thompson, 2005; Hammock et al., 2019). However, at the position of X2 (defined as the distance from the mouth of the SFB to the location where estuary bottom water salinity drops to 2), there is a sharp decrease in the abundance of the clam P. amurensis, which is not present in the freshwater reaches of the Delta where our study was conducted. Instead, the freshwater portions of the Delta, including the lower Sacramento River location of our study, are dominated by the invasive clam Corbicula fluminea, which negatively impacts phytoplankton biomass and productivity in areas where it is abundant (Foe and Knight, 1985; Lopez et al., 2006). Because our experimental design relied on incubations in cubitainers with water prefiltered through a 300 µm screen, we excluded both benthic grazers and macrozooplankton from our experimental bottles. Thus, we are unable to determine whether grazing pressure was sufficient to inhibit bloom formation in the Lower Sacramento River, even if light limitation were alleviated (Lucas et al., 2002). At the very least, some of the observed increase in phytoplankton abundance and biovolume in the unamended bottles from the sites upstream of the outfall pipe is likely due to the removal of grazing pressure. Further work should emphasize the role of grazing in controlling phytoplankton biomass and production in this region. If grazing exerts further pressure on phytoplankton abundance, it would only serve to compound the light limitation we observed in our experiment.

#### Water quality management

In 2014, a new NPDES permit was approved for the Sacramento Regional Sanitation District, which requires conversion of the wastewater treatment plant to a tertiary treatment system that will include both nitrification and denitrification steps and that must be completed in 2021-2023 (California Regional Water Board, Central Valley Region, 2014). These changes mean that DIN loading will dramatically change in the region, as the N in effluent will no longer be discharged primarily as NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and total DIN concentrations are expected to decrease by 74%. Given the rapid growth of diatoms that we observed on anthropogenic NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> when light limitation was alleviated, our results highlight that future reductions in NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> loading from the tertiary treatment system could decrease the productivity of phytoplankton in the Delta without concomitant increases in the light environment. Given existing agricultural N loads from the San Joaquin River, changes in the form and magnitude of SRWTP loading under new permits, our limited understanding of microbial N processing in this region, and potential changes in freshwater flows under climate change and water usage scenarios, modeling the future nutrient environment of the Delta is a key direction for future research.

## Conclusions

Taken as a whole, our experimental results present evidence that high concentrations of  $NH_4^+$  from wastewater are not likely to be the cause of POD in the Delta. When the phytoplankton in water from the river downstream of the wastewater effluent outfall was exposed to light levels greater than those experienced in situ but with no other amendments, growth (primarily of diatom species) was immediate and significant. High  $NH_4^+$  concentrations did not prevent a diatom-dominated bloom from developing within our experiment. We conclude that high anthropogenic  $NH_4^+$  loading from wastewater effluent is not driving the lower productivity and decline of pelagic organisms in the Delta.

## Data accessibility statement

Data used for the analyses in this article are provided in the supplemental tables; any other details of interest are available from the authors.

## Supplemental files

The supplemental files for this article can be found as follows:

Figure S1.

Tables S1–S44. docx.

## Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge Kate E. Lowry, Julian Damashek, Bradley T. Tolar, Chris Petersen, John Butterfield, Hannah Joy-Warren, Casey M. Schine, and Virginia Selz for help with experimental set up, sample water collection, experimental sampling, and laboratory analyses. We also thank Kendra Negrey at University of California Santa Cruz for instrumentation support and Jim E. Cloern for helpful advice throughout. The Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District funded research assistance from Applied Marine Services and supplied support and effluent for use in treatments. Special thanks are also due to all of the workers at the Delta Marina in Rio Vista, CA, for accommodating scientific experiments within their recreational marina and campground.

## **Financial Disclosure**

This research was supported by an Environmental Venture Projects grant from the Stanford Woods Institute for the Environment to CAF, KRA, and SGM.

## **Competing interests**

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

## Author contributions

Contributed equally: ALS, MMM.

Led the design of the study and managed the field work and sample analysis: ALS.

Conducted the field work: ALS, MMM, GvD, IBH, SED, GMB.

Analyzed the data: ALS, MM.

Wrote this article with input from all authors: ALS, MMM.

## References

- Beaver, JR, Jensen, DE, Casamatta, DA, Tausz, CE, Scotese, KC, Buccier, KM, Teacher, CE, Rosati, TC, Minerovic, AD, Renicker, TR. 2013. Response of phytoplankton and zooplankton communities in six reservoirs of the middle Missouri River (USA) to drought conditions and a major flood event. *Hydrobiologia* **705**: 173–189. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10. 1007/s10750-012-1397-1.
- Berg, GM, Driscoll, S, Hayashi, K, Kudela, R. 2019. Effects of nitrogen source, concentration, and irradiance on growth rates of two diatoms endemic to northern San Francisco Bay. *Aquatic Biology* 28: 33–43. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/ab00708.
- Berg, GM, Driscoll, S, Hayashi, K, Ross, M, Kudela, R. 2017. Variation in growth rate, carbon assimilation, and photosynthetic efficiency in response to nitrogen source and concentration in phytoplankton isolated from upper San Francisco Bay. *Journal of Phycology* **53**: 664–669. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10. 1111/jpy.12535.
- Berges, JA, Cochlan, WP, Harrison, PJ. 1995. Laboratory and field responses of algal nitrate reductase to diel periodicity in irradiance, nitrate exhaustion, and the presence of ammonium. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **124**(1–3): 259–269. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.3354/meps124259.
- Boyd, PW, Rynearson, TA, Armstrong, EA, Fu, FX, Hayashi, K, Hu, Z, Hutchins, DA, Kudela, RM, Litchman, E, Mulholland, MR, Passow, U, Strzepek, RF, Whittaker, KA, Yu, E, Thomas, MK. 2013. Marine phytoplankton temperature versus growth responses from polar to tropical waters - outcome of a scientific community-wide study. *PLoS One* **8**(5). DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0063091.
- Boyer, JN, Stanley, DW, Christian, RR. 1994. Dynamics of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake in the water column of the Nuese River Estuary, North Carolina. *Estuaries* 17(2): 361–371. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/ 1352669.
- **Butterwick, C, Heaney, SI, Talling, JF**. 2005. Diversity in the influence of temperature on the growth rates of freshwater algae, and its ecological relevance. *Freshwater Biology* **50**(2): 291–300.
- California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region. 2014. Amending Waste Discharge Requirements Order R5-2010-0114-02 (NPDES Permit NO CA0077682) Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant, Sacramento County. Available at https://www.waterboards.ca. gov/centralvalley/board\_decisions/adopted\_orders/sacramento/r5-2010-0114-02.pdf.
- Clark, DR, Flynn, KJ. 2002. N-assimilation in the noxious flagellate Heterosigma carterae (Raphidophyceae): Dependence on light, N-source, and physiological state. *Journal of Phycology* **38**(3): 503–512. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1529-8817.2002.t01-1-01082.x.

- Cloern, JE, Grenz, C, VidergarLucas, L. 1995. An empirical model of the phytoplankton chlorophyll: Carbon ratio—The conversion factor between productivity and growth rate. *Limnology and Oceanography* **40**(7): 1313–1321. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.4319/lo.1995.40.7.1313.
- Cloern, JE, Jassby, AD. 2012. Drivers of change in estuarine-coastal ecosystems: Discoveries from four decade of study in San Francisco Bay. *Reviews of Geophysics* 50. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/ 2012rg000397.
- Cresswell, RC, Syrett, PJ. 1979. Ammonium inhibition of nitrate uptake in the diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum. Plant Science Letters* **14**(4): 321–325. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4211(79)90263-3.
- Cullen, JJ, Davis, RF. 2003. The blank can make a big difference in oceanographic measurements. *Limnology and Oceanography Bulletin* **12**: 29–35. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/lob.200312229.
- **Dolmagalski, JL, Dileanis, PD**. 2000. Water-quality assessment of the Sacramento River Basin, California–Water quality of fixed sites, 1996–1998. U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Investigations Report 00-4247. Sacramento, CA: USGS.
- Dortch, Q. 1990. The interaction between ammonium and nitrate uptake in phytoplankton. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 61: 183–201. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.3354/meps061183.
- **Dortch, Q, Thompson, PA, Harrison, PJ**. 1991. Shortterm interaction between nitrate and ammonium uptake in *Thalassiosira psuedonana*: Effect of preconditioning nitrogen-source and growth rate. *Marine Biology* **110**(2): 183–193.
- Dugdale, RC, Goering, JJ. 1967. Uptake of new and regenerated forms of nitrogen in primary productivity. *Limnology and Oceanography* 12: 196–206. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4319/lo.1967.12.2.0196.
- **Dugdale, RC, Wilkerson, FP, Hogue, VE, Marchi, A**. 2007. The role of ammonium and nitrate in spring bloom development in San Francisco Bay. *Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science* **73**(1–2): 17–29. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2006.12.008.
- Edwards, KF, Thomas, MK, Klausmeier, CA, Litchman, E. 2015. Light and growth in marine phytoplankton: Allometric, taxonomic, and environmental variation. *Limnology and Oceanography* **60**(2): 540–552. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/lno.10033.
- Esparza, ML, Farrell, AE, Craig, DJ, Swanson, C, Dhaliwal, BS, Mine Berg, G. 2014. Impact of atypical ammonium concentrations on phytoplankton abundance and composition in fresh versus estuarine waters. *Aquatic Biology* **21**(3): 191–204. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/ab00588.
- Foe, C, Knight, A. 1985. The effect of phytoplankton and suspended sediment on the growth of *Corbicula fluminea* (Bivalvia). *Hydrobiologia* **127**: 105–115. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00004190.
- **Glibert, PM**. 2010. Long-term changes in nutrient loading and stoichiometry and their relationships with

changes in the food web and dominant pelagic fish species in the San Francisco Estuary, California. *Reviews in Fisheries Science* **18**(2): 211–232. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10641262.2010. 492059.

- **Glibert, PM, Capone, DG.** 1993. Mineralization and assimilation in aquatic, sediment, and wetland systems, in Knowles, R, Blackburn, TH eds., *Nitrogen isotope techniques*. Cambridge, MA: Academic Press: 243–272.
- Glibert, PM, Dugdale, RC, Wilkerson, F, Parker, AE, Alexander, J, Antell, E, Blaser, S, Johnson, A, Lee, J, Lee, T, Murasko, S, Strong, S. 2014a. Major–but rare–spring blooms in 2014 in San Francisco Bay Delta, California, a result of the long-term drought, increased residence time, and altered nutrient loads and forms. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **460**: 8–18. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/j.jembe.2014.06.001.
- Glibert, PM, Wilkerson, FP, Dugdale, RC, Parker, AE, Alexander, J, Blaser, S, Murasko, S. 2014b. Phytoplankton communities from San Francisco Bay Delta respond differently to oxidized and reduced nitrogen substrates - even under conditions that would otherwise suggest nitrogen sufficiency. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **1**. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/ fmars.2014.00017.
- Glibert, PM, Wilkerson, FP, Dugdale, RC, Raven, JA, Dupont, CL, Leavitt, PR, Parker, AE, Burkholder, JM, Kana, TM. 2016. Pluses and minuses of ammonium and nitrate uptake and assimilation by phytoplankton and implications for productivity and community composition, with emphasis on nitrogen-enriched conditions. *Limnology and Oceanography* **61**(1): 165–197. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10. 1002/lno.10203.
- **Grasshoff, J, Kremling, J, Ehrhardt, M**. 1999. *Methods of seawater analysis, third edition*. Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH.
- Hammock, BG, Moose, SP, Solis, SS, Goharian, E, Teh, SJ. 2019. Hydrodynamic modeling coupled with long-term field data provide evidence for suppression of phytoplankton by invasive clams and freshwater exports in the San Francisco Estuary. *Environmental Management* **63**(6): 703–717. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00267-019-01159-6.
- He, QH, Qiao, DR, Zhang, QL, Li, Y, Xu, H, Wei, L, Gu, Y, Cao, Y. 2004. Cloning and expression study of a putative high-affinity nitrate transporter gene from *Dunaliella salina. Journal of Applied Phycology* 16(5): 395–400. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B: JAPH.0000047950.76549.ce.
- Hillebrand, H, Durselen, CD, Kirschtel, D, Pollingher, U, Zohary, T. 1999. Biovolume calculation for pelagic and benthic microalgae. *Journal of Phycology* 35(2): 403–424. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j. 1529-8817.1999.3520403.x.
- Holmes, RM, Aminot, A, Kerouel, R, Hooker, BA, Peterson, BJ. 1999. A simple and precise method for measuring ammonium in marine and freshwater

ecosystems. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **56**(10): 1801–1808. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-56-10-1801.

- Holm-Hansen, O, Lorenzen, CJ, Holmes, RW, Strickland, JDH. 1965. Fluorometric determination of chlorophyll. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **30**(1): 3–15. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ icesjms/30.1.3.
- Jassby, AD, Cloern, JE, Cole, BE. 2002. Annual primary production: Patterns and mechanisms of change in a nutrient-rich tidal ecosystem. *Limnology and Oceanography* **47**(3): 698–712. DOI: http://dx.doi. org/10.4319/lo.2002.47.3.0698.
- **Kimmerer, WJ**. 2006. Response of anchovies dampens effects of the invasive bivalve *Corbula amurensis* on the San Francisco Estuary foodweb. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **324**: 207–218. DOI: http://dx. doi.org/10.3354/meps324207.
- Kolber, ZS, Prasil, O, Falkowski, PG. 1998. Measurements of variable chlorophyll fluorescence using fast repetition rate techniques: Defining methodology and experimental protocols. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta-Bioenergetics* **1367**: 88–106. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0005-2728(98) 00135-2.
- Kraus, TEC, O'Donnell, K, Downing, BD, Burau, JR, Bergamaschi, BA. 2017. Using paired in situ high frequency nitrate measurements to better understand controls on nitrate concentrations and estimate nitrification rates in a wastewater-impacted river. Water Resources Research 53: 8423–8442. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2017WR020670.
- Levasseur, M, Therriault, JC, Legendre, L. 1984. Hierarchical control of phytoplankton succession by physical factors. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **19**(3): 211–222. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/ meps019211.
- **Lomas, MW, Glibert, PM**. 1999. Interactions between NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake and assimilation: Comparison of diatoms and dinoflagellates at several growth temperatures. *Marine Biology* **133**: 541–551. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002270050494.
- Lopez, CB, Cloern, JE, Schraga, TS, Little, AJ, Lucas, LV, Thompson, JK, Burau, JR. 2006. Ecological values of shallow-water habitats: Implications for the restoration of disturbed ecosystems. *Ecosystems* 9: 422–440. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10021-005-0113-7.
- Lucas, LV, Cloern, JE, Thompson, JK, Monsen, NE. 2002. Functional variability of habitats within the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta: Restoration implications. *Ecological Applications* **12**:1528–1547. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/ES12-00251.1.
- MacIntyre, HL, Kana, TM, Anning, T, Geider, RJ. 2002. Photoacclimation of photosynthesis irradiance response curves and photosynthetic pigments in microalgae and cyanobacteria. *Journal of Phycology* 38(1): 17–38. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j. 1529-8817.2002.00094.x.

- **Margalef, R**. 1978. Life-forms of phytoplankton as survival alternatives in an unstable environment. *Oceanologica Acta* **1**(4): 493–509.
- McCarthy, JJ, Taylor, WR, Taft, JL. 1977. Nitrogenous nutrition of phytoplankton in Chesapeake Bay. 1. Nutrient availability and phytoplankton preferences. *Limnology and Oceanography* **22**(6): 996–1011. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4319/lo.1977.22.6.0996.
- McNabb, CD. 1966. Enumeration of freshwater phytoplankton concentrated on the membrane filter. *Limnology and Oceanography* **5**(1): 57–61. DOI: http:// dx.doi.org/10.4319/lo.1960.5.1.0057.
- Novick, E, Senn, DB. 2014. External nutrient loads to San Francisco Bay. Richmond, CA: San Francisco Estuary Institute. Contribution No. 704.
- Ohmori, M, Ohmori, K, Strotmann, H. 1977. Inhibition of nitrate uptake by ammonium in a blue-greenalga. *Anabaena cylindica. Archives of Microbiology* 114(3): 225–229. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ bf00446866.
- Parker, AE, Dugdale, RC, Wilkerson, FP. 2012. Elevated ammonium concentrations from wastewater discharge depress primary productivity in the Sacramento River and the Northern San Francisco Estuary. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **64**(3): 574–586. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.12.016.
- Richardson, K, Bendtsen, J, Kragh, T, Mousing, EA. 2016. Constraining the distribution of photosynthetic parameters in the global ocean. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **3**. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/ fmars.2016.00269.
- Schemel, LE. 1980. Salinity, alkalinity, and dissolved and particulate organic carbon in the Sacramento River Water at Rio Vista, California, and at other locations in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Investigations Report 83–4059. Sacramento, CA: USGS.
- Senn, D, Novick, E. 2014. Suisun Bay ammonium synthesis report. Richmond, CA: San Francisco Estuary Institute. Contribution No. 706.

- Sinha, E, Michalak, AM, Balaji, V. 2017. Eutrophication will increase during the 21st century as a result of precipitation changes. *Science* **357**(6349): 405–408. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aan2409.
- Sobota, DJ, Harrison, JA, Dahlgren, RA. 2009. Influences of climate, hydrology, and land use on input and export of nitrogen in California watersheds. *Biogeochemistry* **94**: 43–62. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10. 1007/s10533-009-9307-y.
- Sommer, T, Armor, C, Baxter, R, Breuer, R, Brown, L, Chotkowski, M, Culberson, S, Feyrer, F, Gingras, M, Herbold, B, Kimmerer, W, Mueller-Solger, A, Nobriga, M, Souza, K. 2007. The collapse of pelagic fishes in the Upper San Francisco Estuary. *Fisheries* 32(6): 270–277. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1577/ 1548-8446(2007)32[270:tcopfi]2.0.co;2.
- Song, B, Ward, BB. 2007. Molecular cloning and characterization of high-affinity nitrate transporters in marine phytoplankton. *Journal of Phycology* 43(3): 542–552. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2007.00352.x.
- Stumpner, EB, Bergamaschi, BA, Kraus, TEC, Parker, AE, Wilkerson, FP, Downing, BD, Dugdale, RC, Murrell, MC, Carpenter, KD, Orlando, JL, Kendall, C. 2020. Spatial variability of phytoplankton in a shallow tidal freshwater system reveals complex controls on abundance and community structure. *Science of the Total Environment* **700**. DOI: http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134392.
- **Thompson, JK**. 2005. One estuary, one invasion, two responses: Phytoplankton and benthic community dynamics determine the effect of an estuarine invasive suspension-feeder, in Dame, R, Olenin, S eds., *The comparative roles of suspension-feeders in ecosystems*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Springer: 291–316 (NATO Science Series: IV: Earth and Environmental Sciences; vol. 47).
- Towns, TG. 1986. Determination of aqueous phosphate by ascorbic-acid reduction of phosphmolybdic acid. *Analytical Chemistry* **58**(1): 223–229. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ac00292a054.

**How to cite this article:** Strong, AL, Mills, MM, Huang, IB, van Dijken, GL, Driscoll, SE, Berg, GM, Kudela, RM, Monismith, SG, Francis, CA, Arrigo, KR. 2020. Response of lower Sacramento River phytoplankton to high-ammonium wastewater effluent. *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene* 9(1). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2021.040

Domain Editor-in-Chief: Jody W. Deming, School of Oceanography, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

Associate Editor: Julie E. Keister, School of Oceanography, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

Knowledge Domain: Ocean Science

Published: February 02, 2021 Accepted: November 13, 2020 Submitted: April 10, 2020

**Copyright:** © 2021 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



*Elem Sci Anth* is a peer-reviewed open access journal published by University of California Press.

