

Executive Summary

- California Department of Water Resources. 2018. *Climate Action Plan Phase 2: Climate Change Analysis Guidance*. Prepared by Andrew, J., A. Schwarz, and W. Arnold. Available: <https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/All-Programs/Climate-Change-Program/Climate-Action-Plan/Files/CAPII-Climate-Change-Analysis-Guidance.pdf>. Accessed: August 5, 2021.
- California Department of Water Resources. 2020a. *California Department of Water Resources Climate Action Plan Phase 1: Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan, Update 2020*. July. Sacramento, CA.
- California Department of Water Resources. 2020b. *Climate Action Plan Phase III: Climate Change Adaptation Plan*. July 28. Available: https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/All-Programs/Climate-Change-Program/Climate-Action-Plan/Files/Adaptation_Plan.pdf. Accessed: August 25, 2021.
- California Natural Resources Agency, California Environmental Protection Agency, and California Department of Food and Agriculture. 2020. *California Water Resilience Portfolio*. Final. CA. Available: https://waterresilience.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Final_California-Water-Resilience-Portfolio-2020_ADA3_v2_ay11-opt.pdf. Accessed: May 5, 2021.
- Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- Delta Protection Commission. 2012. *Economic Sustainability Plan for the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta*. Final. January 19, 2012. Prepared by University of the Pacific EPS. Available: https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Final_ESP_w_Appendices_2012.pdf. Accessed: February 17, 2021.
- Delta Protection Commission. 2020. *The State of Delta Agriculture: Economic Impact, Conservation and Trends*. February 3, 2020. Available: <http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Ag-ESP-update-agricultural-trends-FINAL-508.pdf>. Accessed: November 17, 2020.
- Delta Stewardship Council. 2021. *Delta Adapts: Creating a Climate Resilient Future – Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment*. Prepared by Henderson, J., H.L. Ross, A. Schwarz, A. Livengood, A. Keeley, D. Chapple, C. Copeland, K. Griffith, D. Constable, E. Mullin, A. Merritt, and M. Williams. Available: <https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/council-meeting/meeting-materials/2021-6-26-june-2021-Delta-Adapts-Vulnerability-Assessment.pdf>. Accessed: August 12, 2021.

1 Public Policy Institute of California. 2007. *Envisioning Futures for the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta*.
2 Available: [https://www.ppic.org/publication/envisioning-futures-for-the-sacramento-san-](https://www.ppic.org/publication/envisioning-futures-for-the-sacramento-san-joaquin-delta/)
3 [joaquin-delta/](https://www.ppic.org/publication/envisioning-futures-for-the-sacramento-san-joaquin-delta/). Accessed: December 27, 2021.

4 Chapter 1, Introduction

5 Ballotpedia. 2022. *California Proposition 9, the Peripheral Canal Act (June 1982)*. Available:
6 [https://ballotpedia.org/California Proposition 9, the Peripheral Canal Act \(June 1982\)](https://ballotpedia.org/California_Proposition_9,_the_Peripheral_Canal_Act_(June_1982)).
7 Accessed: January 28, 2022.

8 Bureau of Reclamation. 1990–2021. Central Valley Project Monthly Water Delivery Tables for Years
9 1990–2021, Table 30. Available: <https://usbr.gov/mp/CVO/deliv.html>. Accessed: December 12,
10 2021.

11 Bureau of Reclamation. 2011. *SECURE Water Act Section 9503(c)—Reclamation Climate Change and*
12 *Water 2011*. April. Available: [http://www.usbr.gov/climate/SECURE/docs/](http://www.usbr.gov/climate/SECURE/docs/SECUREWaterReport.pdf)
13 [SECUREWaterReport.pdf](http://www.usbr.gov/climate/SECURE/docs/SECUREWaterReport.pdf). Accessed: August 11, 2021.

14 Bureau of Reclamation and California Department of Water Resources. 2005. *Draft Environmental*
15 *Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report South Delta Improvements Program*. Prepared
16 for the California Resources Agency, Sacramento, CA.

17 CALFED Bay-Delta Program. 2000. *Programmatic Record of Decision*. Volume 1. August 28. Available:
18 <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=5075>. Accessed: January 25, 2022.

19 CALFED Bay-Delta Program. 2006. *10-Year Action Plan*. April.

20 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2022. *California Fish Passage Assessment Database*. BIOS
21 viewer. Available: <https://apps.wildlife.ca.gov/bios/?al=ds69>. Accessed: January 28, 2022.

22 California Department of Water Resources. 1965. *Bulletin No. 151-65. Water Progress in California.*
23 *July 1, 1962–June 30, 1965*. October.

24 California Department of Water Resources. 1974a. *Bulletin No. 200. California State Water Project.*
25 *Volume I: History, Planning, and Early Progress*. November.

26 California Department of Water Resources. 1974b. *Summary of Draft Environmental Impact Report*
27 *Peripheral Canal Project*. August. Available: [https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Summary-of-draft-EIR-peripheral-canal.pdf)
28 [2020/11/Summary-of-draft-EIR-peripheral-canal.pdf](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Summary-of-draft-EIR-peripheral-canal.pdf). Accessed: February 25, 2022.

29 California Department of Water Resources. 1981. *Bulletin No. 76-81. State Water Project—Status of*
30 *Water Conservation and Water Supply Augmentation Plans*. November.

31 California Department of Water Resources. 2002. Appendix D, SWP Historical Deliveries (1967–
32 2002). In *The State Water Project Delivery Reliability Report 2002*. Sacramento, CA.

33 California Department of Water Resources. 2008. Appendix D, Recent State Water Project Deliveries.
34 In *The State Water Project Delivery Reliability Report 2007*. Sacramento, CA.

35 California Department of Water Resources. 2009a. *Using Future Climate Projections to Support Water*
36 *Resources Decision Making in California*. May.

- 1 California Department of Water Resources. 2009b. *Delta Risk Management Strategy*. February.
2 Sacramento, CA. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/
3 programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/SJRECWA/sjrecwa_3.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/SJRECWA/sjrecwa_3.pdf). Accessed:
4 August 17, 2021.
- 5 California Department of Water Resources. 2009c. *The State Water Project Delivery Reliability Report*
6 *2009*. Draft. December. Available:
7 [file:///C:/Users/28495/Desktop/DCP_Ch1+3_RefPDFs_Temp/ApxF4_3_14_DWR2009Reliability
8 Report_1-26-10.pdf](file:///C:/Users/28495/Desktop/DCP_Ch1+3_RefPDFs_Temp/ApxF4_3_14_DWR2009ReliabilityReport_1-26-10.pdf). Accessed: June 1, 2022.
- 9 California Department of Water Resources. 2013. *Bay Delta Conservation Plan*. Public Draft.
10 November. Sacramento, CA. Prepared by ICF International (ICF 00343.12). Sacramento, CA.
- 11 California Department of Water Resources. 2017. *2017 Flood System Status Report*. August.
12 Available: [https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/2017FSSR-Compiled-
13 Aug2017-Excerpt.pdf](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/2017FSSR-Compiled-Aug2017-Excerpt.pdf). Accessed: August 6, 2021.
- 14 California Department of Water Resources. 2020. *The State Water Project Delivery Capability Report*
15 *2019*. Available: https://www.ccwa.com/files/75a926999/2019_final_dcr_DWR.pdf. Accessed:
16 August 22, 2021.
- 17 California Department of Water Resources. 2021a. *California State Water Project Bulletin 132-2018*.
18 January. Available: [https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/
19 State-Water-Project/Management/Bulletin-132/Bulletin-132/Files/Bulletin132-18.pdf](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/State-Water-Project/Management/Bulletin-132/Bulletin-132/Files/Bulletin132-18.pdf).
20 Accessed: November 16, 2021.
- 21 California Department of Water Resources. 2021b. *Delta Levees Special Flood Control Projects,*
22 *Program History*. Available: [https://water.ca.gov/Work-With-Us/Grants-And-Loans/Delta-
23 Levees-Special-Flood-Control-Projects#6c64cd1b-4005-41ec-8f5a-f4af29c28041](https://water.ca.gov/Work-With-Us/Grants-And-Loans/Delta-Levees-Special-Flood-Control-Projects#6c64cd1b-4005-41ec-8f5a-f4af29c28041). Accessed:
24 August 17, 2021.
- 25 California Department of Water Resources. 2021c. *California State Water Project Overview*.
26 Available: <http://www.water.ca.gov/swp/>. Accessed: August 5, 2021.
- 27 California Department of Water Resources. 2021d. *Amended Proposed Project for the Delta*
28 *Conveyance Project: Department of the Army Permit Application SPK-2019-00899*. Letter to Mr.
29 Michael Jewell, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
- 30 California Department of Water Resources. 2022a. *SWP Facilities*. [https://water.ca.gov/Programs/
31 State-Water-Project/SWP-Facilities](https://water.ca.gov/Programs/State-Water-Project/SWP-Facilities). Accessed: January 27, 2022.
- 32 California Department of Water Resources. 2022b. *California EcoRestore*. Available:
33 <https://water.ca.gov/Programs/All-Programs/EcoRestore>. Accessed: April 7, 2022.
- 34 California Department of Water Resources and Bureau of Reclamation. 2016. Chapter 1,
35 Introduction. In *Final EIR/EIS Bay Delta Conservation Plan/California WaterFix*. Sacramento, CA.
- 36 California Department of Water Resources and State Water Contractor Public Water Agencies. 2020.
37 Attachment 1: Final White Paper. *Agreement in Principle for the State Water Project Water*
38 *Supply Contract Amendment on a Delta Conveyance Project*. DCP Negotiation #142. April 30.
39 Sacramento, CA.

- 1 California Department of Water Resources and State Water Contractor Public Water Agencies. 2021.
2 *Agreement in Principle for the State Water Project Water Supply Contract Amendment on a Delta*
3 *Conveyance Project*. DCP Negotiation #152. Final AIP. March 29. Sacramento, CA.
- 4 California Natural Resources Agency. 2008. *Delta Vision Strategic Plan*. October.
- 5 California Natural Resources Agency. 2020. *California Water Resilience Portfolio*. July. Available:
6 [https://resources.ca.gov/-/media/CNRA-Website/Files/Initiatives/Water-Resilience/](https://resources.ca.gov/-/media/CNRA-Website/Files/Initiatives/Water-Resilience/Final_California-Water-Resilience-Portfolio-2020_ADA3_v2_ay11-opt.pdf)
7 [Final_California-Water-Resilience-Portfolio-2020_ADA3_v2_ay11-opt.pdf](https://resources.ca.gov/-/media/CNRA-Website/Files/Initiatives/Water-Resilience/Final_California-Water-Resilience-Portfolio-2020_ADA3_v2_ay11-opt.pdf). Accessed: July 30,
8 2021.
- 9 California Natural Resources Agency and Ocean Protection Council. 2018. *State of California Sea-*
10 *Level Guidance: 2018 Update*. Available: [https://opc.ca.gov/webmaster/ftp/pdf/agenda_items/](https://opc.ca.gov/webmaster/ftp/pdf/agenda_items/20180314/Item3_Exhibit-A_OPC_SLR_Guidance-rd3.pdf)
11 [20180314/Item3_Exhibit-A_OPC_SLR_Guidance-rd3.pdf](https://opc.ca.gov/webmaster/ftp/pdf/agenda_items/20180314/Item3_Exhibit-A_OPC_SLR_Guidance-rd3.pdf). Accessed: June 21, 2021.
- 12 Congressional Research Service. 2005. *CALFED Bay-Delta Program: Overview of Institutional and*
13 *Water Use Issues*. RL31975. February. Available:
14 <https://www.everycrsreport.com/reports/RL31975.html>. Accessed: January 25, 2022.
- 15 Congressional Research Service. 2015. *California Drought: Hydrological and Regulatory Water Supply*
16 *Issues*. Available: <https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R40979/9>. Accessed: August
17 22, 2021.
- 18 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2018. *Joint Powers Agreement forming the*
19 *Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Joint Powers Authority*. Effective May 14, 2018.
20 Available: [https://www.dcdca.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/DCA-JPA-2018-05-14-EXMA-](https://www.dcdca.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/DCA-JPA-2018-05-14-EXMA-JPA-Formation.pdf)
21 [JPA-Formation.pdf](https://www.dcdca.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/DCA-JPA-2018-05-14-EXMA-JPA-Formation.pdf). Accessed: April 7, 2022.
- 22 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
23 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 24 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
25 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 26 Delta Protection Commission. 2012. *Economic Sustainability Plan for the Sacramento-San Joaquin*
27 *Delta*. Final. January 19, 2012. Prepared by University of the Pacific EPS. Available:
28 [https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Final_ESP_w_Appendices_2012.pdf)
29 [Final_ESP_w_Appendices_2012.pdf](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Final_ESP_w_Appendices_2012.pdf). Accessed: February 17, 2021.
- 30 Delta Protection Commission. 2020. *The State of Delta Agriculture: Economic Impact, Conservation*
31 *and Trends*. February 3, 2020. Available: [http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/](http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Ag-ESP-update-agricultural-trends-FINAL-508.pdf)
32 [Ag-ESP-update-agricultural-trends-FINAL-508.pdf](http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Ag-ESP-update-agricultural-trends-FINAL-508.pdf). Accessed: November 17, 2020.
- 33 Delta Stewardship Council. 2013a. *The Delta Plan*. Originally published September 1, 2013;
34 Amended April 2018, July 2019, March 2020. Sacramento, CA. Available:
35 <http://www.deltacouncil.ca.gov/delta-plan/>. Accessed: June 21, 2021.
- 36 Delta Stewardship Council. 2013b. Appendix D, Administrative Procedures Governing Appeals,
37 Statutory Provisions Requiring Other Consistency Reviews, and Other Forms of Review or
38 Evaluation by the Council. In *The Delta Plan*. Available: <https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/delta-plan/>.
39 Accessed: March 3, 2022.

- 1 Delta Stewardship Council. 2018. Chapter 3. In *The Delta Plan*. Originally published September 1,
2 2013; Amended April 26, 2018. Available: <http://www.deltacouncil.ca.gov/delta-plan/>.
3 Accessed: August 18, 2021.
- 4 Delta Stewardship Council. 2020. Chapter 7. In *The Delta Plan*. Originally published September 1,
5 2013; Amended March 2020. Available: [https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/
6 2020-03-10-amended-chapter-7.pdf](https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2020-03-10-amended-chapter-7.pdf). Accessed: August 18, 2021.
- 7 Delta Stewardship Council. 2021a. *Delta Adapts: Creating a Climate Resilient Future – Sacramento--*
8 *San Joaquin Delta Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment*. Prepared by Henderson, J., H. L. Ross,
9 A. Schwarz, A. Livengood, A. Keeley, D. Chapple, C. Copeland, K. Griffith, D. Constable, E. Mullin, A.
10 Merritt, and M. Williams. Available: [https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/council-meeting/meeting-
11 materials/2021-6-26-June-2021-Delta-Adapts-Vulnerability-Assessment.pdf](https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/council-meeting/meeting-materials/2021-6-26-June-2021-Delta-Adapts-Vulnerability-Assessment.pdf). Accessed: January
12 27, 2022.
- 13 Delta Stewardship Council. 2021b. Technical Memorandum Water Supply. In *Delta Adapts: Creating*
14 *a Climate Resilient Future*. May. Available: [https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/
15 2021-06-16-water-supply-technical-memorandum.pdf](https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-06-16-water-supply-technical-memorandum.pdf). Accessed: August 4, 2021.
- 16 Delta Stewardship Council. 2021c. Technical Memorandum Flood Hazard Assessment. In *Delta*
17 *Adapts: Climate Resilience Future*. June. Available: [https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-
18 plan/2021-06-17-flood-hazard-assessment-technical-memorandum.pdf](https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-06-17-flood-hazard-assessment-technical-memorandum.pdf). Accessed: August 4,
19 2021.
- 20 Delta Vision Committee. 2008. *Delta Vision Committee Implementation Report Summary*
21 *Recommendation to the Governor*. Available: [https://resources.ca.gov/CNRALegacyFiles/docs/
22 Delta_Vision_Committee_Implementation_Report.pdf](https://resources.ca.gov/CNRALegacyFiles/docs/Delta_Vision_Committee_Implementation_Report.pdf). Accessed: June 22, 2021.
- 23 Deverel, S. J., S. Bachand, S. J. Brandenberg, C. E. Jones, J. P. Stewart, and P. Zimmaro. 2016. Factors
24 and Processes Affecting Delta Levee System Vulnerability. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed*
25 *Science* 14(4). Available: <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/36t9s0mp>. Accessed: August 6,
26 2021.
- 27 Ehsani, Nima, Charles J. Vörösmarty, Balázs M. Fekete, and Eugene Z. Stakhiv. 2017. Reservoir
28 operations under climate change: Storage capacity options to mitigate risk. *Journal of Hydrology*
29 555 (2017):435–446. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.09.008>.
- 30 Finch, M. 1985. Earthquake Damage in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, Sacramento and San
31 Joaquin Counties. *California Geology*.
- 32 Fleenor, W. E, E. Hanak, J. R. Lund, J. R. Mount. 2008. Technical Appendix C: Delta Hydrodynamics
33 and Water Salinity with Future Conditions. Appendix to Lund, et al. 2008, *Comparing Futures for*
34 *the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta*. San Francisco: Public Policy Institute of California.
- 35 Herren, J. R., and S. S. Kawasaki. 2001. Inventory of Water Diversions in Four Geographic Areas in
36 California’s Central Valley. In R. L. Brown, (ed.), *Contributions to Biology of Central Valley*
37 *Salmonids, Vol. 2*. California Department of Fish and Game. *Fish Bulletin* 179:343–355.
- 38 Interagency Delta Committee. 1963. *Report to Interagency Delta Committee on Coordination of Delta*
39 *Planning*. Prepared by Coordination of Delta Planning Subcommittee. Adopted July 10.

- 1 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2021. *IPCC Press Release. Climate Change Widespread,*
2 *Rapid, and Intensifying - IPCC.* August 9, 2021. Available: [https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/](https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2021/08/IPCC_WGI-AR6-Press-Release_en.pdf)
3 [uploads/2021/08/IPCC_WGI-AR6-Press-Release_en.pdf](https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2021/08/IPCC_WGI-AR6-Press-Release_en.pdf). Accessed 6/9/2022.
- 4 Lund, J., E. Hanak, W. Fleenor, R. Howitt, J. Mount, and P. Moyle. 2007. *Envisioning Futures for the*
5 *Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta.* San Francisco, CA: Public Policy Institute of California. February.
- 6 MBK Engineers & HDR. 2013. *Retrospective Analysis of Changed Central Valley Project and State*
7 *Water Project Conditions Due to Changes in Delta Regulations.* January.
- 8 National Research Council. 2012. *Sea-Level Rise for the Coasts of California, Oregon, and Washington:*
9 *Past, Present, and Future.* July. Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press.
- 10 Price, R. C. 1965. *Some Decisions in the State’s Development of California’s Waters during the 1960’s.*
11 *Public Administration Review.* Available: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/974019>. Accessed:
12 August 22, 2021.
- 13 Santa Clara Valley Water. 2022. *State Water Project.* Available: [https://www.valleywater.org/your-](https://www.valleywater.org/your-water/where-your-water-comes/imported-water/state-water-project)
14 [water/where-your-water-comes/imported-water/state-water-project](https://www.valleywater.org/your-water/where-your-water-comes/imported-water/state-water-project). Accessed June 9, 2022.
- 15 Schwarzenegger, Arnold. 2008. Letter to State Senators Perata, Machado, and Steinberg. February
16 28. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/hot_topics/20x2020/docs/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/hot_topics/20x2020/docs/govltr_to_legislature022808.pdf)
17 [govltr_to_legislature022808.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/hot_topics/20x2020/docs/govltr_to_legislature022808.pdf). Accessed: February 10, 2022.
- 18 Southern California Water Committee. 2015. Press Release. *Governor Brown Announces New*
19 *‘California WaterFix’, ‘California EcoRestore’ Plans.* April 30. Available:
20 [http://www.socalwater.org/wp-content/uploads/scwc-governor-delta-press-conference-](http://www.socalwater.org/wp-content/uploads/scwc-governor-delta-press-conference-43015_45280.pdf)
21 [43015_45280.pdf](http://www.socalwater.org/wp-content/uploads/scwc-governor-delta-press-conference-43015_45280.pdf). Accessed: January 28, 2022.
- 22 State of California. 1991. *Delta-Estuary: California’s Inland Coast.* A Public Trust Report. Prepared for
23 the California State Lands Commission. May.
- 24 State of California. 2021. Executive Department State of California Proclamation of a State of
25 Emergency. October 19. Available: [https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/](https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/10.19.21-Drought-SOE-1.pdf)
26 [10/10.19.21-Drought-SOE-1.pdf](https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/10.19.21-Drought-SOE-1.pdf). Accessed: January 24, 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 27 Tsai, Y. 2018. *Characterizing Seismic Performance of Levees on Peaty Organic Soils from Case Histories*
28 *and Simulations.* PhD dissertation. University of California, Los Angeles. Los Angeles, CA.
29 Available: <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2wg7b13s>. Accessed: June 9, 2022.
- 30 Torres, R. A., N. A. Abrahamson, F. N. Brovold, G. Cosio, M. W. Driller, L. F. Harder Jr., N. D. Marachi, C.
31 H. Neudeck, L. Moquette O’Leary, M. Ramsbotham, and R. B. Seet. 2000. *Seismic Vulnerability of*
32 *the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Levees.* Report of Levees and Channels Technical Team,
33 Seismic Vulnerability Sub-Team to CALFED Bay-Delta Program. April.
- 34 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2021. *Section 408.* Available:
35 <https://www.usace.army.mil/Missions/Civil-Works/Section408/>. Accessed: August 8, 2021.
- 36 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1992. *CWA Section 404(q): Memorandum of Agreement*
37 *between EPA and Department of the Army (Text).* Available: [https://www.epa.gov/cwa-](https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/cwa-section-404q-memorandum-agreement-between-epa-and-department-army-text)
38 [404/cwa-section-404q-memorandum-agreement-between-epa-and-department-army-text](https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/cwa-section-404q-memorandum-agreement-between-epa-and-department-army-text).
39 Accessed: June 8, 2022.

- 1 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2017. *Climate Impacts in the Southwest*. Available:
2 [https://19january2017snapshot.epa.gov/climate-impacts/climate-impacts-](https://19january2017snapshot.epa.gov/climate-impacts/climate-impacts-southwest.html#Reference%203)
3 [southwest.html#Reference%203](https://19january2017snapshot.epa.gov/climate-impacts/climate-impacts-southwest.html#Reference%203). Accessed: June 21, 2021.
- 4 U.S. Geological Survey. 2016. *Earthquake Outlook for the San Francisco Bay Region 2014–2043*. Fact
5 Sheet 2016–3020. Revised August 2016 (ver. 1.1). Available:
6 <https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2016/3020/fs20163020.pdf>. Accessed: June 16, 2021.
- 7 U.S. Global Change Research Program. 2014. Garfin, G., G. Franco, H. Blanco, A. Comrie, P. Gonzalez,
8 T. Piechota, R. Smyth, and R. Waskom, 2014: *Ch. 20: Southwest. Climate Change Impacts in the*
9 *United States: The Third National Climate Assessment*, J. M. Melillo, Terese (T.C.) Richmond, and
10 G. W. Yohe, Eds., U.S. Global Change Research Program, 462–486.
- 11 Water Education Foundation. 2021. *Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Salinity*. Available:
12 <https://www.watereducation.org/aquapedia/sacramento-san-joaquin-delta-and-salinity>.
13 Accessed: August 17, 2021.

14 Chapter 2, Purpose and Project Objectives

- 15 California Natural Resources Agency. 2020. *California Water Resilience Portfolio*. July. Available:
16 <https://resources.ca.gov/Initiatives/Building-Water-Resilience/portfolio>. Accessed: January 24,
17 2022.

18 Chapter 3, Description of the Proposed Project and 19 Alternatives

- 20 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020. *California Endangered Species Act Incidental Take*
21 *Permit No. 2081-2019-066-00. Long-Term Operation of the State Water Project in the Sacramento*
22 *San Joaquin Delta*. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Fish and Game, Ecosystem
23 Conservation Division.
- 24 California Department of Water Resources. 2020a. *Memorandum: Preliminary Flood Water Surface*
25 *Elevations (Not for Construction)*. September 30. Prepared by Delta Conveyance Office,
26 Sacramento, CA.
- 27 California Department of Water Resources. 2020b. *Soil Investigations for Data Collection in the Delta.*
28 *Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration*. SCH# 2019119073. July. Sacramento, CA.
- 29 California Department of Water Resources. 2020c. *Addendum to the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative*
30 *Declaration for Soil Investigations for Data Collection in the Delta*. February. SCH #: 2019119073
31 Sacramento, CA.
- 32 del Rosario, R. B., Y. J. Redler, K. Newman, P. L. Brandes, T. Sommer, K. Reece, and R. Vincik. 2013.
33 Migration patterns of juvenile winter-run-sized Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*)
34 through the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science*
35 11(1):24. DOI: <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/36d88128>.

- 1 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
2 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 3 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
4 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 5 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022c. Potential Road Access Routes (Final
6 Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment F: Potential Road Access Routes, *Volume 1: Delta*
7 *Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 22.
8 Sacramento, CA.
- 9 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022d. Flood Risk Management (Final Draft).
10 Technical Memorandum. In Attachment H: Other Sitewide Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta*
11 *Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022.
12 Sacramento, CA.
- 13 Delta Stewardship Council. 2015. Appendix 1b. In *Delta Plan*. Available:
14 <https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2015-appendix-1b.pdf>. Accessed: June 27, 2022.
- 15 National Marine Fisheries Service. 2019. *Biological Opinion on the Long-term Operation of the Central*
16 *Valley Project and State Water Project*. October 21. National Marine Fisheries Service, West Coast
17 Region.
- 18 Reinert, E., J. P. Stewart, R. E. S. Moss, and S. J. Brandenberg. 2014. Dynamic Response of a Model
19 Levee on Sherman Island Peat: A Curated Data Set. *Earthquake Spectra* 30(2):639–656.
- 20 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2019. *Biological Opinion for the Reinitiation of Consultation on the Long*
21 *Term Coordinated Operations of the Central Valley Project and State Water Project*. October 21.
22 Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

23 Chapter 4, Framework for the Environmental Analysis

- 24 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
25 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 26 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
27 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.

28 Chapter 5, Surface Water

- 29 Bureau of Reclamation. 2013. *Draft Hydrology, Hydraulics, and Water Management Technical Report*.
30 Available: https://www.usbr.gov/mp/nepa/includes/documentShow.php?Doc_ID=14126.
31 Accessed: April 1, 2021.
- 32 Bureau of Reclamation. 2019. *Reinitiation of Consultation on the Coordinated Long-Term Operation of*
33 *the Central Valley Project and State Water Project*. Appendix H: Water Supply Technical
34 Appendix. December. Available: [https://www.usbr.gov/mp/nepa/includes/](https://www.usbr.gov/mp/nepa/includes/documentShow.php?Doc_ID=41721)
35 [documentShow.php?Doc_ID=41721](https://www.usbr.gov/mp/nepa/includes/documentShow.php?Doc_ID=41721). Accessed: January 10, 2022.

- 1 Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and California Department of Fish and Game.
2 1999. *Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement Central Valley Project Improvement*
3 *Act*. October. Page III-5 Accessed: March 18, 2021.
- 4 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020. California Endangered Species Act. Incidental Take
5 Permit No. 2081-2019-066-00. March. Available: [https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/State-Water-Project/Files/ITP-for-Long-Term-SWP-](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/State-Water-Project/Files/ITP-for-Long-Term-SWP-Operations.pdf)
6 [Operations.pdf](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/State-Water-Project/Files/ITP-for-Long-Term-SWP-Operations.pdf). Accessed: January 10, 2022.
- 7
8 California Department of Water Resources. 2019. *California Water Plan—Update 2018*. June 2019.
9 Available: [https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/California-Water-Plan/Docs/Update2018/Final/California-Water-Plan-Update-2018.pdf)
10 [California-Water-Plan/Docs/Update2018/Final/California-Water-Plan-Update-2018.pdf](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/California-Water-Plan/Docs/Update2018/Final/California-Water-Plan-Update-2018.pdf).
11 Accessed: March 11, 2021.
- 12 California Department of Water Resources. 2020. *California Water Resilience Portfolio*. July 2020.
13 Available: [https://waterresilience.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Final_California-](https://waterresilience.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Final_California-Water-Resilience-Portfolio-2020_ADA3_v2_ay11-opt.pdf)
14 [Water-Resilience-Portfolio-2020_ADA3_v2_ay11-opt.pdf](https://waterresilience.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Final_California-Water-Resilience-Portfolio-2020_ADA3_v2_ay11-opt.pdf). Accessed: August 10, 2021.
- 15 Delta Stewardship Council. 2018. Chapter 3. In *Delta Plan*. Amended April 2018. Available:
16 <https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2018-04-26-amended-chapter-3.pdf>. Accessed:
17 January 10, 2022.
- 18 Delta Stewardship Council. 2021. *Delta Adapts: Creating a Climate Resilient Future*. June. Available:
19 [https://www.deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-06-25-delta-adapts-vulnerability-](https://www.deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-06-25-delta-adapts-vulnerability-assessment.pdf)
20 [assessment.pdf](https://www.deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-06-25-delta-adapts-vulnerability-assessment.pdf). Accessed: April 7, 2022.
- 21 National Marine Fisheries Service. 2019. *National Marine Fisheries Endangered Species Act Section 7*
22 *Biological Opinion*. October 21, 2019. Available: [https://repository.library.noaa.gov/view/noaa/](https://repository.library.noaa.gov/view/noaa/22046)
23 [22046](https://repository.library.noaa.gov/view/noaa/22046). Accessed: January 10, 2022.
- 24 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2019. *Biological Opinion for the Reinitiation of Consultation on the*
25 *Coordinated Operations of the Central Valley project and State Water Project*. Service File No.
26 08FBTD00-2019-F-0164. October 21, 2019. Available: [https://www.fws.gov/sfbaydelta/cvp-](https://www.fws.gov/sfbaydelta/cvp-swp/documents/10182019_ROC_BO_final.pdf)
27 [swp/documents/10182019_ROC_BO_final.pdf](https://www.fws.gov/sfbaydelta/cvp-swp/documents/10182019_ROC_BO_final.pdf). Accessed: January 10, 2022.
- 28 U.S. Geological Survey. 2012. *Measuring Flow: The Mater Variable*. Available:
29 https://ca.water.usgs.gov/pubs/Estuary-June2012_Measuring_Flow_Article.pdf.
30 Accessed: April 1, 2021.
- 31 Western Regional Climate Center. 2021. *Climate of California*. Available:
32 https://wrcc.dri.edu/Climate/narrative_ca.php. Accessed: March 11, 2021.

33 Chapter 6, Water Supply

- 34 Bureau of Reclamation. 2019. *Reinitiation of Consultation on the Coordinated Long-Term Operation of*
35 *the Central Valley Project and State Water Project Final Biological Assessment*. January.

- 1 Bureau of Reclamation and California Department of Water Resources. 1986. *Agreement between the*
2 *United States of America and the State of California for Coordinated Operation of the Central*
3 *Valley Project and the State Water Project*. November. Available: [https://water.ca.gov/-/media/](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/State-Water-Project/Files/Coordinated-Agreement-between-Reclamation-and-DWR-a-y20.pdf)
4 [DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/State-Water-Project/Files/Coordinated-Agreement-](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/State-Water-Project/Files/Coordinated-Agreement-between-Reclamation-and-DWR-a-y20.pdf)
5 [between-Reclamation-and-DWR a y20.pdf](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/State-Water-Project/Files/Coordinated-Agreement-between-Reclamation-and-DWR-a-y20.pdf). Accessed: August 12, 2021.
- 6 Bureau of Reclamation and Department of Water Resources. 2018. *Addendum to Agreement between*
7 *the United States of America and the Department of Water Resources of the State of California for*
8 *Coordinated Operation of the Central Valley Project and the State Water Project*. December.
9 [https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/State-Water-Project/](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/State-Water-Project/Files/Addendum-to-Coordinated-Agreement-a-y20.pdf)
10 [Files/Addendum-to-Coordinated-Agreement a y20.pdf](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/State-Water-Project/Files/Addendum-to-Coordinated-Agreement-a-y20.pdf). Accessed: August 12, 2021.
- 11 Bureau of Reclamation and Sites Project Authority. 2017. Chapter 6, Surface Water Resources. In
12 *Sites Reservoir Project Draft Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement*.
13 August.
- 14 CalDesal. 2022. *Key Focus Area – Brackish Groundwater Desalination*. Available:
15 [https://www.caldesal.org/brackish-groundwater-desalination#:~:text=Successful%20large-](https://www.caldesal.org/brackish-groundwater-desalination#:~:text=Successful%20large-scale%20brackish%20groundwater%20desalination%20projects%20have%20been,10%20million%20gallons%20of%20drinking%20water%20per%20day)
16 [scale%20brackish%20groundwater%20desalination%20projects%20have%20been,10%20mil-](https://www.caldesal.org/brackish-groundwater-desalination#:~:text=Successful%20large-scale%20brackish%20groundwater%20desalination%20projects%20have%20been,10%20million%20gallons%20of%20drinking%20water%20per%20day)
17 [lion%20gallons%20of%20drinking%20water%20per%20day](https://www.caldesal.org/brackish-groundwater-desalination#:~:text=Successful%20large-scale%20brackish%20groundwater%20desalination%20projects%20have%20been,10%20million%20gallons%20of%20drinking%20water%20per%20day). Accessed: February 24, 2022.
- 18 California Department of Finance. 2021. *Total Population Projections, 2010–2060*. Demographic
19 Research Unit. Report P-1A. Available: [https://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/](https://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/Projections/)
20 [Projections/](https://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/Projections/). Accessed: March 11, 2021.
- 21 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020. *Incidental Take Permit for the Long-Term*
22 *Operation of the State Water Project in the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta 2081-2019-066-00*.
23 March. Sacramento, CA.
- 24 California Department of Food and Agriculture. 2018. *California Agricultural Statistics Review 2017–*
25 *2018*.
- 26 California Department of Water Resources. 1980. *Ground Water Basins in California*. Bulletin 118-80.
27 January.
- 28 California Department of Water Resources. 2009. *California Water Plan Update 2009—Sacramento–*
29 *San Joaquin River Delta, Integrated Water Management*. Bulletin 160-09.
- 30 California Department of Water Resources. 2016. *Bulletin 118, Interim Update 2016. California's*
31 *Groundwater: Working Toward Sustainability*. December 22. Sacramento, CA.
- 32 California Department of Water Resources. 2018. *DWR, Local Agencies Commemorate Completion of*
33 *State Water Project's East Branch Extension*. February 22. Available: [https://water.ca.gov/](https://water.ca.gov/News/News-Releases/2018/Feb-18/DWR-Local-Agencies-Commemorate-Completion-of-State-Water-Project-East-Branch-Extension)
34 [News/News-Releases/2018/Feb-18/DWR-Local-Agencies-Commemorate-Completion-of-State-](https://water.ca.gov/News/News-Releases/2018/Feb-18/DWR-Local-Agencies-Commemorate-Completion-of-State-Water-Project-East-Branch-Extension)
35 [Water-Project-East-Branch-Extension](https://water.ca.gov/News/News-Releases/2018/Feb-18/DWR-Local-Agencies-Commemorate-Completion-of-State-Water-Project-East-Branch-Extension). Accessed: March 11, 2021.
- 36 California Department of Water Resources. 2019a. *California Water Plan—Update 2018*. June.
- 37 California Department of Water Resources. 2019b. *California Water Plan Update—Supporting*
38 *Documentation for Water Portfolios*. April.
- 39 California Department of Water Resources. 2021a. *Draft California's Groundwater Update 2020*
40 *Highlights*. March.

- 1 California Department of Water Resources. 2021b. *Alternative Water Supplies*. Available:
2 [https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Water-Use-And-Efficiency/Recycling-Desalination-](https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Water-Use-And-Efficiency/Recycling-Desalination-Stormwater-and-Graywater)
3 [Stormwater-and-Graywater](https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Water-Use-And-Efficiency/Recycling-Desalination-Stormwater-and-Graywater). Accessed: July 20, 2021.
- 4 California Department of Water Resources. 2021c. *Agricultural Water Use Efficiency*. Available:
5 <https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Water-Use-And-Efficiency/Agricultural-Water-Use-Efficiency>.
6 Accessed: March 11, 2021.
- 7 California Department of Water Resources. 2021d. *Bulletin 132-18. Management of the California*
8 *State Water Project*. January. Sacramento, CA.
- 9 California Department of Water Resources. 2021e. *California Data Exchange Center: Folsom Lake,*
10 *Monthly Reservoir Storage*. Available: [http://cdec.water.ca.gov/dynamicapp/selectQuery?](http://cdec.water.ca.gov/dynamicapp/selectQuery?Stations=FOL&SensorNums=15&dur_code=M&Start=2001-01-01&End=2021-04-23)
11 [Stations=FOL&SensorNums=15&dur_code=M&Start=2001-01-01&End=2021-04-23](http://cdec.water.ca.gov/dynamicapp/selectQuery?Stations=FOL&SensorNums=15&dur_code=M&Start=2001-01-01&End=2021-04-23). Accessed:
12 April 23, 2021.
- 13 California Department of Water Resources. 2021f. California Data Exchange Center: Lake Natoma,
14 Monthly Reservoir Storage. Available: [http://cdec.water.ca.gov/dynamicapp/](http://cdec.water.ca.gov/dynamicapp/selectQuery?Stations=NAT&SensorNums=15&dur_code=M&Start=2001-01-01&End=2021-04-23)
15 [selectQuery?Stations=NAT&SensorNums=15&dur_code=M&Start=2001-01-01&End=2021-04-](http://cdec.water.ca.gov/dynamicapp/selectQuery?Stations=NAT&SensorNums=15&dur_code=M&Start=2001-01-01&End=2021-04-23)
16 [23](http://cdec.water.ca.gov/dynamicapp/selectQuery?Stations=NAT&SensorNums=15&dur_code=M&Start=2001-01-01&End=2021-04-23). Accessed: April 23, 2021.
- 17 California Department of Water Resources. 2022. South Delta Temporary Barriers Project. Available:
18 [https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Bay-Delta/Water-Quality-And-Supply/South-Delta-Temporary-](https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Bay-Delta/Water-Quality-And-Supply/South-Delta-Temporary-Barriers-Project)
19 [Barriers-Project](https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Bay-Delta/Water-Quality-And-Supply/South-Delta-Temporary-Barriers-Project). Accessed: February 23, 2022.
- 20 City of Stockton. 2021. *Water*. Available: [http://www.stocktongov.com/government/departments/](http://www.stocktongov.com/government/departments/municipalUtilities/utilWater.html)
21 [municipalUtilities/utilWater.html](http://www.stocktongov.com/government/departments/municipalUtilities/utilWater.html). Accessed: March 11, 2021.
- 22 Delta Stewardship Council. 2018. Chapter 3. In *The Delta Plan*. Originally published September 1,
23 2013; Amended April 26, 2018. Available: <http://www.deltacouncil.ca.gov/delta-plan/>.
24 Accessed: August 18, 2021.
- 25 Delta Stewardship Council. 2021. *Water Exports*. Available:
26 <https://viewperformance.deltacouncil.ca.gov/pm/water-exports>. Accessed: April 5, 2021.
- 27 National Marine Fisheries Service. 2019. *National Marine Fisheries Endangered Species Act Section 7*
28 *Biological Opinion*. October 21.
- 29 State Water Contractors. 2021. Online data. Available: <https://swc.org/>. Accessed: August 3, 2021.
- 30 State Water Resources Control Board. 2017. *Scientific Basis Report in Support of New and Modified*
31 *Requirements for Inflows from the Sacramento River and its Tributaries and Eastside Tributaries*
32 *to the Delta, Delta Outflows, Cold Water Habitat, and Interior Delta Flows*. Prepared by State
33 Water Resources Control Board and California Environmental Protection Agency with assistance
34 from ICF. Sacramento, CA.
- 35 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2019. *Biological Opinion for the Reinitiation of Consultation on the*
36 *Coordinated Operations of the Central Valley project and State Water Project*. Service
37 File 08FBTD00-2019-F-0164. October 21.
- 38 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Reclamation, Hoopa Valley Tribe, and Trinity County. 2000.
39 *Trinity River Mainstem Fishery Restoration, Record of Decision*. December.

1 Chapter 7, Flood Protection

- 2 California Department of Water Resources. 1982. *Delta Levee Investigation*. Bulletin 192-82.
3 Available:
4 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_w](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/dd_jardins/ddj_x30.pdf)
5 [aterfix/exhibits/docs/dd_jardins/ddj_x30.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/dd_jardins/ddj_x30.pdf). Accessed: April 9, 2022.
- 6 California Department of Water Resources. 2009. *Delta Risk Management Strategy Phase 1: Executive*
7 *Summary*. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/SJRECWA/sjrecwa_3.pdf)
8 [bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/SJRECWA/sjrecwa_3.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/SJRECWA/sjrecwa_3.pdf). Accessed: March 9, 2022.
- 9 California Department of Water Resources. 2010. *State Plan of Flood Control Descriptive Document*.
10 November. Sacramento, CA. Prepared by the Central Valley Flood Management Planning
11 Program for FloodSAFE California. Available: [https://archive.epa.gov/region9/tribal/web/pdf/](https://archive.epa.gov/region9/tribal/web/pdf/spfc-descriptive-document.pdf)
12 [spfc-descriptive-document.pdf](https://archive.epa.gov/region9/tribal/web/pdf/spfc-descriptive-document.pdf). Accessed: August 26, 2021.
- 13 California Department of Water Resources. 2012a. *2012 Central Valley Flood Protection Plan: A Path*
14 *for Improving Public Safety, Environmental Stewardship, and Long-Term Economic Stability*. June.
15 Sacramento, CA. Prepared by the Central Valley Flood Management Planning Program for
16 FloodSAFE California. Available: [https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/2012-CVFPP_June.pdf)
17 [2012-CVFPP_June.pdf](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/2012-CVFPP_June.pdf). Accessed: August 26, 2021.
- 18 California Department of Water Resources. 2012b. *Urban Levee Design Criteria*. May. Sacramento,
19 CA. Available: <https://cawaterlibrary.net/document/urban-levee-design-criteria/>. Accessed:
20 August 26, 2021.
- 21 California Department of Water Resources. 2017a. *State Plan of Flood Control Descriptive Document*
22 *Update*. August. Sacramento, CA. Available: [https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/CVFPP-SPFC-DescriptiveDoc-Aug2017-compiled.pdf)
23 [2017/10/CVFPP-SPFC-DescriptiveDoc-Aug2017-compiled.pdf](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/CVFPP-SPFC-DescriptiveDoc-Aug2017-compiled.pdf). Accessed: August 26, 2021.
- 24 California Department of Water Resources. 2017b. *Central Valley Flood Protection Plan 2017 Update*.
25 August. Sacramento, CA. Available: [https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Flood-Management/Flood-Planning-and-Studies/Central-Valley-Flood-Protection-Plan/Files/2017-CVFPP-Update-FINAL_a_y19.pdf)
26 [Programs/Flood-Management/Flood-Planning-and-Studies/Central-Valley-Flood-Protection-](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Flood-Management/Flood-Planning-and-Studies/Central-Valley-Flood-Protection-Plan/Files/2017-CVFPP-Update-FINAL_a_y19.pdf)
27 [Plan/Files/2017-CVFPP-Update-FINAL_a_y19.pdf](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Flood-Management/Flood-Planning-and-Studies/Central-Valley-Flood-Protection-Plan/Files/2017-CVFPP-Update-FINAL_a_y19.pdf). Accessed: August 26, 2021.
- 28 California Department of Water Resources. 2017c. *2017 Flood System Status Report*. August.
29 Sacramento, CA. Available: [https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/2017FSSR-Compiled-Aug2017-Excerpt.pdf)
30 [2017FSSR-Compiled-Aug2017-Excerpt.pdf](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/2017FSSR-Compiled-Aug2017-Excerpt.pdf). Accessed: August 26, 2021.
- 31 California Department of Water Resources. 2020a. *2020 Water Resilience Portfolio*. July. Available:
32 [https://resources.ca.gov/-/media/CNRA-Website/Files/Initiatives/Water-Resilience/](https://resources.ca.gov/-/media/CNRA-Website/Files/Initiatives/Water-Resilience/Final_California-Water-Resilience-Portfolio-2020_ADA3_v2_ay11-opt.pdf)
33 [Final_California-Water-Resilience-Portfolio-2020_ADA3_v2_ay11-opt.pdf](https://resources.ca.gov/-/media/CNRA-Website/Files/Initiatives/Water-Resilience/Final_California-Water-Resilience-Portfolio-2020_ADA3_v2_ay11-opt.pdf). Accessed: March 21,
34 2022.
- 35 California Department of Water Resources. 2020b. *California Hydroclimate Report Water Year 2020*.
36 Available: [https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Flood-](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Flood-Management/Flood-Data/Climate-summaries/Hydroclimate_Report_2020_ADA_Final.pdf)
37 [Management/Flood-Data/Climate-summaries/Hydroclimate_Report_2020_ADA_Final.pdf](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Flood-Management/Flood-Data/Climate-summaries/Hydroclimate_Report_2020_ADA_Final.pdf).
38 Accessed: March 21, 2022.

- 1 California Department of Water Resources. 2022a. *2022 Central Valley Flood Protection Plan Update*
2 *Public Draft*. April. Sacramento, CA. Available: [https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Flood-Management/Flood-Planning-and-Studies/Central-Valley-Flood-Protection-Plan/Files/CVFPP-](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Flood-Management/Flood-Planning-and-Studies/Central-Valley-Flood-Protection-Plan/Files/CVFPP-Updates/2022/2022updateCVFPP22_layout_v9_plus_Append_BC.pdf)
3 [Updates/2022/2022updateCVFPP22_layout_v9_plus_Append BC.pdf](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Flood-Management/Flood-Planning-and-Studies/Central-Valley-Flood-Protection-Plan/Files/CVFPP-Updates/2022/2022updateCVFPP22_layout_v9_plus_Append_BC.pdf). Accessed: May 12, 2022.
4
5
- 6 California Department of Water Resources. 2022b. *West Delta Program*. Available:
7 [https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Flood-Management/Delta-Conveyance-And-Flood-](https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Flood-Management/Delta-Conveyance-And-Flood-Protection/West-Delta-Program)
8 [Protection/West-Delta-Program](https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Flood-Management/Delta-Conveyance-And-Flood-Protection/West-Delta-Program). Accessed: March 13, 2022.
- 9 California Governor's Office of Emergency Services. 2018. *Northern California Catastrophic Flood*
10 *Response Plan*. Part 1: Operations Plan.
- 11 California Natural Resources Agency and California Ocean Protection Council. 2018. *State of*
12 *California Sea-Level Rise Guidance: 2018 Update*. Available: [https://opc.ca.gov/webmaster/ftp/](https://opc.ca.gov/webmaster/ftp/pdf/agenda_items/20180314/Item3_Exhibit-A_OPC_SLR_Guidance-rd3.pdf)
13 [pdf/agenda_items/20180314/Item3_Exhibit-A_OPC_SLR_Guidance-rd3.pdf](https://opc.ca.gov/webmaster/ftp/pdf/agenda_items/20180314/Item3_Exhibit-A_OPC_SLR_Guidance-rd3.pdf). Accessed: January 6,
14 2022.
- 15 County of Contra Costa. 2012. *Report to the Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors via the*
16 *Transportation, Water and Infrastructure Committee on San Francisco-to-Stockton Ship Channel*
17 *Navigation Issues*. June. Martinez, CA. Prepared by Lawrence G. Mallon for the Contra Costa
18 County Department of Conservation and Development. Agenda Item 10. Available:
19 [https://www.contracosta.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/7848/item-10-ship-channel-](https://www.contracosta.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/7848/item-10-ship-channel-report?bidId=)
20 [report?bidId=](https://www.contracosta.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/7848/item-10-ship-channel-report?bidId=). Accessed: August 26, 2021.
- 21 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
22 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 23 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
24 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 25 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022c. Southern Forebay Emergency Spillway
26 Siting Analysis (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment D: Southern Forebay,
27 *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*.
28 May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 29 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022d. Flood Risk Management (Final Draft).
30 Technical Memorandum. In Attachment H: Other Sitewide Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta*
31 *Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022.
32 Sacramento, CA.
- 33 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022e. Sacramento River Flood Flow
34 Hydraulic Modeling (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment A: Intakes, *Volume 1:*
35 *Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May
36 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 37 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022f. Levee Vulnerability Assessment and
38 Flood Risk Management Supplement—Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical
39 Memorandum. May 2022. In Attachment: Technical Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance*
40 *Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento,
41 CA.

- 1 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022g. Southern Forebay Emergency Outlet
2 Evaluation. Technical Memorandum. In Attachment D: Southern Forebay, *Volume 1: Delta*
3 *Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022.
4 Sacramento, CA.
- 5 Delta Stewardship Council. 2017. *Delta Levees Investment Strategy*. Final Report. July. Sacramento,
6 CA. Prepared by Arcadis US, Inc. Internal Reference RV009910.0000. Available:
7 [https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/DLIS-Final-Report_rev2_July-](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/DLIS-Final-Report_rev2_July-2017.pdf)
8 [2017.pdf](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/DLIS-Final-Report_rev2_July-2017.pdf). Accessed: August 26, 2021.
- 9 Delta Stewardship Council. 2020. *Delta Plan, Chapter 7*. Amended March 2020. Available:
10 <https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2020-03-10-amended-chapter-7.pdf>. Accessed:
11 November 29, 2021.
- 12 Delta Stewardship Council. 2021. *Delta Adapts: Creating a Climate Resilient Future*. June. Available:
13 [https://www.deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-06-25-delta-adapts-vulnerability-](https://www.deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-06-25-delta-adapts-vulnerability-assessment.pdf)
14 [assessment.pdf](https://www.deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-06-25-delta-adapts-vulnerability-assessment.pdf). Accessed: March 13, 2022.
- 15 He, M., M. Anderson, A. Schwarz, T. Das, E. Lynn, J. Anderson, A. Munévar, J. Vasquez, and W. Arnold.
16 2019. Potential Changes in Runoff of California’s Major Water Supply Watersheds in the 21st
17 Century. *Water* 11:1651. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/11/8/1651/htm>.
18 Accessed: May 4, 2021.
- 19 Maendly, Romain. California Department of Water Resources. 2018. *Development of Stage Frequency*
20 *Curves in the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta for Climate Change and Sea Level Rise*. California’s
21 Fourth Climate Change Assessment. Available: [https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-12/Water_CCCA4-EXT-2018-011_ada.pdf)
22 [2019-12/Water_CCCA4-EXT-2018-011_ada.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-12/Water_CCCA4-EXT-2018-011_ada.pdf). Accessed: March 9, 2022.
- 23 Public Policy Institute of California. 2008. *Comparing Futures for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta*.
24 San Francisco, CA. Available: https://www.ppic.org/content/pubs/report/R_708EHR.pdf.
25 Accessed: August 26, 2021.
- 26 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1988. *Guidelines for Rehabilitation of Non-Federal Levees in the*
27 *Sacramento-San Joaquin Legal Delta*. In Appendix A: PL 84-99 Delta Specific Standard and PL
28 8484-99 Overview, Levee System Integrity Program Long Term Levee Protection Plan.
- 29 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1999. *Post-Flood Assessment for 1983, 1986, 1995, and 1997. Central*
30 *Valley, California*. March. Sacramento, CA. Prepared by the Sacramento District. Available:
31 [https://www.google.com/books/edition/Sacramento_and_San_Joaquin_River_Basins/37Cg2lIn](https://www.google.com/books/edition/Sacramento_and_San_Joaquin_River_Basins/37Cg2lInVIEC?hl=en&gbpv=1)
32 [VIEC?hl=en&gbpv=1](https://www.google.com/books/edition/Sacramento_and_San_Joaquin_River_Basins/37Cg2lInVIEC?hl=en&gbpv=1). Accessed: August 26, 2021.
- 33 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2015. *Central Valley Integrated Flood Management Study, California;*
34 *Draft Watershed Plan*. Available:
35 [https://www.spk.usace.army.mil/Portals/12/documents/civil_works/](https://www.spk.usace.army.mil/Portals/12/documents/civil_works/CVIFMS/CVIFMS_Draft_Watershed_Plan_Public_Release_DEC2015.pdf)
36 [CVIFMS/CVIFMS_Draft_Watershed_Plan_Public_Release_DEC2015.pdf](https://www.spk.usace.army.mil/Portals/12/documents/civil_works/CVIFMS/CVIFMS_Draft_Watershed_Plan_Public_Release_DEC2015.pdf). Accessed: January 18,
37 2022.

1 Chapter 8, Groundwater

- 2 Bureau of Reclamation and San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority. 2009. *Grassland Bypass*
3 *Project, 2010–2019 Final Environmental Impact Statement and Environmental Impact Report*.
4 State Clearinghouse No. 2007121110. August.
- 5 CALFED Bay-Delta Program. 2000. *Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement/*
6 *Environmental Impact Report*. July. Prepared for the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Fish and
7 Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency,
8 Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and California Resources
9 Agency. State Clearinghouse No. 96032083. Sacramento, CA.
- 10 CALFED Bay-Delta Program. 2005. *Delta Region: Drinking Water Quality Management Program*. June.
- 11 California Department of Water Resources. 2003. *California's Groundwater*. Bulletin 118, Update
12 2003. Sacramento, CA.
- 13 California Department of Water Resources. 2004a. Sacramento Valley Groundwater Basin Yolo
14 Subbasin. California's Groundwater Bulletin 118. February.
- 15 California Department of Water Resources. 2004b. *Sacramento Valley Groundwater Basin South*
16 *American Subbasin*. As revised for Bulletin 118-03. February.
- 17 California Department of Water Resources. 2004c. *Sacramento Valley Groundwater Basin Solano*
18 *Subbasin*. As revised for Bulletin 118-03. February.
- 19 California Department of Water Resources. 2006. *San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin Tracy*
20 *Subbasin*. California's Groundwater Bulletin 118 - Basin Report. Updated January 2006.
- 21 California Department of Water Resources. 2007. *North Delta Flood Control and Ecosystem*
22 *Restoration Project Draft EIR*. State Clearinghouse No. 2003012112. October.
- 23 California Department of Water Resources. 2015. *California's Groundwater Update 2013, A*
24 *Compilation of Enhanced Content for California Water Plan Update 2013*. April. Prepared for the
25 State of California, Natural Resources Agency, Department of Water Resources.
- 26 California Department of Water Resources 2016. *Bulletin 118 Interim Update 2016. California's*
27 *Groundwater: Working Toward Sustainability*. December. Sacramento, CA.
- 28 California Department of Water Resources. 2019. *California Water Plan—Update 2018*. June 2019.
29 Available: [https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/California-Water-Plan/Docs/Update2018/Final/California-Water-Plan-Update-2018.pdf)
30 [California-Water-Plan/Docs/Update2018/Final/California-Water-Plan-Update-2018.pdf](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/California-Water-Plan/Docs/Update2018/Final/California-Water-Plan-Update-2018.pdf).
31 Accessed: March 11, 2021.
- 32 California Department of Water Resources. 2021. *California's Groundwater Update 2020*. December
33 2021. Available: [data.cnra.ca.gov/dataset/calgw_update2020/resource/d2b45d3c-52c0-45ba-](https://data.cnra.ca.gov/dataset/calgw_update2020/resource/d2b45d3c-52c0-45ba-b92a-fb3c90c1d4be)
34 [b92a-fb3c90c1d4be](https://data.cnra.ca.gov/dataset/calgw_update2020/resource/d2b45d3c-52c0-45ba-b92a-fb3c90c1d4be). Accessed: January 9, 2022.
- 35 California Department of Water Resources and California State Coastal Conservancy. 2014. *Final*
36 *Supplemental Environmental Impact Report Dutch Slough Tidal Marsh Restoration Project*.
37 September. SCH# 2006042009. Sacramento, CA.

- 1 City of Lodi. 2006. *City of Lodi White Slough WPCF Soil and Groundwater Investigation Existing*
2 *Conditions Report*. Prepared by West Yost and Associates. September.
- 3 Contra Costa Water District. 2005. *Urban Water Management Plan*. December.
- 4 Contra Costa Water District. 2016. *2015 Urban Water Management Plan for the Contra Costa Water*
5 *District*. June.
- 6 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
7 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 8 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
9 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 10 Eastern San Joaquin Groundwater Authority. 2019. *Eastern San Joaquin Groundwater Subbasin*
11 *Groundwater Sustainability Plan*. November.
- 12 GEI Consultants. 2021. *Tracy Subbasin Groundwater Sustainability Plan*. November.
- 13 Glenn Colusa Irrigation District and the Natural Heritage Institute. 2010. *Sacramento Valley*
14 *Conjunctive Water Management Technical Investigation Modeling Report*. February.
- 15 McBain and Trush. 2002. *San Joaquin River Restoration Study Background Report*. December.
16 Prepared for Friant Water Users Authority, Lindsay, CA and Natural Resources Defense Council,
17 San Francisco, CA.
- 18 Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority and GEI Consultants. 2016. *South American Subbasin*
19 *Alternative Submittal, 2014 Sustainable Groundwater Management Act*. December 14.
- 20 San Joaquin County Flood Control and Water Conservation District. 2008. *Groundwater Report: Fall*
21 *1999–Spring 2007*. San Joaquin County Department of Public Works. Stockton, CA.
- 22 Solano Agencies. 2005. *Integrated Water Resources Water Management Plan and Strategic Plan*.
23 Prepared by Camp Dresser & McKee. February.
- 24 State Water Resources Control Board. 2012. *Evaluation of San Joaquin River Flow and Southern Delta*
25 *Water Quality Objectives and Implementation*. December.
- 26 Stockton Record Staff. 2009. Lodi Sewer Decision Postponed. *The Stockton Record*. March 18.
27 Stockton, CA.
- 28 U.S. Geological Survey. 1960. *Geology, Water Resources and Usable Ground-Water Storage Capacity of*
29 *Part of Solano County, California*. USGS Water-Supply Paper 1464. Prepared in cooperation with
30 the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- 31 U.S. Geological Survey. 1991. *Ground Water in the Central Valley, California – A Summary Report*.
32 USGS Professional Paper 1401-A. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- 33 U.S. Geological Survey. 2000. *Delta Subsidence in California—The Sinking Heart of the State*. USGS
34 Fact Sheet 005-00. April. USGS Fact Sheet FS-005-00. U.S. Government Printing Office,
35 Washington, D.C.
- 36 U.S. Geological Survey. 2006. *California GAMA Program—Groundwater Quality Data in the Northern*
37 *San Joaquin Basin Study Unit, 2005*. U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 196.

- 1 U.S. Geological Survey. 2008. *Ground-Water Quality Data in the Southern Sacramento Valley,*
2 *California, 2005—Results from the California GAMA Program.* Prepared in cooperation with the
3 State Water Resources Control Board. USGS Data Series 285. Reston, VA.
- 4 U.S. Geological Survey. 2018. *Land Subsidence along the California Aqueduct in West-Central San*
5 *Joaquin Valley, California, 2003-10.* Prepared by M. Sneed, J. T. Brandt, and M. Solt. USGS
6 Scientific Investigations Report 2018-5144. Reston, VA.

7 Chapter 9, Water Quality

- 8 Acuña, S., D. Baxa, and S. Teh. 2012a. Sublethal dietary effects of microcystin producing *Microcystis*
9 on threadfin shad, *Dorosoma petenense*. November. *Toxicon* 60(6):1191–1202. Available:
10 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22925841/>. Accessed: September 8, 2021.
- 11 Acuña, S., D. F. Deng, P. W. Lehman, and S. J. Teh. 2012b. Sublethal Dietary Effects of *Microcystis* on
12 Sacramento Splittail, *Pogonichthys macrolepidotus*. April. *Aquatic Toxicology* 110–111:1–8.
13 Available: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22245626/>. Accessed: September 8, 2021.
- 14 Alpers, C. N., C. Eagles-Smith, C. Foe, S. Klasing, and M. Marvin-DiPasquale. 2008. *Sacramento–San*
15 *Joaquin Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Implementation Plan.* USGS Staff—Published
16 Research. Paper 476. Available: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usgsstaffpub/476>. Accessed:
17 January 12, 2022.
- 18 Alpers, C. N., J. A. Fleck, M. Marvin-DiPasquale, C. A. Stricker, M. Stephenson, and H. E. Taylor. 2014.
19 Mercury Cycling in Agricultural and Managed wetlands, Yolo Bypass, California: Spatial and
20 Seasonal Variations in Water Quality. *Science of the Total Environment* 484:276–287. Available:
21 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0048969713012400?via%3Dihub>.
22 Accessed: September 8, 2021.
- 23 Aquatic Science Center. 2018. *Delta Regional Monitoring Program Annual Monitoring Report for*
24 *Fiscal Year 2015–16: Pesticides and Toxicity.* SFEI Contribution 864. March. Richmond, CA,
25 Prepared by T. Jabusch, P. Trowbridge, M. Heberger, Aquatic Science Center; J. Orland and M. De
26 Parsia, United States Geological Survey; and M. Stillway, UC Davis School of Veterinary Medicine
27 Aquatic Health Program Laboratory. Available: [https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/
28 biblio_files/Delta%20RMP%20FY15-16%20Pesticides%20Final%20Report%202019-03-
29 25.pdf](https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/biblio_files/Delta%20RMP%20FY15-16%20Pesticides%20Final%20Report%202019-03-25.pdf). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 30 Bash, J., C. Berman, and S. Bolton. 2001. *Effects of Turbidity and Suspended Solids on Salmonids.*
31 November. Seattle, WA. Prepared for Washington State Transportation Commission in
32 cooperation with U.S. Department of Transportation. Available: [https://www.wsdot.wa.gov/
33 research/reports/fullreports/526.1.pdf](https://www.wsdot.wa.gov/research/reports/fullreports/526.1.pdf). Accessed: September 8, 2021.
- 34 Baxa, D. V., T. Kurobe, K. A. Ger, P. W. Lehman, and S. J. Teh. 2010. Estimating the Abundance of Toxic
35 *Microcystis* in the San Francisco Estuary Using Quantitative Real-time PCR. *Harmful Algae* 9:342–
36 349. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/
37 bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/petitioners_exhibit/dwr/DWR-702.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/petitioners_exhibit/dwr/DWR-702.pdf). Accessed:
38 September 8, 2021.
- 39 Beckon, W. N. 2017. Chapter 7a, Biological Effects of the Grassland Bypass Project at Sites C, D, and
40 12. In *Grassland Bypass Project Annual Report 2012–2014*. San Francisco Estuary Institute.

- 1 Richmond, CA. Available: [https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/general_content/](https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/general_content/2012-2014%20GBP%20Ch7a_1.pdf)
2 [2012-2014%20GBP%20Ch7a_1.pdf](https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/general_content/2012-2014%20GBP%20Ch7a_1.pdf). Accessed: September 10, 2021.
- 3 Berg, M., and M. Sutula. 2015. *Factors Affecting the Growth of Cyanobacteria with Special Emphasis on*
4 *the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta*. Southern California Coastal Water Research Project Technical
5 Report 869. August. Prepared for the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board and
6 the California Environmental Protection Agency. Available: [https://ftp.sccwrp.org/pub/](https://ftp.sccwrp.org/pub/download/DOCUMENTS/TechnicalReports/869_FactorsAffectGrowthOfCyanobacteria-1.pdf)
7 [download/DOCUMENTS/TechnicalReports/869_FactorsAffectGrowthOfCyanobacteria-1.pdf](https://ftp.sccwrp.org/pub/download/DOCUMENTS/TechnicalReports/869_FactorsAffectGrowthOfCyanobacteria-1.pdf).
8 Accessed: September 10, 2021.
- 9 Bergamaschi, B. A., J. A. Fleck, B. D. Downing, E. Boss, B. Pellerin, N. K. Ganju, D. H. Schoellhamer, A. A.
10 Byington, W. A. Heim, M. Stephenson, and R. Fujia. 2011. Methyl Mercury Dynamics in a Tidal
11 Wetland Quantified Using In Situ Optical Measurements. *Limnology and Oceanography* 56(4):
12 1355–1371.
- 13 Black, Y., M. Yilmaz, and E. J. Philips. 2011. Growth and Toxin Production by *Microcystis aeruginosa*
14 PCC 7806 (Kutzing) Lemmerman at Elevated Salt Concentrations. *Journal of Environmental*
15 *Protection*. 2:669–674. Available: https://www.scirp.org/pdf/JEP20110600001_83098484.pdf.
16 Accessed: September 10, 2021.
- 17 Bui, T., T. Dao, T. Vo, and M. Lüring. 2018. Warming Affects Growth Rates and Microcystin
18 Production in Tropical Bloom-Forming *Microcystis* Strains. *Toxins* 10(3). DOI:
19 <https://doi:10.3390/toxins10030123>.
- 20 CALFED Bay-Delta Program. 2008. *The State of Bay-Delta Science, 2008*. August. Sacramento, CA.
21 Prepared by CALFED Science Program. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/docs/cmnt081712/dfg/cdfghealey2008.pdf)
22 [waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/docs/cmnt081712/dfg/cdfghealey2008.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/docs/cmnt081712/dfg/cdfghealey2008.pdf).
23 Accessed: September 10, 2021.
- 24 California Department of Public Health. 2013. Full Meeting Summary: Forum on Science to Support
25 Management of Methylmercury in Restored Tidal Marshes. December 17. Richmond, CA.
26 Available: <https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/events/MethylmercuryForumNotes.pdf>.
27 Accessed: September 10, 2021.
- 28 California Department of Water Resources. 1981. *Contract Between State of California Department of*
29 *Water Resources and North Delta Water Agency for the Assurance of a Dependable Water Supply*
30 *of Suitable Quality*. Executed January 28, 1981. WSID CDO/BBID ACL WSID0100. Sacramento,
31 CA. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/hearings/byron_bethany/docs/exhibits/wsid_cdwa_sdwa/wsid0100.pdf)
32 [hearings/byron_bethany/docs/exhibits/wsid_cdwa_sdwa/wsid0100.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/hearings/byron_bethany/docs/exhibits/wsid_cdwa_sdwa/wsid0100.pdf). Accessed:
33 September 10, 2021.
- 34 California Department of Water Resources. 1995. *Sacramento San Joaquin Delta Atlas*. Reprint. July.
35 Sacramento, CA. Document RECIRC2646. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/exhibit3/rdeir_sdeis_comments/RECIRC_2646_ATT%203.pdf)
36 [waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/exhibit3/rdeir_sde](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/exhibit3/rdeir_sdeis_comments/RECIRC_2646_ATT%203.pdf)
37 [is_comments/RECIRC_2646_ATT%203.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/exhibit3/rdeir_sdeis_comments/RECIRC_2646_ATT%203.pdf). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 38 California Department of Water Resources. 1997. Agreement between California Department of
39 Water Resources and North Delta Water Agency Amending the 1981 *Contract Between State of*
40 *California Department of Water Resources and North Delta Water Agency for the Assurance of a*
41 *Dependable Water Supply of Suitable Quality*. Executed January 21, 1997. Sacramento, CA.

- 1 California Department of Water Resources. 2012. Estimating Delta-wide Bromide Using DSM2-
2 Simulated EC Fingerprints. In *Methodology for Flow and Salinity Estimates in the Sacramento-San*
3 *Joaquin Delta and Suisun Marsh, 33rd Annual Progress Report, June 2012*. Prepared by S. Liu, and
4 B. Suits, Delta Modeling Section, Bay-Delta Office. Sacramento, CA. Available: [https://og-](https://og-production-open-data-cnra-892364687672.s3.amazonaws.com/resources/60bffd63-7a0c-4864-adf2-19b773184963/ar-2012.pdf?Signature=OCicylJ59oYb7%2B7mNw%2BpsfstQh8%3D&Expires=1654040270&AWSAccessKeyId=AKIAJJIENTAPKHZMIPXQ)
5 [production-open-data-cnra-892364687672.s3.amazonaws.com/resources/60bffd63-7a0c-](https://og-production-open-data-cnra-892364687672.s3.amazonaws.com/resources/60bffd63-7a0c-4864-adf2-19b773184963/ar-2012.pdf?Signature=OCicylJ59oYb7%2B7mNw%2BpsfstQh8%3D&Expires=1654040270&AWSAccessKeyId=AKIAJJIENTAPKHZMIPXQ)
6 [4864-adf2-19b773184963/ar-](https://og-production-open-data-cnra-892364687672.s3.amazonaws.com/resources/60bffd63-7a0c-4864-adf2-19b773184963/ar-2012.pdf?Signature=OCicylJ59oYb7%2B7mNw%2BpsfstQh8%3D&Expires=1654040270&AWSAccessKeyId=AKIAJJIENTAPKHZMIPXQ)
7 [2012.pdf?Signature=OCicylJ59oYb7%2B7mNw%2BpsfstQh8%3D&Expires=1654040270&AWS](https://og-production-open-data-cnra-892364687672.s3.amazonaws.com/resources/60bffd63-7a0c-4864-adf2-19b773184963/ar-2012.pdf?Signature=OCicylJ59oYb7%2B7mNw%2BpsfstQh8%3D&Expires=1654040270&AWSAccessKeyId=AKIAJJIENTAPKHZMIPXQ)
8 [AccessKeyId=AKIAJJIENTAPKHZMIPXQ](https://og-production-open-data-cnra-892364687672.s3.amazonaws.com/resources/60bffd63-7a0c-4864-adf2-19b773184963/ar-2012.pdf?Signature=OCicylJ59oYb7%2B7mNw%2BpsfstQh8%3D&Expires=1654040270&AWSAccessKeyId=AKIAJJIENTAPKHZMIPXQ). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 9 California Department of Water Resources. 2020. *Mercury Imports and Exports of Four Tidal*
10 *Wetlands in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, Yolo Bypass, and Suisun Marsh for Delta Mercury*
11 *Control Program Compliance*. April. Sacramento, CA. Prepared by Petra Lee and Julianna
12 Manning, Available: [https://water.ca.gov/-/media/](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Environmental-Services/Applied-Research/Files/Accessible_TW_Final_Report_Combined_ay11.pdf)
13 [DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Environmental-Services/Applied-Research/Files/](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Environmental-Services/Applied-Research/Files/Accessible_TW_Final_Report_Combined_ay11.pdf)
14 [Accessible_TW_Final_Report_Combined_ay11.pdf](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Environmental-Services/Applied-Research/Files/Accessible_TW_Final_Report_Combined_ay11.pdf). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 15 California Department of Water Resources, California State Lands Commission, Central Valley Flood
16 Protection Board, United States Army Corps of Engineers, and United States Bureau of
17 Reclamation. 2020. *Mercury Open Water Final Report for Compliance with the Delta Mercury*
18 *Control Program*. August. Sacramento, CA. Available: [https://water.ca.gov/-/media/](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Environmental-Services/Applied-Research/Files/Mercury-Open-Water-Final-Report-for-Compliance-with-the-Delta-Mercury-Control-Program.pdf)
19 [DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Environmental-Services/Applied-Research/Files/](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Environmental-Services/Applied-Research/Files/Mercury-Open-Water-Final-Report-for-Compliance-with-the-Delta-Mercury-Control-Program.pdf)
20 [Mercury-Open-Water-Final-Report-for-Compliance-with-the-Delta-Mercury-Control-](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Environmental-Services/Applied-Research/Files/Mercury-Open-Water-Final-Report-for-Compliance-with-the-Delta-Mercury-Control-Program.pdf)
21 [Program.pdf](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Environmental-Services/Applied-Research/Files/Mercury-Open-Water-Final-Report-for-Compliance-with-the-Delta-Mercury-Control-Program.pdf). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 22 California Department of Water Resources. 2022. *Temporary Urgency Change Petition and*
23 *Emergency Drought Salinity Barrier: Impact on Harmful Algal Blooms and Aquatic Weeds in the*
24 *Delta*. June. Sacramento, CA.
- 25 California Urban Water Agencies. 1998. *Bay-Delta Water Quality Evaluation, Draft Final Report*.
26 Prepared by D. M. Owen, Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.; P. A. Daniel, Camp, Dresser and McKee; R. S.
27 Summers, University of Cincinnati. June. Available: [http://s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/ucldc-](http://s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/ucldc-nuxeo-ref-media/b5ad9552-859f-4f39-82a1-5a84c078fb99)
28 [nuxeo-ref-media/b5ad9552-859f-4f39-82a1-5a84c078fb99](http://s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/ucldc-nuxeo-ref-media/b5ad9552-859f-4f39-82a1-5a84c078fb99). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 29 California Water Quality Monitoring Council. 2021. *HAB Incident Reports Map*. California
30 Cyanobacteria and Harmful Algal Bloom Network. Available:
31 https://mywaterquality.ca.gov/habs/where/freshwater_events.html. Accessed: September 10,
32 2021.
- 33 Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2019. *Water Quality Control Plan for the Central*
34 *Coastal Basin*. June. San Luis Obispo, CA. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralcoast/publications_forms/publications/basin_plan/docs/2019_basin_plan_r3_complete_webaccess.pdf)
35 [centralcoast/publications_forms/publications/basin_plan/docs/2019 basin plan r3 complete](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralcoast/publications_forms/publications/basin_plan/docs/2019_basin_plan_r3_complete_webaccess.pdf)
36 [webaccess.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralcoast/publications_forms/publications/basin_plan/docs/2019_basin_plan_r3_complete_webaccess.pdf). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 37 Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2005. *Amendments to the Water Quality*
38 *Control Plan for the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins for the Control Program for*
39 *Factors Contributing to the Dissolved Oxygen Impairment in the Stockton Deep Water Ship*
40 *Channel*. Final Staff Report. February. Prepared by Mark Gowdy and Leslie Grober. Rancho
41 Cordova, CA. Available: [http://s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/ucldc-nuxeo-ref-media/](http://s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/ucldc-nuxeo-ref-media/08cd544a-8ec5-4665-a696-ced2b8d549a6)
42 [08cd544a-8ec5-4665-a696-ced2b8d549a6](http://s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/ucldc-nuxeo-ref-media/08cd544a-8ec5-4665-a696-ced2b8d549a6). Accessed: September 15, 2021.

- 1 [Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2010a. *Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Estuary*](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/delta_hg/archived_delta_hg_info/april_2010_hg_tmdl_hearing/apr2010_tmdl_staffrpt_final.pdf)
2 [TMDL for Methylmercury. Staff Report. April. Rancho Cordova, CA. Available:](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/delta_hg/archived_delta_hg_info/april_2010_hg_tmdl_hearing/apr2010_tmdl_staffrpt_final.pdf)
3 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/delta_hg/archived_delta_hg_info/april_2010_hg_tmdl_hearing/apr2010_tmdl_staffrpt_final.pdf)
4 [delta_hg/archived_delta_hg_info/april_2010_hg_tmdl_hearing/apr2010_tmdl_staffrpt_final.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/delta_hg/archived_delta_hg_info/april_2010_hg_tmdl_hearing/apr2010_tmdl_staffrpt_final.pdf).
5 Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 6 Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2010b. *Amendments to the Water Quality*
7 *Control Plan for the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins for the Control of*
8 *Methylmercury and Total Mercury in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary*. Staff Report.
9 April. Rancho Cordova, CA. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/delta_hg/archived_delta_hg_info/april_2010_hg_tmdl_hearing/apr2010_bpa_staffrpt_final.pdf)
10 [water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/delta_hg/archived_delta_hg_info/april_2010_hg_](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/delta_hg/archived_delta_hg_info/april_2010_hg_tmdl_hearing/apr2010_bpa_staffrpt_final.pdf)
11 [tmdl_hearing/apr2010_bpa_staffrpt_final.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/delta_hg/archived_delta_hg_info/april_2010_hg_tmdl_hearing/apr2010_bpa_staffrpt_final.pdf). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 12 Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2017a. *Proposed Amendments to the Water*
13 *Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins for the Control of Pyrethroid*
14 *Pesticide Discharges*. Final Staff Report. June. Rancho Cordova, CA. Available: [https://](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb5/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/central_valley_pesticides/pyrethroid_tmdl_bpa/staff_report_bpa_dev/2017june/2017june_pyrbpa_fsr.pdf)
15 [www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb5/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/central_valley_](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb5/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/central_valley_pesticides/pyrethroid_tmdl_bpa/staff_report_bpa_dev/2017june/2017june_pyrbpa_fsr.pdf)
16 [pesticides/pyrethroid_tmdl_bpa/staff_report_bpa_dev/2017june/2017june_pyrbpa_fsr.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb5/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/central_valley_pesticides/pyrethroid_tmdl_bpa/staff_report_bpa_dev/2017june/2017june_pyrbpa_fsr.pdf).
17 Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 18 Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2017b. *Resolution R5-2017-0057, Amendment*
19 *to the Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins for the Control*
20 *of Pyrethroid Pesticide Discharges*. June. Rancho Cordova, CA. Available: [https://](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb5/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/central_valley_pesticides/pyrethroid_tmdl_bpa/#adoptandapprove)
21 [www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb5/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/central_valley_pe](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb5/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/central_valley_pesticides/pyrethroid_tmdl_bpa/#adoptandapprove)
22 [sticides/pyrethroid_tmdl_bpa/#adoptandapprove](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb5/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/central_valley_pesticides/pyrethroid_tmdl_bpa/#adoptandapprove). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 23 Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2018. *The Water Quality Control Plan (Basin*
24 *Plan) for the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, Fifth Edition,*
25 *the Sacramento River Basin and the San Joaquin River Basin*. Revised May 2018. Rancho Cordova,
26 CA. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/basin_plans/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/basin_plans/sacsjr_201805.pdf)
27 [sacsjr_201805.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/basin_plans/sacsjr_201805.pdf). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 28 Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2019. *Harmful Algal Bloom Report IDs 2051-*
29 *2053—San Joaquin River, Stockton Channel & Mormon Slough*. August.
- 30 Chorus, I., and E. Spijkerman. 2021. What Colin Reynolds Could tell us about Nutrient Limitation, N:P
31 Ratios and Eutrophication Control. *Hydrobiologia* 848:95–111.
- 32 City of Antioch. 2020. *Your Drinking Water in 2020, Annual Water Quality Report*. Available:
33 <https://www.antiochca.gov/fc/finance/water/AWQR.pdf>. Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 34 Cloern, J. E. 1996. Phytoplankton Bloom Dynamics in Coastal Ecosystems: a Review with Some
35 General Lessons from Sustained Investigation of San Francisco Bay, California. *Reviews of*
36 *Geophysics* 34(2). Available: [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/96RG00986)
37 [10.1029/96RG00986](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/96RG00986). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 38 Cloern, J. E., S. Q. Foster, and A. E. Kleckner. 2014. Phytoplankton Primary Production in the World's
39 Estuarine-Coastal Ecosystems. *Biogeosciences* 11. Available: [https://bg.copernicus.org/articles/](https://bg.copernicus.org/articles/11/2477/2014/bg-11-2477-2014.pdf)
40 [11/2477/2014/bg-11-2477-2014.pdf](https://bg.copernicus.org/articles/11/2477/2014/bg-11-2477-2014.pdf). Accessed: September 15, 2021.

- 1 Cohen, A. 2000. *An introduction to the San Francisco Estuary*. Third Edition. December. San
2 Francisco, CA, Prepared for Save the Bay, San Francisco Estuary Institute, and San Francisco
3 Estuary Project. Available: [https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/biblio_files/
4 2000IntrotoEstuary.pdf](https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/biblio_files/2000IntrotoEstuary.pdf). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 5 Dahm, C. N., A. E. Parker, A. E. Adelson, M. A. Christman, and B. A. Bergamaschi. 2016. Nutrient
6 Dynamics of the Delta: Effects on Primary Producers. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed
7 Science* 14(4):Article 4. Available: <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1789c0mz>. Accessed:
8 September 15, 2021.
- 9 Davis, J. A., R. E. Looker, D. Yee, M. Marvin-Di Pasquale, J. L. Grenier, C. M. Austin, L. J. McKee, B. K.
10 Greenfield, R. Brodberg, and J. D. Blum. 2012. Reducing Methylmercury Accumulation in the
11 Food Webs of San Francisco Bay and Its Local Watersheds. *Environmental Research* 119:3–26.
- 12 De Parsia, M., J. L. Orlando, M. M. McWayne, and M. L. Hladik. 2018. *Pesticide Inputs to the
13 Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta, 2015–16: Results from the Delta Regional Monitoring Program*.
14 U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 1089. Available: [https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/
15 ds1089](https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/ds1089). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 16 De Parsia, M., E. E. Woodward, J. L. Orlando, and M. L. Hladik. 2019. *Pesticide Mixtures in the
17 Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta, 2016–17: Results from Year 2 of the Delta Regional Monitoring
18 Program*. U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 1120. Available: [https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/
19 publication/ds1120](https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/ds1120). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 20 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022. Intakes Operations and Maintenance
21 Equipment and Facility Needs (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment A: Intakes,
22 *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*.
23 May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 24 Delta Nutrient Drinking Water Workgroup. 2017. *Delta Nutrient Drinking Water Issues*. Final White
25 Paper. June. Prepared for the Delta Nutrient Science and Research Program. Available:
26 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water issues/delta water quality/delta nutrie
27 nt research plan/science work groups/2017_0515_dw_whitepaper_final.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/delta_water_quality/delta_nutrient_research_plan/science_work_groups/2017_0515_dw_whitepaper_final.pdf). Accessed:
28 September 15, 2021.
- 29 Domagalski, J., and D. Saleh. 2015. Sources and Transport of Phosphorus to Rivers in California and
30 Adjacent States, U.S., as Determined by SPARROW Modeling. December. *Journal of American
31 Water Resources Association* 51(6):1463–1486. Available: [https://ca.water.usgs.gov/pubs/
32 2015/DomagalskiSaleh2015.pdf](https://ca.water.usgs.gov/pubs/2015/DomagalskiSaleh2015.pdf). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 33 Downing, B. D., B. A. Bergamaschi, C. Kendall, T. E. C. Kraus, and T. S. von Dessonneck. 2016. Using
34 Continuous Water Isotope Measurements to Map Water Residence Time in Hydrodynamically
35 Complex Tidal Environments. *Environmental Science and Technology* 50(24):13387–13396.
- 36 Dugdale, R. C., F. P. Wilkerson, V. E. Hogue, and A. Marchi. 2007. The Role of Ammonium and Nitrate
37 in Spring Bloom Development in San Francisco Bay. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 73(1–2):
38 17–29. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222675624
39 The Role of Ammonium and Nitrate in Spring Bloom Development in San Francisco Bay](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222675624).
40 Accessed: September 15, 2021.

- 1 Durand, J. 2015. A Conceptual Model of the Aquatic Food Web of the Upper San Francisco Estuary.
2 *San Francisco Estuary & Watershed Science* 3(5): 1–40. Available:
3 [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282357022_A_Conceptual_Model_of_the_Aquatic](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282357022_A_Conceptual_Model_of_the_Aquatic_Food_Web_of_the_Upper_San_Francisco_Estuary)
4 [Food Web of the Upper San Francisco Estuary](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282357022_A_Conceptual_Model_of_the_Aquatic_Food_Web_of_the_Upper_San_Francisco_Estuary). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 5 ESA. 2022. Technical Memorandum: *Analysis and Mapping of Microcystis sp. Occurrences in the*
6 *Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta*. May.
- 7 Fleck, J. A., M. S. Fram, and R. Fujii. 2007. Organic Carbon and Disinfection Byproduct Precursor
8 Loads from a Constructed, Non-Tidal Wetland in California’s Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta. *San*
9 *Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 5(2):Article 1. Available:
10 <http://repositories.cdlib.org/jmie/sfews/vol5/iss2/art1>. Accessed: December 10, 2021.
- 11 Ger, K.A., T.G. Otten, R. DuMais, T. Ignoffo, and W. Kimmerer. 2018. In Situ Ingestion of Microcystis Is
12 Negatively Related to Copepod Abundance in the Upper San Francisco Estuary. *Limnology and*
13 *Oceanography* 63(6):2394–2410. Available: [https://aslopubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/](https://aslopubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/lno.10946)
14 [10.1002/lno.10946](https://aslopubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/lno.10946). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 15 Glibert, P.M. 2020. Harmful Algae at the Complex Nexus of Eutrophication and Climate Change.
16 *Harmful Algae* 91:101583. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2019.03.001>.
- 17 Glibert, P. M., D. Fullerton, J. M. Burkholder, J. C. Cornwell, and T. M. Kana. 2011. Ecological
18 Stoichiometry, Biogeochemical Cycling, Invasive Species, and Aquatic Food Webs: San Francisco
19 Estuary and Comparative Systems. *Reviews in Fisheries Science* 19(4):358–417. Available:
20 <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10641262.2011.611916>. Accessed: September
21 15, 2021.
- 22 Hammock, B. G., J. A. Hobbs, S. B. Slater, S. Acuña, and S. J. Teh. 2015. Contaminant and Food
23 Limitation Stress in an Endangered Estuarine Fish. *Science of the Total Environment* 532:316–
24 326. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/278312898_Contaminant_and](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/278312898_Contaminant_and_food_limitation_stress_in_an_endangered_estuarine_fish)
25 [food limitation stress in an endangered estuarine fish](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/278312898_Contaminant_and_food_limitation_stress_in_an_endangered_estuarine_fish). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 26 Hammock, B. G., S. P. Moose, S. S. Soils, E. Goharian, and S. J. Teh. 2019. Hydrodynamic Modeling
27 Coupled with Long-Term Field Data Provide Evidence for Suppression of Phytoplankton by
28 Invasive Clams and Freshwater Exports in the San Francisco Estuary. *Environmental*
29 *Management* 63:703–717. Available: [https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00267-019-](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00267-019-01159-6)
30 [01159-6](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00267-019-01159-6). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 31 Harke, M. J., M. M. Steffen, C. J. Gobler, T. G. Otten, S. W. Wilhelm, S. A. Wood, and H. W. Pearl. 2016. A
32 Review of the Global Ecology, Genomics, and Biogeography of the Toxic Cyanobacterium,
33 *Microcystis* spp. *Harmful Algae* 54:4–20. Available: [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1568988315301773?via%3Dihub)
34 [article/abs/pii/S1568988315301773?via%3Dihub](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1568988315301773?via%3Dihub). Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 35 Hasenbein, M., L. M. Komoroske, R. E. Connon, J. Geist, and N. A. Fangue. 2013. Turbidity and Salinity
36 Affect Feeding Performance and Physiological Stress in the Endangered Delta Smelt. *Integrative*
37 *and Comparative Biology*. 53(4):620–634.
- 38 Hestir, E., D. Schoellhamer, T. Morgan-King, and S. Ustin. 2013. A Step Decrease in Sediment
39 Concentration in a Highly Modified Tidal River Delta Following the 1983 E Niño Floods. *Marine*
40 *Geology* 345:304–313. Available: <https://ca.water.usgs.gov/pubs/2013/HestirEtAl2013.pdf>.
41 Accessed: September 15, 2021.

- 1 Hestir, E. L., D. H. Schoellhamer, J. Greenberg, T. Morgan-King, and S. L. Ustin. 2016. The Effect of
2 Submerged Aquatic Vegetation Expansion on a Declining Turbidity Trend in the Sacramento–
3 San Joaquin River Delta. *Estuaries and Coasts* 39:1100–1112.
- 4 Ibelings, B. W., R. Kurmayer, S. M. F. O. Azevedo, S. A. Wood, I. Chorus, and M. Welker. 2021.
5 Understanding the Occurrence of Cyanobacteria and Cyanotoxins. In I. Chorus and M. Welker
6 (eds.), *Toxic Cyanobacteria and in Water—Second Edition*, World Health Organization.
- 7 Jassby, A. D. 2008. Phytoplankton in the Upper San Francisco Estuary: Recent Biomass Trends, Their
8 Causes and Their Trophic Significance. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 6(1):
9 Article 2. Available: <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/71h077r1>. Accessed: September 15,
10 2021.
- 11 Jassby, A. D., J. E. Cloern, and B. E. Cole. 2002. Annual Primary Production: Patterns and Mechanisms
12 of Change in a Nutrient-Rich Tidal Ecosystem. *Limnology and Oceanography* 47:698–712.
13 Available: <https://aslopubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.4319/lo.2002.47.3.0698>.
14 Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 15 Kimmerer, W. J. 2002. Effects of Freshwater Flow on Abundance of Estuarine Organisms: Physical
16 Effects of Trophic Linkages. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 243:39–55. Available:
17 <https://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v243/p39-55/>. Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 18 Kimmerer, W. J. 2004. Open Water Processes of the San Francisco Estuary: From Physical Forcing to
19 Biological Responses. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 2(1):Article 1. Available:
20 <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9bp499mv>. Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 21 Kimmerer, W. J., E. Gartside and J. J. Orsi. 1994. Predation by an Introduced Clam as the Likely Cause
22 of Substantial Declines in Zooplankton of San Francisco Bay. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*
23 113:81–93. Available: <https://www.int-res.com/articles/meps/113/m113p081.pdf>. Accessed:
24 September 15, 2021.
- 25 Kimmerer, W. J., F. Wilkerson, B. Downing, R. Dugdale, E. S. Gross, K. Kayfetz, S. Khanna, A. E. Parker,
26 J. Thompson. 2019. Effects of Drought and the Emergency Drought Barrier on the Ecosystem of
27 the California Delta. *San Francisco Estuary & Watershed Science* 17(3)2:1–27. Available:
28 <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0b3731ph>. Accessed: September 15, 2021.
- 29 Kudela, R. M., S. L. Palacios, D. C. Austerberry, E. K. Accorsi, L. S. Guild, and J. Torres-Perez. 2015.
30 Application of Hyperspectral Remote Sensing to Cyanobacterial Blooms in Inland Waters.
31 *Remote Sensing of Environment* 167:196–205. Available: [https://www.sciencedirect.com/
32 science/article/pii/S0034425715000437?via%3Dihub](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425715000437?via%3Dihub). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 33 Kurobe, T., P. W. Lehman, B. G. Hammock, M. B. Bolotaolo, S. Lesmesister, and S. J. Teh. 2018.
34 Biodiversity of Cyanobacteria and other Aquatic Microorganisms Across a Freshwater to
35 Brackish Water Gradient Determined by Shotgun Metagenomic Sequencing Analysis in the San
36 Francisco Estuary, USA. *PLoS ONE* 13(9):e0203953. Available: [https://journals.plos.org/
37 plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0203953](https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0203953). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 38 Lehman, P. W., G. Boyer, C. Hall, S. Waller, K. Gerhts. 2005. Distribution and Toxicity of a New
39 Colonial *Microcystis aeruginosa* bloom in the San Francisco Bay Estuary, California.
40 *Hydrobiologia* 541:87–99. Available: [https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/
41 s10750-004-4670-0](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10750-004-4670-0). Accessed: September 16, 2021.

- 1 Lehman, P. W., G. Boyer, M. Satchwell and S. Waller. 2008. The Influence of Environmental
2 Conditions on the Seasonal Variation of *Microcystis* Cell Density and Microcystins Concentration
3 in San Francisco Estuary. *Hydrobiologia* 600:187–204. Available: [https://link.springer.com/
4 article/10.1007%2Fs10750-007-9231-x](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs10750-007-9231-x). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 5 Lehman, P. W., C. Kendall, M. A. Guerin, M. B. Young, S. R. Silva, G. L. Boyer, and S. J. Teh. 2015.
6 Characterization of the *Microcystis* Bloom and its Nitrogen Supply in San Francisco Estuary
7 Using Stable Isotopes. *Estuaries and Coasts* 38:165–178. Available: [https://www.jstor.org/
8 stable/44851285](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44851285). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 9 Lehman, P. W., T. Kurobe, K. Huynh, S. Lesmeister, S. J. Teh. 2021. Covariance of Phytoplankton,
10 Bacteria, and Zooplankton Communities Within *Microcystis* Blooms in San Francisco Estuary.
11 *Frontiers in Microbiology* 12 (June). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.632264>.
- 12 Lehman, P., T. Kurobe, S. Lesmeister, C. Lam, A. Tung, M. Xiong, and S. Teh. 2018. Strong Differences
13 Characterized *Microcystis* Blooms Between Successive Severe Drought Years in San Francisco
14 Estuary, CA. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 81:293–299.
- 15 Lehman, P. W., T. Kurobe, S. Lesmeister, D. Baxa, A. Tung, and S. J. Teh. 2017. Impacts of the 2014
16 Severe Drought on the *Microcystis* Bloom in San Francisco Estuary. *Harmful Algae* 63:94–108.
17 Available: [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
18 S1568988316302177?via%3Dihub](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1568988316302177?via%3Dihub). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 19 Lehman, P. W., T. Kurobe, and S. J. Teh. 2020. Impact of Extreme Wet and Dry Years on the
20 Persistence of *Microcystis* Harmful Algal Blooms in San Francisco Estuary. Available online
21 January 7, 2020. *Quaternary International*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2019.12.003>.
- 22 Lehman, P. W., K. Marr, G. L. Boyer, S. Acuña, and S. J. Teh. 2013. Long-Term Trends and Causal
23 Factors Associated with *Microcystis* Abundance and Toxicity in San Francisco Estuary and
24 Implications for Climate Change Impacts. *Hydrobiologia* 718:141–158. Available:
25 <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs10750-013-1612-8>. Accessed: September 16,
26 2021.
- 27 Lehman, P. W., S. J. Teh, G. L. Boyer, M. L. Nobriga, E. Bass, and C. Hogle. 2010. Initial Impacts of
28 *Microcystis aeruginosa* Blooms on the Aquatic Food Web in the San Francisco Estuary.
29 *Hydrobiologia* 637:229–248. Available: [https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10750-009-
30 9999-y](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10750-009-9999-y). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 31 Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2019. *Water Quality Control Plan, Los Angeles*
32 *Region. Basin Plan for the Coastal Watersheds of Los Angeles and Ventura Counties*. Los Angeles,
33 CA. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles/water_issues/programs/
34 basin_plan/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles/water_issues/programs/basin_plan/). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 35 Lucas, L., and J. K. Thompson. 2012. Changing Restoration Rules: Exotic Bivalves Interact With
36 Residence Time and Depth to Control Phytoplankton Productivity. *Ecosphere* 3(12). Available:
37 <https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1890/ES12-00251.1>. Accessed:
38 September 16, 2021.
- 39 Lürling, M., F. Eshetu, E. J. Faassen, S. Kosten, and V. L. M. Huszar. 2013. Comparison of
40 Cyanobacterial and Green Algal Growth Rates at Different Temperatures. *Freshwater Biology*
41 58:552–559. Available: [https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/
42 j.1365-2427.2012.02866.x](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2012.02866.x). Accessed: September 16, 2021.

- 1 Marmen, S., D. Aharonovich, M. Grossowicz, L. Blank, Y. Z. Yacobi, and D. J. Sher. 2016. Distribution
2 and Habitat Specificity of Potentially-Toxic *Microcystis* Across Climate, Land, and Water Use
3 Gradients. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 7:271. Available: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/
4 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00271/full](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00271/full). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 5 McCord, S. A., and W. A. Heim. 2015. Identification and Prioritization of Management Practices to
6 Reduce Methylmercury Exports from Wetlands and Irrigated Agricultural Lands. *Environmental
7 Management* 55:725–740.
- 8 Mioni, C., R. Kudela, and D. Baxa. 2012. *Harmful Cyanobacteria Blooms and Their Toxins in Clear Lake
9 and the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta (California)*. Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program
10 Report 10-058-150. Prepared for the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board,
11 Rancho Cordova, CA. Available: [https://www.lakecountyca.gov/Assets/Departments/
12 WaterResources/Algae/2011+Cyanobacteria+Report.pdf](https://www.lakecountyca.gov/Assets/Departments/WaterResources/Algae/2011+Cyanobacteria+Report.pdf). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 13 Misson, B., and D. Latour. 2012. Influence of Light, Sediment Mixing, Temperature and Duration of
14 the Benthic Life Phase on the Benthic Recruitment of *Microcystis*. *Journal of Plankton Research*
15 34(2):113–119. Available: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00820313>. Accessed:
16 September 16, 2021.
- 17 Mitchell, C. P. J., T. E. Jordan, A. Heyes, and C. C. Gilmour. 2012. Tidal Exchange of Total Mercury and
18 Methylmercury between a Salt Marsh and a Chesapeake Bay Sub-Estuary. *Biogeochemistry*
19 111(1):583–600. DOI 10.1007/s10533-011-9691-y.
- 20 Morgan-King, T. L., and S. A. Wright. 2016. *Sediment Budgets, Transport, and Depositional Trends in a
21 Large Tidal Delta*. April. 10th Federal Interagency Sedimentation Conference paper prepared for
22 the Advisory Committee on Water Information. Reno, NV. Available: [https://acwi.gov/sos/
23 pubs/3rdJFIC/Contents/5C-Morgan-King.pdf](https://acwi.gov/sos/pubs/3rdJFIC/Contents/5C-Morgan-King.pdf). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 24 MWH. 2011. *Validation of DSM2 QUAL for Simulation of Various Cations and Anions, Final Report*.
25 Prepared for Metropolitan Water District of Southern California. June. Summary presentation
26 available: https://www.cwemf.org/AMPresentations/2012/DSM2_QUALValidation.pdf.
27 Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 28 National Research Council. 2012. *Sustainable Water and Environmental Management in the California
29 Bay-Delta*. Washington, DC. The National Academies Press. Available: [https://www.nap.edu/
30 catalog/13394/sustainable-water-and-environmental-management-in-the-california-bay-delta](https://www.nap.edu/catalog/13394/sustainable-water-and-environmental-management-in-the-california-bay-delta).
31 Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 32 Novick, E., and D. B. Senn. 2014. *External Nutrient Loads to San Francisco Bay*. January Contribution
33 No. 704. San Francisco Estuary Institute, Richmond, CA. Available: [https://sfbaynutrients.
34 sfei.org/sites/default/files/NutrientLoadsFINAL_FINAL_Jan232014.pdf](https://sfbaynutrients.sfei.org/sites/default/files/NutrientLoadsFINAL_FINAL_Jan232014.pdf). Accessed: September
35 16, 2021.
- 36 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. 2017. *Development of Fish Contaminant Goals
37 and Advisory Tissue Levels for Common Contaminants in California Sport Fish: Chlordane, DDTs,
38 Dieldrin, Methylmercury, PCBs, Selenium, and Toxaphene (Toxicity Reference Values updated in
39 November 2017)*. Oakland, CA. Available: [https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/fish/report/
40 atlmhgandothers2008c.pdf](https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/fish/report/atlmhgandothers2008c.pdf). Accessed: September 16, 2021.

- 1 Pacific Gas and Electric Company. 2016. *Lake Britton 2016 Water Quality Monitoring*. Summary
2 presentation available: [https://mywaterquality.ca.gov/monitoring_council/cyanohab_network/
3 docs/meetings/pge.pdf](https://mywaterquality.ca.gov/monitoring_council/cyanohab_network/docs/meetings/pge.pdf). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 4 Paerl, H. W., T. G. Otten, and R. Kudela. 2018. Mitigating the Expansion of Harmful Algal Blooms
5 Across the Freshwater-to-Marine Continuum. *Environmental Science & Technology*
6 52:5519–5529. Available: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.7b05950>. Accessed:
7 September 16, 2021.
- 8 Palencia Consulting Engineers and Starr Consulting. 2017. *California State Water Project Watershed*
9 *Sanitary Survey 2016 Update*. June. Sacramento, CA. Prepared for State Water Project
10 Contractors Authority. Available: [https://www.scwa2.com/wp-content/uploads/
11 2020/01/N21e.2017-SWP-Sanitary-Survey-Update_reduced_version-ID-253435.pdf](https://www.scwa2.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/N21e.2017-SWP-Sanitary-Survey-Update_reduced_version-ID-253435.pdf). Accessed:
12 September 8, 2021.
- 13 Parker A. E., R. C. Dugdale, and F. P. Wilkerson. 2012. Elevated Ammonium Concentrations from
14 Wastewater Discharge Depress Primary Productivity in the Sacramento River and the Northern
15 San Francisco Estuary. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 64 (2012):574–586. Available:
16 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0025326X11006540?via%3Dihub>.
17 Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 18 Peacock, M. B., C. M. Gobble, D. B. Senn, J. E. Cloern, and R. M. Kudela. 2018. Blurred Lines: Multiple
19 Freshwater and Marine Algal Toxins at the Land-Sea Interface of San Francisco Bay, California.
20 *Harmful Algae* 73:138–147.
- 21 Port of Stockton. 2019. Aeration Facility. Available: [https://www.portofstockton.com/aeration-
22 facility](https://www.portofstockton.com/aeration-facility). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 23 Preece, E. P., F. J. Hardy, B. C. Moore, and M. Bryan. 2017. A Review of Microcystin Detections in
24 Estuarine and Marine Waters: Environmental Implications and Human Health Risk. *Harmful*
25 *Algae* 61:31–45. Available: [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
26 S1568988316302141?via%3Dihub](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1568988316302141?via%3Dihub). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 27 Presser, T. S., and S. N. Luoma. 2013. Ecosystem-scale Selenium Model for the San Francisco Bay-
28 Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Implementation Plan. *San Francisco Estuary and*
29 *Watershed Science* 11(1):1-39. Available: <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2td0b99t>. Accessed:
30 September 16, 2021.
- 31 Rastogi, R. P., D. Madamwar, and A. Incharoensakdi. 2015. Bloom Dynamics of Cyanobacteria and
32 Their Toxins: Environmental Health Impacts and Mitigation Strategies. *Frontiers of Microbiology*
33 6. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01254>.
- 34 Robinson, A., A. Richey, D. Slotton, J. Collins, and J. Davis. 2018. *North Bay Mercury Biosentinel Project*
35 *2016–2017*. Contribution # 868. San Francisco Estuary Institute and the Aquatic Science Center,
36 Richmond, CA. Available: [https://www.sfei.org/documents/north-bay-mercury-biosentinel-
37 project](https://www.sfei.org/documents/north-bay-mercury-biosentinel-project). Accessed: January 12, 2022.
- 38 Saleh, D., and J. L. Domagalski. 2015. SPARROW modeling of nitrogen sources and transport in rivers
39 and streams of California and adjacent states, US. *Journal of the American Water Resources*
40 *Association* 51(6):1487–1507. Available: [https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/
41 1752-1688.12325](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/1752-1688.12325). Accessed: September 16, 2021.

- 1 San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2016. *Water Quality Control Plan for the San Diego*
2 *Basin (9) (with amendments effective on or before May 17, 2016)*. September. San Diego, CA.
3 Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sandiego/water_issues/programs/basin_plan/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sandiego/water_issues/programs/basin_plan/docs/R9_Basin_Plan.pdf)
4 [docs/R9_Basin_Plan.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sandiego/water_issues/programs/basin_plan/docs/R9_Basin_Plan.pdf). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 5 San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2012. *Suisun Marsh TMDL for*
6 *Methylmercury, Dissolved Oxygen, and Nutrient Biostimulation, Part 1: Project Definition, Part 2:*
7 *Project Plan*. September. Prepared by Barbara Baginska. Available:
8 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb2/water_issues/programs/TMDLs/suisunmarsh/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb2/water_issues/programs/TMDLs/suisunmarsh/SM_Project%20Definition&Plan_Sep'12.pdf)
9 [SM_Project%20Definition&Plan_Sep'12.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb2/water_issues/programs/TMDLs/suisunmarsh/SM_Project%20Definition&Plan_Sep'12.pdf). Accessed: September 8, 2021.
- 10 San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2015. *Amending the Water Quality Control*
11 *Plan for the San Francisco Bay Basin to Establish a Total Maximum Daily Load and*
12 *Implementation Plan for Selenium in North San Francisco Bay*. Resolution No. R2-2015-0048.
13 Oakland, CA. Available:
14 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/board_decisions/adopted_orders/2015/R2-](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/board_decisions/adopted_orders/2015/R2-2015-0048.pdf)
15 [2015-0048.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/board_decisions/adopted_orders/2015/R2-2015-0048.pdf). Accessed: June 12, 2022.
- 16 San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2018. *Establish Water Quality Objectives*
17 *and a Total Maximum Daily Load for Dissolved Oxygen in Suisun Marsh and Add Suisun Marsh to*
18 *SF Bay Mercury TMDL*. Staff Report for Proposed Basin Plan Amendment. Oakland, CA. Available:
19 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/tmdl/records/region_2/2018/ref45](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/tmdl/records/region_2/2018/ref4584.pdf)
20 [84.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/tmdl/records/region_2/2018/ref4584.pdf). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 21 San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2019. *San Francisco Bay Basin (Region 2)*
22 *Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan)*. California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San
23 Francisco Bay Region. Oakland, CA. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/basin_planning.html)
24 [sanfranciscobay/basin_planning.html](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/basin_planning.html). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 25 Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2019. *Water Quality Control Plan for the Santa Ana*
26 *River Basin (Region 8)*. June. Riverside, CA. Available:
27 https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/santaana/water_issues/programs/basin_plan/. Accessed:
28 September 16, 2021.
- 29 Schindler, D. W., S. R. Carpenter, S. C. Chapra, R. E. Hecky, and D. M. Orhel, 2016. Reducing
30 Phosphorus to Curb Lake Eutrophication is a Success. *Environmental Science & Technology*
31 50:8923–8929. Available: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.6b02204>. Accessed:
32 September 16, 2021.
- 33 Schoellhamer, D., S. Wright, J. Drexler, and M. Stacey. 2007. Ecosystem Conceptual Model:
34 Sedimentation. In *Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Implementation*
35 *Plan*. Sacramento, CA. Available: <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=6411>.
36 Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 37 Schoellhamer, D. H., S. A. Wright, and J. Z. Drexler. 2012. Conceptual Model of Sedimentation in the
38 Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 10(3):1–25.
39 Available: <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2652z8sq>. Accessed: September 16, 2021.

- 1 Senn, D. B., and E. Novick. 2014. *Scientific Foundation for the San Francisco Bay Nutrient Management*
2 *Strategy (Nutrient Conceptual Model)*. Draft Final. October. Prepared for the San Francisco
3 Estuary Institute, Richmond, CA. Available: [https://sfbaynutrients.sfei.org/sites/default/files/
4 SFBNutrientConceptualModel_Draft_Final_Oct2014.pdf](https://sfbaynutrients.sfei.org/sites/default/files/SFBNutrientConceptualModel_Draft_Final_Oct2014.pdf). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 5 Sommer, T., R. Hartman, M. Koller, M. Koochafkan, J. L. Conrad, M. MacWilliams, A. Bever, C. Burdi, A.
6 Hennessy, and M. Beakes. 2020. Evaluation of a Large-Scale Flow Manipulation to the Upper San
7 Francisco Estuary: Response of Habitat Conditions for and Endangered Native Fish. *PLoS ONE*
8 15(10): e0234673. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283712500_
9 Unprecedented_Bloom_of_Toxin-Producing_Cyanobacteria_in_the_Southern_Bay-
10 Delta_Estuary_and_its_Potential_Negative_Impact_on_the_Aquatic_Food-Web_Report_451](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283712500_Unprecedented_Bloom_of_Toxin-Producing_Cyanobacteria_in_the_Southern_Bay-Delta_Estuary_and_its_Potential_Negative_Impact_on_the_Aquatic_Food-Web_Report_451).
11 Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 12 Spier, C., W. Stringfellow, J. Hanlon, M. Estiandan, T. Koski, and J. Kaaria. 2013. *Unprecedented Bloom*
13 *of Toxin-Producing Cyanobacteria in the Southern Bay-Delta Estuary and its Potential Negative*
14 *Impact on the Aquatic Food Web*. University of the Pacific Ecological Engineering Research
15 Program Report 4.5.1. Available: DOI:10.13140/RG.2.1.3730.3768. Accessed: September 16,
16 2021.
- 17 State Water Resources Control Board. 2000. *Revised Water Right Decision 1641 In the Matter of:*
18 *Implementation of Water Quality Objectives for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin*
19 *Delta Estuary; A Petition to Change Points of Diversion of the Central Valley Project and the State*
20 *Water Project in the Southern Delta; and A Petition to Change Places of Use and Purposes of Use of*
21 *the Central Valley Project. December 29, 1999. Revised in Accordance with Order WR 2000-02,*
22 *March 15, 2000.* Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/
23 programs/bay_delta/decision_1641/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/decision_1641/). Accessed: November 17, 2021.
- 24 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021. Final Staff Report, *2018 Integrated Report*
25 *for Clean Water Act Sections 305(b) and 303(d)*. Available:
26 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/water_quality_assessment/2018_int
27 egrated_report.html](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/water_quality_assessment/2018_integrated_report.html). Accessed: June 14, 2022.
- 28 State Water Resources Control Board. 2018. *Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco*
29 *Bay/Sacramento San Joaquin Delta Estuary*. Sacramento, CA. Available:
30 https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/plans_policies/. Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 31 Stern, M. A., L. E. Flint, A. L. Flint, N. Knowles, and S. A. Wright. 2020. The Future of Sediment
32 Transport and Streamflow Under a Changing Climate and the Implications for Long-term
33 Resilience of the San Francisco Bay-Delta. *Water Resources Research* 56(9): e2019WR026245.
34 Available: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2019WR026245>. Accessed:
35 September 16, 2021.
- 36 Stewart, A. R., S. N. Luoma, K. A. Elrick, J. L. Carter, and M. van der Wegen. 2013. Influence of
37 Estuarine Processes on Spatiotemporal Variation in Bioavailable Selenium. *Marine Ecology Progress*
38 *Series* 492:41–56. Available: <http://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v492/p41-56/>. Accessed:
39 September 16, 2021.
- 40 Stumpner, E. B., B. A. Bergamaschi, T. E. C. Kraus, A. E. Parker, F. P. Wilkderson, B. D. Downing, R. C.
41 Dugdale, M. C. Murrell, K. D. Carpenter, J. L. Orlando, and C. Kendall. 2020. *Science of the Total*
42 *Environment* 700(134392):1–16.

- 1 Sutula, M., and D. Senn. 2017. *Scientific Basis to Assess the Effects of Nutrients on San Francisco Bay*
2 *Beneficial Uses*. July. Technical Report 864. Prepared for the Southern California Coastal Water
3 Research Project. Available: [https://ftp.sccwrp.org/pub/download/DOCUMENTS/
4 TechnicalReports/864_SFBayAssessmentFramework.pdf](https://ftp.sccwrp.org/pub/download/DOCUMENTS/TechnicalReports/864_SFBayAssessmentFramework.pdf). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 5 Sutula, M., R. Kudela, J. D. Hagy, L. W. Harding, D. Senn, J. E. Cloern, S. Bricker, G. M. Berg, and M. Beck.
6 2017. Novel Analyses of Long-Term Data Provide a Scientific Basis for Chlorophyll-a Thresholds
7 In San Francisco Bay. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 197:107–118. Available:
8 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0272771417307205?via%3Dihub>.
9 Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 10 Ta, J., L. W. J. Anderson, M. A. Christman, S. Khanna, D. Kratville, J. D. Madsen, P. J. Moran, and J. H.
11 Viers. 2017. Invasive aquatic vegetation management in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta:
12 Status and Recommendations. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 15(4):Article 5.
13 Available: <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/828355w6>. Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 14 Tetra Tech, Inc. 2006. *Conceptual Model for Organic Carbon in the Central Valley and Sacramento–San*
15 *Joaquin Delta*. Final Report. April. Lafayette, CA. Prepared for U.S. Environmental Protection
16 Agency Region IX and Central Valley Drinking Water Policy Workgroup. Available:
17 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/drinking_water_policy/oc_model
18 final.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/drinking_water_policy/oc_model_final.pdf). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 19 Tetra Tech, Inc. and Wetlands and Water Resources. 2013. *Suisun Marsh Conceptual Model/
20 Impairment Assessment Report for Organic Enrichment, Dissolved Oxygen, Mercury, Salinity, and
21 Nutrients*. November. Lafayette, CA, and San Rafael, CA. Prepared for San Francisco Bay Regional
22 Water Quality Control Board. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb2/
23 water_issues/programs/TMDLs/suisunmarsh/SM_CMIA%20ReportNov-2013.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb2/water_issues/programs/TMDLs/suisunmarsh/SM_CMIA%20ReportNov-2013.pdf). Accessed:
24 September 16, 2021.
- 25 Tonk, L., K. Bosch, P. M. Visser, J. Huisman. 2007. Salt Tolerance of the Harmful Cyanobacterium
26 *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 46:117–123.
- 27 Turner, R. R., C. P. J. Mitchell, A. D. Kopec, and R. A. Bodaly. 2018. Tidal Fluxes of Mercury and
28 Methylmercury for Mendall Marsh, Penobscot River Estuary, Maine. *Science of the Total
29 Environment* 637–638:145–154.
- 30 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2015a. *Water Quality Progress Report, Stockton Deep Water*
31 *Ship Channel—Dissolved Oxygen (Approved 2007)*. Available: [https://19january2017
32 snapshot.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-07/documents/5-stockton-do-tmdl-
33 implementation-report-2015-06-15.pdf](https://19january2017snapshot.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-07/documents/5-stockton-do-tmdl-implementation-report-2015-06-15.pdf). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 34 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2015b. *Water Quality Progress Report. Grassland Marshes—*
35 *Selenium*. Updated June 15, 2015. Available: [https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-
36 07/documents/2-grassland-marshes-selenium-tmdl-implementation-report-2015-06-15.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-07/documents/2-grassland-marshes-selenium-tmdl-implementation-report-2015-06-15.pdf).
37 Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 38 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2016. *Aquatic Life Ambient Water Quality Criterion for*
39 *Selenium—Freshwater 2016*. June. Washington, D.C. Available:
40 <https://www.epa.gov/wqc/aquatic-life-criterion-selenium>. Accessed: September 16, 2021.

- 1 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2018. Water Quality Standards; Establishment of a Numeric
2 Criterion for Selenium for the State of California. Federal Register Vol. 83, No. 239 Proposed
3 Rules 64059.
- 4 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021. *Health Effects from Cyanotoxins*. Available:
5 <https://www.epa.gov/cyano-habs/health-effects-cyanotoxins>. Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 6 U.S. Geological Survey. 2008. Mercury Conceptual Model: Mercury. In *Delta Regional Ecosystem*
7 *Restoration Implementation Plan*. January. Sacramento, CA. Prepared by US Geological Staff
8 Members C. N. Alpers, C. Eagles-Smith, C. Foe, S. Klasing, M. C. Marvin-DiPasquale, D. G. Slotton,
9 and L. Windham-Meyers. Published Research Item 476. Available: [https://digitalcommons.
10 unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1485&context=usgsstaffpub](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1485&context=usgsstaffpub). Accessed: September 8, 2021.
- 11 U.S. Geological Survey. 2020. Cyanobacterial (Blue-Green Algal) Blooms: Tastes, Odors and Toxins.
12 Available: [https://www.usgs.gov/centers/kswsc/science/cyanobacterial-blue-green-algal-
13 blooms-tastes-odors-and-toxins-0?qt-science_center_objects=0#qt-science_center_objects](https://www.usgs.gov/centers/kswsc/science/cyanobacterial-blue-green-algal-blooms-tastes-odors-and-toxins-0?qt-science_center_objects=0#qt-science_center_objects).
14 Accessed: October 25, 2021.
- 15 Verspagen, J. M. H., E. O. F. M. Snelder, P. M. Visser, J. Huisman, L. R. Mur, and B. W. Ibelings. 2004.
16 Recruitment of Benthic *Microcystis* (Cyanophyceae) to the Water Column: Internal Buoyancy
17 Changes or Resuspension? *Journal of Phycology* 40:260–270. DWR Document DWR-749.
18 Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/
19 programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/petitioners_exhibit/dwr/DWR-749.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/petitioners_exhibit/dwr/DWR-749.pdf).
20 Accessed: September 16, 2021. Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 21 Vroom, J., M. van der Wegen, R. C. Martyr-Koller, and L. V. Lucas. 2017. *What Determines Water*
22 *Temperature Dynamics in the San Francisco Bay-Delta System?* Water Resources Research.
23 53(11). <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2016WR020062>. Accessed:
24 September 16, 2021.
- 25 Wagner, R.W., M. Stacey, L.R. Brown, and M. Dettinger. 2011. Statistical Models of Temperature in
26 the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Under Climate-Change Scenarios and Ecological Implications.
27 *Estuaries and Coasts* 34(3):544–556. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-010-9369-z>.
- 28 Wang, R., H. Chen, Y. Luo, P. Moran, M. Grieneisen, and M. Zhang. 2019. Nitrate Runoff Contributing
29 from the Agriculturally Intensive San Joaquin River Watershed to Bay-Delta in California.
30 *Sustainability* 11:2845. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/11/10/2845/htm>.
31 Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 32 Werner, I., and J. Oram. 2008. Ecosystem Conceptual Model: Pyrethroid Insecticides. In *Sacramento–*
33 *San Joaquin Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Implementation Plan*. February. Sacramento,
34 CA. Available: <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=6414>. Accessed:
35 September 16, 2021.
- 36 Weston, D. P., and M. Lydy. 2010. Urban and Agricultural Sources of Pyrethroid Insecticides to the
37 Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta of California. *Environmental Science & Technology* 44:1833–1840.
38 Available: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/es9035573>. Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 39 Wilson, A. E., W. A. Wilson, and M. E. Hay. 2006. Intraspecific Variation in Growth and Morphology of
40 the Bloom-Forming Cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Applied and Environmental*
41 *Microbiology* 72(11):7386–7389. Available: [https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/AEM.00834-
42 06](https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/AEM.00834-06). Accessed: September 16, 2021.

- 1 Windham-Myers, L., M. Marvin-Dipasquale, D. Krabbenhoft, J. L. Agee, M. H. Cox, P. Heredia-
2 Middleton, C. Cotes, and E. Kakouros. 2009. Experimental Removal of Wetland Emergent
3 Vegetation Leads to Decreased Methylmercury Production in Surface Sediment. *Journal of*
4 *Geophysical Research* 114:1–14.
- 5 Wright, S., and D. Schoellhamer. 2005. Estimating Sediment Budgets at the Interface Between Rivers
6 and Estuaries with Application to the Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta. *Water Resources*
7 *Research* 41:1–17. Available: <https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/70027881>. Accessed:
8 September 16, 2021.
- 9 Wu, X., C. Noss, L. Liu, and A. Lorke. 2019. Effects of Small-Scale Turbulence at the Air-Water
10 Interface on *Microcystis* Surface Scum Formation. *Water Research* 168(110591):1–9.
11 Available: [http://www.jlakes.org/uploadfile/news_images/hpkx/2019-10-27/1-s2.0-](http://www.jlakes.org/uploadfile/news_images/hpkx/2019-10-27/1-s2.0-S0043135419308656-2019.pdf)
12 [S0043135419308656-2019.pdf](http://www.jlakes.org/uploadfile/news_images/hpkx/2019-10-27/1-s2.0-S0043135419308656-2019.pdf). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 13 You, J., K. Mallery, J. Hong, and M. Hondzo. 2018. Temperature Effects on Growth and Buoyancy of
14 *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Journal of Plankton Research* 40(1):16–28.

15 Chapter 10, Geology and Seismicity

- 16 AECOM. 2013. *Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement for the Sun Creek*
17 *Specific Plan Project*. Prepared for City of Rancho Cordova and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
18 Sacramento District. October. Sacramento, CA. Available:
19 [https://www.cityofranhocordova.org/home/showpublisheddocument/9629/](https://www.cityofranhocordova.org/home/showpublisheddocument/9629/635895080495700000)
20 [635895080495700000](https://www.cityofranhocordova.org/home/showpublisheddocument/9629/635895080495700000). Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 21 Association of Bay Area Governments. 2021. Metropolitan Transportation Commission/Association
22 of Bay Area Governments Hazard Viewer Map. Available: [https://mtc.maps.arcgis.com/apps/](https://mtc.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=4a6f3f1259df42eab29b35dfcd086fc8)
23 [webappviewer/index.html?id=4a6f3f1259df42eab29b35dfcd086fc8](https://mtc.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=4a6f3f1259df42eab29b35dfcd086fc8). Accessed: April 26, 2021.
- 24 Atwater, B. F. 1982. *Geologic Maps of the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta, California: U.S. Geological*
25 *Survey*. Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1401, scale 1:24,000. Reston, VA.
- 26 Atwater, B. F., and D. F. Belknap. 1980. Tidal-Wetland Deposits of the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta,
27 California. In: M. E. Field, A. H. Bouma, I. P. Colburn, R. G. Douglas, and J. C. Ingle (eds.).
28 *Quaternary Depositional Environments of the Pacific Coast: [papers] Pacific Coast*
29 *Paleogeography, Symposium 4*, April 9. Los Angeles, CA: Pacific Section, Society of Economic
30 Paleontologists and Mineralogists.
- 31 California Department of Conservation. 2021. *Big California Earthquakes*. Available:
32 <https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/earthquakes/significant> Accessed: August 10, 2021.
- 33 California Department of Water Resources. 1992. *Seismic Stability Evaluation of the Sacramento-San*
34 *Joaquin Delta Levees*. Volume 1. August. Prepared by the Division of Construction and Design,
35 Sacramento, CA. Available: [http://www.seas.ucla.edu/~jstewart/cee220/](http://www.seas.ucla.edu/~jstewart/cee220/Sac-San%20Joaquin%20Delta%20Levees%201%2011%2006.pdf)
36 [Sac-San%20Joaquin%20Delta%20Levees%201%2011%2006.pdf](http://www.seas.ucla.edu/~jstewart/cee220/Sac-San%20Joaquin%20Delta%20Levees%201%2011%2006.pdf). Accessed: November 2, 2021.
- 37 California Department of Water Resources. 2007a. *Technical Memorandum. Delta Risk Management*
38 *Strategy (DRMS) Phase 1 (Topical 1 Area: Geomorphology, Final)*. July 31. Prepared by URS
39 Corporation/Jack R. Benjamin and Associates, Inc.

- 1 California Department of Water Resources. 2007b. *Technical Memorandum. Delta Risk Management*
2 *Strategy (DRMS) Phase 1 (Topical Area 1: Seismology, Final)*. July 15. Prepared by URS
3 Corporation/Jack R. Benjamin and Associates, Inc.
- 4 California Department of Water Resources. 2008. *Risk Analysis Report (Final): Delta Risk*
5 *Management Strategy (DRMS) Phase 1*. December 8. Prepared by URS Corporation/Jack R.
6 Benjamin and Associates, Inc.
- 7 California Department of Water Resources. 2012a. *State Water Project—Seismic Loading Criteria*
8 *Report*. Division of Engineering. September. Available: [https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/swp_seismic_loading_criteria_report.pdf)
9 [content/uploads/2017/11/swp_seismic_loading_criteria_report.pdf](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/swp_seismic_loading_criteria_report.pdf). Accessed: June 23, 2021.
- 10 California Department of Water Resources. 2012b. *FloodSAFE Urban Levee Design Criteria*. May.
11 Available: https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/ULDC_May2012.pdf.
12 Accessed: June 23, 2021.
- 13 California Department of Water Resources. 2015. *Levee Failures in the Sacramento-San Joaquin*
14 *River Delta*. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/PPorgans/porgans_301.pdf)
15 [programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/PPorgans/porgans_301.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/PPorgans/porgans_301.pdf). Accessed:
16 August 17, 2021.
- 17 California Geological Survey. 2008. *Guidelines for Evaluating and Mitigating Seismic Hazards in*
18 *California*. Special Publication 117A. Originally adopted March 13, 1997, by the State Mining and
19 Geology Board. Revised and re-adopted September 11, 2008. Available:
20 [https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Special-Publications/](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Special-Publications/SP_117a.pdf)
21 [SP_117a.pdf](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Special-Publications/SP_117a.pdf). Accessed: April 9, 2021.
- 22 California Geological Survey. 2016. *Earthquake Shaking Potential for California*. Map Sheet 48.
23 Available: [https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Map-Sheets/](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Map-Sheets/MS_048.pdf)
24 [MS_048.pdf](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Map-Sheets/MS_048.pdf).
- 25 California Geological Survey. 2018a. *Earthquake Fault Zones—A Guide for Government Agencies,*
26 *Property Owners/Developers, and Geoscience Practitioners for Assessing Fault Rupture Hazards in*
27 *California*. Special Publication 42. Sacramento, CA: Department of Conservation. Available:
28 [https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Special-](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Special-Publications/SP_042.pdf)
29 [Publications/SP_042.pdf](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Special-Publications/SP_042.pdf). Accessed: April 17, 2021.
- 30 California Geological Survey. 2018b. *Seismic Hazard Zone Report for the Woodward Island 7.5 Minute*
31 *Quadrangle, Contra Costa County, California*. Seismic Hazard Zone Report 121. Sacramento, CA:
32 Department of Conservation. Available: [https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/](https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/regulatorymaps/)
33 [informationwarehouse/regulatorymaps/](https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/regulatorymaps/). Accessed: May 19, 2021.
- 34 California Geological Survey. 2018c. *Seismic Hazard Zone Report for the Bouldin Island 7.5 Minute*
35 *Quadrangle, Contra Costa County, California*. Seismic Hazard Zone Report 122. Sacramento, CA:
36 Department of Conservation. Available: [https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/](https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/regulatorymaps/)
37 [informationwarehouse/regulatorymaps/](https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/regulatorymaps/). Accessed: May 19, 2021.
- 38 California Geological Survey. 2021a. *EQ Zapp: California Earthquake Hazards Zone Application*.
39 Sacramento, CA: Department of Conservation. Available: [https://www.conservation.ca.gov/](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/geohazards/eq-zapp)
40 [cgs/geohazards/eq-zapp](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/geohazards/eq-zapp). Accessed: December 8, 2021.

- 1 California Geological Survey. 2021b. Data Viewer, Earthquake Zone App. Available:
2 <https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/DataViewer/index.html>. Accessed: June 23, 2021.
- 3 California Geological Survey. 2021c. *Seismic Hazard Zone Map and Evaluation Report of the Clifton*
4 *Court Forebay Quadrangle, SHZR 13, Contra Costa County, California*. Seismic Hazard Zone Report
5 131. Sacramento, CA: Department of Conservation. Available:
6 [https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/SHZR/SHZR_131_Clifton_Court](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/SHZR/SHZR_131_Clifton_Court_Forebay_a11y.pdf)
7 [_Forebay_a11y.pdf](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/SHZR/SHZR_131_Clifton_Court_Forebay_a11y.pdf). Accessed: December 8, 2021.
- 8 California Governor’s Office of Emergency Services. 2021. MyHazards. Tsunami Risk for Benicia
9 Vicinity. State of California. Available: <http://myhazards.caloes.ca.gov/>. Accessed: April 19,
10 2021.
- 11 Cherven, V. B., and S. A. Graham (eds.). 1983. *Geology and Sedimentology of the Southwestern*
12 *Sacramento Basin and East Bay Hills*. Field Trip Guidebook, Pacific Section. Los Angeles, CA:
13 Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists.
- 14 County of Contra Costa. 2005. *Contra Costa County General Plan 2005–2020*. Martinez, CA: Contra
15 Costa County Community Development Department. Available:
16 <https://www.contracosta.ca.gov/6970/Envision-Contra-Costa-2040>. Accessed: April 23, 2021.
- 17 DeCourten, F. 2008. *Geology of Northern California*. Available: [https://www.cengage.com/custom/](https://www.cengage.com/custom/regional_geology/bak/data/DeCourten_0495763829_LowRes_New.pdf)
18 [regional_geology/bak/data/DeCourten_0495763829_LowRes_New.pdf](https://www.cengage.com/custom/regional_geology/bak/data/DeCourten_0495763829_LowRes_New.pdf). Accessed: September 21,
19 2012.
- 20 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
21 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 22 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
23 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 24 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022c. Liquefaction and Ground Improvement
25 Analysis (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment H: Other Sitewide Considerations,
26 *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*.
27 May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 28 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022d. West Tracy Fault Preliminary
29 Displacement Hazard Analysis (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment H: Other
30 Sitewide Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—*
31 *Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 32 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022e. Potential Future Field Investigations—
33 Central and Eastern Corridor Options (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment H:
34 Other Sitewide Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project*
35 *Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 36 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022f. Liquefaction and Ground Improvement
37 Analysis for Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In
38 Attachment: Technical Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project*
39 *Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.

- 1 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022g. Conceptual Design Phase Seismic Site
2 Response Analysis (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment H: Other Sitewide
3 Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and*
4 *Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 5 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022h. Levee Vulnerability Assessment and
6 Flood Risk Management Supplement — Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical
7 Memorandum. In Attachment: Technical Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
8 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 9 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022i. Supplementary Tunnel Information—
10 Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment: Technical
11 Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Bethany*
12 *Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 13 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022j. Conceptual Tunnel Lining Evaluation
14 (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment B: Tunnels and Shafts, *Volume 1: Delta*
15 *Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022.
16 Sacramento, CA.
- 17 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022k. Soil Balance (Final Draft). Technical
18 Memorandum. In Attachment H: Other Sitewide Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance*
19 *Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 20 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022l. Post-Construction Land Reclamation
21 (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment H: Other Sitewide Considerations, *Volume*
22 *1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May
23 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 24 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022m. Soil Balance and Reusable Tunnel
25 Material Supplement—Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft) (Final Draft). In Attachment:
26 Technical Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—*
27 *Bethany Reservoir Alternative* May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 28 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022n. Post-Construction Land Reclamation
29 Supplement—Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In
30 Attachment: Technical Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project*
31 *Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 32 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022o. Conceptual-Level Seismic Design and
33 Geohazard Evaluation Criteria (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment H: Other
34 Sitewide Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—*
35 *Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 36 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022p. Potential Future Field Investigations—
37 Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment: Technical
38 Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Bethany*
39 *Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.

- 1 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022q. Tunneling Effects Assessment (Final
2 Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment B: Tunnels and Shafts, *Volume 1: Delta*
3 *Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022.
4 Sacramento, CA.
- 5 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022r. Dewatering Estimates for Intake
6 Facilities and Southern Forebay Emergency Spillway (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In
7 Attachment H: Other Sitewide Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
8 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 9 Delta Independent Science Board. 2016. *Workshop Report—Earthquakes and High Water as Levee*
10 *Hazards in the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta*. September 30.
- 11 Deverel, S. J., and D. A. Leighton. 2010. Historic, recent, and future subsidence, Sacramento–San
12 Joaquin Delta, California, USA. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 8(2). Available:
13 <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7xd4x0xw>. Accessed: August 15, 2021
- 14 Field, E. H., G. P. Biasi, P. Bird, T. E. Dawson, K. R. Felzer, D. D. Jackson, K. M. Johnson, T. H. Jordan, C.
15 Madden, A. J. Michael, K. R. Milner, M. T. Page, T. Parsons, P. M. Powers, B. E. Shaw, W. R.
16 Thatcher, R. J. Weldon, II, and Y. Zeng. 2015. Long-Term Time-Dependent Probabilities for the
17 Third Uniform California Earthquake Rupture Forecast (UCERF3). *Bulletin of the Seismological*
18 *Society of America* (2015) 105 (2A): 511–543. Available:
19 [https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/ssa/bssa/article-abstract/105/2A/511/331850/Long-](https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/ssa/bssa/article-abstract/105/2A/511/331850/Long-Term-Time-Dependent-Probabilities-for-the?redirectedFrom=fulltext)
20 [Term-Time-Dependent-Probabilities-for-the?redirectedFrom=fulltext](https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/ssa/bssa/article-abstract/105/2A/511/331850/Long-Term-Time-Dependent-Probabilities-for-the?redirectedFrom=fulltext). Accessed: May 2, 2022.
- 21 Fugro Consultants. 2011. *Reprocessing and Interpretation of Seismic Reflection Data, Clifton Court*
22 *Forebay*. Letter to Mark Pagenkopp, California Department of Water Resources. July 22. Walnut
23 Creek, CA.
- 24 Graymer, R. W., D. L. Jones, and E. E. Brabb. 2002. *Geologic Map and Map Database of Northeastern*
25 *San Francisco Bay Region, California, Most of Solano County and Parts of Napa, Marin, Contra*
26 *Costa, San Joaquin, Sacramento, Yolo, and Sonoma Counties*. Pamphlet to accompany
27 Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-2403. Version 1.0. U.S. Geological Survey. Available:
28 <https://pubs.usgs.gov/mf/2002/2403/>. Accessed: February 16, 2021.
- 29 Helley, E. J., and D. S. Harwood. 1985. *Geologic Map of the Late Cenozoic Deposits of the Sacramento*
30 *Valley and Northern Sierran Foothills, California*: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field
31 Studies Map MF-1790, scale 1:62,500, 5 sheets. Last revised: October 22, 2007. Available:
32 https://pubs.usgs.gov/mf/1985/1790/mf1790_pamphlet.pdf. Accessed: February 16, 2021.
- 33 Holzer, T. L. 1998. Strong Ground Motion and Ground Failure. *In* The Loma Prieta, California,
34 Earthquake of October 17, 1989—Liquefaction. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1551-
35 B. U.S. Geological Survey. Available: pubs.usgs.gov/pp/1551b/report.pdf.
- 36 Knudsen, K. L., J. M. Sowers, R. C. Witter, C. M. Wentworth, and E. J. Helley. 2000. Preliminary Maps of
37 Quaternary Deposits and Liquefaction Susceptibility, Nine-County San Francisco Bay Region,
38 California: a Digital Database. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 00-444. Available:
39 <https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/ofr00444>. Accessed: February 16, 2021.
- 40 Lettis, W. R. 1982. *Late Cenozoic Stratigraphy and Structure of the Western Margin of the Central San*
41 *Joaquin Valley, California*. U.S. Geological Survey, Open-File Report 82-526. Available:
42 <https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/1982/0526/report.pdf>. Accessed: February 16, 2021.

- 1 Lettis, W. R., and J. R. Unruh. 1991. Quaternary Geology of the Great Valley, California. In R. B.
2 Morrison (ed.), *Quaternary Non-Glacial Geology of the Western United States: Decade of North*
3 *American Geology*. Volume K 2:164–176, Geological Society of America.
- 4 Lettis Consultants International, Inc. 2021. *Seismic Hazard Analyses and Development of Conceptual*
5 *Seismic Design Ground Motions for the Delta Conveyance*. Final Report, Rev. 1. May 17. Prepared
6 for Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority.
- 7 Moore, J., and R. Shlemon. 2010. Levee System Fragility. Chapter 5 in *The State of Bay-Delta Science,*
8 *2008*. RTD-165. CalFed Science Program. Available: [https://documentcloud.adobe.com/](https://documentcloud.adobe.com/spodintegration/index.html?r=1&locale=en-us)
9 [spodintegration/index.html?r=1&locale=en-us](https://documentcloud.adobe.com/spodintegration/index.html?r=1&locale=en-us). Sacramento, CA.
- 10 Ritter, J. R., and W. R. Dupre. 1972. *Areas of Potential Inundation by Tsunamis in the San Francisco*
11 *Bay Region, California. Miscellaneous Field Studies MF 480*. U.S. Geological Survey. Prepared in
12 Cooperation with the Department of Housing and Urban Development. Available:
13 <https://pubs.usgs.gov/mf/0480/plate-1.pdf>. Accessed: May 4, 2021.
- 14 Roberts, S., M. Roberts, and E. Brennan. 1999. Landslides in Alameda County, California. A digital
15 database extracted from preliminary photointerpretation maps of surficial deposits by T. H.
16 Nilsen. In: *U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 99-504*. U.S. Geological Survey. Available:
17 https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/1999/of99-504/of99-504_8b.pdf. Accessed: May 7, 2021.
- 18 Shlemon, R. J. 1971. The Quaternary Deltaic and Channel System in the Central Great Valley,
19 California. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 61:427–440.
- 20 Shlemon, R. J., and E. L. Begg. 1975. Late Quaternary Evolution of the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta,
21 California. In R. P. Suggate and M. M. Cresswell (eds.), *Quaternary Studies* 13:259–266.
22 Wellington, New Zealand: The Royal Society of New Zealand.
- 23 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2016. *Engineering and Design—Earthquake Design and Evaluation for*
24 *Civil Works Projects*. Engineering Report 1110-2-1806. May. Available:
25 [https://www.publications.usace.army.mil/Portals/76/Publications/EngineerRegulations/](https://www.publications.usace.army.mil/Portals/76/Publications/EngineerRegulations/ER_1110-2-1806.pdf)
26 [ER_1110-2-1806.pdf](https://www.publications.usace.army.mil/Portals/76/Publications/EngineerRegulations/ER_1110-2-1806.pdf). Accessed: May 10, 2021.
- 27 U.S. Geological Survey. 2015. UCERF3: A New Earthquake Forecast for California’s Complex Fault
28 System. March. Available: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2015/3009/pdf/fs2015-3009.pdf>.
29 Accessed: April 30, 2021.
- 30 U.S. Geological Survey. 2016. Earthquake Outlook for the San Francisco Bay Region 2014–2043. Fact
31 Sheet 2016-3020. Version 1. August. Available:
32 <https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2016/3020/fs20163020.pdf>. Accessed: April 30, 2021.
- 33 U.S. Geological Survey. 2021. Quaternary Faults. Available:
34 [https://usgs.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=5a6038b3a1684561a9b0aa](https://usgs.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=5a6038b3a1684561a9b0aadf88412fcf)
35 [df88412fcf](https://usgs.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=5a6038b3a1684561a9b0aadf88412fcf). Accessed: April 19, 2021.
- 36 Unruh, J. R., and C. S. Hitchcock. 2014. *Detailed Mapping and Analysis of Fold Deformation above the*
37 *West Tracy Fault, Southern San Joaquin–Sacramento Delta, Northern California: Collaborative*
38 *Research with Lettis Consultants and Infraterra. Final Technical Report*. U.S. Geological Survey
39 National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program Award G14AP00069. Available:
40 https://earthquake.usgs.gov/cfusion/external_grants/reports/G14AP00069.pdf. Accessed:
41 April 19, 2021.

- 1 Wagner, D. L., E. J. Bortugno, and R. D. McJunkin. 1991. *Geologic Map of the San Francisco–San Jose*
2 *Quadrangle*. Division of Mines and Geology Regional Geologic Map Series, Map 5A, California
3 1:250,000. Available: www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/maps-data/rgm. Accessed: February 15,
4 2021.
- 5 Whipple, A. A., R. M. Grossinger, D. Rankin, B. Stanford, and R. A. Askevold. 2012. *Sacramento-San*
6 *Joaquin Delta Historical Ecology Investigation: Exploring Pattern and Process*. Prepared for the
7 California Department of Fish and Game and Ecosystem Restoration Program. A Report of SFEI-
8 ASC’s Historical Ecology Program, Publication #672. San Francisco Estuary Institute-Aquatic
9 Science Center, Point Richmond, CA. Available: [https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/
10 biblio_files/Delta_HistoricalEcologyStudy_SFEI_ASC_2012_lowres.pdf](https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/biblio_files/Delta_HistoricalEcologyStudy_SFEI_ASC_2012_lowres.pdf). Accessed: March 4, 2021.
- 11 Wong, I., P. Thomas, N. Lewandowski, J. Unruh, B. Darragh, W. Silva, and D. Majors. 2021. Seismic
12 Hazard Analyses of the Metropolitan Water District Emergency Freshwater Pathway, California.
13 *Earthquake Spectra Journal*, November 1, 2021.
- 14 Working Group on Northern California Earthquake Potential. 2012. *Database of Potential Sources for*
15 *Earthquakes Larger than Magnitude 6 in Northern California*. Last updated: 2012. USGS Open-
16 File Report 96 705. Version 1.1 Available: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/1996/0705/>.
- 17 Youd, T. L., and S. N. Hoose. 1978. *Historic Ground Failures in Northern California Triggered by*
18 *Earthquakes*. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 993. U.S. Government Printing Office.
19 Washington, D.C.

20 Chapter 11, Soils

- 21 American Society of Civil Engineers. 2016. *Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for*
22 *Buildings and Other Structures*. December. Reston, VA.
- 23 Buol, S. W., F. D. Hole, and R. J. McCracken. 1980. *Soil Genesis and Classification*. Second edition. Ames,
24 IA: Iowa State University Press.
- 25 California Department of Water Resources. 2009. *Technical Memorandum: Delta Risk Management*
26 *Strategy (DRMS) Phase 1*. February. Prepared by URS Corporation/Jack R. Benjamin &
27 Associates, Inc.
- 28 California Governor’s Office of Planning and Research, California Energy Commission, and California
29 Natural Resources Agency. 2018a. *Statewide Summary Report*. California’s Fourth Climate
30 Change Assessment. Publication number: SUM-CCA4-2018-013. Prepared by Bedsworth, L., D.
31 Cayan, G. Franco, L. Fisher, and S. Ziaja. Available: [www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-
32 11/Statewide_Reports-SUM-CCCA4-2018-013_Statewide_Summary_Report_ADA.pdf](http://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Statewide_Reports-SUM-CCCA4-2018-013_Statewide_Summary_Report_ADA.pdf). Accessed:
33 April 21, 2022.
- 34 California Governor’s Office of Planning and Research, California Energy Commission, and California
35 Natural Resources Agency. 2018b. *San Joaquin Valley Region Report*. California’s Fourth Climate
36 Change Assessment. Publication number: SUM-CCCA4-2018-003. Prepared by Westerling, L., J.
37 Medellin-Azuara, and J. Viers. Available: [www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-
38 11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCCA4-2018-003_SanJoaquinValley_Preview_ADA.pdf](http://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCCA4-2018-003_SanJoaquinValley_Preview_ADA.pdf). Accessed: April 21,
39 2022.

- 1 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. Conceptual Design Phase Seismic Site
2 Response Analysis (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. May 2022. In Attachment H: Other
3 Sitewide Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—*
4 *Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 5 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. InSAR Monitoring Study. Technical
6 Memorandum. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 7 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022c. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
8 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 9 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022d. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
10 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 11 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022e. Soil Balance (Final Draft). Technical
12 Memorandum. May 2022. In Attachment H: Other Sitewide Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta*
13 *Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022.
14 Sacramento, CA.
- 15 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022f. Reusable Tunnel Material (Final Draft).
16 Technical Memorandum. May 2022. In Attachment B: Tunnels and Shafts, *Volume 1: Delta*
17 *Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022.
18 Sacramento, CA.
- 19 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022g. Soil Balance and Reusable Tunnel
20 Material Supplement—Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum.
21 May 2022. In Attachment: Technical Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
22 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 23 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022h. Post-Construction Land Reclamation
24 (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. May 2022. In Attachment H: Other Sitewide
25 Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and*
26 *Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 27 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022i. Post-Construction Land Reclamation
28 Supplement—Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. May 2022.
29 In Attachment: Technical Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering*
30 *Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 31 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022j. Potential Future Field Investigations—
32 Central and Eastern Corridor Options (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. May 2022. In
33 Attachment H: Other Sitewide Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
34 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 35 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022k. Potential Future Field Investigations—
36 Bethany Reservoir Alternative. Technical Memorandum. May 2022. In Attachment: Technical
37 Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Bethany*
38 *Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.

- 1 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022l. Tunnel Excavation and Drive
2 Assessment (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. May 2022. In Attachment B: Tunnels &
3 Shafts, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern*
4 *Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 5 Delta Stewardship Council. 2021. *Delta Plan Ecosystem Amendment Draft Program Environmental*
6 *Impact Report*. September. Available: [https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-09-27-](https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-09-27-draft-peir-eco-amendment.pdf)
7 [draft-peir-eco-amendment.pdf](https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-09-27-draft-peir-eco-amendment.pdf). Accessed: February 23, 2022.
- 8 Deverel, S. J., S. Bachand, S. J. Brandenberg, C. E. Jones, J. P. Stewart, and P. Zimmaro. 2016. Factors
9 and Processes Affecting Delta Levee System Vulnerability. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed*
10 *Science* 14(4). Available: <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/36t9s0mp>. Accessed: March 17,
11 2021.
- 12 Deverel, S. J., and D. A. Leighton. 2010. Historic, Recent, and Future Subsidence, Sacramento–San
13 Joaquin Delta, California, USA. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 8(2). Available:
14 <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7xd4x0xw>. Accessed: August 15, 2021.
- 15 Deverel, S. J., and S. Rojstaczer. 1996. Subsidence of Agricultural Lands in the Sacramento–San
16 Joaquin Delta, California: Role of Aqueous and Gaseous Carbon Fluxes. *Water Resources Research*
17 32(8):2359–2367.
- 18 Hickson, D., and T. Keeler-Wolf. 2007. *Vegetation and Land Use Classification and Map of the*
19 *Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta*. Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program, California
20 Department of Fish and Game. Prepared for Bay Delta Region, California Department of Fish and
21 Game. February.
- 22 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2018. *Global Warming of 1.5°C*. Chapter 3, Impacts of
23 1.5°C of Global Warming on Natural and Human Systems. Available:
24 <https://www.ipcc.ch/sr15/chapter/spm/>. Accessed: September 16, 2021.
- 25 Mount, J., and R. Twiss. 2005. Subsidence, Sea Level Rise, and Seismicity in the Sacramento–San
26 Joaquin Delta. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 3(1).
- 27 Natural Resources Conservation Service. 1999. *Soil Taxonomy: A Basic System for Soil Classification*
28 *for Making and Interpreting Soil Surveys*. Agricultural Handbook 436. Second edition. Soil Survey
29 Staff. Available: [https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_](https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_051232.pdf)
30 [051232.pdf](https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_051232.pdf). Accessed: May 19, 2020.
- 31 Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2012. Chapter 3: Engineering Classification of Earth
32 Materials. In *Part 631 National Engineering Handbook*. Available:
33 <https://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/OpenNonWebContent.aspx?content=31847.wba>. Accessed:
34 May 12, 2020.
- 35 Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2018. *Soil Survey Manual*. Handbook 18. Soil Science
36 Division Staff. February. Available: [https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/](https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ref/?cid=nrcs142p2_054261)
37 [soils/ref/?cid=nrcs142p2_054261](https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ref/?cid=nrcs142p2_054261). Accessed: May 18, 2020.
- 38 Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2019. Parts 618 and 622. In *National Soil Survey Handbook*,
39 Title 430-VI. Available: [https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ref/](https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ref/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242)
40 [?cid=nrcs142p2_054242](https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ref/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242). November. Accessed: May 5, 2020.

- 1 Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2021a. U.S. General Soil Map (STATSGO2) for Alameda,
2 Contra Costa, Sacramento, San Joaquin, and Yolo Counties, California. Soil Survey Staff. Available:
3 <https://sdmdataaccess.sc.egov.usda.gov>. Accessed: February 2021.
- 4 Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2021b. Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) Database for
5 Alameda, Contra Costa, Sacramento, San Joaquin, and Yolo Counties, California. Available:
6 <https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx>. Accessed: February 2021.
- 7 Rojstaczer, S., and S. J. Deverel. 1993. Time Dependence in Atmospheric Carbon Inputs from
8 Drainage of Organic Soils. *Geophysical Research Letters* 20(1):1383–1386.
- 9 Rojstaczer, S., and S. J. Deverel. 1995. Land Subsidence in Drained Histosols and High Organic
10 Mineral Soils of California. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 59:1162–1167.
- 11 Soil Conservation Service. 1966. Soil Survey of Alameda Area, California. Washington, DC: U.S.
12 Government Printing Office.
- 13 Soil Conservation Service. 1972. Soil Survey of Yolo County, California. Washington, DC: U.S.
14 Government Printing Office.
- 15 Soil Conservation Service. 1977. Soil Survey of Contra Costa County, California Washington, DC: U.S.
16 Government Printing Office.
- 17 Soil Conservation Service. 1992. Soil Survey of San Joaquin County, California. Washington, DC: U.S.
18 Government Printing Office.
- 19 Soil Conservation Service. 1993. Soil Survey of Sacramento County, California. Washington, DC: U.S.
20 Government Printing Office.
- 21 Soil Survey Staff. 2021. Web Soil Survey, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources
22 Conservation Service. Available: <http://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/>. Accessed: February
23 21, 2021.
- 24 Strohmayer, P. 1999. Soil Stockpiling for Reclamation and Restoration Activities after Mining and
25 Construction. *Restoration and Reclamation Review* 4(7). St. Paul, MN: Department of
26 Horticultural Sciences, University of Minnesota.
- 27 U.S. Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service. 2020. Revised Universal Soil Loss
28 Equation 2—Overview of RUSLE2. Available: [https://www.ars.usda.gov/southeast-area/
29 oxford-ms/national-sedimentation-laboratory/watershed-physical-processes-research/
30 research/rusle2/revised-universal-soil-loss-equation-2-overview-of-rusle2/](https://www.ars.usda.gov/southeast-area/oxford-ms/national-sedimentation-laboratory/watershed-physical-processes-research/research/rusle2/revised-universal-soil-loss-equation-2-overview-of-rusle2/). Accessed: May 14,
31 2020.
- 32 U.S. Geological Survey. 2000a. *Ground-Water Resources for the Future: Land Subsidence in the United*
33 *States*. U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 165-00. December. Prepared by U.S. Geological Survey,
34 Reston, VA.
- 35 U.S. Geological Survey. 2000b. *Delta Subsidence in California, the Sinking Heart of the State*.
36 Publication FS-005-00. Available: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2000/fs00500/>. Accessed: February
37 24, 2021.

- 1 Vogelsang, K. M., and J. D. Bever. 2010. *The Use of Native Plants and Mycorrhizal Fungi for Slope*
2 *Stabilization and Topsoil Management*. February. Prepared for California Department of
3 Transportation, Roadside Research and Innovation Landscape Architecture Program.
4 Sacramento, CA.
- 5 Weir, W. W. 1950. Subsidence of Peat Lands of the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta, California.
6 *Hilgardia* 20(3):37–55.
- 7 Whipple, A. A., R. M. Grossinger, D. Rankin, B. Stanford, R. A. Askevold. 2012. *Sacramento-San Joaquin*
8 *Delta Historical Ecology Investigation: Exploring Pattern and Process*. Prepared for the California
9 Department of Fish and Game and Ecosystem Restoration Program. A Report of SFEI-ASC's
10 Historical Ecology Program, Publication 672. San Francisco Estuary Institute-Aquatic Science
11 Center, Point Richmond, CA. Available: [https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/
12 biblio_files/Delta_HistoricalEcologyStudy_SFEI_ASC_2012_lowres.pdf](https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/biblio_files/Delta_HistoricalEcologyStudy_SFEI_ASC_2012_lowres.pdf). Accessed: March 4, 2021.

13 Chapter 12, Fish and Aquatic Resources

14 Printed References

- 15 Acierto, K. R., J. Israel, J. Ferreira, and J. Roberts. 2014. Estimating Juvenile Winter-Run and Spring-
16 Run Chinook Salmon Entrainment onto the Yolo Bypass over a Notched Fremont Weir.
17 *California Fish and Game* 100(4):630–639.
- 18 Acuña, S., D. Baxa, and S. I. 2012a. Sublethal Dietary Effects of Microcystin Producing *Microcystis* on
19 Threadfin Shad, *Dorosoma petenense*. *Toxicon* 60(6):1191–1202.
- 20 Acuña, S., D. Deng, P. Lehman, Iteh. 2012b. Sublethal Dietary Effects of Microcystis on Sacramento
21 Splittail, *Pogonichthys macrolepidotus*. *Aquatic Toxicology* 110-111:1–8. doi:
22 10.1016/j.aquatox.2011.12.004.
- 23 Albrecht, A. B. 1964. Some Observations on Factors Associated with Survival of Striped Bass Eggs
24 and Larvae. *California Fish and Game* 50(2):100–113.
- 25 Alpers, C., C. Eagles-Smith, C. Foe, S. Klasing, M. Marvin-DiPasquale, D. Slotton, and L. Winham-Myers.
26 2008. *Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Implementation Plan:*
27 *Ecosystem Conceptual Model, Mercury*. January 24. Sacramento, CA.
- 28 Armor, C., R. Baxter, B. Bennett, R. Bruer, M. Chotkowski, P. Coulston, D. Denton, B. Herbold, W.
29 Kimmerer, K. Larsen, M. Nobirga, K. Rose, T. Sommer, and M. Stacey. 2005. *Interagency*
30 *Ecological Program Synthesis of 2005 Work to Evaluate the Pelagic Organism Decline (POD) in the*
31 *Upper San Francisco Estuary*. Interagency Ecological Program, Sacramento, CA.
- 32 Arthur, J. F., M. D. Ball, and S. Y. Baughman. 1996. Summary of Federal and State Water Project
33 Environmental Impacts in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary, California. In J. T. Hollibaugh
34 (ed.), *San Francisco Bay: The Ecosystem*, pp. 445–495. Seventy-fifth annual meeting of the Pacific
35 Division, American Association for the Advancement of Science. Held at San Francisco State
36 University, June 19–24, 1994. San Francisco, CA.

- 1 Baerwald, M. R., B. M. Schreier, G. Schumer, and B. May. 2012. Detection of Threatened Delta Smelt in
2 the Gut Contents of the Invasive Mississippi Silverside in the San Francisco Estuary Using
3 TaqMan Assays. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 141:1600–1607.
- 4 Barros, A., J. A. Hobbs, M. Willmes, C. M. Parker, M. Bisson, N. A. Fanguie, A. L. Rypel, and L. S. Lewis.
5 2022. Spatial Heterogeneity in Prey Availability, Feeding Success, and Dietary Selectivity for the
6 Threatened Longfin Smelt. *Estuaries and Coasts*. DOI: 10.1007/s12237-021-01024-y
- 7 Baxter, R. 1999. Pleuronectiformes. In J. Orsi (ed.), *Report on the 1980–1995 Fish, Shrimp, and Crab*
8 *Sampling in the San Francisco Estuary, California*. Interagency Ecological Program for the
9 Sacramento–San Joaquin Estuary. Pages 369–442.
- 10 Baxter, R., R. Breuer, L. Brown, M. Chotkowski, F. Feyrer, M. Gingras, B. Herbold, A. Mueller-Solger,
11 M. Nobriga, T. Sommer, and K. Souza. 2008. *Pelagic Organism Decline Progress Report: 2007*
12 *Synthesis of Results*. Technical Report 227. Interagency Ecological Program for the San Francisco
13 Estuary.
- 14 Baxter, R., R. Breuer, L. Brown, L. Conroy, F. Feyrer, S. Fong, K. Gehrts, L. Grimaldo, B. Herbold, P.
15 Hrodey, A. Mueller-Solger, T. Sommer, and K. Souza. 2010. *Pelagic Organism Decline Work Plan*
16 *and Synthesis of Results*. Interagency Ecological Program for the San Francisco Estuary.
- 17 Beckon, W. N., and T. C. Maurer. 2008. *Potential Effects of Selenium Contamination on Federally Listed*
18 *Species Resulting from Delivery of Federal Water to the San Luis Unit*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife
19 Service. Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office. Environmental Contaminants Division.
- 20 Bennett, W. A. 2005. Critical Assessment of the Delta Smelt Population in the San Francisco Estuary,
21 California. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 3: Article 1.
- 22 Bennett, W. A., and J. R. Burau. 2015. Riders on the Storm: Selective Tidal Movements Facilitate the
23 Spawning Migration of Threatened Delta Smelt in the San Francisco Estuary. *Estuaries and*
24 *Coasts* 38(3):826–835.
- 25 Bennett, W. A., J. A. Hobbs, and S. J. I. 2008. *Interplay of Environmental Forcing and Growth-Selective*
26 *Mortality in the Poor Year-Class Success of Delta Smelt in 2005*. Final Report. Fish Otolith and
27 Condition Study 2005. Prepared for the Pelagic Organism Decline Management Team.
- 28 Bever, A., and M. MacWilliams. 2018. *Evaluation of Sediment Supplementation in the Low Salinity*
29 *Zone*. Presented to the California Water and Environmental Modeling Forum 2018 Annual
30 Meeting. April.
- 31 Bever, A. J., M. L. MacWilliams, and D. K. Fullerton. 2018. Influence of an Observed Decadal Decline in
32 Wind Speed on Turbidity in the San Francisco Estuary. *Estuaries and Coasts* 41:1943–1967.
- 33 Blake, A., and M.J. Horn. 2006. *Acoustic Tracking of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Movement in the Vicinity*
34 *of the Delta Cross Channel, Sacramento River, California – 2001 Study Results*. Draft report. United
35 States Geological Survey.
- 36 Blake, A., P. Stumpner, and J. Burau. 2017. *A Simulation Method for Combining Hydrodynamic Data*
37 *and Acoustic Tag Tracks to Predict the Entrainment of Juvenile Salmonids onto the Yolo Bypass*
38 *Under Future Engineering Scenarios*. July 21. West Sacramento, CA: U.S. Geological Survey.

- 1 Brandes, P. L., and J. S. McLain. 2001. Juvenile Chinook Salmon Abundance, Distribution, and Survival
2 in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. Contributions to the Biology of Central Valley
3 Salmonids. In R. L. Brown (ed.), *California Department of Fish and Game Fish Bulletin* 179:39–
4 137.
- 5 Brandl, S., B. Schreier, J. L. Conrad, B. May, and M. Baerwald. 2021. Enumerating Predation on
6 Chinook Salmon, Delta Smelt, and Other San Francisco Estuary Fishes Using Genetics. *North
7 American Journal of Fisheries Management* 41:1053–1065. DOI:
8 <https://doi.org/10.1002/nafm.10582>.
- 9 Brooks, M. L., E. Fleishman, L. R. Brown, P. W. Lehman, I. Werner, N. Scholz, C. Mitchelmore, J. R.
10 Lovvorn, M. L. Johnson, D. Schlenk, and S. van Drunick. 2012. Life Histories, Salinity Zones, and
11 Sublethal Contributions of Contaminants to Pelagic Fish Declines Illustrated with a Case Study of
12 San Francisco Estuary, California, USA. *Estuaries and Coasts* 35(2):603–621.
- 13 Brown, L. R. 2003. Will Tidal Wetland Restoration Enhance Populations of Native Fishes? *San
14 Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 1(1).
- 15 Brown, L. R., and D. Michniuk. 2007. Littoral Fish Assemblages of the Alien-dominated Sacramento–
16 San Joaquin Delta, California 1980–1983 and 2001–2003. *Estuaries and Coasts* 30(1): 186–200.
- 17 Brown, R. W., and W. W. Taylor. 1995. Effects of a Recreational Dip-Net Fishery on Rainbow Smelt
18 Egg Deposition. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 15(1):165–169.
- 19 Brown R., S. Greene, P. Coulston, and S. Barrow. 1996. An Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Fish
20 Salvage Operations at the Intake of the California Aqueduct, 1979–1993. Pages 497–518 in J. T.
21 Hollibaugh (ed.), *San Francisco Bay: The Ecosystem*. Pacific Division of the American Association
22 for the Advancement of Science, San Francisco, CA.
- 23 Brown, L. R., J. K. Thompson, K. Higgins, and L. V. Lucas. 2007. Population Density, Biomass, and Age-
24 Class Structure of the Invasive Clam *Corbicula fluminea* in Rivers of the Lower San Joaquin River
25 Watershed, California. *Western North American Naturalist* 67(4):572–586.
- 26 Buchanan, R. A., and J. R. Skalski. 2020. Relating Survival of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon through the
27 San Joaquin Delta to River Flow. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 103(5):389–410.
- 28 Buchanan, R. A., E. Buttermore, and J. Israel. 2021. Outmigration Survival of a Threatened Steelhead
29 Population through a Tidal Estuary. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 78:1869–
30 1886. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2020-0467>.
- 31 Burau, J., A. Blake, and R. Perry. 2007. *Sacramento/San Joaquin River Delta Regional Salmon
32 Outmigration Study Plan: Developing Understanding for Management and Restoration*. December
33 10.
- 34 Bureau of Reclamation. 2008. *Biological Assessment on the Continued Long-Term Operations of the
35 Central Valley Project and the State Water Project*. Bureau of Reclamation Mid-Pacific Region,
36 Sacramento CA. August.
- 37 Bureau of Reclamation. 2019. *Reinitiation of Consultation on the Coordinated Long-Term Operation of
38 the Central Valley Project and State Water Project*. Final Environmental Impact Statement.

- 1 Bureau of Reclamation and California Department of Water Resources. 2019. *Yolo Bypass Salmonid*
2 *Habitat Restoration and Fish Passage Project Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental*
3 *Impact Report*. May. Sacramento, CA.
- 4 Bush, E. E. 2017. *Migratory Life Histories and Early Growth of the Endangered Estuarine Delta Smelt*
5 *(Hypomesus transpacificus)*. M.S. Thesis. University of California, Davis, Davis, CA.
- 6 CALFED Bay-Delta Program. 2000. *Ecosystem Restoration Program Plan*. Volume I: Ecological
7 Attributes of the San Francisco Bay-Delta Watershed.
- 8 California Department of Fish and Game. 1992. *A Re-examination of Factors Affecting Striped Bass*
9 *Abundance in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary*. WRINT-DFG-Exhibit 2. Entered by the
10 California Department of Fish and Game for the State Water Resources Control Board 1992
11 Water Rights Phase of the Bay-Delta Estuary Proceedings.
- 12 California Department of Fish and Game. 2000. Fish Screening Criteria. Fisheries Engineering
13 Projects and Information.
- 14 California Department of Fish and Game. 2008. *California Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan*.
15 January. Available: <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=3868&inline=1>.
16 Accessed: May 15, 2022.
- 17 California Department of Fish and Game. 2010. *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration*
18 *Manual*. Fourth Edition. Wildlife and Fisheries Division.
- 19 California Department of Fish and Game. 2011. Consistency Determination. Continued Operation of
20 the State Water Project. Fish and Game Code Section 2080.1. Tracking Number 2080-2011-022-
21 00.
- 22 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2018. *Franks Tract Futures? Exploring Options for Multi-*
23 *Benefit Restoration and Increased Resilience in the Central Delta Corridor*. June 2018.
- 24 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020a. *California Endangered Species Act Incidental Take*
25 *Permit No. 2081-2019-066-00. Long-Term Operation of the State Water Project in the Sacramento-*
26 *San Joaquin Delta*. Sacramento, CA: Ecosystem Conservation Division.
- 27 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020b. *Franks Tract Futures. Reimagined. Options for*
28 *Enhancing Navigation, Recreation, Ecology, and Water Quality in the Central Delta*. September 30.
- 29 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2021. *Experimental Release of Delta Smelt Project. Initial*
30 *Study/Negative Declaration*. Draft. August 10. Fairfield, CA: California Department of Fish and
31 Wildlife, Bay-Delta Region.
- 32 California Department of Transportation. 2020. *Technical Guidance for the Assessment of the*
33 *Hydroacoustic Effects of Pile Driving on Fish*. California Department of Transportation,
34 Sacramento, CA.
- 35 California Department of Water Resources. 2015. *2012 Georgiana Slough Non-Physical Barrier*
36 *Performance Evaluation Project Report*. California Department of Water Resources, Sacramento,
37 CA.

- 1 California Department of Water Resources. 2016. *2014 Georgiana Slough Floating Fish Guidance*
2 *Structure Performance Evaluation Project Report*. California Department of Water Resources,
3 Sacramento, CA.
- 4 California Department of Water Resources. 2019a. *California EcoRestore Projects*.
5 <https://water.ca.gov/Programs/All-Programs/EcoRestore#>. Accessed June 4, 2020.
- 6 California Department of Water Resources. 2019b. *Work Plan for Monitoring and Assessment of the*
7 *North Delta Flow Action, 2019: Role of improved Yolo Bypass flows on Delta Food Web Dynamics*.
8 June 27.
- 9 California Department of Water Resources. 2020a. *Final Environmental Impact Report for Long-term*
10 *Operation of the California State Water Project*. State Clearinghouse No. 2019049121. March.
- 11 California Department of Water Resources. 2020b. *Fremont Weir Adult Fish Passage Structure* (NMFS
12 BO # WCR-2017-7312). 2019 Annual Technical Memorandum. Prepared for the National Marine
13 Fisheries Service. March. California Department of Water Resources, Sacramento, CA.
- 14 California Department of Water Resources and Bureau of Reclamation. 2021. Temporary Urgency
15 Change Petition Regarding Delta Water Quality. December 1. Available:
16 https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/drought/tucp/docs/2022/2021.12_2022_TUCP.pdf.
17 Accessed: December 9, 2021.
- 18 California Department of Water Resources, Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
19 and National Marine Fisheries Service. 2013. *Environmental Impact Report/ Environmental*
20 *Impact Statement for the Bay Delta Conservation Plan*. Draft. December.
- 21 California Natural Resources Agency. 2016. *Delta Smelt Resiliency Strategy* – July 2016.
- 22 California Natural Resources Agency. 2017. *Delta Smelt Resiliency Strategy* – Progress Report, June
23 2017.
- 24 Carlton, J. T., J. K. Thompson, L. E. Schemel, and F. H. Nichols. 1990. Remarkable Invasion of San
25 Francisco Bay (California, USA) by the Asian Clam *Potamocorbula amurensis*. I. Introduction and
26 Dispersal. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 66:81–94.
- 27 Casas, A., D. Riaño, J. Greenberg, and S. Ustin. 2012. Assessing Levee Stability with Geometric
28 Parameters Derived from Airborne LiDAR. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 117:281–288.
- 29 Castillo, G., J. Morinaka, J. Lindberg, R. Fujimura, B. Baskerville-Bridges, J. Hobbs, G. Tigan, L. Ellison.
30 2012. Pre-screen Loss and Fish Facility Efficiency for Delta Smelt at the South Delta’s State
31 Water Project, California. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 10(4).
- 32 Cavallo, B., J. Merz, and J. Setka. 2012. Effects of Predator and Flow Manipulation on Chinook Salmon
33 (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) Survival in an Imperiled Estuary. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*
34 96(2–3):393–403. Doi: 10.1007/s10641-012-9993-5.
- 35 Cavallo, B., P. Gaskill, J. Melgo, and S. C. Zeug. 2015. Predicting Juvenile Chinook Salmon Routing in
36 Riverine and Tidal Channels of a Freshwater Estuary. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*
37 98(6):1571–1582.

- 1 Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2014. San Joaquin River Dissolved Oxygen
2 Control Program. Fact Sheet. November. Available:
3 https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb5/board_decisions/tentative_orders/1502/25_do_control_prog/3_do_fact.pdf Accessed: January 25, 2022.
4
- 5 City of Carlsbad. 2005. *Precise Development Plan and Desalination Plant Project Final Environmental*
6 *Impact Report*. December. Available: <https://www.carlsbaddesal.com/eir.html>. Accessed:
7 August 25, 2021.
- 8 Clark, K. W., M. D. Bowen, R. B. Mayfield, K. P. Zehfuss, J. D. Taplin, and C. H. Hanson. 2009.
9 *Quantification of Pre-Screen Loss of Juvenile Steelhead in Clifton Court Forebay*. March. California
10 Department of Water Resources, Bay-Delta Office, Fishery Improvements Section, Sacramento,
11 CA. In collaboration with National Marine Fisheries Service, Central Valley Fish Facilities Review
12 Team, Interagency Ecological Program Management Team.
- 13 Cohen, S. E., and S. M. Bollens. 2008. Diet and Growth of Non-native Mississippi Silversides and
14 Yellowfin Gobies in Restored and Natural Wetlands in the San Francisco Estuary. *Marine*
15 *Ecology Progress Series* 368:241–254.
- 16 Connon, R. E., S. Beggel, L. S. D’Abronzio, J. P. Geist, J. Pfeiff, A. V. Loguinov, C. D. Vulpe, and I. Werner.
17 2011. Linking Molecular Biomarkers with Higher Level Condition Indicators to Identify Effects
18 of Copper Exposures on the Endangered Delta Smelt (*Hypomesus transpacificus*). *Environmental*
19 *Toxicology and Chemistry* 30(2):290–300.
- 20 Conrad, J. L., A. J. Bibian, K. L. Weinersmith, D. De Carion, M. J. Young, P. Crain, E. L. Hestir, M. J.
21 Santos, and A. Sih. 2016. Novel Species Interactions in a Highly Modified Estuary: Association of
22 Largemouth Bass with Brazilian Waterweed *Egeria densa*. *Transactions of the American Fisheries*
23 *Society* 145(2):249–263.
- 24 Conrad, J. L., D. Chapple, E. Bush, E. Hard, J. Caudill, J. D. Madsen, W. Pratt, S. Acuna, N. Rasmussen,
25 and P. Gilbert. 2020. *Critical Needs for Control of Invasive Aquatic Vegetation in the Sacramento–*
26 *San Joaquin Delta*. Delta Stewardship Council, Sacramento, CA.
- 27 Cordoleani, F., C. Phillis, A. Sturrock, A. FitzGerald, G. Whitman, A. Malkassian, P. Weber, and R.
28 Johnson. 2021. Threatened Salmon Rely on a Rare Life History Strategy in a Modified and
29 Warming Landscape. *Nature Climate Change* 11:982–988.
- 30 Coutant, C. C. 2021. Why Cylindrical Screens in the Columbia River (USA) Entrain Few Fish. *Journal*
31 *of Ecohydraulics*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24705357.2020.1837023>.
- 32 Cunningham, C., N. Hendrix, E. Dusek-Jennings, R. Lessard, and R. Hilborn. 2015. *Delta Chinook Final*
33 *Report to the Delta Science Panel*.
- 34 Dahm, C. N., A. E. Parker, A. E. Adelson, M. A. Christman, and B. A. Bergamaschi. 2016. Nutrient
35 Dynamics of the Delta: Effects on Primary Producers. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed*
36 *Science* 14(4).
- 37 Danley, M. L., S. D. Mayr, P. S. Young, and J. J. Cech Jr. 2002. Swimming Performance and Physiological
38 Stress Responses of Splittail Exposed to a Fish Screen. *North American Journal of Fisheries*
39 *Management* 22(4):1241–1249.

- 1 Davis B., M. Bedwell, H. Wright, and J. Martinez. 2019. *North Delta Flow Action 2019: Delta Smelt and*
2 *Salmonids Report*. California Department of Water Resources.
- 3 Delaney, D., P. Bergman, B. Cavallo, and J. Melgo. 2014. *Stipulation Study: Steelhead Movement and*
4 *Survival in the South Delta with Adaptive Management of Old and Middle River Flows*. Prepared
5 under the direction of Kevin Clark, Bay-Delta Office, Biotelemetry and Special Investigations
6 Unit. California Department of Water Resources.
- 7 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
8 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 9 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
10 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 11 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022c. Conceptual Intake Cofferdam
12 Construction (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. May 2022. In Attachment A: Intakes, *Volume*
13 *1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May
14 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 15 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022d. Tunneling Effects Assessment (Final
16 Draft). Technical Memorandum. May 2022. In Attachment B: Tunnels and Shafts, *Volume 1: Delta*
17 *Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022.
18 Sacramento, CA.
- 19 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022e. River Hydrologic Criteria for Intake
20 Sizing (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. May 2022. In Attachment A: Intakes, *Volume 1:*
21 *Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May
22 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 23 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022f. Intakes Tee Screens Section, Sheet No.
24 STX-S-3002IT. May 2022. In Engineering Concept Drawings, *Volume 2: Delta Conveyance Final*
25 *Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 26 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022g. Sacramento River Hydraulic
27 Modeling—HEC-RAS 2D to Support Aquatics Effects Analysis (Final Draft). Technical
28 Memorandum. February 22, 2022/Version 1.
- 29 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022h. Intake Screen Sizing – North Delta
30 Intakes (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. May 2022. In Attachment A: Intakes, *Volume 1:*
31 *Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May
32 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 33 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022i. Intakes Operations and Maintenance
34 Equipment and Facility Needs (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. May 2022. In Attachment
35 A: Intakes, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and*
36 *Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 37 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022j. North Delta Intake Facilities
38 Configuration, Construction, and Operations (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. May 2022.
39 In Attachment A: *Intakes, Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—*
40 *Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.

- 1 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022k. Intakes River Sediment Analysis –
2 North Delta Intakes (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. May 2022. In Attachment A: Intakes,
3 *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*.
4 May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 5 Delta Modeling Associates. 2014. *Low Salinity Zone Flip Book*. Version 2.0. December 31.
- 6 Demetras, N. J., C. J. Michel, and S. A. Hayes. 2013. *Estimating predator densities and associated*
7 *Chinook salmon smolt mortality around two water diversions on the Sacramento River in Redding,*
8 *CA September, 2012 to November, 2012*. National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration,
9 National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Region Field Office, Santa Cruz, CA.
- 10 Deng, D. F., K. Zheng, F. C. I, P. W. Lehman, and S. J. I. 2010. Toxic Threshold of Dietary Microcystin
11 (LR) for Quart Medaka. *Toxicon* 55(4):787–794.
- 12 De Parsia, M., J. L. Orlando, M. M. McWayne, and M. L. Hladik. 2018. *Pesticide Inputs to the*
13 *Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta, 2015–16: Results from the Delta Regional Monitoring Program*. US
14 Geological Survey Data Series 1089.
- 15 De Parsia, M., E. E. Woodward, J. L. Orlando, and M.L. Hladik. 2019. *Pesticide Mixtures in the*
16 *Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta, 2016–17: Results from Year 2 of the Delta Regional Monitoring*
17 *Program*. US Geological Survey Data Series 1120.
- 18 Dill, W. A., and A. J. Cordone. 1997. History and Status of Introduced Fishes in California, 1871–1996.
19 *California Department of Fish and Game Fish Bulletin* 178:1–414.
- 20 Dinehart, R. L., and J. R. Burau. 2005. Averaged Indicators of Secondary Flow in Repeated Acoustic
21 Doppler Current Profiler Crossings of Bends. *Water Resources Research* 41(9): W09405.
- 22 Dugdale, R. C., F. P. Wilkerson, V. E. Hogue, and A. Marchi. 2007. The Role of Ammonium and Nitrate
23 in Spring Bloom Development in San Francisco Bay. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 73:17–
24 29.
- 25 East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD). 2012. Mokelumne River hatchery fish information
26 received by Jose Setka, Fisheries and Wildlife Division.
- 27 Edmunds, J. L., K. M. Kuivila, B. E. Cole, and J. E. Cloern. 1999. Do Herbicides Impair Phytoplankton
28 Primary Production in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta? In *Proceedings of the Technical*
29 *Meeting: Toxic Substances Hydrology Program*. Volume 2: Contamination of Hydrologic Systems
30 and Related Ecosystems. U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigation Report 99.4018B.
- 31 Engle, J., C. Enos, K. McGourty, T. Porter, B. Reed, J. Scammell-Tinling, K. Schaeffer, S. Siegel, and E.
32 Crumb. 2010. *Suisun Marsh Tidal Marsh and Aquatic Habitats Conceptual Model*. Chapter 2:
33 Aquatic Environment. Final Review Draft. Suisun Marsh Habitat Management, Restoration, and
34 Preservation Plan.
- 35 Enos, C., J. Sutherland, and M. L. Nobriga. 2007. Results of a Two Year Fish Entrainment Study at
36 Morrow Island Distribution System in Suisun Marsh. *IEP Newsletter* 20(1):10–19.
- 37 Environmental Science Associates. 2021. *Tule Red Tidal Restoration Annual Monitoring Report – Year*
38 *1 2020*. Prepared for Westervelt Ecological Services and State and Federal Contractors Water
39 Agency. February.

- 1 Ferrari, M. C. O., L. Ranåker, K. L. Weinersmith, M. J. Young, A. Sih, and J. L. Conrad. 2014. Effects of
2 Turbidity and an Invasive Waterweed on Predation by Introduced Largemouth Bass.
3 *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 97(1):79–90.
- 4 Feyrer, F., B. Herbold, S. A. Matern, and P. B. Moyle. 2003. Dietary Shifts in a Stressed Fish
5 Assemblage: Consequences of a Bivalve Invasion in the San Francisco Estuary. *Environmental*
6 *Biology of Fishes* 67:277–288.
- 7 Feyrer, F., M. L. Nobriga, and T. R. Sommer. 2007. Multi-decadal Trends for Three Declining Fish
8 Species: Habitat Patterns and Mechanisms in the San Francisco Estuary, California, U.S.A.
9 *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 64:723–734.
- 10 Feyrer, F., T. Sommer, and S. B. Slater. 2009. Old School vs. New School: Status of Threadfin Shad
11 (*Dorosoma petenense*) Five Decades After Its Introduction to the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta.
12 *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 7(1).
- 13 Feyrer, F., K. Newman, M. Nobriga, and T. Sommer. 2011. Modeling the Effects of Future Freshwater
14 Flow on the Abiotic Habitat of an Imperiled Estuarine Fish. *Estuaries and Coasts* 34:120–128.
- 15 Feyrer, F., D. Portz, D. Odum, K. B. Newman, T. Sommer, D. Contreras, R. Baxter, S. B. Slater, D.
16 Sereno, and E. Van Nieuwenhuysse. 2013. SmeltCam: Underwater Video Codend for Trawled Nets
17 with an Application to the Distribution of the Imperiled Delta Smelt. *PLoS ONE* 8(7):e67829.
- 18 Feyrer, F., K. Newman, M. Nobriga, and T. Sommer. 2015a. Delta Smelt Habitat in the San Francisco
19 Estuary: A Reply to Manly, Fullerton, Hendrix, and Burnham’s “Comments on Feyrer et al.
20 Modeling the Effects of Future Outflow on the Abiotic Habitat of an Imperiled Estuarine Fish.”
21 *Estuaries and Coasts* 39(1):287–289.
- 22 Feyrer, F., J. E. Cloern, L. R. Brown, M. A. Fish, K. A. Hieb, and R. D. Baxter. 2015b. Estuarine Fish
23 Communities Respond to Climate Variability over both River and Ocean Basins. *Global Change*
24 *Biology* 21:3608–3619.
- 25 Fish, M. A. 2010. A White Sturgeon Year-Class Index for the San Francisco Estuary and Its Relation to
26 Delta Outflow. *IEP Newsletter* 23(2). Spring.
- 27 Fish Facilities Technical Team. 2011. *Technical Memorandum*. July 15. Bay Delta Conservation Plan.
- 28 Fisheries Hydroacoustic Working Group. 2008. *Agreement in Principle for Interim Criteria for Injury*
29 *to Fish from Pile Driving Activities*. National Marine Fisheries Service Northwest and Southwest
30 Regions, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Regions 1 and 8, California/Washington/Oregon
31 Departments of Transportation, California Department of Fish and Game, and U.S. Federal
32 Highway Administration. Memorandum to Applicable Agency Staff. June 12.
- 33 Fleming, K. 1999. Northern Anchovy. In J. Orsi (ed.). *Report on the 1980 to 1995 Fish, Shrimp and*
34 *Crab Sampling in the San Francisco Estuary*. Interagency Ecological Program for the Sacramento–
35 San Joaquin Estuary. Pages 167–178.
- 36 Flow Alteration – Management, Analysis, and Synthesis Team. 2020. *Synthesis of Data and Studies*
37 *Relating to Delta Smelt Biology in the San Francisco Estuary, Emphasizing Water Year 2017*. IEP
38 Technical Report 95. Interagency Ecological Program, Sacramento, CA
- 39 Fong, S., S. Louie, I. Werner, J. Davis, and R. E. Connon. 2016. Contaminant Effects on California Bay–
40 Delta Species and Human Health. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 14(4).

- 1 Frantzich, J., T. Sommer, and B. Schreier. 2018. Physical and Biological Responses to Flow in a Tidal
2 Freshwater Slough Complex. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 16(1).
- 3 Gingras, M. 1997. *Mark/Recapture Experiments at Clifton Court Forebay to Estimate Pre-screening*
4 *Loss to Juvenile Fishes, 1976–1993*. Technical Report 55. Interagency Ecological Program for the
5 San Francisco Bay/Delta Estuary. September.
- 6 Glibert, P. M., D. Fullerton, J. M. Burkholder, J. C. Cornwell, and T. M. Kana. 2011. Ecological
7 Stoichiometry, Biogeochemical Cycling, Invasive Species, and Aquatic Food Webs: San Francisco
8 Estuary and Comparative Systems. *Reviews in Fisheries Science* 19(4):358–417.
- 9 Glibert, P. M., F. P. Wilkerson, R. C. Dugdale, A. E. Parker, J. Alexander, S. Blaser, and S. Murasko.
10 2014. Phytoplankton communities from San Francisco Bay Delta respond differently to oxidized
11 and reduced nitrogen substrates—even under conditions that would otherwise suggest nitrogen
12 sufficiency. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, Vol 1, Article 17, 1–16.
- 13 Goertler, P. A., A. W. Shakya, A. M. Seesholtz, B. M. Schreier, S. Z. Matica, and K. S. Holley. 2020.
14 Lamprey (*Entosphenus* sp. and *Lampetra* sp.) Estuarine Occupancy Is Regionally Variable and
15 Constrained by Temperature. *Journal of Fish Biology* 96(2):527–532.
- 16 Goodman, D. H., S. B. Reid, N. A. Som, and W. R. Poytress. 2015. The Punctuated Seaward Migration of
17 Pacific Lamprey (*Entosphenus tridentatus*): Environmental Cues and Implications for Streamflow
18 Management. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 72(12):1817–1828.
- 19 Gould, A. L., and W. J. Kimmerer. 2010. Development, Growth, and Reproduction of the Cyclopoid
20 Copepod *Limnoithona tetraspina* in the Upper San Francisco Estuary. *Marine Ecology Progress*
21 *Series* 412:163–177.
- 22 Greene, V. E., L. J. Sullivan, J. K. Thompson, W. J. Kimmerer. 2011. Grazing Impact of the Invasive
23 Clam *Corbula amurensis* on the Microplankton Assemblage of the Northern San Francisco
24 Estuary. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* Vol. 431:183–193.
- 25 Greenfield, B. K., S. J. I, J. R. M. Ross, J. Hunt, G. H. Zhang, J. A. Davis, G. Ichikawa, D. Crane, S. O. Hung,
26 D. F. Deng, F. C. I, P. G. Green. 2008. Contaminant Concentrations and Histopathological Effects in
27 Sacramento Splittail (*Pogonichthys macrolepidotus*). *Environmental Contamination & Toxicology*
28 55(2):270–281. August.
- 29 Greenwood, M. 2018. *Potential Effects on Zooplankton from California WaterFix Operations*. Technical
30 Memorandum to California Department of Water Resources. July 2. Available:
31 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/
32 california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/petitioners_exhibit/dwr/part2_rebuttal/dwr_1349.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/petitioners_exhibit/dwr/part2_rebuttal/dwr_1349.pdf).
33 Accessed: April 28, 2022.
- 34 Grimaldo, L. F., R. E. Miller, C. M. Peregrin, and Z. P. Hymanson. 2004. Spatial and Temporal
35 Distribution of Native and Alien Ichthyoplankton in Three Habitat Types of the Sacramento–San
36 Joaquin Delta. *American Fisheries Society Symposium* 39:81–96.
- 37 Grimaldo, L. F., T. Sommer, N. Van Ark, G. Jones, E. Holland, P. B. Moyle, B. Herbold, and P. Smith.
38 2009. Factors Affecting Fish Entrainment into Massive Water Diversions in a Freshwater Tidal
39 Estuary: Can Fish Losses be Managed? *North American Journal of Fisheries Management*
40 29:1253–1270.

- 1 Grimaldo, L., R. E. Miller, C. M. Peregrin, and Z. Hymanson. 2012. Fish Assemblages in Reference and
2 Restored Tidal Freshwater Marshes of the San Francisco Estuary. *San Francisco Estuary and*
3 *Watershed Science* 10(1).
- 4 Grimaldo, L., F. Feyrer, J. Burns, and D. Maniscalco. 2017. Sampling Uncharted Waters: Examining
5 Rearing Habitat of Larval Longfin Smelt (*Spirinchus thaleichthys*) in the Upper San Francisco
6 Estuary. *Estuaries and Coasts* 40(6):1771–1784.
- 7 Grimaldo, L., J. Burns, R. E. Miller, A. Kalmbach, A. Smith, J. Hassrick, and C. Brennan. 2020. Forage
8 Fish Larvae Distribution and Habitat Use During Contrasting Years of Low and High Freshwater
9 Flow in the San Francisco Estuary. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 18(3).
- 10 Grimaldo, L. F., W. E. Smith, and M. L. Nobriga. 2021. Re-Examining Factors That Affect Delta Smelt
11 (*Hypomesus transpacificus*) Entrainment at the State Water Project and Central Valley Project in
12 the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 19(1).
- 13 Gross, E. S., J. Korman, L. F. Grimaldo, M. L. MacWilliams, A. J. Bever, and P. E. Smith. 2021. Modeling
14 Delta Smelt Distribution for Hypothesized Swimming Behaviors. *San Francisco Estuary and*
15 *Watershed Science* 19(1).
- 16 Grossman, G. D., T. Essington, B. Johnson, J. Miller, N. E. Monsen, and T. N. Pearsons. 2013. *Effects of*
17 *Fish Predation on Salmonids in the Sacramento River-San Joaquin Delta and Associated*
18 *Ecosystems*. September 25.
- 19 Grossman, G. D. 2016. Predation on Fishes in the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta: Current Knowledge
20 and Future Directions. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 14(2).
- 21 Hallock, R. J., R. F. Elwell, and D. H. Fry, Jr. 1970. Migrations of Adult King Salmon, *Oncorhynchus*
22 *tshawytscha*, in the San Joaquin Delta. *California Department of Fish and Game Bulletin* 151:1–92.
- 23 Halverson, G. H., C. M. Lee, E. L. Hestir, G. C. Hulley, K. Cawse-Nicholson, S. J. Hook, B. A. Bergamaschi,
24 S. Acuña, N. B. Tufflaro, R. G. Radocinski, G. Rivera, and T. R. Sommer. 2022. Decline in Thermal
25 Habitat Conditions for the Endangered Delta Smelt as Seen from Landsat Satellites (1985–2019).
26 *Environmental Science & Technology* 56(1):185–193.
- 27 Hamilton, S. A., and D. D. Murphy. 2018. Analysis of Limiting Factors Across the Life Cycle of Delta
28 Smelt (*Hypomesus transpacificus*). *Environmental Management* 62:365–382.
- 29 Hamilton, S. A., and D. D. Murphy. 2020. Use of Affinity Analysis to Guide Habitat Restoration and
30 Enhancement for the Imperiled Delta Smelt. *Endangered Species Research* 43:103–120.
- 31 Hamilton, S., S. Bartell, J. Pierson, and D. Murphy. 2020. Factors Controlling Calanoid Copepod
32 Biomass and Distribution in the Upper San Francisco Estuary and Implications for Managing the
33 Imperiled Delta Smelt (*Hypomesus transpacificus*). *Environmental Management* 65:587–601.
- 34 Hammock, B. G., J. A. Hobbs, S. B. Slater, S. Acuña, and S. J. I. 2015. Contaminant and Food Limitation
35 Stress in an Endangered Estuarine Fish. *Science of the Total Environment* 532:316–326.
- 36 Hammock, B. G., S. P. Moose, S. S. Solis, E. Goharian, and S. J. I. 2019a. Hydrodynamic Modeling
37 Coupled with Long-term Field Data Provide Evidence for Suppression of Phytoplankton by
38 Invasive Clams and Freshwater Exports in the San Francisco Estuary. *Environmental*
39 *Management* 63:703–717.

- 1 Hammock, B. G., R. Hartman, S. B. Slater, A. Hennessy, and S. J. I. 2019b. Tidal Wetlands Associated
2 with Foraging Success of Delta Smelt. *Estuaries and Coasts* 42(3):857–867.
- 3 Hance, D. J., R. W. Perry, J. R. Burau, A. Blake, P. Stumpner, X. Wang, and A. Pope. 2020. Combining
4 Models of the Critical Streakline and the Cross-Sectional Distribution of Juvenile Salmon to
5 Predict Fish Routing at River Junctions. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 18(1).
- 6 Hance, D. J., R. W. Perry, A. C. Pope, A. J. Ammann, J. L. Hassrick, and G. Hansen. 2021. From Drought
7 to Deluge: Spatiotemporal Variation in Migration Routing, Survival, Travel Time and Floodplain
8 Use of an Endangered Migratory Fish. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 79:410–
9 428.
- 10 Harrell, W. C., and T. R. Sommer. 2003. Patterns of Adult Fish Use on California’s Yolo Bypass
11 Floodplain. California Riparian Systems: Processes and Floodplain Management, Ecology, and
12 Restoration. Pages 88–93 in P. M. Faber, editor, *California Riparian Systems: Processes and
13 Floodplain Management, Ecology, and Restoration*. 2001 Riparian Habitat and Floodplains
14 Conference Proceedings. Riparian Habitat Joint Venture.
- 15 Hartman, R., B. Herbold, K. Kayfetz, and S. Culberson. 2017. *Chapter 3. Regional Transport Model
16 Conceptual Model for Tidal Wetland Restoration*. Pages 81–104 in S. Sherman, R. Hartman, and D.
17 Contreras, editors. *Effects of Tidal Wetland Restoration on Fish: A Suite of Conceptual Models*. IEP
18 Technical Report 91. California Department of Water Resources, Sacramento, CA.
- 19 Hastings, M. C., and A. N. Popper. 2005. *Effects of Sound on Fish*. Report prepared for California
20 Department of Transportation. Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA.
- 21 Hellmair, M., M. Peterson, B. Mulvey, K. Young, J. Montgomery, and A. Fuller. 2018. Physical
22 Characteristics Influencing Nearshore Habitat Use by Juvenile Chinook Salmon in the
23 Sacramento River, California. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 38(4):959–970.
- 24 Henderson, M. J., I. S. Iglesias, C. J. Michel, A. J. Ammann, and D. D. Huff. 2019. Estimating Spatial-
25 Temporal Differences in Chinook Salmon Outmigration Survival with Habitat and Predation
26 Related Covariates. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 76(9):1549–1561.
- 27 Hendrix, N., A. Criss, E. Danner, C. M. Greene, H. Imaki, A. Pike, and S. T. Lindley. 2014. *Life Cycle
28 Modeling Framework for Sacramento River Winter-Run Chinook Salmon*. Technical Memorandum
29 NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-530. July. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Fisheries
30 Science Center, Long Beach, CA.
- 31 Hendrix, N., A.-M. K. Osterback, E. Jennings, E. Danner, V. Sridharan, C. M. Greene, and S. T. Lindley.
32 2019. *Model Description for the Sacramento River Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Life Cycle Model*.
33 May 17. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Fisheries Science Center, Santa Cruz, CA.
- 34 Hennessy, A., and T. Enderlein. 2013. Zooplankton Monitoring 2011. *IEP Newsletter* 26(1):23–30.
- 35 Herbold, B., D. M. Baltz, L. Brown, R. Grossinger, W. Kimmerer, P. Lehman, C. S. Simenstad, C. Wilcox,
36 and M. Nobriga. 2014. The Role of Tidal Marsh Restoration in Fish Management in the San
37 Francisco Estuary. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 12(1).
- 38 Herren, J. R., and S. S. Kawasaki. 2001. Inventory of Water Diversions in Four Geographic Areas in
39 California’s Central Valley. Contributions to the Biology of Central Valley Salmonids. Edited by R.
40 L. Brown. *California Department of Fish and Game Fish Bulletin* 179(2):343–355.

- 1 Hestir, E. L., D. H. Schoellhamer, J. Greenberg, T. Morgan-King, and S. L. Ustin. 2016. The Effect of
2 Submerged Aquatic Vegetation Expansion on a Declining Turbidity Trend in the Sacramento-San
3 Joaquin River Delta. *Estuaries and Coasts* 39(4):1100–1112. Available:
4 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12237-015-0055-z>.
- 5 Heublein, J., R. Belmer, R. D. Chase, P. Doukakis, M. Gingras, D. Hampton, J. A. Israel, Z. J. Jackson, R. C.
6 Johnson, O. P. Langness, S. Luis, E. Mora, M. L. Moser, L. Rohrbach, A. M. Seesholtz, T. Sommer,
7 and J. S. Stuart. 2017a. *Life History and Current Monitoring Inventory of San Francisco Estuary*
8 *Sturgeon*. National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA Technical Memorandum NOAA-TM-NMFS-
9 SWFSC-589.
- 10 Heublein, J., R. Bellmer, R. D. Chase, P. Doukakis, M. Gingras, D. Hampton, J. A. Israel, Z. J. Jackson, R. C.
11 Johnson, O. P. Langness, S. Luis, E. Mora, M. L. Moser, L. Rohrbach, A. M. Seesholtz, and T.
12 Sommer. 2017b. *Improved Fisheries Management Through Life Stage Monitoring: The Case For*
13 *The Southern Distinct Population Segment Of North American Green Sturgeon And The*
14 *Sacramento-San Joaquin River White Sturgeon*. NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-588. September.
15 National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Fisheries Science Center, Santa Cruz, CA.
- 16 Hieb, K. 1999. Caridean Shrimp. In J. Orsi (ed.), *Report on the 1980–1995 Fish, Shrimp, and Crab*
17 *Sampling in the San Francisco Estuary, California*. Interagency Ecological Program for the
18 Sacramento–San Joaquin Estuary. Pages 77–132.
- 19 Hobbs, J. A., W. A. Bennett, J. Burton, and B. Baskerville-Bridges. 2007. Modification of the biological
20 intercept model to account for ontogenetic effects in laboratory-reared delta smelt (*Hypomesus*
21 *transpacificus*). *Fishery Bulletin* 105(1):30–38.
- 22 H. T. Harvey and Associates and PRBO Conservation Science. 2010. Critical Erosion Levee Repair
23 Sites, Fish and Habitat Monitoring, Year-3 (2010) Monitoring Report. December 29. Prepared for
24 the State of California Department of Water Resources, Sacramento, California.
- 25 Hutton, P. H., J. S. Rath, L. Chen, M. J. Unga, and S. B. Roy. 2015. Nine Decades of Salinity Observations
26 in the San Francisco Bay and Delta: Modeling and Trend Evaluations. *Journal of Water Resources*
27 *Planning and Management* 142(3):04015069.
- 28 ICF International. 2016a. Biological Assessment for the California WaterFix. July. (ICF 00237.15.)
29 Sacramento, CA. Prepared for the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of
30 Reclamation, Sacramento, CA.
- 31 ICF International. 2016b. State Incidental Take Permit Application for the Construction and
32 Operation of Dual Conveyance Facilities of the State Water Project. Draft. October. (ICF
33 00443.12.) Sacramento, CA. Prepared for California Department of Water Resources,
34 Sacramento, CA.
- 35 ICF International. 2017. *Public Water Agency 2017 Fall X2 Adaptive Management Plan Proposal*.
36 Submitted to United States Bureau of Reclamation and Department of Water Resources. Draft.
37 August 30. (ICF 00508.17.) Sacramento, CA.
- 38 Independent Review Panel. 2010. *Report of the 2010 Independent Review Panel (IRP) on the*
39 *Implementation of Reasonable and Prudent Alternative (RPA) Actions Affecting the Operations*
40 *Criteria And Plan (OCAP) for State/Federal Water Operations*. Prepared by J. J. Anderson, R. T.
41 Kneib, S.A. Luthy, and P.E. Smith. Delta Stewardship Council/Delta Science Program. 9
42 December.

- 1 Interagency Ecological Program, Management, Analysis, and Synthesis Team (IEP MAST). 2015. *An*
2 *updated conceptual model of Delta Smelt biology: our evolving understanding of an estuarine fish.*
3 Technical Report 90. January. Interagency Ecological Program for the San Francisco Bay/Delta
4 Estuary, Sacramento, CA.
- 5 Interagency Ecological Program (IEP). 2018. *2018 IEP Work Plan*. December.
- 6 Interagency Ecological Program (IEP). 2021. *2021 IEP Work Plan*. March 4. Available:
7 <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=193255&inline>. Accessed: September 2,
8 2021.
- 9 Jabusch, T., P. Trowbridge, M. Heberger, J. Orlando, M. De Parsia, M., and M. Stillway. 2018. *Delta*
10 *Regional Monitoring Program Annual Monitoring Report for Fiscal Year 2015–16: Pesticides and*
11 *Toxicity*. SFEI Contribution No. 864. Richmond, CA: Aquatic Science Center.
- 12 Jassby, A. D., W. J. Kimmerer, S. G. Monismith, C. Armor, J. E. Cloern, T. M. Powell, J. R. Schubel, and T.
13 J. Vendlinski. 1995. Isohaline Position as a Habitat Indicator for Estuarine Populations.
14 *Ecological Applications* 5:272–289.
- 15 Jassby, A. D., and J. E. Cloern. 2000. Organic matter sources and rehabilitation of the Sacramento-San
16 Joaquin Delta (California, USA). *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*
17 10(5):323–352.
- 18 Jassby, A. D., J. E. Cloern, and B. E. Cole. 2002. Annual Primary Production: Patterns and Mechanisms
19 of Change in a Nutrient-rich Tidal Ecosystem. *Limnology and Oceanography* 47:698–712.
- 20 Johnson, M. L, I. Werner, S. J. I, and F. Loge. 2010. *Evaluation of Chemical, Toxicological, and*
21 *Histopathologic Data to Determine Their Role in the Pelagic Organism Decline*. Available:
22 Accessed 2015 October 24. Final report to the California State Water Resources Control Board
23 and Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. University of California, Davis.
- 24 Johnson, R. C., A. R. Stewart, K. E. Limburg, R. Huang, D. Cocherell, and F. Feyrer. 2020. Lifetime
25 Chronicles of Selenium Exposure Linked to Deformities in an Imperiled Migratory Fish.
26 *Environmental Science & Technology* 54(5):2892–2901.
- 27 Johnston, S., and K. Kumagai. 2012. Steps Toward Evaluating Fish Predation in the Sacramento River
28 Delta. HTI Hydroacoustic Technology, Inc. Poster for 7th Biennial Bay-Delta Science Conference.
- 29 Jungbluth, M. J., J. Burns, L. Grimaldo, A. Slaughter, A. Katla, and W. Kimmerer. 2021. Feeding Habits
30 and Novel Prey of Larval Fishes in the Northern San Francisco Estuary. *Environmental DNA*
31 3(6):1059–1080. DOI: 10.1002/edn3.226.
- 32 Kayfetz, K., and W. Kimmerer. 2017. Abiotic and Biotic Controls on the Copepod *Pseudodiaptomus*
33 *forbesi* in the Upper San Francisco Estuary. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 581:85–101.
- 34 Kimmerer, W. J. 2002a. Physical, biological, and management responses to variable freshwater flow
35 into the San Francisco Estuary. *Estuaries* 25:1275–1290.
- 36 Kimmerer, W. J. 2002b. Effects of freshwater flow on abundance of estuarine organisms: physical
37 effects or trophic linkages? *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 243:39–55.
- 38 Kimmerer, W. J. 2004. Open Water Processes of the San Francisco Estuary: from Physical Forcing to
39 Biological Responses. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 2(1).

- 1 Kimmerer, W. J. 2005. Long-Term Changes in Apparent Uptake of Silica in the San Francisco Estuary.
2 *Limnology and Oceanography* 50:793–798.
- 3 Kimmerer, W.J. 2006. Response of Anchovies Dampens Effects of the Invasive bivalve *Corbula*
4 *amurensis* on 26 the San Francisco Estuary Foodweb. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 324:207–
5 218.
- 6 Kimmerer, W. J., and M. Nobriga. 2008. Investigating Particle Transport and Fate in the Sacramento
7 San Joaquin Delta Using a Particle Tracking Model. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science*
8 6(1).
- 9 Kimmerer, W. J. and J. K. Thompson. 2014. Phytoplankton Growth Balanced by Clam and
10 Zooplankton Grazing and Net Transport into the Low-Salinity Zone of the San Francisco Estuary.
11 Estuaries and Coasts, Pre-print published online: January 7.
- 12 Kimmerer, W. J., E. Gartside, and J. J. Orsi. 1994. Predation by an Introduced Clam as the Likely Cause
13 of Substantial Declines in Zooplankton of San Francisco Bay. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*
14 113:81–93.
- 15 Kimmerer, W. J., J. R. Burau, W. A. Bennett. 1998. Tidally Oriented Vertical Migration and Position
16 Maintenance of Zooplankton in a Temperate Estuary. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 43(7), 1998, 1697–
17 1709.
- 18 Kimmerer, W. J., J. H. Cowan, Jr., L. W. Miller, and K. A. Rose. 2000. Analysis of an Estuarine Striped
19 Bass (*Morone saxatilis*) Population: Influence of Density-dependent Mortality Between
20 Metamorphosis and Recruitment. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 57:478–
21 486.
- 22 Kimmerer, W. J., J. H. Cowan, Jr., L. W. Miller, and K. A. Rose. 2001. Analysis of an Estuarine Striped
23 Bass Population: Effects of Environmental Conditions during Early Life. *Estuaries* 24:556–574.
- 24 Kimmerer, W. J., N. Ferm, M. H. Nicolini, C. Penalva. 2005. Chronic Food Limitation of Egg Production
25 in Populations of Copepods of the Genus *Acartia* in the San Francisco Estuary. *Estuaries*
26 28(4):541–550. August.
- 27 Kimmerer, W., L. Brown, S. Culberson, P. Moyle, M. Nobriga, and J. Thompson. 2008. Aquatic
28 Ecosystems. Pages 73–101 in M. Healey, editor, *The State of Bay-Delta Science*. CALFED Science
29 Program.
- 30 Kimmerer, W. J., E. S. Gross, and M. L. MacWilliams. 2009. Is the Response of Estuarine Nekton to
31 Freshwater Flow in the San Francisco Estuary Explained by Variation in Habitat Volume?
32 *Estuaries and Coasts* 32:375–389. Doi 10.1007/s12237-008-9124-x.
- 33 Kimmerer, W. J., T. R. Ignoffo, A. M. Slaughter, and A. L. Gould. 2014. Food-Limited Reproduction and
34 Growth of Three Copepod Species in the Low-Salinity Zone of the San Francisco Estuary. *Journal*
35 *of Plankton Research* 36(3):722–735.
- 36 Kimmerer, W. J., T. R. Ignoffo, K. R. Kayfetz, and A. M. Slaughter. 2018a. Effects of Freshwater Flow
37 and Phytoplankton Biomass on Growth, Reproduction, and Spatial Subsidies of the Estuarine
38 Copepod *Pseudodiaptomus forbesi*. *Hydrobiologia* 807:113–130.

- 1 Kimmerer, W., T. R. Ignoffo, B. Bemowski, J. Moderan, A. Holmes, and B. Bergamaschi. 2018b.
2 Zooplankton Dynamics in the Cache Slough Complex of the Upper San Francisco Estuary. *San*
3 *Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 16(3).
- 4 Kimmerer, W., F. Wilkerson, B. Downing, R. Dugdale, E. S. Gross, K. Kayfetz, S. Khanna, A. E. Parker,
5 and J. Thompson. 2019a. Effects of Drought and the Emergency Drought Barrier on the
6 Ecosystem of the California Delta. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 17(3).
- 7 Kimmerer, W. J., E. S. Gross, A. M. Slaughter, and J. R. Durand. 2019b. Spatial Subsidies and Mortality
8 of an Estuarine Copepod Revealed Using a Box Model. *Estuaries and Coasts* 42(1):218–236.
- 9 Kjelson, M. A., P. F. Raquel, and F. W. Fisher. 1982. Life History of Fall-Run Juvenile Chinook Salmon,
10 *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*, in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary, California. In V. S. Kennedy,
11 (ed.), *Estuarine Comparisons*, pp. 393–411. Academic Press, New York, NY.
- 12 Kjelson, M. A., and P. L. Brandes. 1989. The Use of Smolt Survival Estimates to Quantify the Effects of
13 Habitat Changes on Salmonid Stocks in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Rivers, California.
14 Proceedings of the National Workshop on Effects of Habitat Alteration on Salmonid Stocks. Eds.
15 C. D. Levings, L. B. Holtby, and M. A. Henderson. *Canadian Special Publication of Fisheries and*
16 *Aquatic Sciences* 105:100–115.
- 17 Kneib, R. T. 2019. Independent Review of the Coordinated Long-Term Operation of the Central
18 Valley Project and State Water Project. Prepared for National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S.
19 Fish and Wildlife Service. Available:
20 [https://www.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/legacy/document/2020/Oct/0.7.115.9392-](https://www.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/legacy/document/2020/Oct/0.7.115.9392-000003.pdf)
21 [000003.pdf](https://www.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/legacy/document/2020/Oct/0.7.115.9392-000003.pdf). Accessed: June 27, 2022.
- 22 Korman, J., E. S. Gross, and L. F. Grimaldo. 2021. Statistical Evaluation of Behavior and Population
23 Dynamics Models Predicting Movement and Proportional Entrainment Loss of Adult Delta Smelt
24 in the Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 19(1).
- 25 Kuivila, K. M., and C. G. Foe. 1995. Concentrations, Transport and Biological Effects of Dormant Spray
26 Pesticides in the San Francisco Estuary, California. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*
27 14:1141–1150.
- 28 Lam, C. H., T. Kurobe, P. W. Lehman, M. Berg, B. G. Hammock, M. E. Stillway, P. K. Pandey, and S. J. I.
29 2020. Toxicity of Herbicides to Cyanobacteria and Phytoplankton Species of the San Francisco
30 Estuary and Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta, California, USA. *Journal of Environmental*
31 *Science and Health, Part A* 55(2):107–118.
- 32 Lanman, R. B., L. Hylkema, C. M. Boone, B. Allée, R. O. Castillo, S. A. Moreno, M. F. Flores, U. DeSilva, B.
33 Bingham, and B. M. Kemp. 2021. Ancient DNA Analysis of Archaeological Specimens Extends
34 Chinook Salmon’s Known Historic Range to San Francisco Bay’s Tributaries and Southernmost
35 Watershed. *PloS ONE* 16(4):e0244470.
- 36 Latour, R. J. 2016. Explaining Patterns of Pelagic Fish Abundance in the Sacramento-San Joaquin
37 Delta. *Estuaries and Coasts* 39(1):233–247.
- 38 Lee, G. F., and A. Jones-Lee. 2003. *Summary of Findings on the Causes and Factors Influencing Low DO*
39 *in the San Joaquin River Deep Water Ship Channel near Stockton, CA*. G. Fred Lee & Associates, El
40 Macero, CA. March. Available: <http://www.gfredlee.com/psjriv2.htm>. Accessed May 17, 2022.

- 1 Lee, J. W., De Riu, N., Lee, S., Bai, S. C., Moniello, G., and S.S. Hung. 2011. Effects of dietary
2 methylmercury on growth performance and tissue burden in juvenile green (*Acipenser*
3 *medirostris*) and white sturgeon (*A. transmontanus*). *Aquatic Toxicology* 105(3-4):227–234.
- 4 Lee, P., and J. Manning. 2020. *Mercury Imports and Exports of Four Tidal Wetlands in the Sacramento-*
5 *San Joaquin Delta, Yolo Bypass, and Suisun Marsh for Delta Mercury Control Program Compliance.*
6 April 3. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Water Resources.
- 7 Lehman, P. W., G. Boyer, C. Hall, and K. Gehrts. 2005. Distribution and Toxicity of a New Colonial
8 *Microcystis aeruginosa* Bloom in the San Francisco Bay Estuary, California. *Hydrobiologia* (2005)
9 541: 87–99. DOI 10.1007/s10750-004-4670-0.
- 10 Lehman, P. W., G. Boyer, M. Satchwell, and S. Waller. 2008a. The Influence of Environmental
11 Conditions on the Seasonal Variation of *Microcystis* Cell Density and Microcystins Concentration
12 in San Francisco Estuary. *Hydrobiologia* 600(1):187–204.
- 13 Lehman, P. W., T. Sommer, and L. Rivard. 2008b. The Influence of Floodplain Habitat on the Quantity
14 of Riverine Phytoplankton Carbon Produced During the Flood Season in San Francisco Estuary.
15 *Aquatic Ecology* 42:363–378.
- 16 Lehman, P. W., S. J. I, G. L. Boyer, M. L. Nobriga, E. Bass, C. Hogle. 2010. Initial Impacts of *Microcystis*
17 *aeruginosa* Blooms on the Aquatic Food Web in the San Francisco Estuary. *Hydrobiologia* (2010)
18 637: 229–248. DOI 10.1007/s10750-009-9999-y.
- 19 Lehman, B. M., M. P. Gary, N. Demetras, and C. J. Michel. 2019. Where Predators and Prey Meet:
20 Anthropogenic Contact Points Between Fishes in a Freshwater Estuary. *San Francisco Estuary*
21 *and Watershed Science* 17(4). Available: <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2dg499z4>. Accessed:
22 November 3, 2020.
- 23 Lehman, P. W., T. Kurobe, K. Huynh, S. Lesmeister, and S. J. I. 2021. Covariance of Phytoplankton,
24 Bacteria, and Zooplankton Communities Within *Microcystis* Blooms in San Francisco Estuary.
25 *Frontiers in Microbiology* 12:1184.
- 26 Lewis, L. S., M. Willmes, A. Barros, P. K. Crain, and J. A. Hobbs. 2020. Newly Discovered Spawning and
27 Recruitment of Threatened Longfin Smelt in Restored and Underexplored Tidal Wetlands.
28 *Ecology* 101(1):e02868.
- 29 LFR Levine-Fricke. 2004. *Framework for Assessment of Potential Effects of Dredging on Sensitive Fish*
30 *Species in San Francisco Bay.* San Francisco, California, Final Report 001-09170-00. Prepared for
31 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco District.
- 32 Lindberg, J. C., Y.-J. J. Tsai, B. D. Kammerer, B. Baskerville-Bridges, and T.-C. Hung. 2020. Spawning
33 Microhabitat Selection in Wild-Caught Delta Smelt *Hypomesus transpacificus* under Laboratory
34 Conditions. *Estuaries and Coasts* 43:174–181.
- 35 Linville, R. G., S. N. Luoma, L. Cutter, and G. A. Cutter. 2002. Increased Selenium Threat as a Result of
36 Invasion of the Exotic Bivalve *Potamocorbula amurensis* into the San Francisco Bay-Delta.
37 *Aquatic Toxicology* 57(1-2):51–64.
- 38 Loboschefskey, E., G. Benigno, T. Sommer, K. Rose, T. Ginn, A. Massoudieh, and F. Loge. 2012.
39 Individual-level and Population-level Historical Prey Demand of San Francisco Estuary Striped
40 Bass Using a Bioenergetics Model. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 10(1).

- 1 Mac Nally, R., Thomson, J. R., Kimmerer, W. J., Feyrer, F., Newman, K. B., Sih, A. 2010. Analysis of
2 Pelagic Species Decline in the Upper San Francisco Estuary Using Multivariate Autoregressive
3 Modeling (MAR). *Ecological Applications* 20(5):1417–1430.
- 4 Mahardja, B., J. L. Conrad, L. Lusher, and B. Schreier. 2016. Abundance Trends, Distribution, and
5 Habitat Associations of the Invasive Mississippi Silverside (*Menidia audens*) in the Sacramento–
6 San Joaquin Delta, California, USA. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 14(1).
- 7 Mahardja, B., M. J. Farruggia, B. Schreier, and T. Sommer. 2017. Evidence of a Shift in the Littoral Fish
8 Community of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. *PloS ONE* 12(1):e0170683.
- 9 Mahardja, B., J. A. Hobbs, N. Ikemiyagi, A. Benjamin, and A. J. Finger. 2019. Role of Freshwater
10 Floodplain-Tidal Slough Complex in the Persistence of the Endangered Delta Smelt. *PLOS ONE*
11 14(1):e208084. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208084>.
- 12 Manly, B. F. J., D. Fullerton, A. N. Hendrix, and K. P. Burnham. 2015. Comments on Feyrer et al.
13 “Modeling the Effects of Future Outflow on the Abiotic Habitat of an Imperiled Estuarine Fish.”
14 *Estuaries and Coasts* 38(5):1815–1820.
- 15 Marston, D., C. Mesick, A. Hubbard, D. Stanton, S. Fortmann-Roe, S. Tsao, and T. Heyne. 2012. Delta
16 Flow Factors Influencing Stray Rate of Escaping Adult San Joaquin River fall-run Chinook
17 Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 10(4).
- 18 Matern, S. A., P. B. Moyle, and L. C. Pierce. 2002. Native and Alien Fishes in a California Estuarine
19 Marsh: Twenty-one Years of Changing Assemblages. *Transactions of the American Fisheries*
20 *Society* 131(5):797–816. DOI: 10.1577/1548-8659(2002)131<0797:NAAFIA>2.0.CO;2.
- 21 Maunder, M. N., R. B. Deriso, and C. H. Hanson. 2015. Use of state-space population dynamics models
22 in hypothesis testing: advantages over simple log-linear regressions for modeling survival,
23 illustrated with application to longfin smelt (*Spirinchus thaleichthys*). *Fisheries Research*
24 164:102–111.
- 25 McLain, J., and G. Castillo. 2009. Nearshore Areas Used by Fry Chinook Salmon, *Oncorhynchus*
26 *tshawytscha*, in the Northwestern Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California. *San Francisco*
27 *Estuary and Watershed Science* 7(2).
- 28 Mefford, B., and Z. Sutphin. 2008. *Intake Diversion Dam Fish Screens. Evaluation of Fish Screens for*
29 *Protecting Early Life Stages of Pallid Sturgeon*. Hydraulic Laboratory Report HL-2007-010. Draft.
30 U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Technical Service Center, Water Resources Research Laboratory,
31 Denver, CO.
- 32 Michel, C., J. Notch, N. Demetras, M. Sabal, B. Lehman, S. Hayes, and S. Lindley. 2014. *Predator*
33 *Densities and Associated Salmonid Smolt Mortality around Water Diversions*. Presentation at 8th
34 Biennial Bay-Delta Science Conference, October 30. Sacramento, CA.
- 35 Michel, C. J., A. J. Ammann, S. T. Lindley, P. T. Sandstrom, E. D. Chapman, M. J. Thomas, G. P. Singer, A.
36 P. Klimley, and R. B. MacFarlane. 2015. Chinook Salmon Out-Migration Survival in Wet and Dry
37 Years in California’s Sacramento River. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*
38 72:1749–1759.

- 1 Michel, C. J., J. M. Smith, N. J. Demetras, D. D. Huff, and S. A. Hayes. 2018. Non-Native Fish Predator
2 Density and Molecular-Based Diet Estimates Suggest Differing Impacts of Predator Species on
3 Juvenile Salmon in the San Joaquin River, California. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed*
4 *Science* 16(4).
- 5 Michel, C. J., M. J. Henderson, C. M. Loomis, J. M. Smith, N. J. Demetras, I. S. Iglesias, B. M. Lehman, and
6 D. D. Huff. 2020. Fish Predation on a Landscape Scale. *Ecosphere* 11(6):e03168.
- 7 Miller, W. J., B. F. J. Manly, D. D. Murphy, D. Fullerton, and R. R. Ramey. 2012. An Investigation of
8 Factors Affecting the Decline of Delta Smelt (*Hypomesus transpacificus*) in the Sacramento-San
9 Joaquin Estuary. *Reviews in Fisheries Science* 20(1):1–19.
- 10 Miranda, J. 2019. *Skinner Evaluation and Improvement Study 2017 Annual Report*. California
11 Department of Water Resources, Sacramento, CA.
- 12 Mitchell, C. P. J., T. E. Jordan, A. Heyes, and C. C. Gilmour. 2012. Tidal Exchange of Total Mercury and
13 Methylmercury Between a Salt Marsh and a Chesapeake Bay Sub-Estuary. *Biogeochemistry* 111
14 (1-3):583–600. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-011-9691-y>.
- 15 Monsen, N. E., J. E. Cloern, and J. R. Burau. 2007. Effects of Flow Diversions on Water and Habitat
16 Quality: Examples from California’s Highly Manipulated Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. *San*
17 *Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 5(3).
- 18 Moser, M. L., A. D. Jackson, M. C. Lucas, and R. P. Mueller. 2015. Behavior and Potential Threats to
19 Survival of Migrating Lamprey Ammocoetes and Macrophthalmia. *Reviews in Fish Biology and*
20 *Fisheries* 25(1):103–116.
- 21 Mount, J., W. Bennett, J. Durand, W. Fleenor, E. Hanak, J. Lund, and P. B. Moyle. 2012. *Aquatic*
22 *Ecosystem Stressors in the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta*. Public Policy Institute of California,
23 San Francisco, CA.
- 24 Moyle, P. B. 2002. *Inland Fishes of California*. Second edition. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- 25 Moyle, P. B. 2008. The Future of Fish in Response to Large-Scale Change in the San Francisco
26 Estuary, California. *American Fisheries Society Symposium* 64:357-374.
- 27 Moyle, P. B., and W. A. Bennett. 2008. *The Future of the Delta Ecosystem and Its Fish*. Technical
28 Appendix D, Comparing Futures for the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta. Public Policy Institute of
29 California, San Francisco, CA.
- 30 Moyle, P., W. Bennett, J. Durand, W. Fleenor, B. Gray, E. Hanak, J. Lund, and J. Mount. 2012. *Where the*
31 *Wild Things Aren’t: Making the Delta a Better Place for Native Species*. Public Policy Institute of
32 California, San Francisco, CA. Available: [http://www.ppic.org/content/pubs/report/](http://www.ppic.org/content/pubs/report/R_612PMR.pdf)
33 [R_612PMR.pdf](http://www.ppic.org/content/pubs/report/R_612PMR.pdf). Accessed: April 28, 2022.
- 34 Moyle, P. B., R. M. Quiñones, J. V. Katz and J. Weaver. 2015. *Fish Species of Special Concern in*
35 *California*. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Fish and Wildlife. Available:
36 <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=104282&inline>. Accessed: April 28,
37 2022.
- 38 Moyle, P. B., L. R. Brown, J. R. Durand, and J. A. Hobbs. 2016. Delta Smelt: Life History and Decline of a
39 Once-Abundant Species in the San Francisco Estuary. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed*
40 *Science* 14(2).

- 1 Mueller-Solger, A. B., A. D. Jassby, and D. C. Muller-Navarra. 2002. Nutritional Quality of Food
2 Resources for Zooplankton (Daphnia) in a Tidal Freshwater System (Sacramento–San Joaquin
3 River Delta). *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 47(5):1468–1476.
- 4 Murphy, D. D., and S. A. Hamilton. 2013. Eastward Migration or Marshward Dispersal: Exercising
5 Survey Data to Elicit an Understanding of Seasonal Movement of Delta Smelt. *San Francisco*
6 *Estuary and Watershed Science* 11(3).
- 7 Murphy, D.D. and P.S. Weiland. 2019. The Low-Salinity Zone in the San Francisco Estuary as a Proxy
8 for Delta Smelt Habitat: A Case Study in the Misuse of Surrogates in Conservation Planning.
9 *Ecological Indicators* 105:29–35. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.05.053>.
- 10 National Marine Fisheries Service. 1997. *Fish Screening Criteria for Anadromous Salmonids*.
11 Southwest Region, Santa Rosa, CA.
- 12 National Marine Fisheries Service. 2000. *Guidelines for Electrofishing Waters Containing Salmonids*
13 *Listed under the Endangered Species Act*. June.
- 14 National Marine Fisheries Service. 2008. *Biological Opinion on 24,000 Linear Feet of Sacramento*
15 *River Bank Protection Project, Phase II*. 2007/07158. July 2, 2008. Long Beach, CA.
- 16 National Marine Fisheries Service. 2009. *Biological Opinion and Conference Opinion on the Long-*
17 *Term Operations of the Central Valley Project and State Water Project*. National Marine Fisheries
18 Service Southwest Region. June 4.
- 19 National Marine Fisheries Service. 2014a. *Recovery Plan for the Evolutionarily Significant Units of*
20 *Sacramento River Winter-Run Chinook Salmon and Central Valley Spring-Run Chinook Salmon and*
21 *the Distinct Population Segment of California Central Valley Steelhead*. National Marine Fisheries
22 Service West Coast Region. July.
- 23 National Marine Fisheries Service. 2014b. Endangered Species Act Section 7(a)(2) Concurrence
24 Letter for the Lower Yolo Restoration Project. Sacramento, CA: National Marine Fisheries, West
25 Coast Region.
- 26 National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2015. Endangered Species Act Section 7(a)(2) Biological
27 Opinion, Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Essential Fish Habitat
28 Response, and Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Recommendations for the Woodward Island
29 Bridge Project (Ferry Ramp Replacement) over Middle River in western San Joaquin County.
30 June 24. Sacramento, CA: National Marine Fisheries Service.
- 31 National Marine Fisheries Service. 2016. *Central Valley Recovery Domain 5-Year Review: Summary*
32 *and Evaluation of California Central Valley Steelhead Distinct Population Segment*. National
33 Marine Fisheries Service, West Coast Region.
- 34 National Marine Fisheries Service. 2017. *Endangered Species Act Section 7(a)(2) Biological Opinion,*
35 *Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Essential Fish Habitat Response,*
36 *and Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Recommendations for the California WaterFix Project in*
37 *Central Valley, California*. NMFS Consultation Number: WCR-2016-5506. June 16. Portland, OR:
38 United States Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,
39 National Marine Fisheries Service, West Coast Region.

- 1 National Marine Fisheries Service. 2018. *Recovery Plan for the Southern Distinct Population Segment*
2 *of North American Green Sturgeon (Acipenser medirostris)*. California Central Valley Area Office,
3 National Marine Fisheries Service, Sacramento, CA.
- 4 National Marine Fisheries Service. 2019. *Biological Opinion on the Long-term Operation of the Central*
5 *Valley Project and State Water Project*. October 21. National Marine Fisheries Service, West Coast
6 Region.
- 7 National Research Council. 2012. *Sustainable Water and Environmental Management in the California*
8 *Bay-Delta*. Prepared by the Committee on Sustainable Water and Environmental Management in
9 the California Bay-Delta. The National Academies Press, Washington, D.C.
- 10 Newman, K. B., and P. L. Brandes. 2010. Hierarchical Modeling of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Survival
11 as a Function of Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Water Exports. *North American Journal of*
12 *Fisheries Management* 30: 157–169.
- 13 Nixon, S. W. 1988. Physical Energy Inputs and the Comparative Ecology of Lake and Marine
14 Ecosystems. *Limnology and Oceanography*, Part II 33: 1005–1025.
- 15 Nobriga, M. L. 2009. Bioenergetic Modeling Evidence for a Context-dependent Role of Food
16 Limitation in California’s Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta. *California Fish and Game* 95(3):111–
17 121.
- 18 Nobriga, M. L., and F. Feyrer. 2007. Shallow-Water Piscivore-Prey Dynamics in California’s
19 Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 5(2): Article 4.
- 20 Nobriga, M. and B. Herbold. 2009. *The Little Fish in California’s Water Supply: A Literature Review and*
21 *Life-History Conceptual Model for Delta Smelt (Hypomesus transpacificus) for the Delta Regional*
22 *Ecosystem Restoration and Implementation Plan (DRERIP)*. Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration
23 Implementation Plan (DRERIP). Sacramento, CA.
- 24 Nobriga M.L. and J. A. Rosenfield. 2016. Population Dynamics of an Estuarine Forage Fish:
25 Disaggregating Forces Driving Long-Term Decline of Longfin Smelt in California’s San Francisco
26 Estuary. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 145(1)44–58. DOI: 10.1080/
27 00028487.2015.1100136.
- 28 Nobriga, M. L., Z. Matica, and Z. P. Hymanson. 2004. Evaluating Entrainment Vulnerability to
29 Agricultural Irrigation Diversions: A Comparison among Open-Water Fishes. In F. Feyrer, L. R.
30 Brown, R. L. Brown, and J. J. Orsi, editors, *Early Life History of Fishes in the San Francisco*
31 *Estuary and Watershed*. *American Fisheries Society Symposium* 39:281–295.
- 32 Nobriga, M. L., F. Feyrer, R. D. Baxter, and M. Chotkowski. 2005. Fish Community Ecology in an
33 Altered River Delta: Spatial Patterns in Species Composition, Life History Strategies and
34 Biomass. *Estuaries* 28(5):776–785.
- 35 Nobriga, M. L., T. R. Sommer, F. Feyrer, and K. Fleming. 2008. Long-Term Trends in Summertime
36 Habitat Suitability for Delta Smelt, *Hypomesus transpacificus*. *San Francisco Estuary and*
37 *Watershed Science* 6: Article 1.
- 38 Nobriga, M. L., and W. E. Smith. 2020. Did a Shifting Ecological Baseline Mask the Predatory Effect of
39 Striped Bass on Delta Smelt? *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 18(1).

- 1 Nobriga, M. L., C. J. Michel, R. C. Johnson, and J. D. Wikert. 2021. Coldwater Fish in a Warm Water
2 World: Implications for Predation of Salmon Smolts during Estuary Transit. *Ecology and*
3 *Evolution*. DOI: 10.1002/ece3.7840.
- 4 Normandeau Associates, Inc. and ASA Analysis & Communications, Inc. 2011. *2010 IPEC wedgewire*
5 *screen laboratory study*. Report R-21825.002. January. Buchanan, NY: Indian Point Energy
6 Center.
- 7 Orsi, J. J., and W. L. Mecum. 1996. Food Limitation as the Probable Cause of a Long-Term Decline in
8 the Abundance of *Neomysis mercedis* the Opossum Shrimp in the Sacramento-San Joaquin
9 Estuary. In J. T. Hollibaugh (ed), *San Francisco Bay: The Ecosystem. Further Investigations into the*
10 *Natural History of San Francisco Bay and Delta with Reference to the Influence of Man*. Pacific
11 Division of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, San Francisco, CA.
- 12 Patton, O., V. Larwood, M. Young, and F. Feyrer. 2020. Estuarine Habitat Use by White Sturgeon
13 (*Acipenser transmontanus*). *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 18(4).
- 14 Perry, R. W. 2010. *Survival and Migration Dynamics of Juvenile Chinook Salmon (Oncorhynchus*
15 *tshawytscha) in the Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta*. Doctoral dissertation. University of
16 Washington, Seattle, WA.
- 17 Perry, R. W., and J. R. Skalski. 2008. *Migration and Survival of Juvenile Chinook Salmon through the*
18 *Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta during the Winter of 2006–2007*. School of Aquatic and
19 Fishery Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. Submitted to U.S. Fish and Wildlife
20 Services, Stockton, CA. September.
- 21 Perry, R. W., P. L. Brandes, P. T. Sandstrom, A. Ammann, B. MacFarlane, A. P. Klimley, and J. R. Skalski.
22 2010. Estimating Survival and Migration Route Probabilities of Juvenile Chinook Salmon in the
23 Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 30: 142–
24 156.
- 25 Perry, R. W., J. G. Romine, S. J. Brewer, P. E. LaCivita, W. N. Brostoff, and E. D. Chapman. 2012. *Survival*
26 *and Migration Route Probabilities of Juvenile Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River*
27 *Delta during the Winter of 2009–10*. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2012-1200, 30 pp.
- 28 Perry, R. W., P. L. Brandes, J. R. Burau, P. T. Sandstrom, and J. R. Skalski. 2015. Effect of Tides, River
29 Flow, and Gate Operations on Entrainment of Juvenile Salmon into the Interior Sacramento–San
30 Joaquin River Delta. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 144:445–455.
- 31 Perry, R. W., R. A. Buchanan, P. L. Brandes, J. R. Burau, and J. A. Israel. 2016. Anadromous Salmonids
32 in the Delta: New Science 2006–2016. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 14(2).
- 33 Perry, R. W., A. C. Pope, J. G. Romine, P. L. Brandes, J. R. Burau, A. R. Blake, A. J. Ammann, C. J. Michel.
34 2018. Flow-Mediated Effects on Travel Time, Routing, and Survival of Juvenile Chinook Salmon
35 in a Spatially Complex, Tidally Forced River Delta. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic*
36 *Sciences* 75(11):1886–1901.
- 37 Perry, R. W., A. C. Hansen, S. D. Evans, and T. J. Kock. 2020. *Using the STARS Model to Evaluate the*
38 *Effects of Two Proposed Projects for the Long-Term Operation of the State Water Project*
39 *Incidental Take Permit Application and CEQA Compliance*. Open-File Report 2019-1127. Version
40 2.0. February. U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA.

- 1 Peterson, M. L., A. N. Fuller, and D. Demko. 2017. Environmental Factors Associated with the
2 Upstream Migration of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon in a Regulated River. *North American Journal of*
3 *Fisheries Management* 37(1):78–93.
- 4 Peterson, J. T., and M. F. Barajas. 2018. An Evaluation of Three Fish Surveys in the San Francisco
5 Estuary, 1995–2015. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 16(4).
- 6 Plumb, J. M., N. S. Adams, R. W. PerrMissingy, C. M. Holbrook, J. G. Romine, A. R. Blake, and J. R. Burau.
7 2016. Diel Activity Patterns of Juvenile Late Fall-run Chinook Salmon with Implications for
8 Operation of a Gated Water Diversion in the Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta. *River Research*
9 *and Applications* 32(4):711–720.
- 10 Polansky, L., K. B. Newman, and L. Mitchell. 2021. Improving Inference for Nonlinear State-Space
11 Models of Animal Population Dynamics Given Biased Sequential Life Stage Data. *Biometrics*
12 77(1):352–361.
- 13 Poletto, J. B., D. E. Cocherell, N. Ho, J. J. Cech, A. P. Klimley, and N. A. Fanguie. 2014. Juvenile Green
14 Sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*) and White Sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus*) Behavior near
15 Water-Diversion Fish Screens: Experiments in a Laboratory Swimming Flume. *Canadian Journal*
16 *of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 71(7):1030–1038.
- 17 Pope, A. C., R. W. Perry, B. N. Harvey, D. J. Hance, and H. C. Hansel. 2021. Juvenile Chinook Salmon
18 Survival, Travel Time, and Floodplain Use Relative to Riverine Channels in the Sacramento–San
19 Joaquin River Delta. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 150(1):38–55.
- 20 Popper, A., and M. Hastings. 2009. The Effects of Anthropogenic Sources of Sound on Fishes. *Journal*
21 *of Fish Biology* 75(3):455–489.
- 22 Port of Stockton. 2021. *Aeration Facility*. Available: [https://www.portofstockton.com/aeration-](https://www.portofstockton.com/aeration-facility/)
23 [facility/](https://www.portofstockton.com/aeration-facility/). Accessed: September 2, 2021.
- 24 Reine, K. J., D. Clarke, and C. Dickerson. 2014. Characterization of Underwater Sounds Produced by
25 Hydraulic and Mechanical Dredging Operations. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*
26 135(6):3280–3294.
- 27 Resource Management Associates. 2020. *Pilot Modeling to Examine Regional Effects of Delta*
28 *Geometry Changes – Phase 1 and 2 Summary Report*. July. Davis, CA. Prepared for Delta Science
29 Program, Delta Stewardship Council, Sacramento, CA.
- 30 Rigby, M. C., X. Deng, T. M. Grieb, S. J. Teh, and S. S. O. Hung. 2010. Effect Threshold for Selenium
31 Toxicity in Juvenile Splittail, *Pogonichthys macrolepidotus*. *Bulletin of Environmental*
32 *Contamination and Toxicology* 84(1):76–79.
- 33 Rose, B. P., and M. G. Mesa. 2012. Effectiveness of Common Fish Screen Materials to Protect Lamprey
34 Ammocoetes. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 32(3):597–603.
- 35 Rosenfield, J. A., and R. D. Baxter. 2007. Population Dynamics and Distribution Patterns of Longfin
36 Smelt in the San Francisco Estuary. *Transactions American Fisheries Society* 136: 1577–1592.
- 37 Sabal, M. 2014. *Interactive Effects of Non-Native Predators and Anthropogenic Habitat Alterations on*
38 *Native Juvenile Salmon*. Master’s Thesis. University of California, Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA.

- 1 Sabal, M., S. Hayes, J. Merz, and J. Setka. 2016. Habitat Alterations and a Nonnative Predator, the
2 Striped Bass, Increase Native Chinook Salmon Mortality in the Central Valley, California. *North*
3 *American Journal of Fisheries Management* 36(2):309–320.
- 4 Saiki, M. K., M. R. Jennings, and R. H. Wiedmeyer. 1992. Toxicity of Agricultural Subsurface
5 Drainwater from the San Joaquin Valley, California, to Juvenile Chinook Salmon and Striped
6 Bass. *Transactions of American Fisheries Society* 121:73–93.
- 7 Salmonid Scoping Team (SST). 2017. Effects of Water Project Operations on Juvenile Salmonid
8 Migration and Survival in the South Delta.
- 9 Salmon Monitoring Team. 2021. Weekly Assessment of CVP and SWP Delta Operations on ESA-listed
10 Species. Proposed Action Assessment 10/19/2021. Available:
11 <https://www.usbr.gov/mp/bdo/docs/samt-weekly-assessment-2021-10-19.pdf>. Accessed:
12 December 10, 2021.
- 13 Sandahl, J.F., Baldwin, D.H., Jenkins, J.J., Scholz, N.L. 2007. A sensory system at the interface between
14 urban stormwater runoff and salmon survival. *Environmental Science and Technology* 41, 2998–
15 3004.
- 16 San Joaquin Tributaries Authority (SJTA). 2012. *Review of Scientific Information Pertaining to*
17 *SWRCB's February 2012 Technical Report on the Scientific Basis for Alternative San Joaquin River*
18 *Flow Objectives*. Prepared for State Water Resources Control Board Phase II Comprehensive
19 Review Workshops, Workshop 2, “Bay-Delta Fisheries” to be held October 1–2, 2012. Prepared
20 by Doug Demko, Michael Hellmair, Matt Peterson, Shaara Ainsley, Michele Palmer, and Andrea
21 Fuller.
- 22 Schoellhamer, D. H. 2001. Influence of Salinity, Bottom Topography, and Tides on Locations of
23 Estuarine Turbidity Maxima in Northern San Francisco Bay. *Interagency Ecological Program*
24 *Newsletter* 14(4):54–62.
- 25 Schoellhamer, D. H., S. A. Wright, and J. Drexler. 2012. A Conceptual Model of Sedimentation in the
26 Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 10(3).
- 27 Schoellhamer, D. H., T. L. Morgan-King, M. A. Downing-Kunz, S. A. Wright, and G. G. Shellenbarger.
28 2014. *Appendix 5. U.S. Geological Survey Sediment Monitoring and Analysis*. In *Synthesis of Studies*
29 *in the Fall Low-Salinity Zone of the San Francisco Estuary, September–December 2011*. U.S.
30 Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2014–5041, 111–123.
- 31 Schreier, B. M., M. R. Baerwald, J. L. Conrad, G. Schumer, and B. May. 2016. Examination of Predation
32 on Early Life Stage Delta Smelt in the San Francisco Estuary Using DNA Diet Analysis.
33 *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 145(4):723–733.
- 34 Schultz, A. A., L. Grimaldo, J. Hassrick, A. Kalmbach, A. Smith, O. Burgess, D. Barnard, and J. Brandon.
35 2019. *Effect of Isohaline (X2) and Region on Delta Smelt Habitat, Prey and Distribution During the*
36 *Summer and Fall: Insights into Managed Flow Actions in a Highly Modified Estuary*. Pages 237–
37 302 in A. A. Schultz (ed.), *Directed Outflow Project: Technical Report 1*. U.S. Bureau of
38 Reclamation, Bay-Delta Office, Mid-Pacific Region, Sacramento, CA. November. 318 pp.

- 1 Setka, J. 2018. Written Testimony of Jose D. Setka, Expert Witness for East Bay Municipal Utility
2 District. Exhibit EBMUD-155. Part 2 of Hearing in the matter of California Department of Water
3 Resources and United States Bureau of Reclamation Request for a Change in Point of Diversion
4 for California WaterFix Before the California State Water Resources Control Board. Available:
5 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/EBMUD/part2/ebmud_155.pdf)
6 [waterfix/exhibits/docs/EBMUD/part2/ebmud_155.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/EBMUD/part2/ebmud_155.pdf). Accessed: April 28, 2022.
- 7 Shields, C. 2012. 2012 South Delta Temporary Barriers Project. Sound Monitoring Memorandum to
8 Jacob McQuirk, California Department of Water Resources. Atkins. 11 May.
- 9 Shilling, F., A. Negrette, L. Biondi, and S. Cardenas. 2014. *California Tribes Fish-Use: Final Report*. A
10 Report for the State Water Resources Control Board and the US Environmental Protection
11 Agency. Agreement # 11-146-250 between SWRCB and UC Davis. July.
- 12 Siegel, S., C. Enright, C. Toms, C. Enos, and J. Sutherland. 2010. *Suisun Marsh Tidal Marsh and Aquatic*
13 *Habitats Conceptual Model*. Chapter 1: Physical Processes. Suisun Marsh Habitat Management,
14 Restoration and Preservation Plan. Final Review Draft. Prepared by WWR and DWR.
- 15 Simenstad, C., J. Van Sickle, N. Monsen, E. Peebles, G. T. Ruggerone, and H. Gosnell. 2016.
16 Independent Review Panel Report for the 2016 California WaterFix Aquatic Science Peer
17 Review. Sacramento, CA: Delta Stewardship Council, Delta Science Program.
- 18 Singer, G. P., A. R. Hearn, E. D. Chapman, M. L. Peterson, P. E. LaCivita, W. N. Brostoff, A. Bremner, and
19 A. Klimley. 2013. Interannual Variation of Reach Specific Migratory Success for Sacramento
20 River Hatchery Yearling Late-Fall Run Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) and
21 Steelhead Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 96(2-3):363-379.
- 22 Singer, G. P., E. D. Chapman, A. J. Ammann, A. P. Klimley, A. L. Rypel, and N. A. Fangue. 2020. Historic
23 Drought Influences Outmigration Dynamics of Juvenile Fall and Spring-Run Chinook Salmon.
24 *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 103(5):543-559.
- 25 Slater, S. B., and R. D. Baxter. 2014. Diet, Prey Selection, and Body Condition of Age-0 Delta Smelt,
26 *Hypomesus transpacificus*, in the Upper San Francisco Estuary. *San Francisco Estuary and*
27 *Watershed Science* 12(3).
- 28 Smith, D. L., J. M. Nestler, G. E. Johnson, and R. A. Goodwin. 2009. Species-Specific Spatial and
29 Temporal Distribution Patterns of Emigrating Juvenile Salmonids in the Pacific Northwest.
30 *Reviews in Fisheries Science* 18(1):40-64.
- 31 Smith, W. E., L. Polansky, and M. L. Nobriga. 2021. Disentangling Risks to an Endangered Fish: Using
32 a State-Space Life Cycle Model to Separate Natural Mortality from Anthropogenic Losses.
33 *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 78(8):1008-1029.
- 34 Sobczak, W. V., J. E. Cloern, A. D. Jassby, and A. B. Müller-Solger. 2002. Bioavailability of organic
35 matter in a highly disturbed estuary: The role of detrital and algal resources. *Proceedings of the*
36 *National Academy of Sciences* 99(12):8101-8105.
- 37 Sommer, T. R., R. Baxter, and B. Herbold. 1997. Resilience of Splittail in the Sacramento-San Joaquin
38 Estuary. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 126:961-976.

- 1 Sommer, T., B. Harrell, M. Nobriga, R. Brown, P. Moyle, W. Kimmerer, and L. Schemel. 2001a.
2 California's Yolo Bypass: Evidence that flood control can be compatible with fisheries, wetlands,
3 wildlife, and agriculture. *Fisheries* 26(8):6–16.
- 4 Sommer, T. R., M. L. Nobriga, W. C. Harrel, W. Batham, and W. J. Kimmerer. 2001b. Floodplain rearing
5 of juvenile chinook salmon: evidence of enhanced growth and survival. *Canadian Journal of*
6 *Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 58(2):325–333.
- 7 Sommer, T. R., W. C. Harrell, M. L. Nobriga, and R. Kurth. 2003. Floodplain as Habitat for Native Fish:
8 Lessons from California's Yolo Bypass. Pages 81–87 in P. M. Faber, editor, *California Riparian*
9 *Systems: Processes and Floodplain Management, Ecology, and Restoration*. 2001 Riparian Habitat
10 and Floodplains Conference Proceedings. Riparian Habitat Joint Venture, Sacramento, CA.
- 11 Sommer, T. R., W. C. Harrell, A. Mueller-Solger, B. Tom, and W. Kimmerer. 2004. Effects of Flow
12 Variation on Channel and Floodplain Biota and Habitats of the Sacramento River, California,
13 USA. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 14: 247–261.
- 14 Sommer, T., W. Harrell, and M. Nobriga. 2005. Habitat Use and Stranding Risk of Juvenile Chinook
15 Salmon on a Seasonal Floodplain. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 25: 1493–
16 1504
- 17 Sommer, T. R., C. Armor, R. Baxter, R. Breuer, L. Brown, M. Chotkowski, S. Culberson, F. Feyrer, M.
18 Gingras, B. Herbold, W. Kimmerer, A. Mueller-Solger, M. Nobriga, and K. Souza. 2007. The
19 Collapse of Pelagic Fishes in the Upper San Francisco Estuary. *Fisheries* 32:270–277.
- 20 Sommer, T. R., W. C. Harrell, and F. Feyrer. 2014. Large-Bodied Fish Migration and Residency in a
21 Flood Basin of the Sacramento River, California, USA. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish* 2014: 23: 414–
22 423.
- 23 Sommer, T., and F. Mejia. 2013. A Place to Call Home: A Synthesis of Delta Smelt Habitat in the Upper
24 San Francisco Estuary. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 11(2).
- 25 Spiga, I, S. Cheesman, A. Hawkins, R. Perez-Dominguez, L. Roberts, D. Hughes, M. Elliott, J. Nedwell,
26 and M. Bentley. 2012. *Understanding the Scale and Impacts of Anthropogenic Noise upon Fish and*
27 *Invertebrates in the Marine Environment. SoundWaves Consortium Technical Review (ME5205)*.
- 28 Steel, A. E., P. T. Sandstrom, P. L. Brandes, A. P. Klimley. 2012. Migration Route Selection of Juvenile
29 Chinook Salmon at the Delta Cross Channel, and the Role of Water Velocity and Individual
30 Movement Patterns. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 96:215–224.
- 31 Stern, M. A., L. E. Flint, A. L. Flint, N. Knowles, and S. A. Wright. 2020. The Future of Sediment
32 Transport and Streamflow Under a Changing Climate and the Implications for Long-Term
33 Resilience of the San Francisco Bay-Delta. *Water Resources Research* 56(9):e2019WR026245.
- 34 Stewart, A. R., S. N. Luoma, C. E. Schlekot, M. A. Doblin, and K. A. Hieb. 2004. Food Web Pathway
35 Determines How Selenium Affects Aquatic Ecosystems: A San Francisco Bay Case Study.
36 *Environmental Science & Technology* 38:4519–4526.
- 37 Stevens, D. E., and L. W. Miller. 1970. Distribution of Sturgeon Larvae in the Sacramento-San Joaquin
38 River System. *California Fish and Game* 56(2):80–86.

- 1 Stevens, D. E., D. W. Kohlhorst, L. W. Miller, and D. W. Kelley. 1985. The Decline of Striped Bass in the
2 Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary, California. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*
3 114:12–30.
- 4 Stompe, D. K., P. B. Moyle, A. Kruger, and J. R. Durand. 2020. Comparing and Integrating Fish Surveys
5 in the San Francisco Estuary: Why Diverse Long-Term Monitoring Programs are Important. *San*
6 *Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 18(2).
- 7 Strange, J. S. 2010. Upper Thermal Limits to Migration in Adult Chinook Salmon: Evidence from the
8 Klamath River Basin. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 139:1091–1108.
- 9 Strong, A. L., M. M. Mills, I. B. Huang, G. L. van Dijken, S. E. Driscoll, G. Berg, R. M. Kudela, S. G.
10 Monismith, C. A. Francis, and K. R. Arrigo. 2021. Response of Lower Sacramento River
11 phytoplankton to high-ammonium wastewater effluent. *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene*
12 9(1). DOI: 10.1525/elementa.2021.040.
- 13 Suisun Ecological Workgroup. 2001. *Suisun Ecological Workgroup Final Report to the State Water*
14 *Resources Control Board*. Technical Report 68. November. The Interagency Ecological Program
15 for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary.
- 16 Sullivan, L. J., T. R. Ignoffo, B. Baskerville-Bridges, D. J. Ostrach, and W. J. Kimmerer. 2016. Prey
17 Selection of Larval and Juvenile Planktivorous Fish: Impacts of Introduced Prey. *Environmental*
18 *Biology of Fishes* 99:633–646.
- 19 Swanson, C., P. S. Young, and J. Cech. 1998. Swimming performance of delta smelt: maximum
20 performance, and behavioral and kinematic limitations on swimming at submaximal velocities.
21 *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 201(3):333–345.
- 22 Swanson, C., P. S. Young, and J. J. Cech. 2004. Swimming in Two-Vector Flows: Performance and
23 Behavior of Juvenile Chinook Salmon near a Simulated Screened Water Diversion. *Transactions*
24 *of the American Fisheries Society* 133(2):265–278.
- 25 Takata, L., T. R. Sommer, J. L. Conrad, and B. M. Schreier. 2017. Rearing and Migration of Juvenile
26 Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in a Large River Floodplain. *Environmental Biology*
27 *of Fishes* 100(9):1105–1120.
- 28 Tamburello, N., B. M. Connors, D. Fullerton, and C. C. Phillis. 2019. Durability of Environment–
29 Recruitment Relationships in Aquatic Ecosystems: Insights from Long-Term Monitoring in a
30 Highly Modified Estuary and Implications for Management. *Limnology and Oceanography*
31 64:S223-S239.
- 32 The Bay Institute. 1998. *From the Sierra to the Sea: The Ecological History of the San Francisco Bay-*
33 *Delta Watershed*. San Francisco, CA: The Bay Institute of San Francisco.
- 34 Thomas, M. J., M. L. Peterson, N. Friedenber, J. P. Van Eenennaam, J. R. Johnson, J. J. Hoover, and A. P.
35 Klimley. 2013. Stranding of Spawning Run Green Sturgeon in the Sacramento River: Post-Rescue
36 Movements and Potential Population-Level Effects. *North American Journal of Fisheries*
37 *Management* 33(2):287–297.
- 38 Thomas, M. J., M. L. Peterson, E. D. Chapman, N. A. Fangue, and A. P. Klimley. 2019. Individual habitat
39 use and behavior of acoustically-tagged juvenile green sturgeon in the Sacramento-San Joaquin
40 Delta. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 102:1025–1037.

- 1 Thomson, J. R., W. J. Kimmerer, L. R. Brown, K. B. Newman, R. Mac Nally, W. A. Bennett, F. Feyrer, and
2 E. Fleishman. 2010. Bayesian Change Point Analysis of Abundance Trends for Pelagic Fishes in
3 the Upper San Francisco Estuary. *Ecological Applications* 20(5):1431–1448.
- 4 Thorson, J. T., M. D. Scheuerell, E. R. Buhle, and T. Copeland. 2014. Spatial Variation Buffers
5 Temporal Fluctuations in Early Juvenile Survival for an Endangered Pacific Salmon. *Journal of*
6 *Animal Ecology* 83(1):157–167.
- 7 Tierney, K. B., D. H. Baldwin, T. J. Hara, P. S. Ross, N. L. Scholz, and C. J. Kennedy. 2010. Olfactory
8 Toxicity in Fishes. *Aquatic Toxicology* 96:2–26.
- 9 Tobias, V., J. Conrad, B. Mahardja, and S. Khanna. 2019. Impacts of Water Hyacinth Treatment on
10 Water Quality in a Tidal Estuarine Environment. *Biological Invasions* 21:3479–3490.
- 11 Toft, J. D., C. A. Simenstad, J. R. Cordell, and L. F. Grimaldo. 2003. The Effects of Introduced Water
12 Hyacinth on Habitat Structure, Invertebrate Assemblages, and Fish Diets. *Estuaries* 26(3):746–
13 758.
- 14 Tucker, M. E., C. M. Williams, and R. R. Johnson. 1998. *Abundance, Food Habits, and Life History*
15 *Aspects of Sacramento Squawfish and Striped Bass at the Red Bluff Diversion Complex, Including*
16 *the Research Pumping Plant, Sacramento River, California, 1994-1996: Annual Report*. Report
17 Series: Volume 4. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Red Bluff, CA.
- 18 Twardochleb L., A. Maguire, L. Dixit, M. Bedwell, J. Orlando, M. MacWilliams, A. Bever, and B. Davis.
19 2021. *North Delta Food Subsidies Study: Monitoring Food Web Responses to the North Delta Flow*
20 *Action, 2019 Report*. Department of Water Resources, Division of Environmental Services,
21 Sacramento, CA.
- 22 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2003. *EPA Region 10 Guidance for Pacific Northwest State and*
23 *Tribal Water Quality Standards*. Region 10, Seattle, WA. EPA 910-B-03-002.
- 24 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1995. *Working Paper on Restoration Needs: Habitat Restoration Actions*
25 *to Double Natural Production of Anadromous Fish in the Central Valley of California*. Volume 2.
26 May 9. Prepared under the direction of the Anadromous Fish Restoration Program Core Group,
27 Stockton, CA.
- 28 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2008. *Biological Opinion on the Coordinated Operations of the Central*
29 *Valley Project and State Water Project in California*.
- 30 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2017. *Biological Opinion for the California WaterFix*. Service File No.
31 08FBDT00-2016-F-0247. June 23. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, San Francisco
32 Bay-Delta Fish and Wildlife Office.
- 33 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2019. *Biological Opinion for the Reinitiation of Consultation on the*
34 *Coordinated Operations of the Central Valley Project and State Water Project*. Service File
35 08FBTD00-2019-F-0164. October 21. Sacramento, CA.
- 36 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2021. Beach seines CHN-POD species 2012-Present. Available:
37 [https://www.fws.gov/lodi/juvenile_fish_monitoring_program/djfm/?dir=Beach%20seines%20](https://www.fws.gov/lodi/juvenile_fish_monitoring_program/djfm/?dir=Beach%20seines%20CHN-POD%20species%202012-Present)
38 [0CHN-POD%20species%202012-Present](https://www.fws.gov/lodi/juvenile_fish_monitoring_program/djfm/?dir=Beach%20seines%20CHN-POD%20species%202012-Present). Accessed: March 17, 2021.

- 1 Van Nieuwenhuysse, E. E. 2007. Response of Summer Chlorophyll Concentration to Reduced Total
2 Phosphorus Concentration in the Rhine River (Netherlands) and the Sacramento–San Joaquin
3 Delta (California, USA). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 64: 1529–1542.
- 4 Verhille, C. E., J. B. Poletto, D. E. Cocherell, B. DeCourten, S. Baird, J. J. Cech, and N. A. Fanguie. 2014.
5 Larval Green and White Sturgeon Swimming Performance in Relation to Water-Diversion Flows.
6 *Conservation Physiology* 2(1):cou031.
- 7 Vincik, R., and J. Julienne. 2012. Occurrence of delta smelt (*Hypomesus transpacificus*) in the lower
8 Sacramento River near Knights Landing, California. *California Fish and Game* 98(3):171-174.
- 9 Vogel, D. A. 2004. *Juvenile Chinook Salmon Radio-Telemetry Studies in the Northern and Central*
10 *Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta, 2002–2003*. Final Report. January. Report to the National Fish
11 and Wildlife Foundation, Southwest Region, San Francisco, CA.
- 12 Vogel, D. A. 2008a. *Evaluation of Adult Sturgeon Migration at the Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District*
13 *Gradient Facility on the Sacramento River*. April. Natural Resource Scientists, Inc., Red Bluff, CA.
- 14 Vogel, D. A. 2008b. *Biological Evaluations of the Fish Screens at the Glenn–Colusa Irrigation District’s*
15 *Sacramento River Pump Station: 2002–2007*. Natural Resource Scientists, Inc., Red Bluff, CA.
- 16 Vogel, D. A. 2011. *Insights into the Problems, Progress, and Potential Solutions for Sacramento River*
17 *Basin Native Anadromous Fish Restoration*. Prepared for Northern California Water Association
18 and Sacramento Valley Water Users.
- 19 Vogel, D. 2013. *Evaluation of Fish Entrainment in 12 Unscreened Sacramento River Diversions*. Final
20 Report. July. Prepared for CVPIA Anadromous Fish Screen Program (U.S. Fish and Wildlife
21 Service and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation) and Ecosystem Restoration Program (California
22 Department of Fish and Wildlife, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and NOAA Fisheries). Natural
23 Resource Scientists, Inc., Red Bluff, CA.
- 24 Wagner, R. W., M. Stacey, L. R. Brown, and M. Dettinger. 2011. Statistical Models of Temperature in
25 the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta under Climate-Change Scenarios and Ecological Implications.
26 *Estuaries and Coasts* 34:544–556. DOI 10.1007/s12237-010-9369-z.
- 27 Wang, J. C. S. 2007. Spawning, Early Life Stages, and Early Life Histories of the Osmerids Found in the
28 Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta of California. Tracy Fish Facilities Studies, California. Volume 38.
29 U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Region, Denver, CO.
- 30 Wang, J. C. S. 2010. *Fishes of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary and Adjacent Waters, California: A*
31 *Guide to the Early Life Histories*. Interagency Technical Report No. 9. Originally published in
32 1986. November. Interagency Ecological Program for the Sacramento–San Joaquin Estuary.
- 33 Weinersmith, K. L., D. D. Colombano, A. J. Bibian, M. J. Young, A. Sih, and J. L. Conrad. 2019. Diets of
34 Largemouth Bass (*Micropterus salmoides*) in the Sacramento San Joaquin Delta. *San Francisco*
35 *Estuary and Watershed Science* 17(1).
- 36 Wenger, A. S., E. Harvey, S. Wilson, C. Rawson, S. J. Newman, D. Clarke, B. J. Saunders, N. Browne, M. J.
37 Travers, J. L. McIlwain, P. L. A. Erfteemeijer, J.-P. A. Hobbs, D. McLean, M. Depczynski, and R. D.
38 Evans. 2017. A Critical Analysis of the Direct Effects of Dredging on Fish. *Fish and Fisheries*
39 18:967–985.

- 1 Weston, D. P., J. You, and M. J. Lydy. 2004. Distribution and Toxicity of Sediment-Associated
2 Pesticides in Agriculture-Dominated Water Bodies of California's Central Valley. *Environmental*
3 *Science and Technology* 38:2752–2759.
- 4 Weston, D. P., A. M. Asbell, S. A. Lesmeister, S. J. Teh, and M. J. Lydy. 2014. Urban and Agricultural
5 Pesticide Inputs to a Critical Habitat for the Threatened Delta Smelt (*Hypomesus transpacificus*).
6 *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 33(4):920–929.
- 7 Weston, D. P., C. Moschet, T. M. Young, N. Johanif, H. C. Poynton, K. M. Major, R. E. Connon, and S.
8 Hasenbein. 2019. Chemical and Toxicological Effects on Cache Slough after Storm-Driven
9 Contaminant Inputs. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 17(3).
- 10 Whipple, A. A., R. M. Grossinger, D. Rankin, B. Stanford, and R. A. Askevold. 2012. *Sacramento–San*
11 *Joaquin Delta Historical Ecology Investigation: Exploring Pattern and Process*. Prepared for the
12 California Department of Fish and Game and Ecosystem Restoration Program. Historical Ecology
13 Program Publication 672, San Francisco Estuary Institute-Aquatic Science Center, Richmond, CA.
- 14 Wilkerson, F. P., R. C. Dugdale, V. E. Hogue, and A. Marchi. 2006. Phytoplankton Blooms and Nitrogen
15 Productivity in San Francisco Bay. *Estuaries and Coasts* 29:401–416.
- 16 Williams, J. G. 2006. Central Valley Salmon: A Perspective on Chinook and Steelhead in the Central
17 Valley of California. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 4.
- 18 Windell, S., P. L. Brandes, J. L. Conrad, J. W. Ferguson, P. A. L. Goertler, B. N. Harvey, J. Heublein, J. A.
19 Israel, D. W. Kratville, J. E. Kirsch, R. W. Perry, J. Pisciotto, W. R. Poytress, K. Reece, B. G. Swart,
20 and R. C. Johnson. 2017. *Scientific Framework for Assessing Factors Influencing Endangered*
21 *Sacramento River Winter-Run Chinook Salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) across the Life Cycle*.
22 NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SWFSC-586. NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service,
23 Southwest Fisheries Science Center Fisheries Ecology Division, Santa Cruz, CA.
- 24 Winder, M., and A. D. Jassby. 2011. Shifts in Zooplankton Community Structure: Implications for
25 Food Web Processes in the Upper San Francisco Estuary. *Estuaries and Coasts* 34: 675–690. DOI
26 10.1007/s12237-010-9342-x.
- 27 Wood, M. L., P. W. Morris, J. Cooke, and S. J. Louie. 2010. *Amendments to the Water Quality Control*
28 *Plan for the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins for the Control of Methylmercury and*
29 *Total Mercury in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary*. Staff Report. Central Valley Regional
30 Water Quality Control Board, Rancho Cordova, CA.
- 31 Woodhull, C. 1947. Spawning habits of the striped bass (*Roccus saxatilis*) in California waters.
32 *California Fish and Game* 33:97–102.
- 33 Workman, M. 2018. Written Testimony of Michelle L. Workman, Expert Witness for East Bay
34 Municipal Utility District. Exhibit EBMUD-156. Part 2 of Hearing in the matter of California
35 Department of Water Resources and United States Bureau of Reclamation Request for a Change
36 in Point of Diversion for California WaterFix Before the California State Water Resources Control
37 Board. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/
38 bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/EBMUD/part2/ebmud_156.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/EBMUD/part2/ebmud_156.pdf). Accessed: April 28,
39 2022.
- 40 Wright, S. A., and D. H. Schoellhamer. 2004. Trends in the Sediment Yield of the Sacramento River,
41 California, 1957–2001. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 2(2).

- 1 Wright, S. A., and D. H. Schoellhamer. 2005. Estimating sediment budgets at the interface between
2 rivers and estuaries with application to the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta. *Water*
3 *Resources Research* 41(9):W09428.
- 4 Yip, C., M. Johnson, and K. Le. 2019. *Fish Screen Evaluation Report Barker Slough Pumping Plant*
5 *North Bay Aqueduct 2015–2016*. April. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Water
6 Resources.
- 7 Young, M. J., F. Feyrer, P. R. Stumpner, V. Larwood, O. Patton, and L. R. Brown. 2021. Hydrodynamics
8 Drive Pelagic Communities and Food Web Structure in a Tidal Environment. *International*
9 *Review of Hydrobiology* 106(2):69–85.
- 10 Zajanc, D., S. H. Kramer, N. Nur, and P. A. Nelson. 2013. Holding Behavior of Chinook Salmon
11 (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) and Steelhead (*O. mykiss*) Smolts, as Influenced by Habitat Features
12 of Levee Banks, in the Highly Modified Lower Sacramento River, California. *Environmental*
13 *Biology of Fishes* 96(2–3):245–256.
- 14 Zeug, S. C. and B. J. Cavallo. 2012. Influence of Estuary Conditions on the Recovery Rate of Coded-
15 Wire-Tagged Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in an Ocean Fishery. *Ecology of*
16 *Freshwater Fish* 22(1):157–168.
- 17 Zeug, S. C., and B. J. Cavallo. 2014. Controls on the Entrainment of Juvenile Chinook Salmon
18 (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) into Large Water Diversions and Estimates of Population-Level
19 Loss. *PLoS ONE* 9(7):e101479.

20 Personal Communications

- 21 Ammann, Arnold. Research Fish Biologist. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Fisheries
22 Science Center, Fisheries Ecology Division, Santa Cruz, CA. August 5, 2020—Juvenile Salmon
23 Acoustic Telemetry System detection data provided to Jason Hassrick, Fish Biologist, ICF, San
24 Francisco.
- 25 Israel, Josh. Fisheries Biologist, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. February 20, 2012—Telephone
26 communication with Rick Wilder (ICF International) discussing flow correlates of white
27 sturgeon year class strength, possible mechanisms for relationships, and previous analyses to
28 determine correlations and reporting of them.
- 29 Perry, Russell. Research Fisheries Biologist, Quantitative Fisheries Ecology Section, USGS Western
30 Fisheries Research Center, Columbia River Research Laboratory, Cook, WA. June 18, 2019—
31 Email containing Excel file <North Delta Routing Management Tool v2.1.xlsx> sent to Marin
32 Greenwood, Aquatic Ecologist, ICF, Sacramento, CA.

33 Chapter 13, Terrestrial Biological Resources

34 Printed References

- 35 Ackerman, J. T., and C. A. Eagles-Smith. 2009. Selenium Bioaccumulation and Body Condition in
36 Shorebirds and Terns Breeding in San Francisco Bay, California, USA. *Environmental Toxicology*
37 *and Chemistry* 28(10):2134–2141.

- 1 Ackerman, J. T., C. A. Eagles-Smith, G. H. Heinz, S. E. De La Cruz, J. Y. Takekawa, A. K. Miles, T. L.
2 Adelsbach, M. P. Herzog, J. D. Bluso-Demers, S. A. Demers, G. Herring, D. J. Hoffman, C. A.
3 Hartman, J. J. Willacker, T. H. Suchanek, S. E. Schwarzbach, and T. C. Maurer. 2014. *Mercury in*
4 *Birds of San Francisco Bay-Delta, California—Trophic Pathways, Bioaccumulation, and*
5 *Ecotoxicological Risk to Avian Reproduction*: U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2014-1251.
6 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ofr20141251>.
- 7 Ackerman, J. T., C. A. Eagles-Smith, M. P. Herzog, C. A. Hartman, S. H. Peterson, D. C. Evers, A. K.
8 Jackson, J. E. Elliott, S. S. Vander Pol, and C. E. Bryan. 2016. Avian Mercury Exposure and
9 Toxicological Risk Across Western North America: a Synthesis. *Science of the Total Environment*
10 568:749–769.
- 11 Alpers C. N., C. Eagles-Smith, C. Foe, S. Klasing, M. Marvin-DiPasquale, D. G. Slotton, and L. Windham-
12 Myers. 2008. *Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Implementation*
13 *Plan*. January. Prepared for the U.S. Geological Survey. Available:
14 <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=6413>. Accessed: August 26, 2021.
- 15 Atwood, J. L., and D. E. Minsky. 1983. Least Tern Foraging Ecology at Three Major California
16 Breeding Colonies. *Western Birds* 14:57–72.
- 17 Avian Power Line Interaction Committee. 2006. *Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power*
18 *Lines: The State of the Art in 2006*. Pier Final Project Report CEC-500-2006-022. Sacramento, CA.
19 Available: <https://www.aplic.org/uploads/files/2613/SuggestedPractices2006>
20 [\[LR-2watermark\].pdf](https://www.aplic.org/uploads/files/2613/SuggestedPractices2006). Accessed: August 27, 2021.
- 21 Avian Power Line Interaction Committee. 2012. *Reducing Avian Collisions with Power Lines: State of*
22 *the Art in 2012*. October. Sacramento, CA. Available: [https://www.aplic.org/uploads/](https://www.aplic.org/uploads/files/15518/Reducing_Avian_Collisions_2012watermarkLR.pdf)
23 [files/15518/Reducing_Avian_Collisions_2012watermarkLR.pdf](https://www.aplic.org/uploads/files/15518/Reducing_Avian_Collisions_2012watermarkLR.pdf). Accessed: August 27, 2021.
- 24 Avian Power Line Interaction Committee. 2018. *Eagle Risk Framework: A Practical Approach for*
25 *Power Lines*. Washington, D.C. Available: [https://www.aplic.org/uploads/files/15798/](https://www.aplic.org/uploads/files/15798/APLICEagleRISKFramework-APracticalApproachforPowerLines-December132018)
26 [APLICEagleRISKFramework-APracticalApproachforPowerLines-December132018](https://www.aplic.org/uploads/files/15798/APLICEagleRISKFramework-APracticalApproachforPowerLines-December132018)
27 [FinalwAppendixPUBLIC.pdf](https://www.aplic.org/uploads/files/15798/APLICEagleRISKFramework-APracticalApproachforPowerLines-December132018). Accessed: August 27, 2021.
- 28 Barclay, J. H. 2008. A Simple Artificial Design for Burrowing Owls. *Journal of Raptor Research*
29 42(1):53–57.
- 30 Barzin, J. and K. Ballinger. 2017. Sandhill and Whooping Cranes. Wildlife Damage Management
31 Technical Series. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Animals and Plant Health Inspection Service
32 Wildlife Services.
- 33 Bay Institute. 1998. *From the Sierra to the Sea: The Ecological History of the San Francisco Bay-Delta*
34 *Watershed*. San Francisco, CA: The Bay Institute of San Francisco.
- 35 Bechard, M. J., C. S. Houston, J. H. Sarasola, and A. S. England. 2020. Swainson's Hawk (*Buteo*
36 *swainsoni*), version 1.0. In *Birds of the World* (A. F. Poole, Editor). Cornell Lab of Ornithology,
37 Ithaca, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.swahaw.01>.
- 38 Beckon, W. 2017. Chapter 7a: Biological Effects of the Grassland Bypass Project at Sites C, D, and 12.
39 In *Grassland Bypass Project Annual Report 2012–2014*. San Francisco Estuary Institute.
40 Richmond, CA.

- 1 Beedy, E. C. 2008. Tricolored Blackbird. In W. D. Shuford and T. Gardali (eds.), *California Bird Species*
2 *of Special Concern: A Ranked Assessment of Species, Subspecies, and Distinct Populations of Birds*
3 *of Immediate Conservation Concern in California. Studies of Western Birds 1.* Western Field
4 Ornithologists, Camarillo, CA, and California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, CA.
- 5 Beedy, E. C., W. J. Hamilton, III, R. J. Meese, D. A. Airola, and P. Pyle. 2020. *Tricolored Blackbird*
6 *(Agelaius tricolor)*, version 1.0. In *Birds of the World* (P. G. Rodewald, Editor). Cornell Lab of
7 Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.tribla.01>. Accessed: August 25, 2020.
- 8 Bevanger, K. 1998. Biological and Conservation Aspects of Bird Mortality Caused by Electricity
9 Power Lines: A Review. *Biological Conservation* 86:67–76.
- 10 Brooks, M., E. Fleishman, L. Brown, P. Lehman, I. Werner, N. Scholz, C. Mitchelmore, J. Lovvorn, M.
11 Johnson, D. Schlenk, S. van Drunick, J. Drever, D. Stoms, A. Parker, and R. Dugdale. 2012. Life
12 Histories, Salinity Zones, and Sublethal Contributions of Contaminants to Pelagic Fish Declines
13 Illustrated with a Case Study of San Francisco Estuary, California, USA. *Estuaries and Coasts*
14 35(2):603–621.
- 15 Brown, W. M. and E. G. Bizeau. 1987. Mortality of Cranes and Waterfowl from Power Line Collisions
16 in the San Luis Valley, Colorado. In J. C. Lewis (ed.), *Proceedings of the 1985 Crane Workshop*.
17 Platte River Whooping Crane Maintenance Trust, Grand Island, NE.
- 18 CALFED Bay-Delta Program. 2000. *Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement/*
19 *Environmental Impact Report*. Technical Appendix. July. Prepared for the U.S. Bureau of
20 Reclamation, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, U. S.
21 Environmental Protection Agency, Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Army Corps of
22 Engineers, and California Resources Agency. Sacramento, CA. State Clearinghouse No.
23 96032083.
- 24 Calflora. 2021. *Calflora: Information On Wild California Plants*. Invasive species search for Yolo,
25 Sacramento, San Joaquin, Alameda, Contra Costa, and Solano Counties. Berkeley, CA. Available:
26 <https://www.calflora.org/>. Accessed: June 24, 2021.
- 27 California Department of Fish and Game. 1994. Staff Report Regarding Mitigation for Impacts to
28 Swainson’s Hawks (*Buteo swainsoni*) in the Central Valley of California. Sacramento, CA.
- 29 California Department of Fish and Game. 2008. *California Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan*.
30 January. Sacramento, CA.
- 31 California Department of Fish and Game. 2012. *Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation*. March 7.
32 Sacramento, CA. Sacramento, CA. Available: [https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?](https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=83843)
33 [DocumentID=83843](https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=83843). Accessed: August 26, 2021.
- 34 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2013. *Expected Least Bell’s vireo (Vireo bellii pusillus)*
35 *habitat impacts for the Oceanpointe Condominium Project, Oceanside, California*. August 15.
36 Letter to Sean Santa Cruz, Vista Bella Partners, LLC from Gail K Sevens, Environmental Program
37 Manager, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, San Diego, CA.
- 38 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2017. Terrestrial Connectivity. ACE [ds2734], version
39 3.1. Updated August 21, 2019. Available: <https://apps.wildlife.ca.gov/bios/?bookmark=648>.
40 Accessed: April 15, 2021.

- 1 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2018a. *Elk Conservation and Management Plan*.
2 December.
- 3 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2018b. *Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to*
4 *Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities*. March 20, 2018.
5 Available: <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=18959&inline=1>.
- 6 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2019a. Areas of Conservation Emphasis Fact Sheet:
7 Terrestrial Connectivity [ds2734]. Updated August 21, 2019. Available:
8 <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=150835>. Accessed: April 15, 2021.
- 9 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2019b. *Evaluation of the Petition from the Xerces Society,*
10 *Defenders of Wildlife, and the Center for Food Safety to List Four Species of Bumble Bee as*
11 *Endangered under the California Endangered Species Act*. April 4, 2019
- 12 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020a. California Natural Diversity Database. Available:
13 <https://wildlife.ca.gov/data/cnddb>. Accessed: March 2, 2020.
- 14 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020b. California Natural Diversity Database. January
15 2020. Special Vascular Plants, Bryophytes, and Lichens List. Quarterly publication.
- 16 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020c. California Wildlife Habitat Relationship System.
17 Available: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CWHR>. Accessed: March–August 2020.
- 18 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020d. *California Wildlife Barriers 2020*. March.
19 Available: <http://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=178511>. Accessed: June 24,
20 2021.
- 21 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2022. Biogeographic Information and Observation
22 System (BIOS). BIOS Habitat Connectivity Viewer. Version 5.108.39. Available:
23 <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/BIOS>.
- 24 California Department of Food and Agriculture. 2021. CDFA Weed Pest Ratings and CCR 4500
25 Noxious Weeds as of June 22, 2021. Available: [https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/plant/ipc/
26 encycloweedia/pdf/CaliforniaNoxiousWeeds.pdf](https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/plant/ipc/encycloweedia/pdf/CaliforniaNoxiousWeeds.pdf). Accessed: December 10, 2021.
- 27 California Department of Transportation. 2016. Technical Guidance for Assessment and Mitigation
28 of the Effects of Highway and Road Construction Noise on Birds. June. (Contract 43A0306.)
29 Sacramento, CA. Prepared by ICF International, Sacramento, CA; Robert Dooling, Gaithersburg,
30 MD; and Arthur Popper, Silver Spring, MD.
- 31 California Department of Water Resources. 2011. 2009 to 2011 *Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIR/EIS*
32 *Environmental Data Report*. December.
- 33 California Department of Water Resources. 2016. Sacramento County Land Use Survey 2015.
34 Division of Integrated Regional Water Management, North Central Region Office, Land and
35 Water Use and Conservation Section. Available: [https://gis.water.ca.gov/app/
36 CADWRLandUseViewer](https://gis.water.ca.gov/app/CADWRLandUseViewer). Accessed: July 13, 2020.
- 37 California Department of Water Resources. 2019. Delta Levee Centerlines. Received via email from
38 Crystal Bowles on March 27, 2020.

- 1 California Department of Water Resources. 2020a. Aquatic Resources Delineation Data (update).
2 Received October 22, 2020.
- 3 California Department of Water Resources. 2020b. Draft San Joaquin County Land Use Survey 2017.
4 Division of Regional Assistance, Northern Region Office, Land and Water Use and Conservation
5 Section, and Water Use Efficiency Branch (Sacramento Headquarters). Received via email from
6 Scott Hayes, DWR on April 29, 2020.
- 7 California Department of Water Resources. 2021. Aquatic Resources Delineation Data (update).
8 Received March 10, 2021.
- 9 California Department of Water Resources and GEI Consultants Inc. 2020. *Aquatic Resources*
10 *Delineation Report—Delta Conveyance Project*. March 31, 2020 (updated June 23, 2020).
- 11 California Invasive Plant Council. 2006. *California Invasive Plant Inventory*. February. Cal-IPC
12 Publication 2006–02. Berkeley, CA. Available: [https://www.cal-](https://www.cal-ipc.org/docs/ip/inventory/pdf/Inventory2006.pdf)
13 [ipc.org/docs/ip/inventory/pdf/Inventory2006.pdf](https://www.cal-ipc.org/docs/ip/inventory/pdf/Inventory2006.pdf). Accessed: August 26, 2021.
- 14 California Native Plant Society. 2020a. *Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California*. Online
15 edition version 8-03 0.39. Species queries: *Astragalus tener* var. *tener*; *Spergularia macrotheca*
16 var. *longistyla*; *Trifolium hydrophilum*. Available: <http://www.rareplants.cnps.org>. Accessed:
17 April 16, 2020.
- 18 California Native Plant Society. 2020b. *Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California* online
19 edition version 8-03 0.39. Species query: *Atriplex depressa*. Available: [http://www.rareplants.](http://www.rareplants.cnps.org)
20 [cnps.org](http://www.rareplants.cnps.org). Accessed: May 28, 2020.
- 21 California Native Plant Society. 2020c. *Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California* online
22 edition version 8-03 0.39. Species queries: *Brasenia schreberi*; *Carex comosa*; *Symphytotrichum*
23 *lentum*. Available: <http://www.rareplants.cnps.org>. Accessed: May 29, 2020.
- 24 California Native Plant Society. 2020d. *Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California* online
25 edition version 8-03 0.39. Species queries: *Cicuta maculata* var. *bolanderi*; *Delphinium*
26 *recurvatum*. Available: <http://www.rareplants.cnps.org>. Accessed: June 3, 2020.
- 27 California Native Plant Society. 2020e. *Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California* online
28 edition version 8-03 0.39. Species queries: *Downingia pusilla*; *Eryngium jepsonii*; *Eryngium*
29 *spinosepalum*; *Extriplex joaquinana*. Available: <http://www.rareplants.cnps.org>. Accessed: June
30 4, 2020.
- 31 California Native Plant Society. 2020f. *Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California* online
32 edition version 8-03 0.39. Species queries: *Hibiscus lasiocarpus* var. *occidentalis*; *Lasthenia*
33 *ferrisiae*; *Lathyrus jepsonii* var. *jepsonii*; *Legenere limosa*; *Lilaeopsis masonii*. Available:
34 <http://www.rareplants.cnps.org/detail/1132.html>. Accessed: June 8, 2020.
- 35 California Native Plant Society. 2020g. *Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California* online
36 edition version 8-03 0.39. Species queries: *Limosella subulata*; *Potamogeton zosteriformis*.
37 Available: <http://www.rareplants.cnps.org/detail/1132.html>. Accessed: June 9, 2020.
- 38 California Native Plant Society. 2020h. *Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California* online
39 edition version 8-03 0.39. Species queries: *Sagittaria sanfordii*; *Scutellaria galericulata*.
40 Available: <http://www.rareplants.cnps.org>. Accessed: June 12, 2020.

- 1 California Native Plant Society. 2020i. *Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California* online
2 edition version 8-03 0.39. Species query: *Scutellaria lateriflora*. Available:
3 <http://www.rareplants.cnps.org>. Accessed: August 4, 2020.
- 4 California Native Plant Society. 2020j. *Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California* online
5 edition version 8-03 0.39. Species query: *Atriplex coronata*. Available:
6 <http://www.rareplants.cnps.org>. Accessed: August 24, 2020.
- 7 California Native Plant Society. 2021. Special Status Plant Species of The East Bay (Alameda and
8 Contra Costa Counties). East Bay Chapter. July 2021. Available: <https://ebcnps.org/ebrare-plant-database/>. Accessed: August 8, 2021.
- 9
- 10 Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. 1988. *Water Quality Survey for Selenium in the*
11 *Sacramento River and its Major Tributaries*. California Regional Water Quality Control Board
12 Central Valley Region, Agricultural Unit. Sacramento, CA. Available:
13 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/swamp/historic_reports/
14 bckgrnd_selenium/wq_survey_sacrvr_tribs_88.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/swamp/historic_reports/bckgrnd_selenium/wq_survey_sacrvr_tribs_88.pdf). Accessed: November 15, 2021.
- 15 Chen, J., D. Zhang, P. Xie, Q. Wang, and Z. Ma. 2009. Simultaneous Determination of Microcystin
16 Contaminations in Various Vertebrates (Fish, Turtle, Duck, and Water Bird) From a Large
17 Eutrophic Chinese Lake, Lake Taihu, With Toxic Microcystis Blooms. *Science of the Total*
18 *Environment* 407:3317–3322.
- 19 Chico State Research Foundation, Geographical Information Center. 2018. Great Valley Ecoregion
20 Vegetation [ds2362]. Available: [ftp://ftp.dfg.ca.gov/BDB/GIS/BIOS/Public_Datasets/
21 2600_2699/ds2632.zip](ftp://ftp.dfg.ca.gov/BDB/GIS/BIOS/Public_Datasets/2600_2699/ds2632.zip). Accessed: June 9, 2020.
- 22 Chico State Research Foundation, Geographical Information Center. 2019. Delta Vegetation and Land
23 Use Update—2016 [ds2855]. Available: [ftp://ftp.dfg.ca.gov/BDB/GIS/BIOS/Public_Datasets/
24 2800_2899/ds2855.zip](ftp://ftp.dfg.ca.gov/BDB/GIS/BIOS/Public_Datasets/2800_2899/ds2855.zip). Accessed: March 6, 2020.
- 25 City of Sacramento, Sutter County, Natomas Basin Conservancy, Reclamation District 1000, and
26 Natomas Central Mutual Water Company. 2003. *Final Natomas Basin Habitat Conservation Plan*.
27 April. Sacramento and Sutter Counties, CA.
- 28 City of Stockton. 2018. *Envision Stockton 2040 General Plan*. Adopted December 4. Stockton, CA,
29 Available: <http://envisionstockton.org/>. Accessed: June 3, 2020.
- 30 Clevenger, A. P. and M. P. Huijser. 2011. *Wildlife Crossing Structure Handbook: Design and Evaluation*
31 *in North America*. U. S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration
32 Publication No. FHWA-CFL/TD-11-003. March. Lakewood, CO.
- 33 Conard, C. 2018. Continued Nesting of California Least Terns in Sacramento County, California.
34 *Central Valley Bird Club Bulletin* 21(2):34–41. Available: [http://www.cvbirds.org/wp-content/
35 uploads/2019/10/1ConardContinued-Nesting-vol21no2.pdf](http://www.cvbirds.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/1ConardContinued-Nesting-vol21no2.pdf). Accessed: October 1, 2021.
- 36 County of Alameda. 2000. *East County Area Plan: A Portion of the Alameda County General Plan,*
37 *Volume 1: Goals, Policies and Programs*. Originally adopted 1994. Adopted May. Modified by
38 passage of Measure D, effective December 22, 2000. Hayward, CA. Prepared by the Community
39 Development Agency Planning Department. Available: [https://www.acgov.org/cda/planning/
40 generalplans/documents/EastCountyAreaPlancombined.pdf](https://www.acgov.org/cda/planning/generalplans/documents/EastCountyAreaPlancombined.pdf). Accessed: April 23, 2021.

- 1 County of Contra Costa. 2005. *Contra Costa County General Plan 2005–2020*. January 18. Martinez,
2 CA. Available:
3 [https://www.acgov.org/cda/planning/generalplans/documents/EastCountyAreaPlancombined](https://www.acgov.org/cda/planning/generalplans/documents/EastCountyAreaPlancombined.pdf)
4 [.pdf](https://www.acgov.org/cda/planning/generalplans/documents/EastCountyAreaPlancombined.pdf). Accessed: March 11, 2021.
- 5 County of Sacramento. 2017. Conservation Element. In *Sacramento County General Plan 2005–2030*.
6 Originally approved 2011. Amended September 26. Sacramento, CA. Prepared by the
7 Community Planning and Development Department. Available: [https://planning.saccounty.net/](https://planning.saccounty.net/LandUseRegulationDocuments/Documents/General-Plan/Conservation%20Element%20-%20Amended%2009-26-17.pdf)
8 [LandUseRegulationDocuments/Documents/General-Plan/Conservation%20Element%20-](https://planning.saccounty.net/LandUseRegulationDocuments/Documents/General-Plan/Conservation%20Element%20-%20Amended%2009-26-17.pdf)
9 [%20Amended%2009-26-17.pdf](https://planning.saccounty.net/LandUseRegulationDocuments/Documents/General-Plan/Conservation%20Element%20-%20Amended%2009-26-17.pdf). Accessed: June 3, 2021.
- 10 County of Sacramento, City of Rancho Cordova, City of Galt, Sacramento County Water Agency,
11 Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District, and the Southeast Connector Joint Powers
12 Authority. 2018. *Final South Sacramento Habitat Conservation Plan*. January 2018. Sacramento,
13 CA.
- 14 County of San Diego. 2021. *Cottonwood Sand Mine Project Draft Environmental Impact Report*.
15 Prepared for the County of San Diego, Planning & Development Services, San Diego, CA.
- 16 County of San Joaquin. 2016. Part 3: Goals and Policies. In *San Joaquin County 2035 General Plan*.
17 Available: [https://www.sjgov.org/commdev/cgi-bin/cdyn.exe/file/Planning/](https://www.sjgov.org/commdev/cgi-bin/cdyn.exe/file/Planning/General%20Plan%202035/Part%203.3a_Public%20Health%20and%20Safety_2016-11-21.pdf)
18 [General%20Plan%202035/Part%203.3a_Public%20Health%20and%20Safety_2016-11-21.pdf](https://www.sjgov.org/commdev/cgi-bin/cdyn.exe/file/Planning/General%20Plan%202035/Part%203.3a_Public%20Health%20and%20Safety_2016-11-21.pdf).
19 Accessed: April 14, 2021.
- 20 Cristol, D. A., R. L. Brasso, A. M. Condon, R. E. Forvargue, S. L. Friedman, K. K. Hallinger, A. P. Monroe,
21 and A. E. White. 2008. The Movement of Aquatic Mercury Through Terrestrial Food Webs.
22 *Science* 320:335.
- 23 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. Potential Future Field Investigations—
24 Central and Eastern Corridor Options (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment H:
25 Other Sitewide Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project*
26 *Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 27 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. Potential Future Field Investigations—
28 Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment: Technical
29 Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Bethany*
30 *Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 31 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022c. Electrical Power Load and Routing
32 Study (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment G: Utilities, *Volume 1: Delta*
33 *Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022.
34 Sacramento, CA.
- 35 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022d. Efforts to Minimize Delta Community
36 Effects (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment H: Other Sitewide Considerations,
37 *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*.
38 May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 39 Delta Stewardship Council. 2013. *The Delta Plan*. Sacramento, CA. Available:
40 <https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/delta-plan/>. Accessed: April 20, 2022.

- 1 Delta Stewardship Council. 2021a. *Delta Adapts: Creating a Climate Resilient Future. Sacramento–San*
2 *Joaquin Delta Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment*. June 2021. Available:
3 <https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-06-25-delta-adapts-vulnerability->
4 [assessment.pdf](https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-06-25-delta-adapts-vulnerability-assessment.pdf).
- 5 Delta Stewardship Council. 2021b. Ecosystem Technical Memorandum. May 2021. In *Delta Adapts:*
6 *Creating a Climate Resilient Future*. Available: [https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-](https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-06-17-ecosystem-technical-memorandum.pdf)
7 [06-17-ecosystem-technical-memorandum.pdf](https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-06-17-ecosystem-technical-memorandum.pdf).
- 8 Delta Stewardship Council. 2021c. Economic Impact and Exposure Analysis Technical Memorandum.
9 May 2021. In *Delta Adapts: Creating a Climate Resilient Future*. Available:
10 <https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-06-17-economic-impact-and-exposure->
11 [analysis-technical-memorandum.pdf](https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/delta-plan/2021-06-17-economic-impact-and-exposure-analysis-technical-memorandum.pdf).
- 12 Dettling, M. D., N. E. Seavy, C. A. Howell, and T. Gardali. 2015. Current Status of the Western Yellow-
13 Billed Cuckoo along the Sacramento and Feather Rivers, California. *PLoS ONE 10*(4): e0125198.
14 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0125198.
- 15 Dobkin, D., and S. Granholm. 2008. California Wildlife Habitat Relationships System Life History
16 Account for Grasshopper Sparrow (*Ammodramus savannarum*). Originally published in D. C.
17 Zeiner, W. F. Laudenslayer, Jr., K. E. Mayer, and M. White (eds.) 1988–1990, *California's Wildlife*.
18 Vols. I–III. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Fish and Game. Available:
19 <http://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=2163>. Accessed: February 15, 2021.
- 20 Dooling, R. J. and A. N. Popper. 2007. *The Effects of Highway Noise on Birds*. Report prepared by
21 BioAcoustics LLC, Rockville, MD, for The California Department of Transportation, Division of
22 Environmental Analysis. Sacramento, CA. Dwyer, N. C. and G. W. Tanner. 1992. Nesting Success
23 in Florida Sandhill Cranes. *Wilson Bulletin* 104(1):22–31.
- 24 Dwyer, J. F., A. K. Pandey, L. A. McHale, and R. E. Harness. 2019. Near-Ultraviolet Light Reduced
25 Sandhill Crane Collisions with a Powerline by 98%. *The Condor*.
26 <https://doi.org/10.1093/condor/duz008>.
- 27 Eagles-Smith, C. A., J. G. Wiener, C. S. Eckley, J. J. Willacker, D. C. Evers, M. Marvin-DiPasquale, D.
28 Obrist, J. A. Fleck, G. R. Aiken, J. M. Lepak, A. K. Jackson, J. P. Webster, A. R. Stewart, J. A. Davis, C.
29 N. Alpers, and J. T. Ackerman. 2016. Mercury in Western North America: a Synthesis of
30 Environmental Contamination, Fluxes, Bioaccumulation, and Risk to Fish and Wildlife. *Science of*
31 *the Total Environment* 568:1213–1226.
- 32 East Alameda County Conservation Strategy Steering Committee. 2010. *East Alameda County*
33 *Conservation Strategy*. October. Livermore, CA. Prepared by ICF International, San Jose, CA.
- 34 East Contra Costa County Habitat Conservancy. 2020. East Contra Costa County HCP/NCCP Annual
35 Report 2019. Available: <https://www.contracosta.ca.gov/depart/cd/water/HCP/>
36 [documents/Annual%20Reports%20and%20YIRs/2019](https://www.contracosta.ca.gov/depart/cd/water/HCP/documents/Annual%20Reports%20and%20YIRs/2019%20Annual%20Report.pdf) [Annual%20Report.pdf](https://www.contracosta.ca.gov/depart/cd/water/HCP/documents/Annual%20Reports%20and%20YIRs/2019%20Annual%20Report.pdf). Accessed:
37 June 4, 2021.
- 38 East Contra Costa County Habitat Conservation Plan Association. 2006. *Final East Contra Costa*
39 *County Habitat Conservation Plan/Natural Community Conservation Plan*. Prepared by Jones &
40 Stokes, San Jose, CA.

- 1 eBird. 2021. *Ebird: An Online Database of Bird Distribution and Abundance*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell Lab of
2 Ornithology. Available: <http://www.ebird.org>. Accessed: August 27, 2021.
- 3 Eldred, T. 2009. Vigilance Behavior and Land Use by Sandhill Cranes (*Grus canadensis*). Master's
4 Thesis. Department of Biology, Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti, MI. *Master's Theses and*
5 *Doctoral Dissertations*. Paper 238. Available:
6 <https://commons.emich.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1237&context=theses>. Accessed:
7 February 7, 2022.
- 8 Environmental Science Associates. 2017. *DWR Monitoring and Maintenance Report, 2017, South Bay*
9 *Aqueduct Conservation Easements*. October 2017.
- 10 Evens, J. G., G. W. Page, S. A. Laymon, and R. W. Stallcup. 1991. Distribution, Relative Abundance, and
11 Status of the California Black Rail in Western North America. *The Condor* 93(4):952–966.
- 12 Fitzner, R. E. 1980. *Behavioral Ecology of the Swainson's Hawk (Buteo swainsoni) in Washington*.
13 PLN-2754. Richland, WA. Prepared for Pacific Northwest Laboratory.
- 14 Gardali, T. and J. Evens. 2008. San Francisco Common Yellowthroat (*Geothlypis trichas sinuosa*). In
15 Shuford, W. D., and Gardali, T.,(eds.), *California Bird Species of Special Concern: A Ranked*
16 *Assessment of Species, Subspecies, and Distinct Populations of Birds of Immediate Conservation*
17 *Concern in California. Studies of Western Birds* 1:346–350. Western Field Ornithologists,
18 Camarillo, CA, and California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento.
- 19 Gauthreaux, S. A., and C. G. Belser. 2006. Effects of Artificial Night Lighting on Migrating Birds. Pages
20 67–93 In C. Rich and T. Longcore (eds), *Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting*.
21 Washington, DC: Island Press.
- 22 Gerber, B. D., J. F. Dwyer, S. A. Nesbitt, R. C. Drewien, C. D. Littlefield, T. C. Tacha, and P. A. Vohs. 2020.
23 Sandhill Crane (*Antigone canadensis*), version 1.0. In *Birds of the World* (A. F. Poole, Editor).
24 Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.sanfra.01>.
- 25 Gervais, J. A., D. K. Rosenberg, and L. A. Comrack. 2008. Burrowing Owl (*Athene cunicularia*). In W. D.
26 Shuford and T. Gardali (eds.), *California Bird Species of Special Concern: A Ranked Assessment of*
27 *Species, Subspecies, and Distinct Populations of Birds of Immediate Conservation Concern in*
28 *California. Studies of Western Birds* 1. Western Field Ornithologists, Camarillo, CA, and California
29 Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento.
- 30 Girard, P., J. Y. Takekawa, and S. R. Beissinger. 2010. Uncloaking a Cryptic, Threatened Rail with
31 Molecular Markers: Origins, Connectivity and Demography of a Recently Discovered Population.
32 *Conservation Genetics* 11:2409–2418.
- 33 Greco, S. E. 2013. Patch Change and the Shifting Mosaic of an Endangered Bird's Habitat on a Large
34 Meandering River. *River Research and Applications* 29(6):707–717.
- 35 Green, M. 2008. California Wildlife Habitat Relationships System Life History Account for Horned
36 Lark (*Eremophila alpestris*). Originally published in D. C. Zeiner, W. F. Laudenslayer, Jr., K. E.
37 Mayer, and M. White (eds.) 1988–1990, *California's Wildlife*. Vols. I–III. Sacramento, CA:
38 California Department of Fish and Game. Available:
39 <http://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=1971>. Accessed: February 15, 2021.

- 1 Grinnell, J., and Miller, A. H. 1944. The Distribution of the Birds of California. *Pacific Coast Avifauna*
2 27. Berkeley, CA: Cooper Ornithological Club.
- 3 Hall, L. and S. R. Beissinger. 2017. Inferring the Timing of Long-Distance Dispersal Between Rail
4 Metapopulations Using Genetic and Isotopic Assignments. *Ecological Applications* 27(1):208–
5 218.
- 6 Halterman, M., M. J. Johnson, J. A. Holmes, and S. A. Laymon. 2015. A Natural History Summary and
7 Survey Protocol for the Western Distinct Population Segment of the Yellow-billed Cuckoo: U.S.
8 Fish and Wildlife Techniques and Methods.
- 9 Hamilton, W. J. 2004. *Tricolored Blackbird Management Recommendations and 2005 Survey Priorities*.
10 California Resource Management Institute, Sacramento, CA.
- 11 Hays, Q. R., A. T. Tredennick, J. D. Carlisle, D. P. Collins, S. A. Carleton. 2021. Spatially Explicit
12 Assessment of Sandhill Crane Exposure to Potential Transmission Line Collision Risk. *Journal of*
13 *Wildlife Management* 85(7):1440–1449.
- 14 Heath, S. K. 2008. Yellow Warbler (*Dendroica petechia*). In W. D. Shuford and T. Gardali, (eds.),
15 California Bird Species of Special Concern: A Ranked Assessment of Species, Subspecies, and
16 Distinct Populations of Birds of Immediate Conservation Concern in California. *Studies of*
17 *Western Birds* 1:332–339. Western Field Ornithologists, Camarillo, CA, and California
18 Department of Fish and Game. Sacramento, CA.
- 19 Hogle, I., J. H. Viers, and J. F. Quinn. 2006. *Perennial Pepperweed Infestation on the Cosumnes River*
20 *Experimental Floodplain*. Report to the Bay Delta Authority. Davis, CA.
- 21 Holland, R. F. 1986. *Preliminary Descriptions of the Terrestrial Natural Communities of California*.
22 Sacramento, CA: California Department of Fish and Game.
- 23 Holland, R. F. 2009. *California's Great Valley Vernal Pool Habitat Status and Loss: Rephotorevised*
24 *2005*. December. Prepared for Placer Land Trust, Auburn, CA. Available:
25 [https://flyingm ranches.files.wordpress.com/2018/01/great-valley-vernal-pool-](https://flyingm ranches.files.wordpress.com/2018/01/great-valley-vernal-pool-distribution_final.pdf)
26 [distribution_final.pdf](https://flyingm ranches.files.wordpress.com/2018/01/great-valley-vernal-pool-distribution_final.pdf). Accessed: August 27, 2021.
- 27 Hoover, R. M., and R. H. Keith. 2000. *Noise Control for Buildings, Manufacturing Plants, Equipment and*
28 *Products*. Houston, TX: Hoover & Keith, Inc.
- 29 Hopkinson, P., M. Stevenson, M. Hammond, S. Gennet, D. Rao, and J. W. Bartolome. 2008. Italian
30 Ryegrass: A New Central California Dominant? *Fremontia* 36(1):20–24.
- 31 Hothem, R. L. and S. G. Zador. 1995. Environmental Contaminants in Eggs of California Least Terns
32 (*Sterna antillarum browni*). *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 55:658–
33 665.
- 34 Huber, P. R. 2008. The Effects of Spatial and Temporal Scale on Conservation Planning and
35 Ecological Networks in the Central Valley, California. December. Dissertation. Institute of
36 Transportation Studies, University of California, Davis.
- 37 Huber, P. R., S. E. Greco, J. H. Thorne. 2010. Spatial Scale Effects on Conservation Network Design:
38 Trade-Offs and Omissions in Regional Versus Local Scale Planning. *Landscape Ecology* 25:683–
39 695.

- 1 ICF. 2017. Land Cover Mapping for the East Bay RCIS.
- 2 ICF. 2018. Land Cover Mapping for the Sand Hill Wind Project.
- 3 Ivey, G. L., C. P. Herziger, and D. A. Hardt. 2014a. *Conservation Priorities and Best Management*
4 *Practices for Wintering Sandhill Cranes in the Central Valley of California*. Prepared for The
5 Nature Conservancy of California. International Crane Foundation. Baraboo, WI, USA.
- 6 Ivey, G. L., B. D. Dugger, C. P. Herziger, M. L. Casazza, and J. P. Fleskes. 2014b. Distribution,
7 Abundance, and Migration Timing of Greater and Lesser Sandhill Cranes Wintering in the
8 Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Region of California. *Proceedings of the North American Crane*
9 *Workshop* 12:1–11.
- 10 Ivey, G. L., B. D. Dugger, C. P. Herziger, M. L. Casazza, and J. P. Fleskes. 2014c. Characteristics of
11 Sandhill Crane Roost Sites in the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta of California. *Proceedings of the*
12 *North American Crane Workshop* 12:12–19.
- 13 Ivey, G. L., B. D. Dugger, C. P. Herziger, M. L. Casazza, J. P. Fleskes. 2015. Wintering Ecology of
14 Sympatric Subspecies of Sandhill Crane: Correlations between Body Size, Site Fidelity, and
15 Movement Patterns. *The Condor* 117:518–529.
- 16 Jacobson, S. L., L. L. Bliss-Ketchum, C. E. de Rivera, and W. P. Smith. 2016. A Behavior-Based
17 Framework for Assessing Barrier Effects to Wildlife from Vehicle Traffic Volume. *Ecosphere* 7(4).
- 18 Jenkins, A. R., J. J. Smallie, and M. Diamond. 2010. Avian Collisions with Power Lines: A Global Review
19 of Causes and Mitigation with a South African Perspective. *Bird Conservation International*
20 20:263–278.
- 21 Johnson, D. H., D. C. Gillis, M. A. Gregg, J. L. Rebholz, J. L. Lincer, and J. R. Belthoff. 2010. *Users Guide to*
22 *Installation of Artificial Burrows for Burrowing Owls*. August. Selah, WA. Prepared for Tree Top,
23 Inc., Selah, WA.
- 24 Johnston, D. S., K. Briones, and C. Pincetich. 2019. *California Bat Mitigation: A Guide to Developing*
25 *Feasible and Effective Solutions*. H. T. Harvey & Associates, Los Gatos, CA. Prepared for the
26 California Department of Transportation, Office of Biological Studies, Sacramento, CA. Task
27 Order 7, Agreement 43A0355.
- 28 Jones & Stokes. 2000. *San Joaquin County Multi-Species Habitat Conservation and Open Space Plan*.
29 November 14. Prepared for San Joaquin Council of Governments, Stockton, CA. Available:
30 <https://ca-sjcog2.civicplus.com/DocumentCenter/View/5/Habitat-Planpdf?bidId=>. Accessed:
31 June 4, 2021.
- 32 Kintsch, J. and P. Cramer. 2011. *Permeability of Existing Structures for Terrestrial Wildlife: A Passage*
33 *Assessment System*. Washington State Department of Transportation Research Report WA-RD
34 777.1.
- 35 Kintsch, J., S. Jacobson, and P. Cramer, P. 2015. *The Wildlife Crossing Guilds Decision Framework: A*
36 *Behavior-Based Approach to Designing Effective Wildlife Crossing Structures*. Proceedings of the
37 2015 International Conference on Ecology and Transportation. Raleigh, NC, USA. Available:
38 [https://arc-solutions.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/08.-ICOET-WildlifeCrossingGuilds-](https://arc-solutions.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/08.-ICOET-WildlifeCrossingGuilds-paper.pdf)
39 [paper.pdf](https://arc-solutions.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/08.-ICOET-WildlifeCrossingGuilds-paper.pdf). Accessed: December 2, 2021.
- 40 Land IQ. 2019. Delta Land Use 2017. Received via email from Scott Hayes, DWR on April 29, 2020.

- 1 Land IQ and California Department of Water Resources. 2021. 2018 Statewide Crop Mapping.
2 Available: <https://data.cnra.ca.gov/dataset/statewide-crop-mapping>. Accessed: April 26, 2021.
- 3 Laymon, S. A. 1998. Yellow-billed Cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*). In *The Riparian Bird Conservation*
4 *Plan: A Strategy for Reversing the Decline of Riparian-associated Birds in California*. California
5 Partners in Flight. Available: http://www.prbo.org/calpif/pdfs/riparian_v-2.pdf. Accessed:
6 October 1, 2021.
- 7 Laymon, S. A. and M. D. Halterman 1989. *A Proposed Habitat Management Plan for Yellow-Billed*
8 *Cuckoos in California*. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report PSW-110:272–277.
- 9 Ldn Consulting Inc. 2014. *Noise Assessment. Viejas Second Hotel Project*. Prepared for: Viejas Band of
10 Kumeyaay Indians, Alpine, CA.
- 11 Lehman, P. W., T. Kurobe, and S. J. Teh. 2020. Impact of Extreme Wet and Dry Years on the
12 Persistence of *Microcystis* Harmful Algal Blooms in San Francisco Estuary. *Quaternary*
13 *International*. Available: DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2019.12.003>.
- 14 Lichvar, R. W., D. L. Banks, W. N. Kirchner, and N. C. Melvin. 2016. The National Wetland Plant List:
15 2016 Wetland Ratings. *Phytoneuron* 2016-30:1–17.
- 16 Maxar. 2020. High Resolution 30cm Imagery, 2018–2019. Accessed using ArcMap 10.7.1 at:
17 <https://services.arcgisonline.com/ArcGIS/rest/services/>.
- 18 McClure, C. J. W., H. E. Ware, J. Carlisle, G. Kaltenecker, and J. R. Barber. 2013. An experimental
19 investigation into the effects of traffic noise on distributions of birds: avoiding the phantom
20 road. *Proceedings of the Royal Society*. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2013.2290.
- 21 Mitkus, M., Potier, S. G.R. Martin, O. Duriez, and A. Kelber. 2018. Raptor Vision. *Oxford Research*
22 *Encyclopedia of Neuroscience*. DOI: 10.1093/acrefore/9780190264086.013.232.
- 23 Mojica, E. K., J. F. Dwyer, R. E. Harness, G. E. Williams, and B. Woodbridge. 2018. Review and
24 Synthesis for Research Investigating Golden Eagle Electrocutions. *Journal of Wildlife*
25 *Management* 82(11):1–12. DOI:10.1002/jwmg.21412.
- 26 Morkill, A. E. and S. H. Anderson. 1990. Effectiveness of Marking Powerlines to Reduce Sandhill
27 Crane Collisions. Wyoming Cooperative Fish & Wildlife Research Unit Box 3166, University
28 Station Laramie, WY.
- 29 Moy, N. J., J. Dodson, S. J. Tassone, P. A. Bukaveckas, and L. P. Bulluck. 2016. Biotransport of Algal
30 Toxins to Riparian Food Webs. *Environmental Science and Technology* 50:10007–10014.
- 31 Murphy, R. K., S. M. McPherron, G. D. Wright, and K. L. Serbousek. 2009. Effectiveness of Avian
32 Collision Averters in Preventing Migratory Bird Mortality from Powerline Strikes in the Central
33 Platte River, Nebraska: 2008–2009 Final report. University of Nebraska-Kearney, Kearney, NE.
- 34 Murphy, R. K., J. F. Dwyer, E. K. Mojica, M. M. McPherron, and R. E. Harness. 2016a. Reactions of
35 Sandhill Cranes Approaching a Marked Transmission Power Line. *Journal of Fish and Wildlife*
36 *Management* 7:480–489.
- 37 Murphy, R. K., E. K. Mojica, J. F. Dwyer, M. M. McPherron, G. D. Wright, R. E. Harness, A. K. Pandey,
38 and K. L. Serbousek. 2016b. Crippling and Nocturnal Biases in a Study of Sandhill Crane (*Grus*
39 *canadensis*) Collisions with a Transmission Line. *Waterbirds* 39:312–317

- 1 National Agriculture Imagery Program. 2018. Digital ortho photography. U.S. Department of
2 Agriculture, Farm Service Agency.
- 3 Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2019. Web Soil Survey Database for Alameda, Contra Costa,
4 Sacramento, and San Joaquin Counties, California. Available:
5 <https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm>. Accessed: March 4, 2020.
- 6 Ohlendorf, H. M., and G. H. Heinz. 2011. Selenium in Birds. In W. N. Beyer and J. P. Meador (eds.),
7 *Environmental Contaminants in Biota: Interpreting Tissue Concentrations, 2nd Edition*. Boca
8 Raton: CRC, 2011.
- 9 Pacific Gas and Electric Company. 2016. *Nesting Bird Management Plan: Biologist Guidelines for PG&E*
10 *Utility Operations, Maintenance, and Projects*. Final Draft. February.
- 11 Penrod, K., R. Hunter, and M. Merrifield. 2001a. *Missing Linkages: Restoring Connectivity to the*
12 *California Landscape. Conference Proceedings. Co-sponsored by the California Wilderness*
13 *Coalition, The Nature Conservancy, U.S. Geological Survey, Center for Reproduction of*
14 *Endangered Species, and California State Parks*. Accessed: April 15, 2021.
- 15 Penrod, K., R. Hunter, and M. Merrifield. 2001b. Missing Linkages in California's Landscape [ds420].
16 Biogeographic Information and Observation System. California Department of Fish and Wildlife.
17 SDE Feature Class: DS0420_20081202. Last updated: December 3, 2008. Available:
18 <https://apps.wildlife.ca.gov/bios/>. Accessed: April 15, 2021.
- 19 Penrod, K., P. E. Garding, C. Paulman, P. Beier, S. Weiss, N. Schafer, R. Branciforte, and K. Gaffney.
20 2013. *Critical Linkages: Bay Area & Beyond*. Produced by Science and Collaboration for
21 Connected Wildlands, Fair Oaks, CA (www.scwildlands.org) in collaboration with the Bay Area
22 Open Space Council's Conservation Lands Network (www.BayAreaLands.org). GIS Model
23 Outputs available at: <https://www.bayarealands.org/maps-data/>.
- 24 Pierson, E. D., W. E. Rainey and C. Corben. 2006. Distribution and status of Western red bats
25 (*Lasiurus blossevillii*) in California. Calif. Dept. Fish and Game, Habitat Conservation Planning
26 Branch, Species Conservation and Recovery Program Report 2006-04, Sacramento, CA.
- 27 Polite, C. 1999. California Wildlife Habitat Relationships System Life History Account for Burrowing
28 Owl (*Athene cunicularia*). Originally published in D. C. Zeiner, W. F. Laudenslayer, Jr., K. E. Mayer,
29 and M. White (eds.) 1988–1990. *California's Wildlife*. Vols. I–III. California Department of Fish
30 and Game, Sacramento, California. Available:
31 <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=1871&inline=1>.
- 32 Polite, C. 2005. California Wildlife Habitat Relationships System Life History Account for Short-Eared
33 Owl (*Asio flammeus*). Originally published in D. C. Zeiner, W. F. Laudenslayer, Jr., K. E. Mayer, and
34 M. White (eds.) 1988–1990. *California's Wildlife*. Vol. I-III. California Department of Fish and
35 Game, Sacramento, California. Available:
36 <http://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=1879>.
- 37 Polite, C. 2008. California Wildlife Habitat Relationships System Life History Account for Northern
38 Harrier (*Circus hudsonius*). Originally published in D. C. Zeiner, W. F. Laudenslayer, Jr., K. E.
39 Mayer, and M. White (eds.) 1988–1990. *California's Wildlife*. Vols. I–III. California Department of
40 Fish and Game, Sacramento, California. Available: [http://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?](http://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=1663)
41 [DocumentID=1663](http://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=1663).

- 1 Polite, C., and J. Pratt. 1999. California Wildlife Habitat Relationships System Life History Account for
2 Ferruginous Hawk (*Buteo regalis*). Originally published in Zeiner, D. C., W. F. Laudenslayer, Jr., K.
3 E. Mayer, and M. White (eds.) 1988–1990, *California's Wildlife*. Vols. I–III. Sacramento, CA:
4 California Department of Fish and Game, Available:
5 <http://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=1677>.
- 6 Potier, S., M. Mitkus, and A. Kelber. 2020. Visual Adaptations of Diurnal and Nocturnal Raptors.
7 *Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology* 106: 1–11.
- 8 Poulin, R. G., L. D. Todd, E. A. Haug, B. A. Millsap, and M. S. Martell. 2020. Burrowing Owl (*Athene*
9 *cunicularia*), version 1.0. In *Birds of the World* (A. F. Poole, Editor). Cornell Lab of Ornithology,
10 Ithaca, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.burowl.01>. Accessed: December 2020.
- 11 Richardson, D. M., P. Pysek, M. Rejmanek, M. G. Barbour, F. D. Panetta, and C. J. West. 2000.
12 Naturalization and Invasion of Alien Plants: Concepts and Definitions. *Diversity and Distributions*
13 6(2):93–107.
- 14 Riparian Habitat Joint Venture. 2004. *The Riparian Bird Conservation Plan: A Strategy for Reversing*
15 *the Decline of Riparian-associated Birds in California*. Version 2.0. California Partners in Flight.
16 Available: http://www.prbo.org/calpif/pdfs/riparian_v-2.pdf. Accessed: October 1, 2021.
- 17 Road Ecology Center. 2021. Vehicle collisions. GIS KMZ file with associated roadkill data.
- 18 Roberson, D. 2008. Short-Eared Owl (*Asio flammeus*) In W. D. Shuford and T. Gardali (eds.),
19 *California Bird Species of Special Concern: A Ranked Assessment of Species, Subspecies, and Distinct*
20 *Populations of Birds of Immediate Conservation Concern in California*. *Studies of Western Birds* 1.
21 Western Field Ornithologists, Camarillo, CA, and California Department of Fish and Game,
22 Sacramento, CA.
- 23 Ross, J. R. M., J. A. Davis, P. Trowbridge, J. Sun, J. Ackerman, T. Adelsbach, C. Eagles-Smith, A.
24 Hartman, M. Herzog, D. Crane, G. Brooks, C. Navaroli, and L. Phillips. 2016. Contaminant
25 Concentrations in Eggs of Double-Crested Cormorants and Forster's Terns from San Francisco
26 Bay: 2002–2012. SFEI Contribution #736. San Francisco Estuary Institute, Richmond, CA.
- 27 San Francisco Estuary Institute. 2014. *A Delta Transformed: Ecological Functions, Spatial Metrics, and*
28 *Landscape Change in the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta*. Prepared for the California Department
29 of Fish and Wildlife and Ecosystem Restoration Program. A Report of SFEI-ASC's Resilient
30 Landscapes Program, Publication #729, San Francisco Estuary Institute-Aquatic Science Center,
31 Richmond, CA.
- 32 San Joaquin Council of Governments. 2020. *San Joaquin County MSHCP and Open Space Plan 2020*
33 *Annual Report*. December 2020. Available: [https://ca-sjcog2.civicplus.com/DocumentCenter/](https://ca-sjcog2.civicplus.com/DocumentCenter/View/5866/Draft-FINAL-2020-Annual-Report)
34 [View/5866/Draft-FINAL-2020-Annual-Report](https://ca-sjcog2.civicplus.com/DocumentCenter/View/5866/Draft-FINAL-2020-Annual-Report). Accessed: June 4, 2021.
- 35 Sawyer, J. O., T. Keeler-Wolf, and J. Evens. 2009. *A Manual of California Vegetation*. Second Edition.
36 Sacramento, CA: California Native Plant Society. Available: <https://vegetation.cnps.org/>.
- 37 Scheuhammer, A. M. 1987. The Chronic Toxicity of Aluminum, Cadmium, Mercury, and Lead in Birds:
38 a Review. *Environmental Pollution* 46:263–295.

- 1 Schwarzbach, S. and T. Adelsbach. 2003. *CALFED Bay-Delta Mercury Project—Subtask 3B: Field*
2 *Assessment of Avian Mercury Exposure in the Bay-Delta Ecosystem*. Available:
3 <https://loer.tamug.edu/calfed/report/final/bay-delta%20bird%20hg%20final%20report.pdf>.
4 Accessed: June 24, 2021.
- 5 Scobie, C. A., E. M. Bayne, and T. I. Wellicome. 2016. Influence of Human Footprint and Sensory
6 Disturbances on Night-Time Space Use of an Owl. *Endangered Species Research* 31:75–87.
- 7 Slater, S. J., J. F. Dwyer, and M. Murgatroyd. 2020. Conservation Letter: Raptors and Overhead
8 Electrical Systems. *Journal of Raptor Research* 54(2):198–203.
- 9 Solano County Water Agency. 2012. *Solano Habitat Conservation Plan*. Volume I Public Draft.
10 October. Available: <https://www.scwa2.com/solano-multispecies-habitat-conservation-plan/>.
11 Accessed: June 23, 2021.
- 12 Solomeshch, A. I., M. G. Barbour, and R. F. Holland. 2007. Vernal Pools. Pages 394–424 in M. G.
13 Barbour, T. Keeler-Wolf, and A. S. Schoenherr (eds.), *Terrestrial Vegetation of California*, Third
14 edition. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA.
- 15 Spencer, W. D., P. Beier, K. Penrod, K. Winters, C. Paulman, H. Rustigian-Romsos, J. Strittholt,
16 M. Parisi, and A. Pettler. 2010. *California Essential Habitat Connectivity Project: A Strategy for*
17 *Conserving a Connected California*. Prepared for California Department of Transportation,
18 California Department of Fish and Game, and Federal Highways Administration.
- 19 Stanford, B., R. M. Grossinger, R. A. Askevold, A. W. Whipple, R. A. Leidy, E. E. Beller, M. N. Salomon,
20 and C. J. Striplen. 2011. East Contra Costa County Historical Ecology Study. Prepared for Contra
21 Costa County and the Contra Costa Watershed Forum. A Report of SFEI's Historical Ecology
22 Program, SFEI Publication #648, San Francisco Estuary Institute, Oakland, CA.
- 23 Swainson's Hawk Technical Advisory Committee. 2000. *Recommended Timing and Methodology for*
24 *Swainson's Hawk Nesting Surveys in California's Central Valley*. May 31.
- 25 Swiecki, T. J., and E. Bernhardt. 2002. *Exotic and Native Plant Monitoring at Jepson Prairie Preserve,*
26 *2002*. Prepared for Solano Land Trust, Fairfield, CA.
- 27 Takekawa, J. Y., I. Woo, R. Gardiner, M. Casazza, J. T. Ackerman, N. Nur, and H. Spautz. 2011. Avian
28 Communities in Tidal Salt Marshes of San Francisco Bay: A Review of Functional Groups by
29 Foraging Guild and Habitat Association. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 9(3):1–24.
30 Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281572660_Avian_Communities_in_](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281572660_Avian_Communities_in_Tidal_Salt_Marshes_of_San_Francisco_Bay_A_Review_of_Functional_Groups_by_Foraging_Guild_and_Habitat_Association)
31 [Tidal_Salt_Marshes_of_San_Francisco_Bay_A_Review_of_Functional_Groups_by_Foraging_Guild_a](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281572660_Avian_Communities_in_Tidal_Salt_Marshes_of_San_Francisco_Bay_A_Review_of_Functional_Groups_by_Foraging_Guild_and_Habitat_Association)
32 [nd_Habitat_Association](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281572660_Avian_Communities_in_Tidal_Salt_Marshes_of_San_Francisco_Bay_A_Review_of_Functional_Groups_by_Foraging_Guild_and_Habitat_Association). Accessed: March 1, 2022.
- 33 Teachout, E., and T. Cushman. 2005. *Use of a Pile Driver Shroud to Minimize Disturbance to Wildlife*.
34 Proceedings of Transportation Research Board Noise and Vibration Conference, Seattle, WA, July
35 20, 2005.
- 36 Thorp, R.W., D.S. Horning, and L.L. Dunning. 1983. Bumble Bees and Cuckoo Bumble Bees of
37 California (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Bulletin of the California Insect Survey* 23:1–79.

- 1 Unitt, P. 2008. Grasshopper Sparrow (*Ammodramus savannarum*). In W. D. Shuford and T. Gardali
2 (eds.), *California Bird Species of Special Concern: A Ranked Assessment of Species, Subspecies, and*
3 *Distinct Populations of Birds of Immediate Conservation Concern in California. Studies of Western*
4 *Birds 1*. Western Field Ornithologists, Camarillo, CA, and California Department of Fish and
5 Game, Sacramento, CA.
- 6 University of California, Davis. 2020. *Putah Creek Riparian Reserve*. Last updated December 15, 2020.
7 Available: <https://arboretum.ucdavis.edu/putah-creek>. Accessed: April 15, 2021.
- 8 University of California, Davis. 2021. California Roadkill Observation System. Available:
9 <https://www.wildlifecrossing.net/california/>. Accessed: June 24, 2021.
- 10 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1987. *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual*. Technical
11 Report Y-87-1. Department of the Army Environmental Laboratory. U.S. Army Corps of
12 Engineers Waterways Experiment Station, Wetlands Research Program, Vicksburg, MS.
- 13 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2008. *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland*
14 *Delineation Manual: Arid West Region*. Version 2.0. September. ERDC/EL TR-08-28. Department
15 of the Army Environmental Laboratory.
- 16 U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2019. *Federal Noxious Weed List*. Available:
17 https://www.aphis.usda.gov/plant_health/plant_pest_info/weeds/downloads/weedlist.pdf.
18 Accessed: August 27, 2021.
- 19 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1996. *Guidelines for Conducting and Reporting Botanical Inventories for*
20 *Federally Listed, Proposed and Candidate Plants*.
- 21 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002. *Recovery Plan for the California Red-legged Frog (Rana aurora*
22 *draytonii)*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR.
- 23 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003. *Interim Guidance on Site Assessment and Field Surveys for*
24 *Determining Presence or a Negative Finding of the California Tiger Salamander*. October 2003.
25 Available: <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=83915>. Accessed: August 27,
26 2021.
- 27 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2005. *Recovery Plan for Vernal Pool Ecosystems of California and*
28 *Southern Oregon*. Portland, OR.
- 29 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2006. *Least Bell's Vireo (Vireo bellii pusillus), Five-Year Review*
30 *Summary and Evaluation*. Carlsbad, CA.
- 31 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2011. *Standardized Recommendations for Protection of the San Joaquin*
32 *Kit Fox Prior to or during Ground Disturbance*. January. Prepared by the Sacramento Fish and
33 Wildlife Office, Sacramento, CA.
- 34 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2017a. *Framework for Assessing Impacts to the Valley Elderberry*
35 *Longhorn Beetle (Desmocerus californicus dimorphus)*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
36 Sacramento, CA.
- 37 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2017b. *Recovery Plan for the Central California Distinct Population*
38 *Segment of the California Tiger Salamander (Ambystoma californiense)*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife
39 Service, Pacific Southwest Region, Sacramento, CA.

- 1 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2017c. *Recovery Plan for the Giant Garter Snake (Thamnophis gigas)*.
2 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Pacific Southwest Region, Sacramento, CA.
- 3 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2019a. *The Declining Amphibian Task Force Fieldwork Code of Practice*.
4 Available: [http://www.amphibianark.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/The-DAPTF-](http://www.amphibianark.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/The-DAPTF-Fieldwork-Code-of-Practice.pdf)
5 [Fieldwork-Code-of-Practice.pdf](http://www.amphibianark.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/The-DAPTF-Fieldwork-Code-of-Practice.pdf). Accessed: June 9, 2021.
- 6 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2020a. National Wetlands Inventory. Available:
7 <https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html>. Accessed: August 27, 2021.
- 8 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2020b. *USFWS Recommended Buffer Zones for Ground-Based Human*
9 *Activities around Nesting Sites of Golden Eagles in California and Nevada*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife
10 Service California–Great Basin Region, Migratory Birds Program.
- 11 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2020c. *Species Status Assessment Report for the San Joaquin Kit Fox*
12 *(Vulpes macrotis mutica)*. Version 1.0. August. Available:
13 <https://ecos.fws.gov/ServCat/DownloadFile/185116>. Accessed: May 24, 2022.
- 14 U.S. Geological Survey. 2017. Natural color orthoimagery and LiDAR, CA Sacramento LiDAR 2017
15 B16. Available:
16 [https://gis.water.ca.gov/arcgisimg/services/Aerial_Photography/SacDelta_LiDAR_201712/](https://gis.water.ca.gov/arcgisimg/services/Aerial_Photography/SacDelta_LiDAR_201712/ImageServer)
17 [ImageServer](https://gis.water.ca.gov/arcgisimg/services/Aerial_Photography/SacDelta_LiDAR_201712/ImageServer). Accessed: February 3, 2020.
- 18 U.S. Geological Survey National Wildlife Health Center. 2001. *Restraint and Handling of Live*
19 *Amphibians*. Standard Operating Procedure ARMI SOP No. 100. Revised February 16. National
20 Wildlife Health Center.
- 21 Vaghti, M. G., and S. E. Greco. 2007. Riparian Vegetation of the Great Valley. In *Terrestrial Vegetation*
22 *of California*. Third edition. Sacramento, CA: California Native Plant Society.
- 23 Vickery, P. D. 2020. Grasshopper Sparrow (*Ammodramus savannarum*), version 1.0. In *Birds of the*
24 *World* (A. F. Poole and F. B. Gill, Editors). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. Available:
25 <https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.graspa.01>. Accessed: December 2020.
- 26 Washington State Department of Transportation. 2018. *Biological Assessment Preparation Advanced*
27 *Training Manual*. Version 1-2018.
- 28 Whipple, A. A., R. M. Grossinger, D. Rankin, B. Stanford, and R. A. Askevold. 2012. Sacramento–San
29 Joaquin Delta Historical Ecology Investigation: Exploring Pattern and Process. Prepared for the
30 California Department of Fish and Game and Ecosystem Restoration Program. A Report of SFEI-
31 ASC’s Historical Ecology Program, Publication #672, San Francisco Estuary Institute-Aquatic
32 Science Center, Richmond, CA.
- 33 Whitcraft, C., B. J. Grewell, and P. R. Baye. 2011. Estuarine Vegetation at Rush Ranch Open Space
34 Preserve, San Francisco Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve, California. *San Francisco*
35 *Estuary & Watershed Science* 9(3):1–29.
- 36 Wilkins, K., G. Bowser, and J. Moore. 2017. Effects of Birdwatchers on Sandhill Crane Behavior at a
37 Birding Festival in Southwest Colorado. *The Southwestern Naturalist* 62(4):263–269.
- 38 Witham, C. W. 2003. *Tule Ranch Vernal Pools Botanical Resources Survey Report*. Davis, CA: Yolo
39 Basin Foundation.

- 1 Witham, C. W. 2006. *Greater Jepson Prairie Ecosystem Regional Management Plan*. Fairfield, CA.
2 Solano Land Trust.
- 3 Witham, Carol W., Robert F. Holland, and John Vollmar. 2014. Changes in the Distribution of Great
4 Valley Vernal Pool Habitats from 2005 to 2012 [ds1070]. Available: [https://map.dfg.ca.gov/
5 metadata/ds1070.html](https://map.dfg.ca.gov/metadata/ds1070.html). Accessed: August 27, 2021.
- 6 Wood, M. L., C. G. Foe, J. Cooke, and S. J. Louie. 2010. *Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Estuary TMDL for
7 Methylmercury: Staff Report*. Sacramento, CA. Prepared for the Central Valley Regional Water
8 Quality Control Board. Available:
9 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb5/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/delta_hg
10 /archived_delta_hg_info/april_2010_hg_tmdl_hearing/apr2010_tmdl_staffrpt_final.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb5/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/delta_hg/archived_delta_hg_info/april_2010_hg_tmdl_hearing/apr2010_tmdl_staffrpt_final.pdf).
11 Accessed: August 11, 2021.
- 12 Wright, G. D., T. J. Smith, R. K. Murphy, J. R. Runge, and R. R. Harms. 2009. Mortality of Cranes
13 (*Gruidae*) Associated with Powerlines over a Major Roost on the Platte River, Nebraska. *Prairie
14 Naturalist* 41:116–120.
- 15 The Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation. 2017. *Rusty Patched Bumble Bee Habitat
16 Assessment Form and Guide*. Portland, OR. May.
- 17 Yolo Habitat Conservancy. 2018. *Yolo Habitat Conservation Plan/Natural Community Conservation
18 Plan*. April. Prepared by ICF. Sacramento, CA.

19 Personal Communications

- 20 Meese, Robert. Biologist, PhD. Tricolored Blackbird Portal, U.C. Davis, Davis, CA. August 19, 2020—
21 Email providing tricolored blackbird colony data from the Tricolored Blackbird Portal for the
22 counties that overlap with the DCP study area in response to Rachel Gardiner’s data request.
- 23 Wiens, David. Supervisory Research Wildlife Biologist. USGS Forest and Rangeland Ecosystem
24 Science Center, Corvallis, Oregon. February 25, 2020—Email to Brad Schafer,
25 Principal/Biologist, ICF, that includes the locations of known golden eagle breeding territories
26 and nest sites in the study area.

27 Chapter 14, Land Use

- 28 California Department of Finance. 2020. E-5 Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties,
29 and the State. January 1, 2011-2020 with 2010 Census Benchmark. Sacramento, California, May
30 2020. Available: <http://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/Estimates/E-5/>. Accessed:
31 October 20, 2020.
- 32 California Department of Fish and Game. 2008. *Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area Land Management Plan*.
33 Sacramento, CA. Available: [https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=
34 84924&inline](https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=84924&inline). Accessed: March 30, 2021.
- 35 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020. *Lower Sherman Island Wildlife Area*. Available:
36 <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/Lower-Sherman-Island-WA>. Accessed:
37 April 29, 2020.

- 1 California State Parks. 2022. *Brannan Island State Recreation Area: About the Park*. Available:
2 https://www.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=1318. Accessed: February 25, 2022.
- 3 City of Antioch. 2003. *City of Antioch General Plan*. Adopted November 24. Antioch, CA. Prepared by
4 LSA Associates, Inc. Available: [https://www.antiochca.gov/fc/community-development/
5 planning/Antioch Adopted General Plan.pdf](https://www.antiochca.gov/fc/community-development/planning/Antioch%20Adopted%20General%20Plan.pdf). Accessed: April 7, 2021.
- 6 City of Brentwood. 2014. City of Brentwood General Plan Land Use Map. Adopted July 22.
7 Brentwood, CA. Prepared by De Novo Planning Group.
8 Available: [https://www.brentwoodca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/2914/63779496134
9 7430000](https://www.brentwoodca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/2914/637794961347430000). Accessed: April 7, 2021.
- 10 City of Elk Grove. 2021. City of Elk Grove General Plan Layer. Published May 23, 2019. Last updated:
11 August 11, 2021. Available: [https://gisdata.elkgrovecity.org/datasets/city-of-elk-grove-general-
12 plan/explore](https://gisdata.elkgrovecity.org/datasets/city-of-elk-grove-general-plan/explore). Accessed: March 25, 2021.
- 13 City of Isleton. 2000. City of Isleton General Plan Land Use Map 2000. Available:
14 <https://planisleton.com/documents/>. Accessed: April 7, 2021.
- 15 City of Lathrop. 2017. City of Lathrop General Plan Land Use Map. October 16. Available:
16 [https://www.ci.lathrop.ca.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/community_development/
17 page/5576/20-11-2017_13-20-02-732_maps.pdf](https://www.ci.lathrop.ca.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/community_development/page/5576/20-11-2017_13-20-02-732_maps.pdf). Accessed: April 9, 2021.
- 18 City of Lodi. 2021. City of Lodi General Plan Map. Available:
19 [https://maps.lodi.gov/Html5Viewer/index.html?viewer=GIS External Viewer.Lodi Maps Inter
20 nal Property Viewer](https://maps.lodi.gov/Html5Viewer/index.html?viewer=GIS%20External%20Viewer.Lodi%20Maps%20Internal%20Property%20Viewer). Accessed: April 7, 2021.
- 21 City of Manteca. 2021. City of Manteca General Plan Layer. Published April 19, 2019. Last updated
22 May 15, 2019. Available: [https://data-manteca.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/f6d3d26fe06a432
23 e84a1b8433fc83cc5_12/explore?location=37.812940%2C-121.227339%2C13.00](https://data-manteca.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/f6d3d26fe06a432e84a1b8433fc83cc5_12/explore?location=37.812940%2C-121.227339%2C13.00). Accessed:
24 March 25, 2021.
- 25 City of Oakley. 2015. City of Oakley General Plan Land Use Map. August 11. Available:
26 [https://www.ci.oakley.ca.us/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Oakley GPLU Final 8-11-15.pdf](https://www.ci.oakley.ca.us/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Oakley_GPLU_Final_8-11-15.pdf).
27 Accessed: April 9, 2021.
- 28 City of Pittsburg. 2021. City of Pittsburg Zoning Layer. Published November 7, 2017. Last updated
29 January 5, 2021. Available: <https://gis.pittsburghpa.gov/pghzoning/>. Accessed: April 9, 2021.
- 30 City of Rio Vista. 2021. City of Rio Vista General Plan Layer. Published June 5, 2017. Last updated
31 June 18, 2021. Available: [https://geohub-doitgis.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/riovista-gp/
32 explore?location=38.285188%2C-122.000636%2C10.95](https://geohub-doitgis.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/riovista-gp/explore?location=38.285188%2C-122.000636%2C10.95). Accessed: March 25, 2021.
- 33 City of Sacramento. 2021. City of Sacramento General Plan Layer. Published June 5, 2017. Last
34 updated August 21, 2021. Available: [https://data.cityofsacramento.org/datasets/
35 ff85c31ca94e4a0092f6a0158ad328e2_0/explore?location=38.561587%2C-
36 121.461657%2C11.97](https://data.cityofsacramento.org/datasets/ff85c31ca94e4a0092f6a0158ad328e2_0/explore?location=38.561587%2C-121.461657%2C11.97). Accessed: March 25, 2021.
- 37 City of Stockton. 2021. City of Stockton General Plan Shapefile. Last updated: June 2, 2021. Available:
38 <http://stocktonca.gov/services/gis/mapdatDat.html>. Accessed: March 25, 2021.
- 39 City of Tracy. 2021. City of Tracy General Plan Shapefile [computer file]. Received from Jeff Davis,
40 GIS Technician. March 29, 2021.

- 1 City of West Sacramento. 2021. City of West Sacramento Land Use Layer. Published January 25,
2 2019. Last updated: June 17, 2021. Available: [https://datahub.cityofwestsacramento.org/
3 datasets/9f453407827e4743ae34d5f17788eb52_0?geometry=-121.874%2C38.506%2C-
4 121.221%2C38.600](https://datahub.cityofwestsacramento.org/datasets/9f453407827e4743ae34d5f17788eb52_0?geometry=-121.874%2C38.506%2C-121.221%2C38.600). Accessed: March 25, 2021.
- 5 County of Alameda. 2000. *East County Area Plan: A Portion of the Alameda County General Plan,
6 Volume 1: Goals, Policies and Programs*. Originally adopted May 1994. Modified by passage of
7 Measure D, effective December 22, 2000. Hayward, CA. Prepared by the Community
8 Development Agency Planning Department. Available: [https://www.acgov.org/cda/planning/
9 generalplans/documents/EastCountyAreaPlancombined.pdf](https://www.acgov.org/cda/planning/generalplans/documents/EastCountyAreaPlancombined.pdf). Accessed: June 14, 2021.
- 10 County of Alameda. 2021. Alameda County Parcels Layer. Published April 27, 2018. Last updated:
11 February 6, 2022. Available: [https://data.acgov.org/datasets/b55c25ae04fc47fc9c188dbbfcf
12 51192_0/explore?location=37.680486%2C-121.921590%2C11.15](https://data.acgov.org/datasets/b55c25ae04fc47fc9c188dbbfcf51192_0/explore?location=37.680486%2C-121.921590%2C11.15). Accessed: April 8, 2021.
- 13 County of Contra Costa. 2005. Land Use Element. In *Contra Costa County General Plan 2005–2020*.
14 Martinez, CA: Contra Costa County Department of Conservation and Development. Available:
15 <https://www.contracosta.ca.gov/4732/General-Plan>. Accessed: June 14, 2021.
- 16 County of Contra Costa. 2014. *Land Inventory – Vacant & Underutilized Sites Analysis*. September.
17 Prepared for the 2014 Housing Element Update of the Contra Costa County General Plan.
18 Available: [https://www.contracosta.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/33352/2014-Land-
19 Inventory---Vacant-and-Underutilized-Sites-Analysis?bidId=](https://www.contracosta.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/33352/2014-Land-Inventory---Vacant-and-Underutilized-Sites-Analysis?bidId=). Accessed: April 29, 2020.
- 20 County of Contra Costa. 2021. General Plan Land Use Element Shapefile. Last updated: December 11,
21 2020. Available: <https://gis.cccounty.us/Downloads/Planning/>. Accessed: March 25, 2021.
- 22 County of Sacramento. 2018. General Plan Land Use Diagram. Updated September 11, 2018.
23 Available: [https://planning.saccounty.net/LandUseRegulationDocuments/Documents/
24 General-Plan/GPLU2030_UPDATED_FINAL_0918.pdf](https://planning.saccounty.net/LandUseRegulationDocuments/Documents/General-Plan/GPLU2030_UPDATED_FINAL_0918.pdf). Accessed: February 17, 2022.
- 25 County of Sacramento. 2019. Agricultural Element. In *Sacramento County General Plan of 2005–2030*.
26 Amended December 17, 2019. Sacramento, CA: Community Planning and Development
27 Department. Available: [https://planning.saccounty.net/PlansandProjectsIn-Progress/Pages/
28 GeneralPlan.aspx](https://planning.saccounty.net/PlansandProjectsIn-Progress/Pages/GeneralPlan.aspx). Accessed: July 22, 2021.
- 29 County of Sacramento. 2021a. Sacramento County General Plan 2030 Layer. Published September
30 12, 2017. Last updated: September 17, 2018. Available: [https://data.saccounty.gov/datasets/
31 sacramentocounty::general-plan-2030/about](https://data.saccounty.gov/datasets/sacramentocounty::general-plan-2030/about). Accessed: March, 25, 2021.
- 32 County of Sacramento. 2021b. Housing Element. In *Sacramento County General Plan of 2021–2029*.
33 Adopted July 27, 2021. Sacramento, CA: Office of Planning and Environmental Review. Available:
34 [https://planning.saccounty.gov/PlansandProjectsIn-Progress/Documents/2021-
35 2029%20Housing%20Element%20No%20Appendices_Adopted%2007.27.2021.pdf](https://planning.saccounty.gov/PlansandProjectsIn-Progress/Documents/2021-2029%20Housing%20Element%20No%20Appendices_Adopted%2007.27.2021.pdf). Accessed:
36 May 17, 2022.
- 37 County of San Joaquin. 2016a. General Plan Codes. Available:
38 <https://www.sjmap.org/mapdocs/SJCGeneralPlanCodes.pdf>. Accessed: June 14, 2021.
- 39 County of San Joaquin. 2016b. *San Joaquin County General Plan Policy Document*. December.
40 Available: [https://www.sjgov.org/commdev/cgi-bin/cdyn.exe/file/Planning/
41 General%20Plan%202035/GENERAL%20PLAN%202035.pdf](https://www.sjgov.org/commdev/cgi-bin/cdyn.exe/file/Planning/General%20Plan%202035/GENERAL%20PLAN%202035.pdf). Accessed: June 9, 2022.

- 1 County of San Joaquin. 2017. Part 3: Goals and Policies. In *San Joaquin County General Plan Policy*
2 *Document*. December. Available: [https://www.sjgov.org/commdev/cgi-bin/cdyn.exe/file/](https://www.sjgov.org/commdev/cgi-bin/cdyn.exe/file/Planning/General%20Plan%202035/GENERAL%20PLAN%202035.pdf)
3 [Planning/General%20Plan%202035/GENERAL%20PLAN%202035.pdf](https://www.sjgov.org/commdev/cgi-bin/cdyn.exe/file/Planning/General%20Plan%202035/GENERAL%20PLAN%202035.pdf). Accessed: July 22,
4 2021.
- 5 County of San Joaquin. 2021. General Plan Shapefile. Last updated: February 2, 2021. Available:
6 <http://sjmap.org/GISDataDownload.htm>. Accessed: March 25, 2021.
- 7 County of Solano. 2008. Land Use Element. In *Solano County General Plan*. Fairfield, CA. Available:
8 <https://www.solanocounty.com/civicax/filebank/blobdload.aspx?BlobID=6492>. Accessed: April
9 11, 2022.
- 10 County of Solano. 2021. Solano County General Plan Land Use Designations Layer. Published
11 December 20, 2019. Last updated: December 20, 2019. Available: [https://geohub-](https://geohub-doitgis.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/general-plan-land-use-designations/explore?location=38.285188%2C-122.000636%2C10.95)
12 [doitgis.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/general-plan-land-use-designations/](https://geohub-doitgis.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/general-plan-land-use-designations/explore?location=38.285188%2C-122.000636%2C10.95)
13 [explore?location=38.285188%2C-122.000636%2C10.95](https://geohub-doitgis.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/general-plan-land-use-designations/explore?location=38.285188%2C-122.000636%2C10.95). Accessed: March 25, 2021.
- 14 County of Yolo. 2018. Land Use and Community Character Element. In *County of Yolo 2030*
15 *Countywide General Plan*. Adopted November 10, amended October 2018. Resolution no. 09-189.
16 Woodland, CA. Available: [https://www.yolocounty.org/government/general-government-](https://www.yolocounty.org/government/general-government-departments/county-administrator/general-plan)
17 [departments/county-administrator/general-plan](https://www.yolocounty.org/government/general-government-departments/county-administrator/general-plan). Accessed: June 14, 2021.
- 18 County of Yolo. 2021. Yolo County General Plan Land Use Layer. Published June 7, 2019. Last
19 updated: February 3, 2021. Available: [https://yodata-yolo.opendata.arcgis.com/](https://yodata-yolo.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/40849bb0db4e4135ad102acd5b504bae_0/explore?location=38.619507%2C-121.960417%2C10.73)
20 [datasets/40849bb0db4e4135ad102acd5b504bae_0/explore?location=38.619507%2C-](https://yodata-yolo.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/40849bb0db4e4135ad102acd5b504bae_0/explore?location=38.619507%2C-121.960417%2C10.73)
21 [121.960417%2C10.73](https://yodata-yolo.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/40849bb0db4e4135ad102acd5b504bae_0/explore?location=38.619507%2C-121.960417%2C10.73). Accessed: March 25, 2021.
- 22 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
23 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 24 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
25 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 26 Delta Protection Commission. 2010. *Land Use and Resource Management Plan for the Primary Zone of*
27 *the Delta*. Walnut Grove, CA. Available: [http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/](http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Land-Use-and-Resource-Management-Plan-2.25.10_-m508.pdf)
28 [Land-Use-and-Resource-Management-Plan-2.25.10_-m508.pdf](http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Land-Use-and-Resource-Management-Plan-2.25.10_-m508.pdf). Accessed: April 5, 2020.
- 29 Delta Stewardship Council. 2019. *Delta Plan* (as amended January 2019). Available:
30 <http://www.deltacouncil.ca.gov/delta-plan/>. Accessed: June 4, 2020.
- 31 Sacramento Area Council of Governments. 1988. *Franklin Field Comprehensive Land Use Plan*.
32 Amended 1992. Prepared by the Airport Land Use Commission, Sacramento, CA.
- 33 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2007. *Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge Comprehensive*
34 *Conservation Plan*. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/FOSLNWR/fsl_6.pdf)
35 [water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/FOSLNWR/fsl_6.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/FOSLNWR/fsl_6.pdf).
36 Accessed: October 21, 2021.

Chapter 15, Agricultural Resources

- 1 Ayers, R. S., and D. W. Westcot. 1985. *Water Quality for Agriculture*. Food and Agriculture
2 Organization of the United Nations Irrigation and Drainage Paper 29. Rome, Italy.
- 3
4 Bureau of Reclamation. 2019. *About the Central Valley Project*. Available:
5 <https://www.usbr.gov/mp/cvp/about-cvp.html>. Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 6 California Department of Conservation. 2016–2018. *Important Farmland Data Availability*.
7 Geographic information system shapefiles. Available:
8 <https://gis.conservacion.ca.gov/server/rest/services/DLRP>. Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 9 California Department of Conservation. 2020. *Important Farmland Categories*. Available
10 <https://www.conservacion.ca.gov/dlrp/fmmp/Pages/Important-Farmland-Categories.aspx>.
11 Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 12 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020. *2020 Registered Aquaculturists, With Species and*
13 *Services, By County, Public List*. Available:
14 <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=3265&inline>. Accessed: May 11, 2020.
- 15 California Department of Food and Agriculture. 2018a. *California Agricultural Statistics Review*
16 *2017–2018*. Available: <https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/statistics/PDFs/2017-18AgReport.pdf>.
17 Accessed: December 15, 2020.
- 18 California Department of Food and Agriculture. 2018b. *California Agricultural Exports 2017–2018*.
19 Available: <https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/statistics/PDFs/2017-18AgExports.pdf>. Accessed:
20 December 16, 2020.
- 21 California Department of Food and Agriculture. 2020. *California County Agricultural Commissioners’*
22 *Reports Crop Year 2017-2018*. Available: [https://www.nass.usda.gov/Statistics_by_State/](https://www.nass.usda.gov/Statistics_by_State/California/Publications/AgComm/2018/2018cropyearcactb00.pdf)
23 [California/Publications/AgComm/2018/2018cropyearcactb00.pdf](https://www.nass.usda.gov/Statistics_by_State/California/Publications/AgComm/2018/2018cropyearcactb00.pdf). Accessed: November 17,
24 2020.
- 25 California Department of Food and Agriculture. 2021. *California Agricultural Production Statistics*.
26 Available: <https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/Statistics/>. Accessed: February 26, 2021.
- 27 California Department of Water Resources. 2016a. *Bay Delta Conservation Plan/California WaterFix*
28 *Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement*. Available:
29 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/exhibit102/docs/vol1/Final_EIR-EIS_Chapter_14_-_Agricultural_Resources.pdf)
30 [california_waterfix/exhibits/exhibit102/docs/vol1/Final_EIR-EIS_Chapter_14_-_Agricultural_](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/exhibit102/docs/vol1/Final_EIR-EIS_Chapter_14_-_Agricultural_Resources.pdf)
31 [Resources.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/exhibit102/docs/vol1/Final_EIR-EIS_Chapter_14_-_Agricultural_Resources.pdf). Accessed: February 26, 2021.
- 32 California Department of Water Resources. 2016b. *Salt and Salinity Management: A Resource*
33 *Management Strategy of the California Water Plan*. Available: [https://water.ca.gov/-/](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/California-Water-Plan/Docs/RMS/2016/18_Salt_Salinity_Mgt_July2016.pdf)
34 [media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/California-Water-Plan/Docs/RMS/2016/](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/California-Water-Plan/Docs/RMS/2016/18_Salt_Salinity_Mgt_July2016.pdf)
35 [18_Salt_Salinity_Mgt_July2016.pdf](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/California-Water-Plan/Docs/RMS/2016/18_Salt_Salinity_Mgt_July2016.pdf). Accessed: May 11, 2020.
- 36 California Department of Water Resources. 2020. *The Final State Water Project Delivery Capability*
37 *Report 2019*. Available: [https://data.cnra.ca.gov/dataset/1f404a72-b583-418a-81b9-](https://data.cnra.ca.gov/dataset/1f404a72-b583-418a-81b9-6fe5d5595cd7/resource/119da5c5-1c47-4142-8896-334628ca61cd/download/final_dcr_2019_wiletters.pdf)
38 [6fe5d5595cd7/resource/119da5c5-1c47-4142-8896-334628ca61cd/download/](https://data.cnra.ca.gov/dataset/1f404a72-b583-418a-81b9-6fe5d5595cd7/resource/119da5c5-1c47-4142-8896-334628ca61cd/download/final_dcr_2019_wiletters.pdf)
39 [final_dcr_2019_wiletters.pdf](https://data.cnra.ca.gov/dataset/1f404a72-b583-418a-81b9-6fe5d5595cd7/resource/119da5c5-1c47-4142-8896-334628ca61cd/download/final_dcr_2019_wiletters.pdf). Accessed: June 4, 2021.

- 1 Congressional Research Service. 2021. Central Valley Project: Issues and Legislation. Available:
2 <https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R45342>. Accessed: December 8, 2021.
- 3 County of Contra Costa. 2005. Land Use Element. In *Contra Costa County General Plan 2005–2020*.
4 Martinez, CA: Contra Costa County Department of Conservation and Development. Available:
5 <https://www.contracosta.ca.gov/4732/General-Plan>. Accessed: February 15, 2022.
- 6 County of Sacramento. 2019. Agricultural Element. In *Sacramento County General Plan of 2005–2030*.
7 Amended December 17, 2019. Sacramento, CA: Community Planning and Development
8 Department. Available: [https://planning.saccounty.net/PlansandProjectsIn-Progress/Pages/
9 GeneralPlan.aspx](https://planning.saccounty.net/PlansandProjectsIn-Progress/Pages/GeneralPlan.aspx). Accessed: February 7, 2022.
- 10 County of San Joaquin. 2017. Land Use Element. In *San Joaquin County General Plan*. Available:
11 [https://www.sjgov.org/commdev/cgi-
12 bin/cdyn.exe/file/Planning/General%20Plan%202035/Part%203.1a_Land%20Use_2017-03-
13 13.pdf](https://www.sjgov.org/commdev/cgi-bin/cdyn.exe/file/Planning/General%20Plan%202035/Part%203.1a_Land%20Use_2017-03-13.pdf). Accessed: February 7, 2022.
- 14 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. Facilities Siting Study—Bethany
15 Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment: Technical
16 Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Bethany
17 Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 18 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. Soil Balance and Reusable Tunnel
19 Material Supplement—Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In
20 Attachment: Technical Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project
21 Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 22 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022c. Shaft Siting Study (Final Draft).
23 Technical Memorandum. In Attachment B: Tunnels & Shafts, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final
24 Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 25 Delta Protection Commission. 2020. *The State of Delta Agriculture: Economic Impact, Conservation
26 and Trends*. February 3, 2020. Available: [http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/
27 Ag-ESP-update-agricultural-trends-FINAL-508.pdf](http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Ag-ESP-update-agricultural-trends-FINAL-508.pdf). Accessed: November 17, 2020.
- 28 Deverel, S. J., T. Ingrum, and D. Leighton. 2016. Present-Day Oxidative Subsidence of Organic Soils
29 and Mitigation in the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta, California, USA. *Hydrogeology* 24(3):569–
30 586.
- 31 Deverel, S. J., and D. A. Leighton. 2010. Historic, recent, and future subsidence, Sacramento-San
32 Joaquin Delta, California, USA. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 8(2).
- 33 Deverel, S. J., and S. A. Rojstaczer. 1996. Subsidence of Agricultural Lands in the Sacramento–San
34 Joaquin Delta, California: Role of Aqueous and Gaseous Carbon Fluxes. *Water Resources Research*
35 32(8):2359–2367.
- 36 Drexler, J. Z., F. E. Anderson, and R. L. Snyder. 2008. Evapotranspiration Rates and Crop Coefficients
37 for a Restored Marsh in the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta, California, USA. *Hydrological
38 Processes* 22(6):725–735.

- 1 Drexler, J. Z., C. S. De Fontaine, and D. L. Knifong. 2007. *Age Determination of the Remaining Peat in*
2 *the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta, California, USA*. U.S. Geological Survey. Available:
3 <https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2007/1303/pdf/ofr20071303.pdf>. Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 4 Edinger-Marshall, S., and J. Letey. 1997. Irrigation Shifts Toward Sprinklers, Drip and
5 Microsprinklers. University of California Agricultural and Natural Resources. *California*
6 *Agriculture* 51(3):38–40.
- 7 Grattan, S. R. 2002. *Irrigation Water Salinity and Crop Production*. University of California Agriculture
8 and Natural Resources. Publication 8066. Davis, CA. Available:
9 <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5m7686k6>. Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 10 Ingebritsen, S. E., M. E. Ikehara, D. L. Galloway, and D. R. Jones. 2000. *Delta Subsidence in California:*
11 *The Sinking Heart of the State*. U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey. Available:
12 <https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2000/fs00500/pdf/fs00500.pdf>. Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 13 Land IQ. 2018. Statewide Land Use Mapping. Prepared for California Department of Water
14 Resources.
- 15 Maas, E. V. 1984. Salt Tolerance of Plants. In B.R. Christie (ed.), *The Handbook of Plant Science in*
16 *Agriculture*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- 17 Maas, E. V., and G. J. Hoffman. 1977. Crop Salt Tolerance: Current Assessment. Proceeding Paper
18 12993. *Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division, American Society of Civil Engineers*
19 103(2):115–134.
- 20 Marsh, A. W. 1977. Drip Irrigation in California. University of California Agricultural and Natural
21 Resources. *California Agriculture* 31(5):19.
- 22 Medellín-Azuara, J., R. E. Howitt, E. Hanak, J. R. Lund, and W. E. Fleenor. 2014. Agricultural Losses
23 from Salinity in California’s Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed*
24 *Science* 12(1).
- 25 Public Policy Institute of California. 2012. *Transitions for the Delta Economy*. Prepared by Josué
26 Medellín-Azuara, Ellen Hanak, Richard Howitt, and Jay Lund. Available:
27 https://www.ppic.org/content/pubs/report/R_112EHR.pdf. Accessed: November 17, 2020.
- 28 State Water Resources Control Board. 2012. *Technical Report on the Scientific Basis for Alternative*
29 *San Joaquin River Flow and Southern Delta Salinity Objectives*. Available:
30 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/bay_delta_](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/bay_delta_plan/water_quality_control_planning/docs/scientific_report.pdf)
31 [plan/water_quality_control_planning/docs/scientific_report.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/bay_delta_plan/water_quality_control_planning/docs/scientific_report.pdf). Accessed: December 8, 2021.
- 32 State Water Resources Control Board. 2018. *Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco*
33 *Bay/Sacramento San Joaquin Delta Estuary*. Sacramento, CA. Available:
34 https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/plans_policies/. Accessed: February 23, 2022.
- 35 Sumner, D. A., and J. T. Rosen-Molina. 2011. *Evaluations of Policy Alternatives to Benefit Agriculture in*
36 *the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta of California*. University of California Agricultural Issues
37 Center. Available: http://aic.ucdavis.edu/publications/AIC_Delta_study_final.pdf. Accessed:
38 May 11, 2020.
- 39 University of California Cooperative Extension. 1986. *How Farmers Irrigate in California*.
40 Leaflet 21414e.

- 1 University of California, Davis. 2014a. *Sample Costs to Establish and Produce Alfalfa Hay in the*
2 *Sacramento Valley and Northern Delta Using Sub-Surface Drip Irrigation (SDI)*. Available:
3 [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/69/ed/69ed1c05-999e-4c06-b59f-](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/69/ed/69ed1c05-999e-4c06-b59f-ebe6dbcecc8a/alfalfa-drip-sv-delta-2014.pdf)
4 [ebe6dbcecc8a/alfalfa-drip-sv-delta-2014.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/69/ed/69ed1c05-999e-4c06-b59f-ebe6dbcecc8a/alfalfa-drip-sv-delta-2014.pdf). Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 5 University of California, Davis. 2014b. *Sample Costs to Produce Beans: Common Dry Varieties –*
6 *Double-cropped in the Sacramento Valley*. Available: [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/a2/fe/a2fec200-2ff7-4166-9ff9-59b47bb28a70/beans_double-cropped_sv_2014.pdf)
7 [uploads/cs_public/a2/fe/a2fec200-2ff7-4166-9ff9-59b47bb28a70/beans_double-](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/a2/fe/a2fec200-2ff7-4166-9ff9-59b47bb28a70/beans_double-cropped_sv_2014.pdf)
8 [cropped_sv_2014.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/a2/fe/a2fec200-2ff7-4166-9ff9-59b47bb28a70/beans_double-cropped_sv_2014.pdf). Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 9 University of California, Davis. 2015a. *Sample Costs to Produce Field Corn in the Sacramento Valley*
10 *and Northern San Joaquin Valley*. Available: [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/03/dc/03dc4496-32af-47c6-b479-38e1d27c134e/15cornsacramentovalleyfinaldraftjuly20.pdf)
11 [cs_public/03/dc/03dc4496-32af-47c6-b479-38e1d27c134e/](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/03/dc/03dc4496-32af-47c6-b479-38e1d27c134e/)
12 [15cornsacramentovalleyfinaldraftjuly20.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/03/dc/03dc4496-32af-47c6-b479-38e1d27c134e/15cornsacramentovalleyfinaldraftjuly20.pdf). Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 13 University of California, Davis. 2015b. *Sample Costs to Produce Rice: Sacramento Valley*. Available:
14 [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/e8/9c/e89c1d86-f3fd-47bf-8e9a-](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/e8/9c/e89c1d86-f3fd-47bf-8e9a-02714e1e046e/2015_rice_2016_amendedfinaldraft7516-1.pdf)
15 [02714e1e046e/2015_rice_2016_amendedfinaldraft7516-1.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/e8/9c/e89c1d86-f3fd-47bf-8e9a-02714e1e046e/2015_rice_2016_amendedfinaldraft7516-1.pdf). Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 16 University of California, Davis. 2016a. *Sample Costs for Winegrapes. San Joaquin Valley North – San*
17 *Joaquin and Sacramento Counties*. Available: [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/a8/4a/a84a16ba-4971-4348-8a55-5f2f6f372134/2016grapewinelodifinaldraftmay192019.pdf)
18 [cs_public/a8/4a/a84a16ba-4971-4348-8a55-5f2f6f372134/](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/a8/4a/a84a16ba-4971-4348-8a55-5f2f6f372134/)
19 [2016grapewinelodifinaldraftmay192019.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/a8/4a/a84a16ba-4971-4348-8a55-5f2f6f372134/2016grapewinelodifinaldraftmay192019.pdf). Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 20 University of California, Davis. 2016b. *Sample Costs to Produce Organic Almonds: San Joaquin Valley –*
21 *North*. Available: [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/24/7c/247c069c-8290-](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/24/7c/247c069c-8290-4060-aa96-3e556b0f339b/16almondorganicsjvfinaldraft42716.pdf)
22 [4060-aa96-3e556b0f339b/16almondorganicsjvfinaldraft42716.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/24/7c/247c069c-8290-4060-aa96-3e556b0f339b/16almondorganicsjvfinaldraft42716.pdf). Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 23 University of California, Davis. 2016c. *Sample Costs to Produce Sorghum: San Joaquin Valley*.
24 Available: [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/cb/2c/cb2c22b4-04b7-45fb-](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/cb/2c/cb2c22b4-04b7-45fb-a29b-7a5babe95776/2016sorghumgrainsjvfinaldraftmar23.pdf)
25 [a29b-7a5babe95776/2016sorghumgrainsjvfinaldraftmar23.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/cb/2c/cb2c22b4-04b7-45fb-a29b-7a5babe95776/2016sorghumgrainsjvfinaldraftmar23.pdf). Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 26 University of California, Davis. 2016d. *Sample Costs to Produce Wheat: Sacramento Valley – Irrigated*.
27 2016d. Available: [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/dc/15/dc158210-](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/dc/15/dc158210-055c-494c-9c2f-54083fbf0323/2016wheatsacvalleyfinaldraft122116.pdf)
28 [055c-494c-9c2f-54083fbf0323/2016wheatsacvalleyfinaldraft122116.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/dc/15/dc158210-055c-494c-9c2f-54083fbf0323/2016wheatsacvalleyfinaldraft122116.pdf). Accessed: May 12,
29 2020.
- 30 University of California, Davis. 2017a. *Sample Costs for Sweet Cherries: To Establish an Orchard and*
31 *Produce Sweet Cherries (San Joaquin Valley – North)*. Available:
32 [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/84/4f/844f0de3-7c1c-4bd3-89ac-](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/84/4f/844f0de3-7c1c-4bd3-89ac-893e3388c140/cherry2017_nsjv_final_draft4.pdf)
33 [893e3388c140/cherry2017_nsjv_final_draft4.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/84/4f/844f0de3-7c1c-4bd3-89ac-893e3388c140/cherry2017_nsjv_final_draft4.pdf). Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 34 University of California, Davis. 2017b. *Sample Costs for Processing Peaches: Cling and Freestone*
35 *Extra-early Harvested Varieties (Sacramento and San Joaquin Valley)*. Available:
36 [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/65/6c/656ce5fa-f4f9-4e95-99fe-](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/65/6c/656ce5fa-f4f9-4e95-99fe-a01c1ea8a7a4/2017peachsvsjv-ecling-final_draft2.pdf)
37 [a01c1ea8a7a4/2017peachsvsjv-ecling-final_draft2.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/65/6c/656ce5fa-f4f9-4e95-99fe-a01c1ea8a7a4/2017peachsvsjv-ecling-final_draft2.pdf). Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 38 University of California, Davis. 2017c. *Sample Costs for Processing Peaches: Cling and Freestone Late*
39 *Harvested Varieties (Sacramento and San Joaquin Valley)*. Available:
40 [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/ef/85/ef8541d9-cc27-4b4a-a9bb-](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/ef/85/ef8541d9-cc27-4b4a-a9bb-7442b559c075/2017peachsvsjv-lcling.pdf)
41 [7442b559c075/2017peachsvsjv-lcling.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/ef/85/ef8541d9-cc27-4b4a-a9bb-7442b559c075/2017peachsvsjv-lcling.pdf). Accessed: May 12, 2020.

- 1 University of California, Davis. 2017d. *Sample Costs to Produce Processing Tomatoes, Sub-Surface,*
2 *Drip Irrigated (SDI) in the Sacramento Valley and Northern Delta.* Available:
3 [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/d7/b2/d7b2ee5f-8961-417d-b9d1-](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/d7/b2/d7b2ee5f-8961-417d-b9d1-216d41fb47d8/2017processtomssacvalfinaldraft32817.pdf)
4 [216d41fb47d8/2017processtomssacvalfinaldraft32817.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/d7/b2/d7b2ee5f-8961-417d-b9d1-216d41fb47d8/2017processtomssacvalfinaldraft32817.pdf). Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 5 University of California, Davis. 2017e. *Sample Costs to Establish an Orchard and Produce Walnuts:*
6 *San Joaquin Valley – North.* Available: [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/1d/05/1d05d59d-706e-4937-8e05-5563b7468e23/2017-walnutssjvn-final_draft.pdf)
7 [1d/05/1d05d59d-706e-4937-8e05-5563b7468e23/2017-walnutssjvn-final_draft.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/1d/05/1d05d59d-706e-4937-8e05-5563b7468e23/2017-walnutssjvn-final_draft.pdf).
8 Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 9 University of California, Davis. 2018a. *Sample Costs to Produce Sunflowers for Hybrid Seed in the*
10 *Sacramento Valley.* Available: [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/18/2d/](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/18/2d/182dcc66-2b3e-4048-b639-b87d0a6456fd/2018sunflowerssacval-finaldraft_92618.pdf)
11 [182dcc66-2b3e-4048-b639-b87d0a6456fd/2018sunflowerssacval-finaldraft_92618.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/18/2d/182dcc66-2b3e-4048-b639-b87d0a6456fd/2018sunflowerssacval-finaldraft_92618.pdf).
12 Accessed: December 8, 2021.
- 13 University of California, Davis. 2018b. *Sample Costs to Establish and Produce English Walnuts in the*
14 *Sacramento Valley: Micro Sprinkler Irrigated.* Available: [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/3c/ef/3cefc943-ffea-407a-8281-240241efe5d5/18walnutssacval-final_draft-11518.pdf)
15 [uploads/cs_public/3c/ef/3cefc943-ffea-407a-8281-240241efe5d5/18walnutssacval-final_draft-](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/3c/ef/3cefc943-ffea-407a-8281-240241efe5d5/18walnutssacval-final_draft-11518.pdf)
16 [11518.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/3c/ef/3cefc943-ffea-407a-8281-240241efe5d5/18walnutssacval-final_draft-11518.pdf). Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 17 University of California, Davis. 2019. *Sample Costs to Establish an Orchard and Produce Almonds:*
18 *Sacramento Valley.* Available: [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/67/b7/67b72c81-5ce0-4462-a396-fbb62ce8564e/2019sacvalleyalmonds.pdf)
19 [67/b7/67b72c81-5ce0-4462-a396-fbb62ce8564e/2019sacvalleyalmonds.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/67/b7/67b72c81-5ce0-4462-a396-fbb62ce8564e/2019sacvalleyalmonds.pdf). Accessed: May
20 12, 2020.
- 21 University of California, Davis. 2020. *Sample Costs to Establish and Produce Alfalfa Hay in the*
22 *Sacramento Valley and Northern San Joaquin Valley: Flood Irrigation.* Available:
23 [https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/02/ee/02ee0710-8c2c-41ea-8b25-](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/02/ee/02ee0710-8c2c-41ea-8b25-736d1854b737/alfalfasvdraft10420.pdf)
24 [736d1854b737/alfalfasvdraft10420.pdf](https://coststudyfiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/cs_public/02/ee/02ee0710-8c2c-41ea-8b25-736d1854b737/alfalfasvdraft10420.pdf). Accessed: October 18, 2021.
- 25 University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources. 2015. *Delta Crops Calendar.*
26 Available: <https://ucanr.edu/sites/deltacrops/files/225792.pdf>. Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 27 University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources. 2020. *Crop Rooting Depth.*
28 Available: [http://ucmanagedrought.ucdavis.edu/Agriculture/Irrigation_Scheduling/](http://ucmanagedrought.ucdavis.edu/Agriculture/Irrigation_Scheduling/Evapotranspiration_Scheduling_ET/Frequency_of_Irrigation/Crop_Rooting_Depth/)
29 [Evapotranspiration_Scheduling_ET/Frequency_of_Irrigation/Crop_Rooting_Depth/](http://ucmanagedrought.ucdavis.edu/Agriculture/Irrigation_Scheduling/Evapotranspiration_Scheduling_ET/Frequency_of_Irrigation/Crop_Rooting_Depth/). Accessed:
30 May 11, 2020.
- 31 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. n.d. *Pesticides.* Available: <https://www.epa.gov/pesticides>.
32 Accessed: February 26, 2021.
- 33 U.S. Geological Survey. n.d. *California's Central Valley.* Available: [https://ca.water.usgs.gov/](https://ca.water.usgs.gov/projects/central-valley/about-central-valley.html)
34 [projects/central-valley/about-central-valley.html](https://ca.water.usgs.gov/projects/central-valley/about-central-valley.html). Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 35 Whipple, A., R. M. Grossinger, D. Rankin, B. Stanford, and R. A. Askevold. 2012. *Sacramento–San*
36 *Joaquin Delta Historical Ecology Investigation: Exploring Pattern and Process.* San Francisco
37 Estuary Institute Contribution 672. Richmond, CA. Available:
38 <https://www.sfei.org/DeltaHEStudy>. Accessed: November 16, 2020.

1 Chapter 16, Recreation

2 Printed References

- 3 Bugarino, P. 2009. Derby Reels Eager Sturgeon Anglers to Bay Point. *East Bay Times*. January 31.
- 4 California Delta Chambers and Visitor's Bureau. 2009a. *Welcome to the California Delta*. Available:
5 <http://www.californiadelta.org/index.htm>. Accessed: July 9, 2020.
- 6 California Delta Chambers and Visitor's Bureau. 2009b. *Driving Tours*. Available:
7 <https://californiadelta.org/driving-tours/>. Accessed: July 9, 2020.
- 8 California Delta Chambers and Visitor's Bureau. 2010. *Wineries*. Available:
9 <https://californiadelta.org/listingcategory/wineries/>. Accessed: July 9, 2020
- 10 California Delta Chambers and Visitor's Bureau. 2021. *Water Sports*. Rio Vista, CA. Available:
11 <https://californiadelta.org/water-sports/>. Accessed: April 11, 2022.
- 12 California Department of Fish and Game. 2007. *Lower Sherman Island Wildlife Area Land*
13 *Management Plan*. Prepared by EDAW. Sacramento, CA. Available: [https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/](https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=84918&inline)
14 [FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=84918&inline](https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=84918&inline). Accessed: August 12, 2021.
- 15 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2019. *California Hunting Regulations: Waterfowl, Upland*
16 *Game, Public Use of Department Lands, 2019-2020*. Natural Resources Agency, Sacramento, CA.
17 Available: [https://oconservation.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/19-20-Waterfowl-](https://oconservation.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/19-20-Waterfowl-Upland-Lands-Regs-final2_09.12.19.pdf)
18 [Upland-Lands-Regs-final2_09.12.19.pdf](https://oconservation.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/19-20-Waterfowl-Upland-Lands-Regs-final2_09.12.19.pdf). Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 19 California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020. *California Freshwater Sport Fishing Regulations*.
20 Available: <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=177572&inline> /. Accessed:
21 December 8, 2021.
- 22 California Department of Parks and Recreation. 2021a. *Delta Meadows Park Property*. Available:
23 https://www.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=492. Accessed: May 14, 2021.
- 24 California Department of Parks and Recreation. 2021b. *Locke Boarding House, factsheet*.
25 <https://www.parks.ca.gov/pages/24343/files/LockeBoardingHouseWebLayout2015.pdf>.
26 Accessed: August 11, 2021.
- 27 California Department of Parks and Recreation, Division of Boating and Waterways. 2003.
28 *Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Boating Needs Assessment 2000–2020*. Sacramento, CA. Available:
29 https://dbw.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=29440. Accessed: August 11, 2021.
- 30 California Department of Parks and Recreation, Division of Boating and Waterways. 2011. *California*
31 *Boater Survey, Final Report*. A statewide examination of boating habits, environmental
32 awareness and overall outreach and education program evaluation. Prepared by researchers at
33 the Public Research Institute, San Francisco State University: Diane Godard, M.A. Rufus
34 Browning, PhD. July 2011.
- 35 California Department of Transportation. 2021. *Scenic Highways: California State Scenic Highways*.
36 Available: [https://dot.ca.gov/programs/design/lap-landscape-architecture-and-community-](https://dot.ca.gov/programs/design/lap-landscape-architecture-and-community-livability/lap-liv-i-scenic-highways)
37 [livability/lap-liv-i-scenic-highways](https://dot.ca.gov/programs/design/lap-landscape-architecture-and-community-livability/lap-liv-i-scenic-highways). Accessed: March 1, 2021.

- 1 California Department of Water Resources. 2021. *Environmental Justice Community Survey*. May.
2 Prepared by Ag Innovations, Sebastopol, CA.
- 3 County of Sacramento. 2020. County of Sacramento. 2019. Circulation Element. In Sacramento
4 County General Plan of 2005–2030. Amended Oct. 6, 2020. Sacramento, CA: Community
5 Planning and Development Department. Available: [https://planning.saccounty.net/
6 PlansandProjectsIn-Progress/Documents/Circulation%20Element%20-%20Amended%2010-
7 06-20.pdf](https://planning.saccounty.net/PlansandProjectsIn-Progress/Documents/Circulation%20Element%20-%20Amended%2010-06-20.pdf). Accessed: August 24, 2021.
- 8 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2019. Unpublished List: *Festivals in the Delta*.
9 September 15.
- 10 Delta Farmers Market. 2021. *Delta Farmers Facebook Page*. Available:
11 <https://business.facebook.com/deltafarmsmarket/>. Accessed: August 11, 2021.
- 12 Delta Protection Commission. 2012. *Economic Sustainability Plan for the Sacramento-San Joaquin*
13 *Delta*. January 12.
- 14 Delta Protection Commission. 2017. *Recreational Boating Use of the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta*.
15 Prepared by Dr. Amy Mickel, Dr. David Rolloff, Dr. Elizabeth Erickson, and Dr. Gregory Shaw,
16 California State University, Sacramento. August.
- 17 Delta Protection Commission. 2019. *Recreation & Tourism in the Delta, A Study of Preferences for*
18 *Activities and Facilities, Information Sources, and Economic Contributions of Delta Events*.
19 Prepared by Dr. Amy Mickel, Dr. David Rolloff, Dr. Elizabeth Erickson and Dr. Gregory Shaw,
20 California State University, Sacramento, May. Sacramento, CA.
- 21 Delta Protection Commission. 2021a. *The Great California Delta Trail*, factsheet.
22 <http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/Delta-Trail-Factsheet-2020-508.pdf>.
23 Accessed: August 11, 2021.
- 24 Delta Protection Commission. 2021b. *Economic Sustainability Plan for the Sacramento–San Joaquin*
25 *Delta, Recreation and Tourism Chapter 2020 Update*. Prepared by The Dangermond Group,
26 University of the Pacific, Eberhardt School of Business, Center for Business & Policy Research,
27 and Economics & Planning Systems, Inc. Public Draft Revision. November 3.
- 28 Locke Foundation. 2021. *Special Places to Visit*. Available: <https://lockeca.com/points-of-interest/>.
29 Accessed: August 24, 2021.
- 30 Mokelumne Coast to Crest Trail. 2021. *Mokelumne Coast to Crest Trail*.
31 <https://www.gocalaveras.com/business/outdoor-recreation/mokelumne-coast-crest-trail-2/>.
32 Accessed: August 11, 2021.
- 33 Plater and Wade. 2002. *Estimating Potential Demand for Freshwater Recreation Activities in the*
34 *Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta, 1997–2020*. Appendix 6-1 in California Department of
35 Parks and Recreation’s Division of Boating and Waterways. 2003. *Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta*
36 *Boating Needs Assessment 2000-2020*. Sacramento, CA.
- 37 SacDelta.com. 2020. Fishing. Available: <http://www.sacdelta.com/fishing>. Accessed: May 19, 2020.
- 38 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2007. *Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge Comprehensive*
39 *Conservation Plan*. Refuge Planning Office, January 2007.

1 Personal Communications

- 2 Deputy Hicks, Officer, Marine Patrol Unit, County of San Joaquin Sheriff's Office, San Joaquin, CA.
3 Telephone Interview with Deputy Hicks, March 11, 2021.

4 Chapter 17, Socioeconomics

- 5 AECOM. 2011. *Draft Delta Recreation Economic Study Report*. Review Draft 1. Prepared for
6 Consideration by the Lead Agencies BDCP. January 2011.
- 7 California Department of Finance. 2020a. *Total Estimated and Projected Population for California and*
8 *Counties: July 1, 2010 to July 1, 2060 in 1-year Increments (Baseline 2019)*. Demographic Research
9 Unit. September 2020. Available: [http://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/Projections/)
10 [Projections/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/Projections/). Accessed: October 17, 2020.
- 11 California Department of Finance. 2020b. *E-5 Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties,*
12 *and the State. January 1, 2011-2020 with 2010 Census Benchmark*. Sacramento, California, May
13 2020. Available: <http://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/Estimates/E-5/>. Accessed:
14 October 20, 2020.
- 15 California Department of Water Resources. 1995. *Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Atlas*. Reprinted
16 July 1995. Sacramento, CA.
- 17 California Department of Water Resources. 2008a. *Economic Analysis Guidebook*. January. Available:
18 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/CDWA%20et%20al/SDWA%20273.pdf)
19 [california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/CDWA%20et%20al/SDWA%20273.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/CDWA%20et%20al/SDWA%20273.pdf). Accessed:
20 February 8, 2021.
- 21 California Department of Water Resources. 2008b. *Delta Risk Management Strategy, Phase 1 Report,*
22 *Section 12, Risk Report. Appendix 12B, Demographic Data Used in Fatality Risk Analysis*. Prepared
23 by URS Corporation/Jack R. Benjamin & Associates, Inc. Revised December 2008. Available:
24 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/SJRECWA/sjrecwa_3.pdf)
25 [california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/SJRECWA/sjrecwa_3.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/exhibits/docs/SJRECWA/sjrecwa_3.pdf). Accessed: February 8, 2021
- 26 California Employment Development Department. 2020a. *Local Area Unemployment Statistics*
27 *(LAUS), Annual Average. Labor Force And Unemployment Rates*. September 2020. Available:
28 [https://data.edd.ca.gov/Labor-Force-and-Unemployment-Rates/Local-Area-Unemployment-](https://data.edd.ca.gov/Labor-Force-and-Unemployment-Rates/Local-Area-Unemployment-Statistics-LAUS-Annual-Ave/7jbb-3rb8)
29 [Statistics-LAUS-Annual-Ave/7jbb-3rb8](https://data.edd.ca.gov/Labor-Force-and-Unemployment-Rates/Local-Area-Unemployment-Statistics-LAUS-Annual-Ave/7jbb-3rb8). Accessed: November 15, 2020.
- 30 California Employment Development Department. 2020b. *Industry Employment in California*
31 *Counties*. September 2020. Available: [https://data.edd.ca.gov/Industry-Information-/Industry-](https://data.edd.ca.gov/Industry-Information-/Industry-Employment-in-California-Counties/nt76-4rha)
32 [Employment-in-California-Counties/nt76-4rha](https://data.edd.ca.gov/Industry-Information-/Industry-Employment-in-California-Counties/nt76-4rha). Accessed: October 17, 2020.
- 33 California State Controller's Office. 2019. *Counties Financial Data*. Available:
34 <https://counties.bythenumbers.sco.ca.gov/#!/year/default>. Accessed: November 18, 2020.
- 35 City of West Sacramento. 2021. Port of West Sacramento. Website.
36 [https://www.cityofwestsacramento.org/government/departments/city-manager-s-office/](https://www.cityofwestsacramento.org/government/departments/city-manager-s-office/port-of-west-sacramento)
37 [port-of-west-sacramento](https://www.cityofwestsacramento.org/government/departments/city-manager-s-office/port-of-west-sacramento). Accessed: November 30, 2021.

- 1 Clarksburg Wine Growers & Vintners Association (CWGVA). 2020. *Who We Are*. Available:
2 <https://cwgva.wildapricot.org/>. Accessed: May 5, 2021.
- 3 Council on Environmental Quality. 1997. *Environmental Justice: Guidance under the National*
4 *Environmental Policy Act*. Washington, DC. Available: [http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/](http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/nepapub/nepa_documents/RedDont/G-CEQ-EJGuidance.pdf)
5 [nepapub/nepa_documents/RedDont/G-CEQ-EJGuidance.pdf](http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/nepapub/nepa_documents/RedDont/G-CEQ-EJGuidance.pdf). Accessed: February 8, 2021.
- 6 County of Solano. 2009. *Solano County, California*. Available: <http://www.co.solano.ca.us>. Accessed:
7 October 23, 2021.
- 8 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
9 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 10 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
11 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 12 Delta Protection Commission. 2010. *Land Use and Resource Management Plan for the Primary Zone of*
13 *the Delta*. Adopted February 25. Available: [http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/](http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Land-Use-and-Resource-Management-Plan-2.25.10-m508.pdf)
14 [Land-Use-and-Resource-Management-Plan-2.25.10 -m508.pdf](http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Land-Use-and-Resource-Management-Plan-2.25.10-m508.pdf). Accessed: November 4, 2020.
- 15 Delta Protection Commission. 2012. *Economic Sustainability Plan for the Sacramento–San Joaquin*
16 *Delta*. Available: https://www.delta.ca.gov/files/2016/10/Final_ESP_w_Appendices_2012.pdf.
17 Accessed: December 10, 2020.
- 18 Delta Protection Commission. 2019a. *Recreation & Tourism in the Delta*. Available:
19 <http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Delta-Recreation-Report-508.pdf>. Accessed:
20 December 10, 2020.
- 21 Delta Protection Commission. 2019b. *Action 2019 – Annual Report*. Available:
22 <http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/2019-Annual-Report-508.pdf>. Accessed:
23 October 21, 2020.
- 24 Delta Protection Commission. 2019c. *Climate Change Vulnerability in the Sacramento–San Joaquin*
25 *Delta: Agriculture and Levee Impacts*. Technical report prepared by D. H. K. Fairbanks, N. Sato,
26 and S. Carroll for the Delta Protection Commission. May 30. West Sacramento, CA.
- 27 Delta Protection Commission. 2020a. *Economic Sustainability Plan for the Sacramento–San Joaquin*
28 *Delta. Recreation and Tourism—Chapter 2020 Update*. Public Draft Revision November 2020.
29 Available: [http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/](http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/RecreationChapterESP110220DRAFT-508-1.pdf)
30 [RecreationChapterESP110220DRAFT-508-1.pdf](http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/RecreationChapterESP110220DRAFT-508-1.pdf). Accessed: December 17, 2020.
- 31 Delta Protection Commission. 2020b. *The State of Delta Agriculture: Economic Impact, Conservation*
32 *and Trends*. February 3, 2020. Available: [http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Ag-](http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Ag-ESP-update-agricultural-trends-FINAL-508.pdf)
33 [ESP-update-agricultural-trends-FINAL-508.pdf](http://delta.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Ag-ESP-update-agricultural-trends-FINAL-508.pdf). Accessed: October 21, 2020.
- 34 Hanak, E., A. Escriva-Bou, B. Gray, S. Green, T. Harter, J. Jezdimirovic, J. Lund, J. Medellín-Azuara, P.
35 Moyle, and N. Seavy. 2019. *Water and the Future of the San Joaquin Valley*. February. Public
36 Policy Institute of California.
- 37 IMPLAN Group, LLC. IMPLAN 2020. Huntersville, NC. Available: <https://implan.com/>.
- 38 Infogroup. 2020. *Major Employers in California*. Available:
39 <https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/majorer/MajorER.asp>. Accessed: November 23, 2020.

- 1 Land IQ. 2018. Statewide Land Use Mapping. Prepared for California Department of Water
2 Resources.
- 3 San Joaquin Asparagus Festival. 2020. *San Joaquin Asparagus Festival*. Available:
4 [https://sanjoaquinasperagusfestival.net/#:~:text=In%202020%2C%20we%20are%20looking,](https://sanjoaquinasperagusfestival.net/#:~:text=In%202020%2C%20we%20are%20looking,the%20festival%20alive%20once%20again)
5 [the%20festival%20alive%20once%20again](https://sanjoaquinasperagusfestival.net/#:~:text=In%202020%2C%20we%20are%20looking,the%20festival%20alive%20once%20again). Accessed: February 9, 2021.
- 6 University of California Cooperative Extension. 2011. *Sample Costs to Produce Safflower—*
7 *Sacramento Valley*. Available: <http://coststudies.ucdavis.edu>. Accessed: October 21, 2020.
- 8 University of California Cooperative Extension. 2013. *Sample Costs to Establish and Produce*
9 *Asparagus—San Joaquin Valley North, San Joaquin County*. Available:
10 <http://coststudies.ucdavis.edu>. Accessed: October 21, 2020.
- 11 University of California Cooperative Extension. 2014. *Sample Costs to Produce Beans—Dry, Bush, and*
12 *Vine Varieties, Sacramento Valley*. Available: <http://coststudies.ucdavis.edu>. Accessed: October
13 21, 2020.
- 14 University of California Cooperative Extension. 2015a. *Sample Costs to Produce Field Corn—*
15 *Sacramento Valley and Northern San Joaquin Valley*. Available: <http://coststudies.ucdavis.edu>.
16 Accessed: October 21, 2020.
- 17 University of California Cooperative Extension. 2015b. *Sample Costs to Establish or Reestablish and*
18 *Produce Pasture—Sacramento Valley*. Available: <http://coststudies.ucdavis.edu>. Accessed:
19 October 21, 2020.
- 20 University of California Cooperative Extension. 2016a. *Sample Costs to Produce Wheat—Sacramento*
21 *Valley*. Available: <http://coststudies.ucdavis.edu>. Accessed: October 21, 2020.
- 22 University of California Cooperative Extension. 2016b. *Sample Costs to Establish a Vineyard and*
23 *Produce Winegrapes, Cabernet Sauvignon Variety—San Joaquin Valley North, San Joaquin and*
24 *Sacramento Counties*. Available: <http://coststudies.ucdavis.edu>. Accessed: October 21, 2020.
- 25 University of California Cooperative Extension. 2017. *Sample Costs to Produce Processing*
26 *Tomatoes—Sacramento Valley and Northern Delta*. Available: <http://coststudies.ucdavis.edu>.
27 Accessed: October 21, 2020.
- 28 University of California Cooperative Extension. 2018a. *Sample Costs to Produce Pears, Green*
29 *Bartlett—Sacramento River Delta, Sacramento County*. Available: <http://coststudies.ucdavis.edu>.
30 Accessed: October 21, 2020.
- 31 University of California Cooperative Extension. 2018b. *Sample Costs to Establish and Produce English*
32 *Walnuts—Sacramento Valley*. Available: <http://coststudies.ucdavis.edu>. Accessed: October 21,
33 2020.
- 34 University of California Cooperative Extension. 2019. *Sample Costs to Establish an Orchard and*
35 *Produce Almonds—Sacramento Valley*. Available: <http://coststudies.ucdavis.edu>. Accessed:
36 October 21, 2020.
- 37 University of California Cooperative Extension. 2020. *Sample Costs to Establish and Produce Alfalfa*
38 *Hay—Sacramento Valley and Northern San Joaquin Valley*. Available:
39 <http://coststudies.ucdavis.edu>. Accessed: October 21, 2020.

- 1 U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. 2020. *Gross Domestic Product: Implicit Price Deflator [GDPDEF]*.
2 Retrieved from FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. Available:
3 <https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/GDPDEF>. Accessed: November 18, 2020.
- 4 U.S. Census Bureau 2011. *2010 Decennial Census of Population—Summary File 1 (SF1) Datasets*. Total
5 Population for Selected Geographies. Available: <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/>. Accessed:
6 January 29, 2021.
- 7 U.S. Census Bureau. 2016. *About—How the Census Bureau Measures Poverty*. Available:
8 <https://www.census.gov/topics/income-poverty/poverty/guidance/poverty-measures.html>.
9 Accessed: November 15, 2020.
- 10 U.S. Census Bureau. 2018a. *2014–2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates*. Demographic
11 And Housing Estimates. Available: [https://data.census.gov/cedsci/
12 table?q=ACSDP1Y2019.DP05&tid=ACSDP5Y2018.DP05&hidePreview=true](https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=ACSDP1Y2019.DP05&tid=ACSDP5Y2018.DP05&hidePreview=true). Accessed: October
13 31, 2021.
- 14 U.S. Census Bureau. 2018b. *2014–2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates*. Selected
15 Economic Characteristics. Available: [https://data.census.gov/cedsci/
16 table?q=economic%20characteristics&tid=ACSDP5Y2018.DP03&hidePreview=false](https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=economic%20characteristics&tid=ACSDP5Y2018.DP03&hidePreview=false).
17 Accessed: October 31, 2021.
- 18 U.S. Census Bureau. 2018c. *2014–2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates*. Selected
19 Housing Characteristics. Available: [https://data.census.gov/cedsci/
20 table?q=housing%20characteristics&tid=ACSDP5Y2018.DP04&hidePreview=false](https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=housing%20characteristics&tid=ACSDP5Y2018.DP04&hidePreview=false).
21 Accessed: October 31, 2021.
- 22 U.S. Census Bureau. 2018d. *2018 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates*. Occupation by Sex
23 For the Civilian Employed Population 16 Years and Over. Available: [https://data.census.gov/
24 cedsci/table?q=ACSST1Y2019.S2401&g=0500000US06013,06067,06077,06095,06113&tid=
25 ACSST1Y2018.S2401&hidePreview=true](https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=ACSST1Y2019.S2401&g=0500000US06013,06067,06077,06095,06113&tid=ACSST1Y2018.S2401&hidePreview=true). Accessed: April 22, 2021.
- 26 U.S. Census Bureau. 2020. *Explore Census Data*. Select Places in California. Available:
27 <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/>. Accessed: November 17, 2020.
- 28 U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2007. *Census of Agriculture*. State Level Data—California. Available:
29 [https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2007/Full_Report/Volume_1,_Chapter_1_S
30 tate_Level/California/index.php](https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2007/Full_Report/Volume_1,_Chapter_1_State_Level/California/index.php). Accessed: October 23, 2020.
- 31 U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2017. *Census of Agriculture*. State Level Data—California. Available:
32 [https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2017/Full_Report/Volume_1,_Chapter_1_S
33 tate_Level/California/index.php](https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2017/Full_Report/Volume_1,_Chapter_1_State_Level/California/index.php). Accessed: October 23, 2020.
- 34 U.S. Department of Agriculture National Agricultural Statistics Service. 2018. *Agricultural Surveys,
35 2016–2018*. Available: <http://www.nass.usda.gov/Surveys>. Accessed: July 26, 2020.
- 36 Visser, M. A., C. Brinkley, and J. Zlotnicki. 2018. *Socioeconomic Indicators Report: The Sacramento–
37 San Joaquin Delta*. Delta Protection Commission. Sacramento, CA. Available:
38 [https://regionalchange.ucdavis.edu/report/socioeconomic-indicators-sacramento-san-joaquin-
39 delta](https://regionalchange.ucdavis.edu/report/socioeconomic-indicators-sacramento-san-joaquin-delta). Accessed: October 21, 2020.

Chapter 18, Aesthetics and Visual Resources

- 1
2 City of Brentwood. 2014. *City of Brentwood General Plan Update*. Adopted July 22. Prepared by De
3 Novo Planning Group. Brentwood, CA. Available: [https://www.brentwoodca.gov/civicax/
4 filebank/blobdload.aspx?BlobID=52464](https://www.brentwoodca.gov/civicax/filebank/blobdload.aspx?BlobID=52464). Accessed: June 3, 2021.
- 5 City of Rio Vista. 2002. *City of Rio Vista General Plan 2001*. Adopted July 18. Rio Vista, CA. Prepared
6 by the City of Rio Vista Community Development Department. Available:
7 <https://www.riovistacity.com/general-plan/>. Accessed June 3, 2021.
- 8 City of Stockton. 2018. *Envision Stockton 2040 General Plan*. Adopted December 4, 2018. Stockton,
9 CA. Available: <http://envisionstockton.org/>. Accessed: June 3, 2020.
- 10 County of Alameda. 1966. *Scenic Route Element of the General Plan*. Amended May 5, 1994. Hayward,
11 CA. Available: [http://www.acgov.org/cda/planning/generalplans/documents/
12 Scenic_Route_Element_General_Plan_1966.pdf](http://www.acgov.org/cda/planning/generalplans/documents/Scenic_Route_Element_General_Plan_1966.pdf). Accessed: March 18, 2021.
- 13 County of Alameda. 2000. *East County Area Plan: A Portion of the Alameda County General Plan,
14 Volume 1: Goals, Policies and Programs*. Originally adopted 1994. Adopted May. Modified by
15 passage of Measure D, effective December 22, 2000. Hayward, CA. Prepared by the Community
16 Development Agency Planning Department. Available: [https://www.acgov.org/cda/planning/
17 generalplans/documents/EastCountyAreaPlancombined.pdf](https://www.acgov.org/cda/planning/generalplans/documents/EastCountyAreaPlancombined.pdf). Accessed: August 18, 2021.
- 18 County of Contra Costa. 2005. *Contra Costa County General Plan 2005–2020*. January 18. Reprinted
19 July 2010. Martinez, CA. Prepared by the Department of Conservation and Development.
20 Available: <https://www.contracosta.ca.gov/4732/General-Plan>. Accessed: April 23, 2021.
- 21 County of Sacramento. 2011. Circulation Element. In *Sacramento County General Plan of 2005–2030*.
22 Amended October 6, 2020. Sacramento, CA. Prepared by the Office of Planning and
23 Environmental Review. Available: [https://planning.saccounty.net/PlansandProjectsIn-
24 Progress/Documents/Circulation%20Element%20-%20Amended%2010-06-20.pdf](https://planning.saccounty.net/PlansandProjectsIn-Progress/Documents/Circulation%20Element%20-%20Amended%2010-06-20.pdf). Accessed:
25 June 3, 2021.
- 26 County of San Joaquin. 2016. In *San Joaquin County General Plan Policy Document*. December.
27 Stockton, CA. Prepared by Mintier Harnish Planning Consultants. Available:
28 [http://www.sjgov.org/commdev/cgi-bin/cdyn.exe/file/Planning/General%20Plan%202035/G
29 ENERAL%20PLAN%202035.pdf](http://www.sjgov.org/commdev/cgi-bin/cdyn.exe/file/Planning/General%20Plan%202035/GENERAL%20PLAN%202035.pdf). Accessed: March 21, 2021.
- 30 County of Yolo. 2009. *2030 Countywide General Plan*. November. Woodland, CA. Prepared by the
31 Planning and Public Works Department. Available: [https://www.yolocounty.org/
32 government/general-government-departments/county-administrator/general-plan](https://www.yolocounty.org/government/general-government-departments/county-administrator/general-plan). Accessed:
33 June 3, 2021.
- 34 East Bay Regional Park District. 2013. *Master Plan: 2013*. July 16. Oakland, CA. Available:
35 <https://www.ebparks.org/about/planning/mp/>. Accessed: October 24, 2019.
- 36 Federal Highway Administration. 2015. *Guidelines for the Visual Impact Assessment of Highway
37 Projects*. Document FHWA-HEP-15-029. January. Washington, DC. Prepared by ICF International,
38 Inc. Available: [https://www.environment.fhwa.dot.gov/env_topics/other_topics/
39 VIA_Guidelines_for_Highway_Projects.pdf](https://www.environment.fhwa.dot.gov/env_topics/other_topics/VIA_Guidelines_for_Highway_Projects.pdf). Accessed: August 18, 2021.

- 1 Litton, R. Burton, Jr. 1968. *Forest Landscape Description and Inventories, A Basis for Land Planning*
2 *and Design*. U.S. Forest Service Research Paper PSW-49. Prepared for the Pacific Southwest
3 Forest and Range Experiment Station. Berkeley, CA. Available: [https://www.google.com/
4 books/edition/Forest_Landscape_Description_and_Invento/kmoaCWSvpp8C?hl=en&gbpv=1&p
5 rintsec=frontcover](https://www.google.com/books/edition/Forest_Landscape_Description_and_Invento/kmoaCWSvpp8C?hl=en&gbpv=1&printsec=frontcover). Accessed: August 18, 2021.
- 6 Smardon, R. C., J. F. Palmer, and J. P. Felleman. 1986. *Foundations for Visual Project Analysis*. John
7 Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, NY. Available: [https://www.esf.edu/es/via/Vis_Found/
8 FOUNDATIONS%20FOR%20VISUAL%20PROJECT%20ANALYSIS%20-%20CONTENTS.PDF.
9](https://www.esf.edu/es/via/Vis_Found/FOUNDATIONS%20FOR%20VISUAL%20PROJECT%20ANALYSIS%20-%20CONTENTS.PDF) Accessed August 18, 2021.
- 10 U.S. Forest Service. 1995. *Landscape Aesthetics: A Handbook for Scenery Management*. Agriculture
11 Handbook Number 701. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. December.
12 Available: <https://www.nrc.gov/docs/ML1224/ML12241A377.pdf>. Accessed: August 18, 2021.
- 13 U.S. Soil Conservation Service. 1978. *Procedure to Establish Priorities in Landscape Architecture*
14 Technical Release 65. October. Washington, DC. Prepared by the Engineering Division. Available:
15 https://blmwyomingvisual.anl.gov/docs/NRCS_TR_65.pdf. Accessed: August 18, 2021.

16 Chapter 19, Cultural Resources

- 17 Bettis, E. A., III. 1992. Soil Morphological Properties and Weathering Zone Characteristics as Age
18 Indicators in Holocene Alluvium in the Upper Midwest. In V.T. Holliday (ed.), *Soils in*
19 *Archaeology*, 119–144. Washington D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.
- 20 Bureau of Reclamation. 2018. *Draft National Register of Historic Places Multiple Property*
21 *Documentation Form: Central Valley Project: Planning and Construction of the First Four Divisions,*
22 *1935–1956*. Denver, CO.
- 23 California State Lands Commission. 1988. A Map and Record Investigation of Historical Sites and
24 Shipwrecks along the Sacramento River between Sacramento City and Sherman Island. On file at
25 the California State Lands Commission. Sacramento, CA.
- 26 California State Lands Commission. 2018. Shipwreck Database. Available: [https://www.slc.ca.gov/
27 wp-content/uploads/2018/12/ShipwreckInfo.pdf](https://www.slc.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/ShipwreckInfo.pdf). Accessed: June 18, 2021.
- 28 Cunningham, J. L., and M. L. Maniery. 1989. P-39-000323 Site Record. On file at the California
29 Historical Resources Information System, Central California Information Center, California State
30 University, Stanislaus, Turlock, CA.
- 31 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
32 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 33 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
34 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 35 Dexter, S., and D. Lemon. 2003. Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Portion of the Mokelumne Aqueduct,
36 P-39-004399 and P-07-002612. State of California 523a and 523b Forms, Department of Parks
37 and Recreation Forms. June 20. Garcia and Associates, San Anselmo, CA.

- 1 Federal Transit Administration. 2018. *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual*. FTA
2 Report No. 0123. September. Washington, D.C.: Office of Planning and Environment.
- 3 Foster, J. W., and S. O. Smith. 2009. The Clarksburg Shipwreck: A Gold Rush Ghost in the Sacramento
4 River. In *ACUA Underwater Archaeology Proceedings, 2009*, 255–261. Columbus, OH: The PAST
5 Foundation.
- 6 Heffner and Prince-Buitenhuis. 2019. P-39-000323 Site Record update. On file at the California
7 Historical Resources Information System, Central California Information Center, California State
8 University, Stanislaus, Turlock, CA.
- 9 ICF. 2012. *Built Historical Resources Evaluation Report for the Bay Delta Conservation Plan Project,*
10 *Sacramento, Yolo, Solano, San Joaquin, Contra Costa, and Alameda Counties, California*. Prepared
11 for California Department of Water Resources, West Sacramento, CA.
- 12 ICF. 2019. *Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Cultural Resources Research Design and Context Statement*
13 *Prepared for the California Department of Water Resources*. On file at the Department of Water
14 Resources, Sacramento, CA. Available: [https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Environmental-](https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Environmental-Services/Compliance-Monitoring-And-Assessment)
15 [Services/Compliance-Monitoring-And-Assessment](https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Environmental-Services/Compliance-Monitoring-And-Assessment).
- 16 Johnson, A. P., and W. R. Hannen. 2015. Vibration Limits for Historic Buildings and Art Collections.
17 *APT Bulletin: Journal of Preservation Technology* 46:2–10.
- 18 Maniery, M. L., and K. A. Syda. 1989. *Cultural Resources Inventory and Evaluation of the Delta*
19 *Wetlands Storage Project, Contra Costa and San Joaquin Counties, CA*. Prepared by PAR and
20 Associates, Sacramento, CA. On file, California Historical Resources Information System, Central
21 California Information Center, CSU Stanislaus, Turlock, CA.
- 22 National Park Service. 1993. *Preservation Brief 31: Mothballing Historic Buildings*. Available:
23 <https://www.nps.gov/tps/how-to-preserve/briefs/31-mothballing.htm#stable>. Accessed:
24 August 11, 2021.
- 25 National Park Service. 1998. *A Guide to Cultural Landscape Reports: Contents, Process, and*
26 *Techniques*. U.S. Department of the Interior National Park Service Cultural Resources.
- 27 National Park Service. 2005a. *Preservation Brief 43: The Preparation and Use of Historic Structure*
28 *Reports*. Available: [https://www.nps.gov/tps/how-to-preserve/briefs/43-historic-structure-](https://www.nps.gov/tps/how-to-preserve/briefs/43-historic-structure-reports.htm)
29 [reports.htm](https://www.nps.gov/tps/how-to-preserve/briefs/43-historic-structure-reports.htm). Accessed: November 3, 2021.
- 30 National Park Service. 2005b. *Historic American Landscapes Survey Guidelines for Drawing*. Revised
31 July. Washington, DC. Prepared by The Jaeger Company.
- 32 National Park Service. n.d. *The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic*
33 *Properties*. Available: <https://www.nps.gov/tps/standards.htm>. Accessed: August 11, 2021.
- 34 PAR Environmental Services, Inc. 1993. *National Register of Historic Places Determination of*
35 *Eligibility Report, Bacon Island Rural Historic District, San Joaquin County, CA*. Sacramento, CA.
- 36 Plain, T. 2011. *Shipwreck Blocks Corps Levee Repair in California*. Available:
37 https://www.army.mil/article/62415/shipwreck_blocks_corps_levee_repair_in_california.
38 Accessed: November 17, 2021.

1 Chapter 20, Transportation

- 2 AirNav, LLC. 2020a. *Borges-Clarksburg Airport CN13*. Available:
3 <http://www.airnav.com/airport/CN13>. Accessed: May 4, 2020.
- 4 AirNav, LLC. 2020b. *Heritage Field Airport CN95*. Available: <http://www.airnav.com/airport/CN95>.
5 Accessed: May 5, 2020.
- 6 AirNav, LLC. 2021a. *Lost Isle Seaplane Base S74*. Available: <http://www.airnav.com/airport/S74>.
7 Accessed: December 21, 2021.
- 8 AirNav, LLC. 2021b. *Kingdon Airport O20*. Available: <https://www.airnav.com/airport/O20>.
9 Accessed: December 21, 2021.
- 10 AirNav, LLC. 2021c. *Franklin Field F72*. Available: <http://www.airnav.com/airport/F72>. Accessed:
11 May 19, 2021.
- 12 AirNav, LLC. 2021d. *Byron Airport C83*. Available: <http://www.airnav.com/airport/C83>. Accessed:
13 May 19, 2021.
- 14 AirNav, LLC. 2021e. *Rio Vista Municipal Airport O88*. Available:
15 <http://www.airnav.com/airport/O88>. Accessed: May 19, 2021.
- 16 AirNav, LLC. 2021f. *Kaiser Permanente South Sacramento Hospital 6CN6*. Available:
17 <https://www.airnav.com/airport/6CN6>. Accessed: February 26, 2021.
- 18 AirNav, LLC. 2021g. *Lodi Memorial Hospital 8CL7*. Available: <https://www.airnav.com/airport/8CL7>.
19 Accessed: February 26, 2021.
- 20 AirNav, LLC. 2021h. *Flying B Airport CN38*. Available: <http://airnav.com/airport/CN38>. Accessed:
21 February 26, 2021.
- 22 AirNav, LLC. 2021i. *Funny Farm Airport 4CA2*. Available: <http://airnav.com/airport/4CA2>. Accessed:
23 February 26, 2021.
- 24 AirNav, LLC. 2021j. *Las Serpientes Airport CN19*. Available: <https://www.airnav.com/airport/CN19>.
25 Accessed: February 26, 2021.
- 26 Alameda County Transportation Commission. 2019. *Alameda Countywide Congestion Management*
27 *Program - Travel Demand Model*. January 2019. Available: [https://www.alamedactc.org/wp-](https://www.alamedactc.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Rpt_Alameda_Countywide_Model_Final_20190404.pdf)
28 [content/uploads/2019/04/Rpt_Alameda_Countywide_Model_Final_20190404.pdf](https://www.alamedactc.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Rpt_Alameda_Countywide_Model_Final_20190404.pdf). Accessed:
29 May 31, 2022.
- 30 Altamont Corridor Express. 2021. *Schedules & Fares*. Available: <http://www.acerail.com/>. Accessed:
31 June 10, 2021.
- 32 Amtrak. 2021a. *San Joaquins Routes and Schedules*. Available: [https://www.amtrak.com/san-](https://www.amtrak.com/san-joaquins-train)
33 [joaquins-train](https://www.amtrak.com/san-joaquins-train). Accessed: June 22, 2021.
- 34 Amtrak. 2021b. *California Zephyr Routes and Schedules*. Available:
35 <https://www.amtrak.com/california-zephyr-train>. Accessed: June 22, 2021.
- 36 Amtrak. 2021c. *Coast Starlight Routes and Schedules*. Available [https://www.amtrak.com/coast-](https://www.amtrak.com/coast-starlight-train)
37 [starlight-train](https://www.amtrak.com/coast-starlight-train). Accessed: June 22, 2021.

- 1 Bay Area Rapid Transit. 2021. *BART to Antioch: East Contra Costa BART Extension*. Available:
2 <http://www.bart.gov/about/projects/ecc/>. Accessed: June 22, 2021.
- 3 BNSF Railway. 2021. *BNSF in California*. Available: <https://bnsfcalifornia.com/bnsf-in-california/>.
4 Accessed: May 19, 2021.
- 5 California Delta Chambers and Visitors Bureau. 2021. *Ferry Boats*. Available:
6 <http://www.californiadelta.org/ferries.htm>. Accessed: August 10, 2021.
- 7 California Department of Transportation. 2006. *California Transportation Plan 2025*. Available:
8 [http://libraryarchives.metro.net/DPGTL/CalDOT/2006-california-transportation-plan-](http://libraryarchives.metro.net/DPGTL/CalDOT/2006-california-transportation-plan-2025.pdf)
9 [2025.pdf](http://libraryarchives.metro.net/DPGTL/CalDOT/2006-california-transportation-plan-2025.pdf). Accessed: May 19, 2021.
- 10 California Department of Transportation. 2021a. *California Transportation Plan 2050*. February 2.
11 Sacramento, CA.
- 12 California Department of Transportation. 2021b. *2014 California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control*
13 *Devices (MUTCD), Revision 6*. Effective March 30, 2021. May 19, 2021. Sacramento, CA
- 14 California Department of Transportation. 2021c. *California Highway Design Manual. 7th Edition*.
15 Sacramento, CA. May 19, 2021. Sacramento, CA
- 16 California Employment Development Department. 2019a. *Demographic Profiles for Local Workforce*
17 *Investment Areas in California (2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates): San Joaquin*
18 *County*. Available: <https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/file/Census2019/sanjodp2019.pdf>.
19 Accessed: December 20, 2021.
- 20 California Employment Development Department. 2019b. *Demographic Profiles for Local Workforce*
21 *Investment Areas in California (2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates): Sacramento*
22 *County*. Available: <https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/file/Census2019/sacdp2019.pdf>.
23 Accessed: December 20, 2021.
- 24 California Employment Development Department. 2019c. *Demographic Profiles for Local Workforce*
25 *Investment Areas in California (2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates): Solano*
26 *County*. Available: <https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/file/Census2019/solandp2019.pdf>.
27 Accessed: December 20, 2021.
- 28 California Employment Development Department. 2019d. *Demographic Profiles for Local Workforce*
29 *Investment Areas in California (2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates): Yolo County*.
30 Available: <https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/file/Census2019/yolodp2019.pdf>.
31 Accessed: December 20, 2021.
- 32 California Employment Development Department. 2019e. *Demographic Profiles for Local Workforce*
33 *Investment Areas in California (2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates): Contra Costa*
34 *County*. Available: <https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/file/Census2019/contrdp2019.pdf>.
35 Accessed: December 20, 2021.
- 36 California Employment Development Department. 2019f. *Demographic Profiles for Local Workforce*
37 *Investment Areas in California (2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates): Alameda*
38 *County*. Available: <https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/geography/alameda-county.html>.
39 Accessed: December 20, 2021.

- 1 California Governor's Office of Planning and Research. 2018. *Technical Advisory on Evaluating*
2 *Transportation Impacts in CEQA*. December. Sacramento, CA.
- 3 Capitol Corridor. 2021. *Capitol Corridor Routes and Schedules*. Available:
4 <https://www.capitolcorridor.org/>. Accessed: June 22, 2021.
- 5 Central California Traction Company. 2021. *Central California Traction Company*. Available:
6 <https://www.cctrailroad.com/>. Accessed: May 19, 2021.
- 7 City of Stockton. 2017. *Greater Downtown Active Transportation Plan*. Available:
8 [http://www.stocktonca.gov/files/Greater Downtown Stockton ATP Final Plan.pdf](http://www.stocktonca.gov/files/Greater_Downtown_Stockton_ATP_Final_Plan.pdf) Accessed:
9 [January 10, 2022.](#)
- 10 Contra Costa Transportation Authority. 2017. *2017 Countywide Comprehensive Transportation Plan*.
11 *Adopted September 20, 2017*. Available: [https://ccta.net/planning/2017-countywide-](https://ccta.net/planning/2017-countywide-transportation-plan/)
12 [transportation-plan/](https://ccta.net/planning/2017-countywide-transportation-plan/). Accessed May 31, 2022.
- 13 County of Contra Costa. 2021. *Contra Costa County Active Transportation Plan*. Available:
14 <https://planreview.activecontracosta.org/#/>. Accessed: January 10, 2022.
- 15 County of Sacramento. 2021. *2020–2021 Active Transportation Plan Update*. Available:
16 <https://sacdot.saccounty.gov/Pages/Active%20Transportation.aspx>. Accessed: May 19, 2021.
- 17 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
18 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 19 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
20 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 21 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022c. Barge Transportation Study (Final
22 Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment F: Site Development, Site Access and Logistics,
23 *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*.
24 May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 25 Delta Protection Commission. 2012. *Economic Sustainability Plan for the Sacramento–San Joaquin*
26 *Delta*. January. West Sacramento, CA. Prepared by Business Forecasting Center at Eberhardt
27 School of Business, University of the Pacific; The Dangermond Group; Economic & Planning
28 Systems, Inc.; Sapper West, Inc.; Garcia DeCredito Studio; Natural Resources Institute,
29 University of the Pacific; and Peterson Brustad, Inc. Available: [https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Final_ESP_w_Appendices_2012.pdf)
30 [content/uploads/2017/05/Final_ESP_w_Appendices_2012.pdf](https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Final_ESP_w_Appendices_2012.pdf). Accessed: January 13, 2022.
- 31 Delta Protection Commission. 2022. *Delta Protection Commission Greater California Delta Trail*
32 *Master Plan*. January 20. Prepared by TrailPeople for Delta Protection Commission, West
33 Sacramento, CA.
- 34 Greyhound Bus Lines. 2021. *About*. Available: [https://www.greyhound.com/en-us/bus-to-stockton-](https://www.greyhound.com/en-us/bus-to-stockton-1)
35 [1](https://www.greyhound.com/en-us/bus-to-stockton-1). Accessed: August 28, 2021.
- 36 Metropolitan Transportation Commission and Association of Bay Area Governments. 2017. *Plan Bay*
37 *Area 2040—Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy for the San*
38 *Francisco Bay Area 2017–2040*. Adopted July 2017. San Francisco, CA.

- 1 Port of Stockton. 2021. *About*. Available: <https://www.portofstockton.com/about/>. Accessed:
2 August 30, 2021.
- 3 Port of West Sacramento. 2021. *About the Port*. Available:
4 [https://www.cityofwestsacramento.org/government/departments/city-manager-s-office/port-](https://www.cityofwestsacramento.org/government/departments/city-manager-s-office/port-of-west-sacramento)
5 [of-west-sacramento](https://www.cityofwestsacramento.org/government/departments/city-manager-s-office/port-of-west-sacramento). Accessed: August 30, 2021.
- 6 Sacramento Area Council of Governments. 2019. *2020 Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable*
7 *Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS)*. Adopted November 2019. Sacramento, CA.
- 8 San Joaquin Council of Governments. 2012. *San Joaquin Council of Governments Regional Bicycle,*
9 *Pedestrian and Safe Routes to School Master Plan*. Available: [https://www.sjcog.org/306/Active-](https://www.sjcog.org/306/Active-Transportation)
10 [Transportation](https://www.sjcog.org/306/Active-Transportation). Accessed: January 10, 2022.
- 11 San Joaquin Council of Governments. 2018. *2018 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable*
12 *Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS)*. Adopted June 2018. Stockton, CA. May 19, 2021.
- 13 State Water Resources Control Board. 2019. *Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel Map*. Available:
14 [http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/san_](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/san_joaquin_oxygen/decision_notice/stockton_dwsc_map.pdf)
15 [joaquin_oxygen/decision_notice/stockton_dwsc_map.pdf](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/san_joaquin_oxygen/decision_notice/stockton_dwsc_map.pdf). Accessed: April 8, 2021.
- 16 Union Pacific Railroad. 2021. *Union Pacific in California*. Available:
17 [https://www.up.com/cs/groups/public/@uprr/@corprel/documents/up_pdf_natedocs/pdf](https://www.up.com/cs/groups/public/@uprr/@corprel/documents/up_pdf_natedocs/pdf_california_usguide.pdf)
18 [california_usguide.pdf](https://www.up.com/cs/groups/public/@uprr/@corprel/documents/up_pdf_natedocs/pdf_california_usguide.pdf). Accessed: May 19, 2021.
- 19 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2020. *Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel Home Page*.
20 Available: [https://www.spn.usace.army.mil/Missions/Projects-and-Programs/Projects-A-](https://www.spn.usace.army.mil/Missions/Projects-and-Programs/Projects-A-Z/Sacramento-River-Deep-Water-Ship-Channel-C/)
21 [Z/Sacramento-River-Deep-Water-Ship-Channel-C/](https://www.spn.usace.army.mil/Missions/Projects-and-Programs/Projects-A-Z/Sacramento-River-Deep-Water-Ship-Channel-C/). Accessed: August 30, 2021.
- 22 U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2020. California: Western Information Office data search for total
23 construction jobs in the San Francisco/San Jose Metro Area for November 2020. Available:
24 <https://www.bls.gov/regions/west/california.htm>. Accessed: March 14, 2022.
- 25 U.S. Coast Guard. 2012. *13th Coast Guard District Private Aids to Navigation System*.

26 Chapter 21, Public Services and Utilities

27 Printed References

- 28 Brentwood Police Department. 2021. Brentwood PD Policy Manual. Available: [https://](https://www.brentwoodca.gov/government/police/brentwood-pd-policy-manual)
29 <https://www.brentwoodca.gov/government/police/brentwood-pd-policy-manual>. Accessed:
30 February 2, 2022.
- 31 Burr Consulting. 2009. *Municipal Service Review: Fire and Emergency Medical Service Providers*.
32 Prepared for Contra Costa Local Agency Formation Commission.
- 33 California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery. 2019. Solid Waste Information System.
34 Available: <https://www2.calrecycle.ca.gov/SolidWaste/Site/Search>. Accessed: March 19, 2021.

- 1 California Energy Commission. 2020. Transmission Lines. Available: [https://services3.arcgis.com/
2 bWPjFyq029ChCGur/ArcGIS/rest/services/Transmission_Line/FeatureServer](https://services3.arcgis.com/bWPjFyq029ChCGur/ArcGIS/rest/services/Transmission_Line/FeatureServer). Accessed:
3 March 19, 2021.
- 4 California Office of Emergency Services. 2019. Natural Gas Storage Facilities. Available: [https://gis-
5 calema.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/natural-gas-storage-facilities](https://gis-calema.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/natural-gas-storage-facilities). Accessed: February 2,
6 2021.
- 7 California School Dashboard. 2021. *Explore Information about Your Local School and District*.
8 Available: <https://www.caschooldashboard.org>. Accessed: August 19, 2021.
- 9 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. Project Emergency Response Plan
10 (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment F: Site Development, *Site Access and*
11 *Logistics, Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and*
12 *Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 13 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. Project Emergency Response Plan—
14 Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment: Technical
15 Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Bethany*
16 *Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 17 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022c. Summary of Utility Crossings (Final
18 Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment G: Utilities, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final*
19 *Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 20 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022d. Summary of Utility Crossings—
21 Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment: Technical
22 Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Bethany*
23 *Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 24 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022e. Electrical Power Load and Routing
25 Study (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment G: Utilities, *Volume 1: Delta*
26 *Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022.
27 Sacramento, CA.
- 28 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022f. Electrical Power Load and Routing
29 Study—Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment:
30 Technical Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—*
31 *Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 32 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022g. Communications Routing and Basic
33 Design Approach—Central and Eastern Corridors (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In
34 Attachment G: Utilities, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—*
35 *Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 36 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022h. SCADA/Communications Routing and
37 Basic Design Approach—Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum.
38 In Attachment: Technical Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering*
39 *Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.

- 1 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022i. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
2 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA. Prepared
3 for California Department of Water Resources, Sacramento, CA.
- 4 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022j. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
5 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA. Prepared
6 for California Department of Water Resources, Sacramento, CA.
- 7 U.S. Energy Information Administration. 2019. U.S. Natural Gas Pipelines. Available: [https://hifld-
8 geoplatform.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/natural-gas-pipelines](https://hifld-geoplatform.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/natural-gas-pipelines). Accessed: February 2, 2021.
- 9 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2019. Wastewater Treatment Plants. Available: [https://gis-
10 calema.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/wastewater-treatment-plants](https://gis-calema.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/wastewater-treatment-plants). Accessed: May 18, 2020.

11 Personal Communications

- 12 Salsedo, Rick. Port of Stockton Police Department, Stockton, California. August 3, 2021—Telephone
13 call to Lindsay Christensen, Environmental Planner, ICF, Sacramento, California.

14 Chapter 22, Energy

- 15 Bureau of Reclamation. 2021a. *Central Valley Project*. Available:
16 <https://www.usbr.gov/projects/index.php?id=506>. Accessed: March 10, 2021.
- 17 Bureau of Reclamation. 2021b. *Powerplants*. Available:
18 <https://www.usbr.gov/projects/facilities.php?type=Powerplant>. Accessed: March 10, 2021.
- 19 Bureau of Reclamation. 2021c. *Annual Power System Generation Summary 2000–2021*. Available:
20 <https://www.usbr.gov/mp/cvo/>. Accessed: March 10, 2021.
- 21 California Independent System Operator. 2022. Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff. April
22 1. California Department of Water Resources. 2006. Drawing M200-E00-1-2. POC Switching
23 Diagram, Cordelia/Barker Slough Pumping Plants, Single-Line Schematic Diagram. Sacramento,
24 CA.
- 25 California Department of Water Resources. 2016. *California's Groundwater—Working Toward*
26 *Sustainability*. Bulletin 118. Interim Update. December 22. Sacramento, CA.
- 27 California Department of Water Resources. 2020. *Climate Action Plan Phase 1: Greenhouse Gas*
28 *Emissions Reduction Plan—Update 2020*. July. Sacramento, CA.
- 29 California Department of Water Resources. 2021a. *Management of the California State Water Project*.
30 Bulletin 132-2018. January. Sacramento, CA.
- 31 California Department of Water Resources. 2021b. *Draft California's Groundwater Update 2020*
32 *Highlights*. March. Sacramento, CA.
- 33 California Department of Water Resources. 2021c. *California Department of Water Resources Clean*
34 *Energy*. Available: <https://water.ca.gov/Programs/State-Water-Project/Clean-Energy>. Accessed:
35 July 21, 2021.

- 1 California Energy Commission. 2020. *Hydroelectric Power*. Available:
2 <http://www.energy.ca.gov/hydroelectric/>. Accessed: March 10, 2021.
- 3 California Energy Commission. 2021a. *2020 Total System Electric Generation*. Available:
4 [https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/
5 2020-total-system-electric-generation](https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/2020-total-system-electric-generation). Accessed: August 2, 2021.
- 6 California Energy Commission. 2021b. *2018 Total System Electric Generation*. Available:
7 [https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/
8 2020-total-system-electric-generation/2018](https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/2020-total-system-electric-generation/2018). Accessed: August 2, 2021.
- 9 California Energy Commission. 2021c. *2019 Total System Electric Generation*. Available:
10 [https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/
11 2020-total-system-electric-generation/2019](https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/2020-total-system-electric-generation/2019). Accessed August 2, 2021.
- 12 California Energy Commission. 2021d. *California Hydroelectric Statistics and Data*. Available:
13 https://ww2.energy.ca.gov/almanac/renewables_data/hydro/index cms.php. Accessed: August
14 2, 2021.
- 15 California Public Utilities Commission. 2010. *Embedded Energy in Water Studies, Study 1: Statewide
16 and Regional Water-Energy Relationship*. August 31. Prepared by GEI Consultants/Navigant
17 Consulting, Inc. San Francisco, CA.
- 18 Climate Registry. 2020. *2020 Default Emission Factors*. April. Available:
19 [https://www.theclimateregistry.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/The-Climate-Registry-
20 2020-Default-Emission-Factor-Document.pdf](https://www.theclimateregistry.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/The-Climate-Registry-2020-Default-Emission-Factor-Document.pdf). Accessed: November 22, 2021.
- 21 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft
22 Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 23 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft
24 Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 25 Western Area Power Administration. 2004. *CVP Power Resources Report (Green Book 2004)*. Sierra
26 Nevada Region.
- 27 Yuba County Water Agency. 2021. *Yuba River Development Project Relicensing*. Available:
28 <http://www.ycwa-relicensing.com/default.aspx>. Accessed: March 10, 2021.

29 Chapter 23, Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases

30 Printed References

- 31 Ascent. 2016. *Sacramento County Climate Action Plan: Communitywide Greenhouse Gas Reduction &
32 Climate Change Adaptation (Communitywide CAP) Task 1 Technical Memorandum: 2015
33 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory and Forecasts*. Memo from Honey Walters, Erik de Kok, and
34 Dimitri Antoniou.

- 1 Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 2001. *Revised San Francisco Bay Area Ozone Attainment*
2 *Plan for the 1-Hour National Ozone Standard*. October 24. Available:
3 [www.baaqmd.gov/~media/
4 Files/Planning%20and%20Research/Plans/2001%20Ozone%20Attainment%20Plan/
5 oap_2001.ashx](http://www.baaqmd.gov/~media/Files/Planning%20and%20Research/Plans/2001%20Ozone%20Attainment%20Plan/oap_2001.ashx). Accessed: February 8, 2021.
- 6 Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 2017a. *Spare the Air, Cool the Climate. Final 2017 Clean*
7 *Air Plan*. April 19. Available: [www.baaqmd.gov/~media/files/planning-and-research/plans/
8 2017-clean-air-plan/attachment-a_-_proposed-final-cap-vol-1-pdf.pdf?la=en](http://www.baaqmd.gov/~media/files/planning-and-research/plans/2017-clean-air-plan/attachment-a_-_proposed-final-cap-vol-1-pdf.pdf?la=en). Accessed:
9 February 8, 2021.
- 10 Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 2017b. *California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality*
11 *Guidelines*. May. Available: [https://www.baaqmd.gov/~media/files/planning-and-research/
12 ceqa/ceqa_guidelines_may2017-pdf.pdf?la=en](https://www.baaqmd.gov/~media/files/planning-and-research/ceqa/ceqa_guidelines_may2017-pdf.pdf?la=en). Accessed: February 8, 2021.
- 13 Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 2020. *Health Risk Assessment Modeling Protocol*.
14 December. San Francisco, CA.
- 15 Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 2021. Permitted Stationary Sources Risk and Hazards.
16 Available: [https://baaqmd.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/
17 index.html?id=2387ae674013413f987b1071715daa65](https://baaqmd.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=2387ae674013413f987b1071715daa65). Accessed: June 2021.
- 18 California Air Pollution Control Officers Association. n.d. *Health Effects*. Available:
19 <http://www.capcoa.org/health-effects/>. Accessed: April 27, 2020.
- 20 California Air Resources Board. 2000. *Risk Reduction Plan to Reduce Particulate Matter Emissions*
21 *from Diesel-Fueled Engines and Vehicles*. October. Sacramento, CA.
- 22 California Air Resources Board. 2005. *Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Health*
23 *Perspective*. April. Sacramento, CA.
- 24 California Air Resources Board. 2007. *Health Risk Assessment for the Union Pacific Railroad Stockton*
25 *Railyard*. November. Sacramento, CA.
- 26 California Air Resources Board. 2016. *Ambient Air Quality Standards*. Last revised: May 4, 2016.
27 Available: [https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/research/aaqs/aaqs2.pdf?
28 _ga=2.216135190.1895548843.1584384288-2051230699.1571179876](https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/research/aaqs/aaqs2.pdf?_ga=2.216135190.1895548843.1584384288-2051230699.1571179876). Accessed: March 16, 2020.
- 29 California Air Resources Board. 2017. *Short-Lived Climate Pollutant Reduction Strategy*. March.
30 Sacramento, CA.
- 31 California Air Resources Board. 2019a. California High GWP Gases Inventory 2002-2017 – by Sector
32 and Activity. Last revised: August 12. Available: [https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/data/
33 tables/ghg_inventory_sector_sum_2000-17hgwp.pdf](https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/data/tables/ghg_inventory_sector_sum_2000-17hgwp.pdf). Accessed: April 24, 2020.
- 34 California Air Resources Board. 2019b. CEPAM2019v1.03 Emission Projection Data: 2017
35 Estimated Annual Average Emissions. Available: [https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/applications/
36 emissions-air-basin](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/applications/emissions-air-basin). Accessed: January 25, 2022.
- 37 California Air Resources Board. 2020a. *Carbon Monoxide and Health*. Available:
38 <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/carbon-monoxide-and-health>. Accessed: April 24, 2020.

- 1 California Air Resources Board. 2020b. *Nitrogen Dioxide and Health*. Available:
2 <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/nitrogen-dioxide-and-health>. Accessed: April 24, 2020.
- 3 California Air Resources Board. 2020c. *Sulfur Dioxide and Health*. Available:
4 <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/sulfur-dioxide-and-health>. Accessed: April 24, 2020.
- 5 California Air Resources Board. 2020d. *GHG Global Warming Potentials*. Available:
6 <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/ghg-gwps>. Accessed: April 24, 2020.
- 7 California Air Resources Board. 2020e. *GHGs Descriptions & Sources in California*. Available:
8 <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/ghg-descriptions-sources>. Accessed: April 24, 2020.
- 9 California Air Resources Board. 2020f. Maps of State and Federal Area Designations. Available:
10 <http://www.arb.ca.gov/design/adm/adm.htm>. Accessed: April 24, 2020.
- 11 California Air Resources Board. 2020g. Proposed Amendments to the Regulation for Reducing Sulfur
12 Hexafluoride (SF6) Emissions from Gas Insulated Switchgear. July 13, 2020 Webinar.
- 13 California Air Resources Board. 2021a. iADAM: Air Quality Data Statistics (Top 4 Summary).
14 Available: <https://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/topfour/topfour1.php>. Accessed: December 2021.
- 15 California Air Resources Board. 2021b. *California Greenhouse Gas Inventory for 2000-2019 – by*
16 *Category as Defined in the 2008 Scoping Plan*. Available: [https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/](https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/data/tables/ghg_inventory_scopingplan_sum_2000-19.pdf)
17 [data/tables/ghg_inventory_scopingplan_sum_2000-19.pdf](https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/data/tables/ghg_inventory_scopingplan_sum_2000-19.pdf). Accessed: January 20, 2022.
- 18 California Air Resources Board. 2021c. 2022 Scoping Plan Update Modeling and Scenario Workshop.
19 Natural and Working Lands. December 2.
- 20 California Department of Conservation. 2000. *A General Location Guide for Ultramafic Rocks in*
21 *California—Areas More Likely to Contain Naturally Occurring Asbestos*. Pages 1 through 7.
22 August. Division of Mines and Geology. Sacramento, CA.
- 23 California Department of Water Resources. 2010. *Draft Phase I Geotechnical Investigation—*
24 *Geotechnical Data Report—Isolated Conveyance Facility East*. Program Document Number 001-
25 31-05-183-001. July 12. Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program. Sacramento, CA.
- 26 California Department of Water Resources. 2020a. *California Department of Water Resources Climate*
27 *Action Plan Phase 1: Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan, Update 2020*. July. Sacramento,
28 CA.
- 29 California Department of Water Resources. 2020b. *Addendum to the Initial Study and Negative*
30 *Declaration for DWR Climate Action Plan Phase 1: Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan,*
31 *Update 2020*. SCH #2012032002. Sacramento, CA.
- 32 California Natural Resources Agency. 2018. *Final Statement of Reasons for Regulatory Action—*
33 *Amendments to the State CEQA Guidelines*. Pages 41 and 42. OAL Notice File No. Z-2018-0116-12.
34 November 2018.
- 35 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2019. Frequently Asked Questions—What Are Social
36 Determinants of Health? Last revised: December 19, 2019. Available:
37 <https://www.cdc.gov/nchhstp/socialdeterminants/faq.html#what-are-social-determinants>.
38 Accessed: October 14, 2021.

- 1 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
2 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 3 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
4 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 5 Dillon, M., Sextro, R., Delp, W. 2019. Regional Shelter Analysis—Inhalation Exposure Application
6 (Particles). Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. LLNL-TR-786237. August.
- 7 ESRI. 2020. Areas with Potential for Naturally Occurring Asbestos. Available:
8 <https://www.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=da4b648958844134adc25ff002d>
9 [bea1c](https://www.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=da4b648958844134adc25ff002d). Accessed: September 4, 2020.
- 10 Garza, V. J., P. Graney, and D. Sperling. 1997. *Transportation Project-Level Carbon Monoxide Protocol*.
11 Revised December 1997. UCD-ITS-RR-97-21. Institute of Transportation Studies, University of
12 California, Davis. Davis, CA. Prepared for Environmental Program, California Department of
13 Transportation.
- 14 Hansen, M. J., P. L. Kasper, A. P. S. Adamsen, and A. Feilberg. 2018. Key Odorants from Pig Production
15 Based on Improved Measurements of Odor Threshold Values Combining Olfactometry and
16 Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry (PTR-MS). *Sensors* 18(3):788.
- 17 ICF. 2022. Air Monitoring Station Comparison: Purple Air and Air District FRM. February.
- 18 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2014. *Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report*.
19 Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
20 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, R. K. Pachauri and L. A. Meyer
21 (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 22 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2018. *Global Warming of 1.5°C*. Chapter 1, Framing and
23 Context. Summary for Policymakers. Allen, M.R., O.P. Dube, W. Solecki, F. Aragón-Durand, W.
24 Cramer, S. Humphreys, M. Kainuma, J. Kala, N. Mahowald, Y. Mulugetta, R. Perez, M. Wairiu, and
25 K. Zickfeld.
- 26 International Agency for Research on Cancer. 2012. *Press Release: No. 213: IARC: Diesel Engine*
27 *Exhaust Carcinogenic*. June 12. Available: [https://www.iarc.fr/wp-](https://www.iarc.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr213_E.pdf)
28 [content/uploads/2018/07/pr213_E.pdf](https://www.iarc.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr213_E.pdf). Accessed: April 24, 2020.
- 29 Marceau, M. L., M. A. Nisbet, and M. G. VanGeem. 2007. *Life Cycle Inventory of Portland Cement*
30 *Concrete*. Tables E1b and G1b. PCA R&D Serial No. 3007. Portland Cement Association. Skokie,
31 IL.
- 32 Occupational Safety and Health Administration. 2005. *Hydrogen Sulfide (H₂S)*. Last revised: October
33 2005. Available: [https://www.osha.gov/OshDoc/data_Hurricane_Facts/](https://www.osha.gov/OshDoc/data_Hurricane_Facts/hydrogen_sulfide_fact.html)
34 [hydrogen_sulfide_fact.html](https://www.osha.gov/OshDoc/data_Hurricane_Facts/hydrogen_sulfide_fact.html). Accessed: September 4, 2020.
- 35 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. 2008. Appendix D: Individual Acute, 8-Hour and
36 Chronic Reference Exposure Level Summaries. In *Air Toxics Hot Spots Risk Assessment Guidelines:*
37 *Technical Support Document for the Derivation of Noncancer Reference Exposure Levels*.
38 Available: <https://oehha.ca.gov/air/chemicals/hydrogen-sulfide>. Accessed: June 15, 2021.
- 39 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. 2015. *Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Risk*
40 *Assessment Guidelines Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments*. February.

- 1 Public Health Alliance of Southern California. 2021. The California Healthy Places Index (HPI).
2 Available: <https://healthyplacesindex.org/>. Accessed: October 14, 2021.
- 3 Ramboll. 2020. *Guidance to Address the Friant Ranch Ruling for CEQA Projects in the Sac Metro Air*
4 *District*. June. Prepared for the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District,
5 Sacramento, CA.
- 6 Reinhart, D., T. Townsend, S. Eun, and Q. Xu. 2004. *Control of Odors from Construction and Demolition*
7 *(C&D) Debris Landfills*. November. Report #0232004-04. Florida Center for Solid and Hazardous
8 Waste Management, University of Florida. Gainesville, FL.
- 9 Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District. 2010. *PM10*
10 *Implementation/Maintenance Plan and Redesignation Request for Sacramento County*. October
11 28. Available:
12 [http://www.airquality.org/ProgramCoordination/Documents/10\)%20%20PM10%20Imp%20](http://www.airquality.org/ProgramCoordination/Documents/10)%20%20PM10%20Imp%20and%20MP%202010.pdf#search=Implementation%2FMaintenance%20Plan%20and%20Redesignation%20Request%20for%20Sacramento%20County)
13 [and%20MP%202010.pdf#search=Implementation%2FMaintenance%20Plan%20and%20Rede](http://www.airquality.org/ProgramCoordination/Documents/10)%20%20PM10%20Imp%20and%20MP%202010.pdf#search=Implementation%2FMaintenance%20Plan%20and%20Redesignation%20Request%20for%20Sacramento%20County)
14 [signation%20Request%20for%20Sacramento%20County](http://www.airquality.org/ProgramCoordination/Documents/10)%20%20PM10%20Imp%20and%20MP%202010.pdf#search=Implementation%2FMaintenance%20Plan%20and%20Redesignation%20Request%20for%20Sacramento%20County). Accessed: February 8, 2021.
- 15 Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District. 2020a. *Guide to Air Quality Assessment in*
16 *Sacramento County*. Last revised: June. Available: [http://www.airquality.org/businesses/](http://www.airquality.org/businesses/ceqa-land-use-planning/ceqa-guidance-tools)
17 [ceqa-land-use-planning/ceqa-guidance-tools](http://www.airquality.org/businesses/ceqa-land-use-planning/ceqa-guidance-tools). Accessed: July 31, 2021.
- 18 Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District. 2020b. SMAQMD Thresholds of
19 Significance Table. Last Revised: June. Available: [http://www.airquality.org/](http://www.airquality.org/LandUseTransportation/Documents/CH2ThresholdsTable4-2020.pdf)
20 [LandUseTransportation/Documents/CH2ThresholdsTable4-2020.pdf](http://www.airquality.org/LandUseTransportation/Documents/CH2ThresholdsTable4-2020.pdf). Accessed: August 31,
21 2020.
- 22 Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, El Dorado County Air Quality
23 Management District, Placer County Air Pollution Control District, and Yolo-Solano Air Quality
24 Management District. 2013. *PM2.5 Implementation/Maintenance Plan and Redesignation Request*
25 *for Sacramento PM2.5 Nonattainment Area*. October. Available: [http://www.airquality.org/](http://www.airquality.org/ProgramCoordination/Documents/9)%20%20PM2.5%20Imp%20and%20MP%202013.pdf)
26 [ProgramCoordination/Documents/9\)%20%20PM2.5%20Imp%20and%20MP%202013.pdf](http://www.airquality.org/ProgramCoordination/Documents/9)%20%20PM2.5%20Imp%20and%20MP%202013.pdf).
27 Accessed: February 10, 2021.
- 28 Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, El Dorado County Air Quality
29 Management District, Feather River Air Quality Management District, Placer County Air
30 Pollution Control District, Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District, and Sacramento Area
31 Council of Governments. 2017. *Regional 8-Hour Attainment and Reasonable Further Progress*
32 *Plan*. July. Available: [http://www.airquality.org/ProgramCoordination/Documents/](http://www.airquality.org/ProgramCoordination/Documents/Sac%20Regional%202008%20NAAQS%20Attainment%20and%20RFP%20Plan.pdf)
33 [Sac%20Regional%202008%20NAAQS%20Attainment%20and%20RFP%20Plan.pdf](http://www.airquality.org/ProgramCoordination/Documents/Sac%20Regional%202008%20NAAQS%20Attainment%20and%20RFP%20Plan.pdf). Accessed:
34 February 10, 2021.
- 35 San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District. 2007a. *PM10 Maintenance Plan and Request for*
36 *Redesignation*. September 20. Available: [www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/docs/](http://www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/docs/Maintenance%20Plan10-25-07.pdf)
37 [Maintenance%20Plan10-25-07.pdf](http://www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/docs/Maintenance%20Plan10-25-07.pdf). Accessed: February 8, 2021.
- 38 San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District. 2007b. *2007 Ozone Plan*. April. Available:
39 www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/AQ_Final_Adopted_Ozone2007.htm. Accessed: February 8,
40 2021.

- 1 San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District. 2013. *2013 Plan for the Revoked 1-Hour Ozone*
2 *Standard*. September. Available: [www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/](http://www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/OzoneOneHourPlan2013/AdoptedPlan.pdf)
3 [OzoneOneHourPlan2013/AdoptedPlan.pdf](http://www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/OzoneOneHourPlan2013/AdoptedPlan.pdf). Accessed: February 8, 2021.
- 4 San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District. 2015a. *Guidance for Assessing and Mitigating Air*
5 *Quality Impacts*. March.
- 6 San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District. 2015b. *Air Quality Thresholds of Significance – Toxic*
7 *Air Contaminants*. June. Available: [https://www.valleyair.org/transportation/0714-GAMAQI-](https://www.valleyair.org/transportation/0714-GAMAQI-TACs-Thresholds-of-Significance.pdf)
8 [TACs-Thresholds-of-Significance.pdf](https://www.valleyair.org/transportation/0714-GAMAQI-TACs-Thresholds-of-Significance.pdf). Accessed: March 16, 2022.
- 9 San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District. 2016. *2016 Ozone Plan for 2008 8-Hour Ozone*
10 *Standard*. June 16. Available: [https://www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/Ozone-Plan-](https://www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/Ozone-Plan-2016.htm)
11 [2016.htm](https://www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/Ozone-Plan-2016.htm). Accessed: February 8, 2021.
- 12 San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District. 2018a. *APR 2030, Project Ambient Air Quality*
13 *Analysis Applicability Determination under CEQA*. Last revised: June 2018. Available:
14 https://www.valleyair.org/policies_per/Policies/APR-2030.pdf. Accessed: August 2, 2021.
- 15 San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District. 2018b. *2018 Plan for the 1997, 2006, and 2012*
16 *PM2.5 Standards*. November 15. Available: <https://www.valleyair.org/pmplans/>. Accessed:
17 February 8, 2021.
- 18 San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District. 2019. APR 1925, Policy for District Rule 2201
19 AAQA Modeling. Last revised: March 2019. Available:
20 https://www.valleyair.org/policies_per/Policies/APR-1925.pdf. Accessed: February 4, 2021.
- 21 Teodoru, C. R., J. Bastien, M. Bonneville, P. A. del Giorgio, M. Demarty, M. Garneau, J. Hélie, L. Pelletier,
22 Y. T. Prairie, N. T. Roulet, I. B. Strachan, A. Tremblay. 2012. The Net Carbon Footprint of a Newly
23 Created Boreal Hydroelectric Reservoir. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 26, GB2016,
24 doi:10.1029/2011GB004187.
- 25 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2010. Quantitative Health Risk Assessment for Particulate
26 Matter. Second External Review Draft. EPA-452/P-10-001. February. Research Triangle Park,
27 NC: Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.
- 28 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2016. *Health Effects of Ozone in the General Population*. Last
29 updated: September 12. Available: [https://www.epa.gov/ozone-pollution-and-your-patients-](https://www.epa.gov/ozone-pollution-and-your-patients-health/health-effects-ozone-general-population)
30 [health/health-effects-ozone-general-population](https://www.epa.gov/ozone-pollution-and-your-patients-health/health-effects-ozone-general-population). Accessed: April 24, 2020.
- 31 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2018a. *Learn About Asbestos*. Last updated: September 17.
32 Available: <https://www.epa.gov/asbestos/learn-about-asbestos#effects>. Accessed: April 27,
33 2020.
- 34 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2018b. *Guidance on Significant Impact Levels for Ozone and*
35 *Fine Particles in the Prevention of Significant Deterioration Permitting Program*. April 17.
36 Available: [https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2018-](https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2018-04/documents/sils_policy_guidance_document_final_signed_4-17-18.pdf)
37 [04/documents/sils_policy_guidance_document_](https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2018-04/documents/sils_policy_guidance_document_final_signed_4-17-18.pdf)
38 [final_signed_4-17-18.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2018-04/documents/sils_policy_guidance_document_final_signed_4-17-18.pdf). Accessed: August 17, 2021.

- 1 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2019. *Health Effects of Ozone Pollution*. Last updated: July 30.
2 Available: <https://www.epa.gov/ground-level-ozone-pollution/health-effects-ozone-pollution>.
3 Accessed: April 24, 2020.
- 4 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2020. *Health and Environmental Effects of Particulate Matter*
5 *(PM)*. Last updated: April 13. Available: [https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution/health-and-](https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution/health-and-environmental-effects-particulate-matter-pm)
6 [environmental-effects-particulate-matter-pm](https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution/health-and-environmental-effects-particulate-matter-pm). Accessed: April 24, 2020.
- 7 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021a. *Ecosystem Effects of Ozone Pollution*. Last revised:
8 January 14. Available: [https://www.epa.gov/ground-level-ozone-pollution/ecosystem-effects-](https://www.epa.gov/ground-level-ozone-pollution/ecosystem-effects-ozone-pollution)
9 [ozone-pollution](https://www.epa.gov/ground-level-ozone-pollution/ecosystem-effects-ozone-pollution). Accessed: April 24, 2020.
- 10 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021b. *Monitor Values Report*. Available:
11 <https://www.epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data/monitor-values-report>. Accessed: January 20,
12 2022.
- 13 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021c. *Greenbook*. Last revised: December 31, 2021.
14 Available: <https://www.epa.gov/green-book>. Accessed: January 20, 2022.
- 15 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021d. *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks*.
16 Last revised: October 26, 2021. Available: [https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/inventory-us-](https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/inventory-us-greenhouse-gas-emissions-and-sinks#:~:text=Key%20findings%20from%20the%201990,sequestration%20from%20the%201)
17 [greenhouse-gas-emissions-and-](https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/inventory-us-greenhouse-gas-emissions-and-sinks#:~:text=Key%20findings%20from%20the%201990,sequestration%20from%20the%201)
18 [sinks#:~:text=Key%20findings%20from%20the%201990,sequestration%20from%20the%201](https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/inventory-us-greenhouse-gas-emissions-and-sinks#:~:text=Key%20findings%20from%20the%201990,sequestration%20from%20the%201)
19 [and%20sector](https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/inventory-us-greenhouse-gas-emissions-and-sinks#:~:text=Key%20findings%20from%20the%201990,sequestration%20from%20the%201). Accessed: January 20, 2022.
- 20 U.S. Geological Survey. 2000. *Operational Guidelines (version 1.0) for Geological Fieldwork in Areas*
21 *Endemic for Coccidioidomycosis (Valley Fever)*. February.
- 22 Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District. 2007. *Handbook for Assessing and Mitigating Air*
23 *Quality Impacts*. July.

24 Personal Communications

- 25 Siong, Patia. San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District, Fresno, CA. May 23, 2011—email
26 message regarding GAMAQI: HAP and cumulative construction threshold to Shannon Hatcher,
27 ICF, Sacramento, CA.
- 28 Winkel, Jackie. Bay Area Air Quality Management District, San Francisco, CA. April 12, 2018—email
29 message with GIS raster files to Darrin Trageser, ICF, Sacramento, CA.

30 Chapter 24, Noise and Vibration

- 31 Brown-Buntin Associates. 2003. *Environmental Noise Assessment: Kaweah South Project, Tulare*
32 *County, California*. March. Visalia, CA.
- 33 California Department of Transportation. 2013. *Technical Noise Supplement*. November. Sacramento,
34 CA: Division of Environmental Analysis. Available: [https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-](https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/environmental-analysis/documents/env/tens-sep2013-a11y.pdf)
35 [media/programs/environmental-analysis/documents/env/tens-sep2013-a11y.pdf](https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/environmental-analysis/documents/env/tens-sep2013-a11y.pdf). Accessed:
36 May 3, 2022.

- 1 California Department of Transportation. 2019. *Traffic Census Program Annual Average Daily Traffic*.
2 Available: <https://dot.ca.gov/programs/traffic-operations/census>. Accessed: March 10, 2021.
- 3 California Department of Transportation. 2020. *Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance*
4 *Manual*. April. Sacramento, CA.
- 5 California Department of Water Resources. 2005. *Specification 05-16: Tehachapi East Afterbay*
6 *Completion Phase II*.
- 7 County of Contra Costa. 2014. *Traffic Counts, Byron Hwy*. Contra Costa County Public Works
8 Department. February.
- 9 County of Sacramento. 2021. *Traffic Count Data – Sacramento County GIS Open Data Site*. Available:
10 [https://data-sacramentocounty.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/sacramentocounty::traffic-](https://data-sacramentocounty.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/sacramentocounty::traffic-count-data/explore?showTable=true)
11 [count-data/explore?showTable=true](https://data-sacramentocounty.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/sacramentocounty::traffic-count-data/explore?showTable=true). Accessed: May 16, 2022.
- 12 County of San Joaquin. 2021. *San Joaquin County Department of Public Works ADT*. Available:
13 [https://sjc-gis.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/](https://sjc-gis.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=b031e6e5a21b4c039643eddc8a13fc3)
14 [index.html?id=b031e6e5a21b4c039643eddc8a13fc3](https://sjc-gis.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=b031e6e5a21b4c039643eddc8a13fc3). Accessed: May 16, 2022.
- 15 Cowan, J. P. 1994. *Handbook of Environmental Acoustics*. New York, NY: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- 16 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
17 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 18 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
19 *Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 20 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022c. Conceptual Intake Cofferdam
21 Construction (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment A: Intakes, *Volume 1: Delta*
22 *Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022.
23 Sacramento, CA.
- 24 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022d. Traffic Impact Analysis (Final Draft).
25 Technical Memorandum. In Attachment F: Site Development, Site Access and Logistics, *Volume*
26 *1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May
27 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 28 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022e. Facilities Siting Study—Bethany
29 Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment: *Volume 1: Delta*
30 *Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022.
31 Sacramento, CA.
- 32 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022f. Tunneling Effects Assessment (Final
33 Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment B: Tunnels and Shafts, *Volume 1: Delta*
34 *Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report— Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022.
35 Sacramento, CA.
- 36 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022g. Post-Construction Land Reclamation
37 (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment H: Other Sitewide Considerations, *Volume*
38 *1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May
39 2022. Sacramento, CA.

- 1 Epsilon Associates. 2006. *Hudson River PCBs Superfund Site, Phase 1 Final Design Report, Attachment*
2 *J - Noise Impact Assessment*. March.
- 3 Federal Highway Administration. 1998. *FHWA Traffic Noise Model, Version 1.0: User's Guide*. Report
4 No. FHWA-PD-96-009 and DOT-VNTSC-FHWA-98-1. Cambridge, MA: John A. Volpe National
5 Transportation Systems Center, Acoustics Facility, January.
- 6 Federal Highway Administration. 2004. *FHWA Traffic Noise Model Version 2.5 Look-Up Tables User's*
7 *Guide*. Report No. DOT-VNTSC-FHWA-0406. Cambridge, MA: John A. Volpe National
8 Transportation Systems Center, Acoustics Facility, December.
- 9 Federal Highway Administration. 2006. *FHWA Construction Noise Handbook*. FHWA-HEP-06-015.
10 August.
- 11 Federal Highway Administration. 2011. *Highway Traffic Noise: Analysis and Abatement Guidance*. No.
12 FHWA-HEP-10-025. December. U.S. Department of Transportation.
- 13 Federal Railroad Administration. 2012. *High-Speed Ground Transportation Noise and Vibration*
14 *Impact Assessment*. DOT/FRA/ORD-12/15. Washington, DC: Office of Railroad Policy and
15 Development.
- 16 Federal Transit Administration. 2018. *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment*. FTA Report
17 No. 0123. Available: [https://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/research-](https://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/research-innovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123_0.pdf)
18 [innovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-](https://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/research-innovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123_0.pdf)
19 [0123_0.pdf](https://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/research-innovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123_0.pdf). Accessed: October 10, 2020.
- 20 Hoover, R. M., and R. H. Keith. 2000. *Noise Control for Buildings, Manufacturing Plants, Equipment and*
21 *Products*. Houston, TX: Hoover & Keith, Inc.
- 22 Nelson, P. M. 1987. *Transportation Noise Reference Book*. 1st Edition. Cambridge, UK: Butterworth &
23 Co Publishers, Ltd., University Press.
- 24 Teachout, E., and T. Cushman. 2005. *Use of a Pile Driver Shroud to Minimize Disturbance to Wildlife*.
25 Proceedings of Transportation Research Board Noise and Vibration Conference, Seattle,
26 Washington, July 20, 2005.
- 27 Thalheimer, E. 2000. Construction Noise Control Program and Mitigation Strategy at the Central
28 Artery/Tunnel Project. *Noise Control Engineering Journal* 48(5):157–165.
- 29 Washington State Department of Transportation. 2018. *Biological Assessment Preparation Advanced*
30 *Training Manual*. Version 1-2018. Available: [https://wsdot.wa.gov/environment/technical/fish-](https://wsdot.wa.gov/environment/technical/fish-wildlife/esa-efh/BA-preparation-manual)
31 [wildlife/esa-efh/BA-preparation-manual](https://wsdot.wa.gov/environment/technical/fish-wildlife/esa-efh/BA-preparation-manual). Accessed: March 24, 2021.

32 Chapter 25, Hazards, Hazardous Materials, and Wildfire

- 33 Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. 2014a. *ToxFAQs™ for Total Petroleum*
34 *Hydrocarbons (TPH)*. Available: [https://www.cdc.gov/TSP/ToxFAQs/ToxFAQsDetails.aspx?](https://www.cdc.gov/TSP/ToxFAQs/ToxFAQsDetails.aspx?faqid=423&toxid=75)
35 [faqid=423&toxid=75](https://www.cdc.gov/TSP/ToxFAQs/ToxFAQsDetails.aspx?faqid=423&toxid=75). Accessed: October 29, 2021.

- 1 Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. 2014b. *Gasoline (Mixture)*. September. ToxFAQs™
2 for gasoline. CAS # 86290-81-5 and 8006-61-9; UN 1203. Available: [https://wwwn.cdc.gov/
3 TSP/ToxFAQs/ToxFAQsDetails.aspx?faqid=467&toxid=83](https://wwwn.cdc.gov/TSP/ToxFAQs/ToxFAQsDetails.aspx?faqid=467&toxid=83). Accessed: October 18, 2021.
- 4 AirNav, LLC. 2020a. Byron Airport C83. Available: <http://www.airnav.com/airport/C83>. Accessed:
5 May 4, 2020.
- 6 AirNav, LLC. 2020b. Franklin Field Airport F72. Available: <http://www.airnav.com/airport/F72>.
7 Accessed: May 4, 2020.
- 8 AirNav, LLC. 2020c. Lost Isle Seaplane Base S74. Available: <http://www.airnav.com/airport/S74>.
9 Accessed: May 4, 2020.
- 10 AirNav, LLC. 2020d. Borges-Clarksburg Airport CN13. Available:
11 <http://www.airnav.com/airport/CN13>. Accessed: May 4, 2020.
- 12 AirNav, LLC. 2020e. Heritage Field Airport CN95. Available: <http://www.airnav.com/airport/CN95>.
13 Accessed: May 5, 2020.
- 14 AirNav, LLC. 2021a. Kingdon Airport O20. Available: <https://www.airnav.com/airport/O20>.
15 Accessed: February 26, 2021.
- 16 AirNav, LLC. 2021b. Kaiser Permanente South Sacramento Hospital 6CN6. Available:
17 <https://www.airnav.com/airport/6CN6>. Accessed: February 26, 2021.
- 18 AirNav, LLC. 2021c. Lodi Memorial Hospital 8CL7. Available:
19 <https://www.airnav.com/airport/8CL7>. Accessed: February 26, 2021.
- 20 AirNav, LLC. 2021d. Flying B Airport CN38. Available: <http://airnav.com/airport/CN38>. Accessed:
21 February 26, 2021.
- 22 AirNav, LLC. 2021e. Funny Farm Airport 4CA2. Available: <http://airnav.com/airport/4CA2>.
23 Accessed: February 26, 2021.
- 24 AirNav, LLC. 2021f. Las Serpientes Airport CN19. Available: <https://www.airnav.com/airport/CN19>.
25 Accessed: February 26, 2021.
- 26 BNSF Railway. 2021a. *BNSF Railway Energy*. Available: [https://www.bnsf.com/ship-with-
27 bnsf/energy/index.html](https://www.bnsf.com/ship-with-bnsf/energy/index.html)www.bnsf.com/. Accessed: October 18, 2021.
- 28 BNSF Railway. 2021b. *Agricultural Products*. Available: [https://www.bnsf.com/ship-with-
29 bnsf/agricultural-products/index.html](https://www.bnsf.com/ship-with-bnsf/agricultural-products/index.html). Accessed: October 18, 2021.
- 30 California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection. 2007. *Draft Fire Hazard Severity Zones in
31 Local Responsibility Area*. State of California. September 17.
- 32 California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection. 2019. 2019 Fire Season. State of California.
33 Available: <https://www.fire.ca.gov/incidents/2019/>. Accessed: October 18, 2021
- 34 California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. 2021. Health Effects of Diesel
35 Exhaust. Available: [https://oehha.ca.gov/air/health-effects-diesel-exhaust#:~:text=
36 Diesel%20exhaust%20can%20irritate%20the,such%20as%20dust%20and%20pollen](https://oehha.ca.gov/air/health-effects-diesel-exhaust#:~:text=Diesel%20exhaust%20can%20irritate%20the,such%20as%20dust%20and%20pollen).
37 Accessed: October 29, 2021.

- 1 California State University. n.d. *Understanding Fire*. Available: <https://www2.calstate.edu/csusystem/news/Pages/understanding-fire.aspx>. Accessed: October 29, 2021.
- 2
- 3 Central California Traction Company. n.d. *Central California Traction Company*.
4 Available: <http://www.cctrailroad.com/>. Accessed: May 10, 2020.
- 5 Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2008. *Amendments to the Water Quality
6 Control Plan for the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins for the Control of
7 Methylmercury and Total Mercury in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary—Staff Report*.
8 Draft Report for Public Review. February. Prepared by: M. Wood, J. Cooke, P. Morris, S. Louie,
9 and D. Bosworth.
- 10 City of West Sacramento. 2021. *Port of West Sacramento*.
11 Available: [https://www.cityofwestsacramento.org/government/departments/
12 city-manager-s-office/port-of-west-sacramento](https://www.cityofwestsacramento.org/government/departments/city-manager-s-office/port-of-west-sacramento). Accessed: October 29, 2021.
- 13 County of Contra Costa. 2000. *Contra Costa County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan*. December.
14 Available: [https://www.contracosta.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/857/Byron-Airport-
15 Policies?bidId=](https://www.contracosta.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/857/Byron-Airport-Policies?bidId=). Accessed: February 26, 2021.
- 16 County of Sacramento 2020. Office of Emergency Services. Emergency Services. Available:
17 <https://sacoes.saccounty.net/Pages/default.aspx>. Accessed: November 4, 2020.
- 18 County of San Joaquin. 2019. *County of San Joaquin Emergency Operations Plan*. Office of Emergency
19 Services. April.
- 20 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft
21 Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 22 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft
23 Engineering Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 24 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022c. Soil Balance (Final Draft). Technical
25 Memorandum. December 23, 2021. In Attachment H: Attachment H: Other Sitewide
26 Considerations, Volume 1: *Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and
27 Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 28 Department of Toxic Substances Control Board. 2021a. *EnviroStor Search Results*. Available:
29 <https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/map/?myaddress=Hood%2C+CA>. Accessed:
30 March 2, 2021.
- 31 Department of Toxic Substances Control Board. 2021b. EnviroStor database search: Southern Pacific
32 Pipeline Shell. Available: [https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/
33 profile_report?global_id=39460001](https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/profile_report?global_id=39460001). Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 34 Department of Toxic Substances Control Board. 2021c. EnviroStor database search: Bay Standard.
35 Available: https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/profile_report?global_id=07390028.
36 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 37 Department of Toxic Substances Control Board. 2021d. EnviroStor database search: Chevron Old
38 Valley Pipeline. Available: [https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/
39 profile_report?global_id=07460001](https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/profile_report?global_id=07460001). Accessed: February 27, 2021.

- 1 Department of Toxic Substances Control Board. 2021e. EnviroStor database search: Stockton Naval
2 Communication Station. Available: [https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/
3 profile_report?global_id=39970004](https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/profile_report?global_id=39970004). Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 4 Federal Aviation Administration. 2015. FAA Updates Airspace Obstructions Standards. Available:
5 <https://www.faa.gov/news/updates/?newsId=84336>. Accessed: February 26, 2021.
- 6 Federal Aviation Administration. 2022. FAA Wildlife Strike Database. Available:
7 <https://wildlife.faa.gov/search>. Accessed: April 20, 2022.
- 8 Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration. 2014. *Current List of Designated, Preferred, and
9 Restricted Hazardous Materials Routes*. Available: [https://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/regulations/
10 hazardous-materials/current-list-designated-preferred-and-restricted-hazardous-materials](https://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/regulations/hazardous-materials/current-list-designated-preferred-and-restricted-hazardous-materials).
11 Accessed: March 24, 2021.
- 12 Lammersville Unified School District. 2019. *2019-20 Safe Schools Plan*. District Office Mountain
13 House, CA. Available: [https://4.files.edl.io/e92b/07/30/19/224204-5da7381b-901c-4856-
14 a8ce-5232c72ea3d8.pdf](https://4.files.edl.io/e92b/07/30/19/224204-5da7381b-901c-4856-a8ce-5232c72ea3d8.pdf). Accessed: May 25, 2021.
- 15 Port of Stockton. 2022. Port of Stockton Berthing Facilities. Available:
16 <https://www.portofstockton.com/berthing-facilities/>. Accessed: April 1, 2022.
- 17 Rizzo, Denise Ellen. 2016. *Emergency Drill Tests School*. Tracy Press, March 18, 2016. Available:
18 [https://www.ttownmedia.com/tracy_press/news/emergency-drill-tests-school/
19 article_44250b60-ec98-11e5-b28f-dbe17646a6bf.html](https://www.ttownmedia.com/tracy_press/news/emergency-drill-tests-school/article_44250b60-ec98-11e5-b28f-dbe17646a6bf.html). Accessed: May 25, 2021.
- 20 Sacramento Area Council of Governments. 1988. *Franklin Field Airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan*.
21 December. Amended December 1992. Available: [https://www.sacog.org/sites/main/files/
22 file-attachments/franklin_field_clup_amend_dec_1992_-_93-016.pdf](https://www.sacog.org/sites/main/files/file-attachments/franklin_field_clup_amend_dec_1992_-_93-016.pdf). Accessed: May 13, 2021.
- 23 Sierra Northern Railway. 2020. *About Sierra Northern*. Available: www.sierranorthern.com/about.
24 Accessed: June 10, 2020.
- 25 SSA Marine. 2022. *Port of West Sacramento*. Available: [https://www.ssamarine.com/locations/port-
26 of-west-sacramento/](https://www.ssamarine.com/locations/port-of-west-sacramento/). Accessed: April 1, 2022.
- 27 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021a. GeoTracker database search: GTE Data Services
28 (Verizon). Available: [https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/
29 case_summary?global_id=T0606700290](https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/case_summary?global_id=T0606700290). Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 30 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021b. GeoTracker database search: MNTN Shop #32.
31 Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/case_summary?global_id=T0606700070.
32 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 33 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021c. GeoTracker database search: Chevron. Available:
34 https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/case_summary?global_id=T0606700331. Accessed:
35 February 27, 2021.
- 36 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021d. GeoTracker database search: Delta Shores. Available:
37 https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/case_summary?global_id=T10000000566. Accessed:
38 February 27, 2021.

- 1 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021e. GeoTracker database search: Freeport Marina.
2 Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=T0606700746.
3 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 4 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021f. GeoTracker database search: Gil's Garage. Available:
5 https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/case_summary?global_id=T0606701001. Accessed:
6 February 27, 2021.
- 7 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021g. GeoTracker database search: Govan Property.
8 Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=T0606700723.
9 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 10 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021h. GeoTracker database search: Primasing Residence.
11 Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/case_summary?global_id=T0606701025.
12 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 13 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021i. GeoTracker database search: KMEP Holt Petroleum
14 Pipeline. Available: [https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/
15 case_summary?global_id=SL205373008](https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/case_summary?global_id=SL205373008). Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 16 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021j. GeoTracker database search: Flag City Shell. Available:
17 https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=T0607736979. Accessed:
18 February 27, 2021.
- 19 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021k. GeoTracker database search: Flag City Chevron.
20 Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/case_summary?global_id=T0607700615.
21 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 22 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021l. GeoTracker database search: Three B's Truck Plaza.
23 Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=T0607700815.
24 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 25 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021m. GeoTracker database search: D&D Flying Services.
26 Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=T0601330032.
27 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 28 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021n. GeoTracker database search: Byron Garage. Available:
29 https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=T0601300799. Accessed:
30 February 27, 2021.
- 31 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021o. GeoTracker database search: King's Island. Available:
32 https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/case_summary?global_id=T0607700729. Accessed:
33 February 27, 2021.
- 34 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021p. GeoTracker database search: Chevron Texaco.
35 Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/case_summary?global_id=SL0601319412.
36 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 37 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021q. GeoTracker database search: Chevron, Holey-Byron
38 Road. Available: [https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/
39 case_summary?global_id=SL0607750659](https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/case_summary?global_id=SL0607750659). Accessed: February 27, 2021.

- 1 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021r. GeoTracker database search: Chevron, Bruns Property.
2 Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=SL205303003.
3 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 4 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021s. GeoTracker database search: Shell Pipeline – Kelso
5 Road. Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/csm_report?global_id=T10000002729.
6 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 7 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021t. GeoTracker database search: D&D Flying Services.
8 Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/case_summary?global_id=SLT5S5053744.
9 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 10 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021u. GeoTracker database search: Tiki Lagoon Resort &
11 Marina. Available: [https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/](https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=T0607700629)
12 [profile_report?global_id=T0607700629](https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=T0607700629). Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 13 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021v. GeoTracker database search: Byron Bethany Irrigation
14 District. https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/case_summary?global_id=T0601300791.
15 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 16 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021w. GeoTracker database search: Byron Power Company.
17 Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=T10000003401.
18 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 19 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021x. GeoTracker database search: Schropp Ranch.
20 Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/csm_report?global_id=T0600102299.
21 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 22 State Water Resources Control Board. 2021y. GeoTracker database search: Willow Berm Marina.
23 Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/case_summary?global_id=T0606793625.
24 Accessed: February 27, 2021.
- 25 Tanner Industries, Inc. 2011. *Anhydrous Ammonia: (MSDS) Material Safety Data Sheet*. Available:
26 <http://www.tannerind.com/anhydrous-msds.html>. Accessed: October 18, 2021
- 27 Union Pacific Railroad. n.d. *Central California Traction Company CCT #112*. Available:
28 https://www.up.com/customers/shortline/profiles_a-c/cct/index.htm. Accessed: October 29,
29 2021.
- 30 Union Pacific Railroad. 2019. *Union Pacific 2019 Building America Report*. Available:
31 [https://www.up.com/cs/groups/public/@uprr/@corprel/documents/up_pdf_natedocs/pdf_](https://www.up.com/cs/groups/public/@uprr/@corprel/documents/up_pdf_natedocs/pdf_up_2019_building_america_r.pdf)
32 [up_2019_building_america_r.pdf](https://www.up.com/cs/groups/public/@uprr/@corprel/documents/up_pdf_natedocs/pdf_up_2019_building_america_r.pdf). Accessed: March 22, 2021.
- 33 URS. 2014. *Reusable Tunnel Material Testing Report*. Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance
34 Program Standard Agreement 4600008104, Task Order WGI 14. Prepared for State of California
35 Department of Water Resources, Division of Engineering. March. Sacramento, CA.
- 36 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 2017. *Tox Town, Environmental Health Concerns and*
37 *Toxic Chemicals Where You Live, Work, and Play*. National Institutes of Health. May 31.
- 38 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2016. *Outdoor Air-Industry, Business, and Home: Oil and*
39 *Natural Gas Protection-Additional Information*. Available: [https://archive.epa.gov/airquality/](https://archive.epa.gov/airquality/community/web/html/oil-gas_addl_info.html)
40 [community/web/html/oil-gas_addl_info.html](https://archive.epa.gov/airquality/community/web/html/oil-gas_addl_info.html). Accessed: April 1, 2022.

- 1 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2019a. *Coal Ash Basics*. Available:
2 <https://www.epa.gov/coalash/coal-ash-basics>. Accessed: May 10, 2020.
- 3 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2019b. *Radiation Health Effects*. Available:
4 <https://www.epa.gov/radiation/radiation-health-effects>. Accessed: May 10, 2020.
- 5 Velocity EHS. 2014. Ethanol: Versatile, Common, and Potentially Dangerous. Available:
6 [https://www.msdsonline.com/2014/04/21/ethanol-versatile-common-and-potentially-](https://www.msdsonline.com/2014/04/21/ethanol-versatile-common-and-potentially-dangerous/)
7 [dangerous/](https://www.msdsonline.com/2014/04/21/ethanol-versatile-common-and-potentially-dangerous/). Accessed: May 10, 2020.
- 8 Wisconsin Department of Health Services. 2019. *Methane*. Available:
9 <https://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/chemical/methane.htm>. Accessed: October 18, 2021.

10 Chapter 26, Public Health

- 11 Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District. 2011. *The Alameda County Mosquito Abatement*
12 *District Control Program*. Hayward, CA.
- 13 Archibald Consulting, Palencia Consulting Engineers, and Starr Consulting. 2012. *California State*
14 *Water Project Watershed Sanitary Survey 2011 Update*. June. Prepared for State Water Project
15 Contractors Authority and the California Department of Water Resources.
- 16 California Department of Health Services and the Public Health Institute. 1999. *Short Fact Sheet on*
17 *EMF*. Oakland, CA. Available: [http://sfpl.org/pdf/about/commission/](http://sfpl.org/pdf/about/commission/emffactsheet040104.pdf)
18 [emffactsheet040104.pdf](http://sfpl.org/pdf/about/commission/emffactsheet040104.pdf). Accessed: October 26, 2021.
- 19 California Department of Public Health. 2008. *Best Management Practices for Mosquito Control on*
20 *California State Properties*. June. Available: [https://westnile.ca.gov/download.php?](https://westnile.ca.gov/download.php?download_id=996#:~:text=Eliminate%20unnecessary%20standing%20water%2C%20reduce,%2Duse%20type(s))
21 [download_id=996#:~:text=Eliminate%20unnecessary%20standing%20water%2C%20reduce,](https://westnile.ca.gov/download.php?download_id=996#:~:text=Eliminate%20unnecessary%20standing%20water%2C%20reduce,%2Duse%20type(s))
22 [%2Duse%20type\(s\)](https://westnile.ca.gov/download.php?download_id=996#:~:text=Eliminate%20unnecessary%20standing%20water%2C%20reduce,%2Duse%20type(s)). Accessed: August 17, 2021.
- 23 California Department of Public Health. 2013. *Operational Plan for Emergency Response to Mosquito-*
24 *Borne Disease Outbreaks, Supplement to California Mosquito-Borne Virus Surveillance and*
25 *Response Plan*. June. Available: [https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/OperationalPlanMosquitoDisEmergency.pdf)
26 [CDPH%20Document%20Library/OperationalPlanMosquitoDisEmergency.pdf](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/OperationalPlanMosquitoDisEmergency.pdf). Accessed:
27 November 5, 2021.
- 28 California Department of Public Health. 2016. *Guide to Important Mosquitoes in California*. Available:
29 [https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/GuidetoImp](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/GuidetoImportantMosquitoesinCA.pdf)
30 [ortantMosquitoesinCA.pdf](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/GuidetoImportantMosquitoesinCA.pdf). Accessed: May 19, 2022.
- 31 California Department of Public Health. 2019. *Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus Mosquitoes in*
32 *California—Detection Sites by County/City*. Updated May 1, 2020.
- 33 California Department of Public Health. 2021a. *Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus Mosquitoes in*
34 *California by County/City*. Available: [https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/AedesDistributionMap.pdf)
35 [CDPH%20Document%20Library/AedesDistributionMap.pdf](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/AedesDistributionMap.pdf). Accessed: August 5, 2021.
- 36 California Department of Public Health. 2021b. *Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus Mosquitoes*.
37 Available: [https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/Aedes-aegypti-and-Aedes-](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/Aedes-aegypti-and-Aedes-albopictus-mosquitoes.aspx)
38 [albopictus-mosquitoes.aspx](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/Aedes-aegypti-and-Aedes-albopictus-mosquitoes.aspx). Accessed: August 5, 2021.

- 1 California Department of Public Health and the Mosquito and Vector Control Association of
2 California. 2012. *Best Management Practices for Mosquito Control in California*. July. Available:
3 https://westnile.ca.gov/download.php?download_id=2376. Accessed: August 16, 2021.
- 4 California Department of Public Health, Mosquito and Vector Control Association of California, and
5 University of California. 2017. *California Mosquito-Borne Virus Surveillance & Response Plan*.
6 March. Available:
7 [https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/
8 2017CAMBVirusSurveillanceResponsePlan.pdf](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/2017CAMBVirusSurveillanceResponsePlan.pdf). Accessed: May 13, 2020.
- 9 California Department of Public Health, Mosquito and Vector Control Association of California, and
10 University of California. 2020. *California Mosquito-Borne Virus Surveillance & Response Plan*.
11 May. Available: [https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document
12 %20Library/CAMBVSurveillanceResponsePlan.pdf](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/CAMBVSurveillanceResponsePlan.pdf). Accessed: August 9, 2021.
- 13 California Department of Public Health, University of California, Davis Arbovirus Research and
14 Training, and Mosquito and Vector Control Association of California. 2014. *2014 West Nile Virus
15 Activity by County*. Available:
16 http://www.westnile.ca.gov/case_counts.php?year=2014&option=print. Accessed: May 13,
17 2020.
- 18 California Department of Public Health, University of California, Davis Arbovirus Research and
19 Training, and Mosquito and Vector Control Association of California. 2015. *2015 West Nile Virus
20 Activity by County*. Available:
21 http://www.westnile.ca.gov/case_counts.php?year=2015&option=print. Accessed: May 13,
22 2020.
- 23 California Department of Public Health, University of California, Davis Arbovirus Research and
24 Training, and Mosquito and Vector Control Association of California. 2016. *2016 West Nile Virus
25 Activity by County*. Available: [http://www.westnile.ca.gov/case_counts.php?year=2016&option=
26 print](http://www.westnile.ca.gov/case_counts.php?year=2016&option=print). Accessed: May 13, 2020.
- 27 California Department of Public Health, University of California, Davis Arbovirus Research and
28 Training, and Mosquito and Vector Control Association of California. 2017. *2017 West Nile Virus
29 Activity in County*. Available: [http://www.westnile.ca.gov/
30 case_counts.php?year=2017&option=print](http://www.westnile.ca.gov/case_counts.php?year=2017&option=print). Accessed: May 13, 2020.
- 31 California Department of Public Health, University of California, Davis Arbovirus Research and
32 Training, and Mosquito and Vector Control Association of California. 2018. *2018 West Nile Virus
33 Activity in County*. Available: [http://www.westnile.ca.gov/
34 case_counts.php?year=2018&option=print](http://www.westnile.ca.gov/case_counts.php?year=2018&option=print). Accessed: May 13, 2020.
- 35 California Department of Water Resources. 2016. *Aquatic Pesticides Application Plan—Statewide
36 General National Discharge Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit for the Discharge of
37 Aquatic Pesticides for Aquatic Weed Control in Waters of the United States*. Water Quality Order
38 2013-0002-DWQ. May 18. Environmental Assessment Branch, Sacramento, CA.
- 39 California Department of Water Resources. 2018. *Water Resources Engineering Memorandum No.
40 10b*. January 1.

- 1 California Energy Commission. 2017. *Critical Infrastructure GIS Data: Transmission Line*. Available:
2 <https://cecgis-caenergy.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/california-electric-transmission-lines>.
3 Accessed: March 25, 2021.
- 4 California Health and Human Services Agency and California Department of Public Health. 2015.
5 *Dengue Fact Sheet*. Available:
6 [https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/DengueFact](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/DengueFactSheet.pdf)
7 [Sheet.pdf](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/DengueFactSheet.pdf). Accessed: March 25, 2022.
- 8 California Health and Human Services Agency and California Department of Public Health. 2016.
9 *Chikungunya Fact Sheet*. Available: [https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/ChikungunyaFactSheet.pdf)
10 [CDPH%20Document%20Library/ChikungunyaFactSheet.pdf](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/ChikungunyaFactSheet.pdf). Accessed: November 3, 2021.
- 11 California Health and Human Services Agency and California Department of Public Health. n.d.
12 *Mosquito-Borne Encephalitis/Encephalomyelitis*. Available:
13 [https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/MBEFactShe](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/MBEFactSheet.pdf)
14 [et.pdf](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/MBEFactSheet.pdf). Accessed: May 20, 2022.
- 15 California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and California Environmental
16 Protection Agency. 2018. *Fish Advisory Fact Sheet*. Available: [https://oehha.ca.gov/media/](https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/fish/fact-sheet/fishadvisoryfact112118.pdf)
17 [downloads/fish/fact-sheet/fishadvisoryfact112118.pdf](https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/fish/fact-sheet/fishadvisoryfact112118.pdf). Accessed: August 18, 2021.
- 18 California Public Utilities Commission. 2006a. Decision 06-01-042. Opinion on Commission Policies
19 Addressing Electromagnetic Fields Emanating from Regulated Utility Facilities. January 26.
20 Available: https://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PUBLISHED/FINAL_DECISION/53181.htm. Accessed: May
21 20, 2022.
- 22 California Public Utilities Commission. 2006b. *EMF Design Guidelines for Electrical Facilities*. July 21.
- 23 California Public Utilities Commission. 2021. *What are EMFs?* Available: [https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/](https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/electrical-energy/infrastructure/permitting-and-environmental-review/electric-magnetic-fields/what-are-emfs)
24 [industries-and-topics/electrical-energy/infrastructure/permitting-and-environmental-review/](https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/electrical-energy/infrastructure/permitting-and-environmental-review/electric-magnetic-fields/what-are-emfs)
25 [electric-magnetic-fields/what-are-emfs](https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/electrical-energy/infrastructure/permitting-and-environmental-review/electric-magnetic-fields/what-are-emfs). Accessed: October 24, 2021.
- 26 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2019. *Yellow Fever—Symptoms, Diagnosis, & Treatment*.
27 Available: <https://www.cdc.gov/yellowfever/symptoms/index.html>. Accessed: August 4, 2021.
- 28 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2020. *About Malaria*. March. Available:
29 <https://www.cdc.gov/malaria/about/index.html>. Accessed: October 24, 2021.
- 30 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2021a. *St. Louis Encephalitis—Transmission*. Updated
31 March 3. Available: <https://www.cdc.gov/sle/transmission/index.html>. Accessed: October 26,
32 2021.
- 33 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2021b. *St. Louis Encephalitis—Symptoms, Diagnosis, &*
34 *Treatment*. Available: <https://www.cdc.gov/sle/symptoms/index.html>. Accessed: August 18,
35 2021.
- 36 Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2010. *Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Estuary*
37 *TMDL for Methylmercury*. Staff Report. April. Rancho Cordova, CA. Available:
38 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/delta_hg/archived_delta_hg_info/april_2010_hg_tmdl_hearing/apr2010_tmdl_staffrpt_final.pdf)
39 [delta_hg/archived_delta_hg_info/april_2010_hg_tmdl_hearing/apr2010_tmdl_staffrpt_final.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/delta_hg/archived_delta_hg_info/april_2010_hg_tmdl_hearing/apr2010_tmdl_staffrpt_final.pdf).
40 Accessed: September 15, 2021.

- 1 Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2011. *Mercury Inventory in the Cache Creek*
2 *Canyon—Bear Creek Confluence to Rumsey*. Staff Report. March. Prepared by Dan Little and Chris
3 Foe.
- 4 Contra Costa Mosquito and Vector Control District. 2021. *23 Mosquito Species of Contra Costa County*.
5 Available: https://www.contracostamosquito.com/23_mosquito_species.htm. Accessed: August
6 4, 2021.
- 7 County of Santa Cruz Environmental Health Department. 2021. *Most Important Mosquito Species in*
8 *Santa Cruz County*. Available:
9 [http://scceh.com/Home/Programs/ConsumerProtectionPrograms/RodentInsectControl/Mosq](http://scceh.com/Home/Programs/ConsumerProtectionPrograms/RodentInsectControl/MosquitoSpecies.aspx)
10 [uitoSpecies.aspx](http://scceh.com/Home/Programs/ConsumerProtectionPrograms/RodentInsectControl/MosquitoSpecies.aspx). Accessed: November 10, 2021.
- 11 Cuda, J. P. n.d. *Aquatic Plants, Mosquitoes and Public Health*. University of Florida, Gainesville, FL.
12 Available: [http://aquatics.org/bmpchapters/1.5%20Aquatic%20Plants,%20Mosquitoes%20](http://aquatics.org/bmpchapters/1.5%20Aquatic%20Plants,%20Mosquitoes%20and%20Public%20Health.pdf)
13 [and%20Public%20Health.pdf](http://aquatics.org/bmpchapters/1.5%20Aquatic%20Plants,%20Mosquitoes%20and%20Public%20Health.pdf). Accessed: August 6, 2021.
- 14 Davis, J., L. McKee, T. Jabusch, D. Yee, and J. Ross. 2014. *PCBs in San Francisco Bay: Assessment of the*
15 *Current State of Knowledge and Priority Information Gaps*. Final RMP Technical Report.
16 September. Regional Monitoring Program for Water Quality in the San Francisco Bay. San
17 Francisco Estuary Institute. Available:
18 [https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/biblio_files/Davis%20et%20al%202014%20PCB%20](https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/biblio_files/Davis%20et%20al%202014%20PCB%20Synthesis_1.pdf)
19 [Synthesis_1.pdf](https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/biblio_files/Davis%20et%20al%202014%20PCB%20Synthesis_1.pdf). Accessed: November 5, 2021.
- 20 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022. Intakes River Sediment Analysis—
21 North Delta Intakes (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment A: Intakes, *Volume 1:*
22 *Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May
23 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 24 Desmarais, T. R., H. M. Solo-Gabriele, and C. J. Palmer. 2001. Influence of soil on fecal indicator
25 organisms in a tidally influenced subtropical environment. *Applied and Environmental*
26 *Microbiology* 68(3):1165–1172.
- 27 de Vlaming, V. 2008. *Organochlorine Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) Concentrations in*
28 *Muscle Tissue of Fish Collected from the San Joaquin River and Sacramento River Watersheds and*
29 *Delta during 2005*. March. School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California, Davis. Davis,
30 CA. Prepared for Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, Rancho Cordova, CA.
- 31 Endo, N., A. Kiszewski, and E. A. B. Eltahir. 2015. Laboratory Experiments on Stranding of *Anopheles*
32 Larvae under Different Shoreline Environmental Conditions. *Parasites & Vectors* 8
33 (1):38.
- 34 Evanson, M., and R. F. Ambrose. 2006. Sources and growth dynamics of fecal indicator bacteria in a
35 coastal wetland system and potential impacts to adjacent waters. *Water Research* 40:475–486.
- 36 Fleck, J. A., M. S. Fram, and R. Fujii. 2007. Organic Carbon and Disinfection Byproduct Precursor
37 Loads from a Constructed, Non-Tidal Wetland in California’s Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta. *San*
38 *Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 5(2). Available:
39 <http://repositories.cdlib.org/jmie/sfews/vol5/iss2/art1>. Accessed: December 10, 2021.

- 1 Fleming, E. J., E. E. Mack, P. G. Green, and D. C. Nelson. 2006. Mercury methylation from unexpected
2 sources: molybdate-inhibited freshwater sediments and an iron-reducing bacterium. *Applied*
3 *and Environmental Microbiology* 72(1):457–464. Available:
4 <https://aem.asm.org/content/aem/72/1/457.full.pdf>. Accessed: May 11, 2021.
- 5 Gagala, I., and J. Mankiewicz-Boczek. 2012. The natural degradation of microcystins (*cyanobacterial*
6 *hepatotoxins*) in fresh water—the future of modern treatment systems and water quality
7 improvement. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies* 21(5):1125–1139.
- 8 Goodard, L. B., A. E. Roth, W. K. Reisen, and T. W. Scott. 2002. Vector Competence of California
9 Mosquitoes for West Nile Virus. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 8(12):1385–1390.
- 10 Grant, S. B., B. F. Sanders, A. B. Boehm, J. A. Redman, J. H. Kim, R. D. Mrše, A. K. Chu, M. Gouldin, C. D.
11 McGee, N. A. Gardiner, B. H. Jones, J. Svejksky, G. V. Leipzig, and A. Brown. 2001. Generation of
12 *Enterococci* Bacteria in a Coastal Saltwater Marsh and Its Impact on Surf Zone Water Quality.
13 *Environmental Science and Technology* 35(12):2407–2416.
- 14 Habash, M., P. Gogna, D. Krewski, and R. W. Y. Habash. 2019. Scoping Review of the Potential Health
15 Effects of Exposure to Extremely Low-Frequency Electric and Magnetic Fields. Abstract. *Critical*
16 *Reviews in Biomedical Engineering* 47:323–347. Available:
17 [https://www.dl.begellhouse.com/journals/4b27cbfc562e21b8,3a2b605d4aa0d999,3e1243d32](https://www.dl.begellhouse.com/journals/4b27cbfc562e21b8,3a2b605d4aa0d999,3e1243d327c893a.html#)
18 [7c893a.html#](https://www.dl.begellhouse.com/journals/4b27cbfc562e21b8,3a2b605d4aa0d999,3e1243d327c893a.html#). Accessed: May 20, 2022.
- 19 Hong, Y., Y. Kim, and K. Lee. 2012. Methylmercury exposure and health effects. *Journal of Preventive*
20 *Medicine & Public Health* 45:353–363.
- 21 Kibret, S., G. G. Wilson, D. Ryder, H. Tekie, and B. Petros. 2018. Can water-level management reduce
22 malaria mosquito abundance around large dams in sub-Saharan Africa? *PLOS ONE* 13(4):
23 e0196064. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196064>.
- 24 Kramer, V. L., J. N. Collins, and C. Beesley. 1992. Reduction of salt marsh mosquitoes by enhancing
25 tidal action. Contra Costa Mosquito Abatement District. Concord, CA. *Proceedings of the*
26 *California Mosquito and Vector Control District 60th Annual Conference* 60:21–25.
- 27 Kramer, V. L., J. N. Collins, K. Malamud-Roam, and C. Beesley. 1995. Reduction of *Aedes dorsalis* by
28 enhancing tidal action in a Northern California marsh. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control*
29 *Association* 11(4):389–395.
- 30 Kwasny, D. C., M. Wolder, and C. R. Isola. 2004. *Technical Guide to Best Management Practices for*
31 *Mosquito Control in Managed Wetlands*. June. Sacramento, CA. Prepared for Central Valley Joint
32 Venture, Sacramento, CA.
- 33 Larry Walker Associates. 2018. *Delta Regional Monitoring Program—Pathogen Study Final Report*.
34 October. Available: [https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/programs/drmp/drmp-reports/](https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/programs/drmp/drmp-reports/drmp_path_study_1517.pdf)
35 [drmp_path_study_1517.pdf](https://www.sfei.org/sites/default/files/programs/drmp/drmp-reports/drmp_path_study_1517.pdf). Accessed: May 10, 2020.
- 36 Lehman, P. W., K. Marr, G. L. Boyer, S. Acuna, and S. J. Teh. 2013. Long-term trends and causal factors
37 associated with *Microcystis* abundance and toxicity in San Francisco estuary and implications for
38 climate change impacts. *Hydrobiologia* 718(1):141–158.

- 1 Lehman, P., T. Kurobe, S. Lesmeister, D. Baxa, A. Tung, and S. J. Teh. 2017. Impacts of the 2014 severe
2 drought on the *Microcystis* bloom in San Francisco estuary. *Harmful Algae* 63:94–108. Available:
3 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1568988316302177>. Accessed:
4 November 5, 2021.
- 5 Marin/Sonoma Mosquito and Vector Control District. 2021. *Featured Mosquitos: The Pale Marsh*
6 *Mosquito (Ochlerotatus dorsalis)*. Available: <http://www.msosquito.com/adorsals.html>.
7 Accessed: April 30, 2021.
- 8 Massey, I., F. Yang, Z. Ding, S. Yang, J. Guo, C. Tezi, M. Al-Osman, R. Kamegni, and W. Zeng. 2018.
9 Exposure Routes and Health Effects of Microcystins on Animals and Humans: A Mini-Review.
10 *Toxicon* 151:156–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2018.07.010>.
- 11 Mosquito and Vector Control Association of California. 2021. *Yellow Fever Mosquito Detected in San*
12 *Joaquin County*. Available: [https://www.mvacac.org/yellow-fever-mosquito-detected-in-san-](https://www.mvacac.org/yellow-fever-mosquito-detected-in-san-joaquin-county/)
13 [joaquin-county/](https://www.mvacac.org/yellow-fever-mosquito-detected-in-san-joaquin-county/). Accessed: August 5, 2021.
- 14 Napa County Mosquito Abatement District. 2006. *Mosquitoes*. Available:
15 [https://web.archive.org/web/20061209090735/http://www.napamosquito.org/](https://web.archive.org/web/20061209090735/http://www.napamosquito.org/Mosquitos/mosquito1.htm)
16 [Mosquitos/mosquito1.htm](https://web.archive.org/web/20061209090735/http://www.napamosquito.org/Mosquitos/mosquito1.htm). Accessed: November 6, 2021.
- 17 National Cancer Institute. n.d. *Electromagnetic Fields and Cancer*. National Institutes of Health.
18 Available: [https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/radiation/](https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/radiation/electromagnetic-fields-fact-sheet)
19 [electromagnetic-fields-fact-sheet](https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/radiation/electromagnetic-fields-fact-sheet). Accessed: June 1, 2021.
- 20 National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and National Institutes of Health. 2002. *EMF*
21 *Questions and Answers: Electric and Magnetic Fields Associated with the Use of Electric Power*.
22 June. Washington, D.C.
- 23 National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. 2014. *EMFs in the Workplace*. Available:
24 <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/96-129/default.html>. Accessed: November 3, 2021.
- 25 Occupational Safety and Health Administration. n.d. *Extremely Low Frequency (ELF) Radiation*. U.S.
26 Department of Labor. Available: <https://www.osha.gov/elf-radiation>. Accessed: March 1, 2022.
- 27 Philip Williams and Associates, Ltd., and P. Faber. 2004. *Design Guidelines for Tidal Wetland*
28 *Restoration in San Francisco Bay*. Oakland, CA: The Bay Institute and California State Coastal
29 Conservancy. Available: [http://tidalmarshmonitoring.net/pdf/PhillipWilliams2004_](http://tidalmarshmonitoring.net/pdf/PhillipWilliams2004_DesignGuidelinesTidalWetlandRestorationSanFranciscoBay.pdf)
30 [DesignGuidelinesTidalWetlandRestorationSanFranciscoBay.pdf](http://tidalmarshmonitoring.net/pdf/PhillipWilliams2004_DesignGuidelinesTidalWetlandRestorationSanFranciscoBay.pdf). Accessed: August 19, 2021.
- 31 Pond, K. 2005. *Water Recreation and Disease—Plausibility of Associated Infections: Acute Effects,*
32 *Sequelae and Mortality*. Available: [https://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/bathing/](https://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/bathing/recreadis.pdf)
33 [recreadis.pdf](https://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/bathing/recreadis.pdf). Accessed: November 5, 2021.
- 34 Reiter, P. 2001. Climate Change and Mosquito-Borne Disease. *Environmental Health Perspectives*
35 109(suppl 1):141–161.
- 36 Rocklöv, J., and R. Dubrow. 2020. Climate change: an enduring challenge for vector-borne disease
37 prevention and control. *Nature Immunology* 21(May):479–483.
- 38 Ronca, S. E., J. C. Ruff, and K. O. Murray. 2021. A 20-year historical review of West Nile virus since its
39 initial emergence in North America: has West Nile virus become a neglected tropical disease?
40 *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 15(5):1–20.

- 1 Sacramento–Yolo Mosquito and Vector Control District. 2008. *Mosquito Reduction Best Management*
2 *Practices*. Available: <https://www.fightthebite.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/symvcd->
3 [bmp-manual-english.pdf](https://www.fightthebite.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/symvcd-bmp-manual-english.pdf). Accessed: November 5, 2021.
- 4 San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2018. *Establish Water Quality Objectives*
5 *and a Total Maximum Daily Load for Dissolved Oxygen in Suisun Marsh and Add Suisun Marsh to*
6 *SF Bay Mercury TMDL*. Staff Report for Proposed Basin Plan Amendment. April 11. Oakland, CA.
- 7 San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2019. *San Francisco Bay Basin (Region 2)*
8 *Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan)*. November 5. Oakland, CA. Available:
9 <https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/>
10 [water_issues/programs/planningtmdls/basinplan/web/docs/ADA_compliant/BP_all_chapters.](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/water_issues/programs/planningtmdls/basinplan/web/docs/ADA_compliant/BP_all_chapters.pdf)
11 [pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/water_issues/programs/planningtmdls/basinplan/web/docs/ADA_compliant/BP_all_chapters.pdf). Accessed: May 10, 2021.
- 12 San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2020. *San Francisco Bay Mercury TMDL*.
13 Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/water_issues/programs/TMDLs/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/water_issues/programs/TMDLs/sfbaymercurytmdl.html)
14 [sfbaymercurytmdl.html](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/water_issues/programs/TMDLs/sfbaymercurytmdl.html). Accessed: November 4, 2021.
- 15 Sejvar, J. J. 2014. Clinical manifestations and outcomes of West Nile virus infection. *Viruses* 6:606–
16 623. Available: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3939474/pdf/viruses-06-](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3939474/pdf/viruses-06-00606.pdf)
17 [00606.pdf](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3939474/pdf/viruses-06-00606.pdf). Accessed: May 13, 2020.
- 18 Semple, K. T., K. J. Doick, K. C. Jones, P. Burauel, A. Craven, and H. Harms. 2004. Defining
19 Bioavailability and Bioaccessibility of Contaminated Soil and Sediment Is Complicated.
20 *Environmental Science and Technology* 38(12):228A–231A.
- 21 Simon, L. V., M. F. Hashmi, and M. A. Fischer. 2020. *Western Equine Encephalitis*. Last updated:
22 February 2020. Available: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK470228/>. Accessed: May
23 13, 2020.
- 24 Solano County Mosquito Abatement District. 2005a. *Mosquito Notes: Pale Marsh Mosquito*. Fairfield,
25 CA.
- 26 Solano County Mosquito Abatement District. 2005b. *Solano County Mosquito Abatement District:*
27 *Mosquito Notes: California Salt Marsh Mosquito*. Fairfield, CA.
- 28 State Water Resources Control Board. 2017. California 2014 and 2016 303(d) list (Excel file
29 including potential sources). October. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/tmdl/integrated2014_2016.shtml)
30 [water_issues/programs/tmdl/integrated2014_2016.shtml](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/tmdl/integrated2014_2016.shtml). Accessed: May 10, 2020.
- 31 Sutter–Yuba Mosquito and Vector Control District. 2020. *Rabies*. Available: <https://www.Sutter->
32 [Yubamvcd.org/rabies](https://www.Sutter-Yubamvcd.org/rabies). Accessed: May 10, 2020.
- 33 Sutter–Yuba Mosquito and Vector Control District. 2022a. *Hantavirus*. Available:
34 [https://www.Sutter–Yubamvcd.org/hantavirus](https://www.Sutter-Yubamvcd.org/hantavirus). Accessed: June 1, 2022.
- 35 Sutter–Yuba Mosquito and Vector Control District. 2022b. *Plague*. Available: <https://www.sutter->
36 [yubamvcd.org/plague](https://www.sutter-yubamvcd.org/plague). Accessed: June 1, 2022.
- 37 Tetra Tech. 2007. *Conceptual Model for Pathogens and Pathogen Indicators in the Central Valley and*
38 *Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta*. August. Lafayette, CA. Prepared for the Central Valley Drinking
39 Water Policy Workgroup.

- 1 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2005. *Stormwater Structures & Mosquitoes*. EPA 833-F-05-
2 003. August. Available: [https://www.cherokeega.com/Stormwater-Management/_resources/
3 documents/2017Stormwater_Mosquitos.pdf](https://www.cherokeega.com/Stormwater-Management/_resources/documents/2017Stormwater_Mosquitos.pdf). Accessed: August 2, 2021.
- 4 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2019a. *Exposure to CyanoHABs*. Available:
5 <https://www.epa.gov/cyanoHABs/exposure-cyanoHABs>. Accessed: November 9, 2020.
- 6 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2019b. *Cyanobacteria and Cyanotoxins: Information for
7 Drinking Water Systems*. EPA-810F11001. June.
8 Available [https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2019-
9 07/documents/cyanobacteria_and_cyanotoxins_fact_sheet_for_pws_final_06282019.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2019-07/documents/cyanobacteria_and_cyanotoxins_fact_sheet_for_pws_final_06282019.pdf).
10 Accessed: November 4, 2021.
- 11 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2019c. *Recommended Human Health Recreational Ambient
12 Water Quality Criteria or Swimming Advisories for Microcystins and Cylindrospermopsin*. EPA
13 822-R-19-001. Available: [https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2019-05/documents/
14 hh-rec-criteria-habs-document-2019.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2019-05/documents/hh-rec-criteria-habs-document-2019.pdf). Accessed: March 11, 2021.
- 15 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2020. *Basic Information about Mercury*. Last updated:
16 September 29, 2020. Available: [https://www.epa.gov/mercury/basic-information-about-
17 mercury#:~:text=Mercury%20is%20a%20naturally%20occurring,its%20atomic%20number%
18 20is%2080.&text=Inorganic%20mercury%20compounds,Methylmercury%20and%20other%2
19 0organic%20compounds](https://www.epa.gov/mercury/basic-information-about-mercury#:~:text=Mercury%20is%20a%20naturally%20occurring,its%20atomic%20number%20is%2080.&text=Inorganic%20mercury%20compounds,Methylmercury%20and%20other%20organic%20compounds). Accessed: May 11, 2021.
- 20 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021. *Climate Change Indicators: West Nile Virus*. Available:
21 <https://www.epa.gov/climate-indicators/climate-change-indicators-west-nile-virus>. Accessed:
22 November 4, 2021.
- 23 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1992. *Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge Project, Sacramento County,
24 CA*. Final Environmental Impact Statement. (JSA 91-047). With technical assistance provided by
25 Jones & Stokes Associates, Inc. Sacramento, CA.
- 26 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. *Advice about Eating Fish for Women Who Are or Might
27 Become Pregnant, Breastfeeding Mothers, and Young Children*. Available:
28 <https://www.fda.gov/media/129959/download>. Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- 29 Verdonchot, P. F. M., and A. A. Besse-Lototskaya. 2014. Flight Distance of Mosquitoes (*Culicidae*): A
30 Metadata Analysis to Support the Management of Barrier Zones around Rewetted and Newly
31 Constructed Wetlands. *Limnologica* 45(2014):69–79. Available: [https://reader.elsevier.com/
32 reader/sd/pii/S0075951113001011?token=CF7C05C8418F49352D421442534BEA5833DAE1
33 91FA4D488BFB97F4B83D41E016DD173E1B9A23D63D9E81B878420A723F&originRegion=us
34 -east-1&originCreation=20210803000659](https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S0075951113001011?token=CF7C05C8418F49352D421442534BEA5833DAE191FA4D488BFB97F4B83D41E016DD173E1B9A23D63D9E81B878420A723F&originRegion=us-east-1&originCreation=20210803000659). Accessed: August 2, 2021.
- 35 Walton, W. E. 2003. *Managing Mosquitoes in Surface-Flow Constructed Treatment Wetlands*.
36 Publication 8117. Available: <https://anrcatalog.ucanr.edu/pdf/8117.pdf>. Accessed: August 2,
37 2021.
- 38 Water Education Foundation. 2022. *Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Water Distribution*. Available:
39 <https://www.watereducation.org/aquapedia/sacramento-san-joaquin-delta-water-distribution>.
40 Accessed: May 20, 2022.

- 1 World Health Organization. 2016a. *Radiation: Electromagnetic Fields—What Are Electromagnetic*
2 *Fields and Where do They Come From?* Available: [https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-](https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/radiation-electromagnetic-fields)
3 [and-answers/item/radiation-electromagnetic-fields](https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/radiation-electromagnetic-fields). Accessed: March 1, 2022.
- 4 World Health Organization. 2016b. *Radiation: Electromagnetic Fields—What Happens When You Are*
5 *Exposed to Electromagnetic Fields*. Available: [https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-](https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/radiation-electromagnetic-fields)
6 [answers/item/radiation-electromagnetic-fields](https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/radiation-electromagnetic-fields). Accessed: June 1, 2022.

7 Chapter 27, Mineral Resources

- 8 California Department of Conservation. 2014. Well Count and Production of Oil, Gas and Water by
9 County—2014. Available:
10 [https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.as](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.aspx)
11 [px](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.aspx). Accessed: June 7, 2020.
- 12 California Department of Conservation. 2015. Well Count and Production of Oil, Gas and Water by
13 County—2015. Available:
14 [https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.as](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.aspx)
15 [px](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.aspx). Accessed: June 7, 2020.
- 16 California Department of Conservation. 2016. Well Count and Production of Oil, Gas and Water by
17 County—2016. Available:
18 [https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.as](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.aspx)
19 [px](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.aspx). Accessed: June 7, 2020.
- 20 California Department of Conservation. 2017. Well Count and Production of Oil, Gas and Water by
21 County—2017. Available:
22 [https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.as](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.aspx)
23 [px](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.aspx). Accessed: June 7, 2020.
- 24 California Department of Conservation. 2018. Well Count and Production of Oil, Gas and Water by
25 County—2018. Available:
26 [https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.as](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.aspx)
27 [px](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.aspx). Accessed: June 7, 2020.
- 28 California Department of Conservation. 2020. *2019 Annual Report of the State Oil and Gas Supervisor*.
29 California Department of Conservation California Geologic Energy Management Division, PR03,
30 October 2020. Available: [https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.aspx)
31 [Pages/annual_reports.aspx](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/pubs_stats/annual_reports/Pages/annual_reports.aspx). Accessed: November 13, 2021.
- 32 California Department of Conservation, State Mining and Geology Board. 2009. *Guidelines for*
33 *Classification and Designation of Mineral Lands*. Special Publication 51. Available:
34 <http://www.conservation.ca.gov/smgb/Guidelines/Documents/ClassDesig.pdf>. Accessed:
35 June 7, 2020.
- 36 California Public Utilities Commission. 2021. *Natural Gas and California*. Available:
37 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/natural_gas/. Accessed: November 7, 2021.

- 1 Clinkenbeard, J. P., and F. W. Gius. 2018. *Map Sheet 52: Aggregate Sustainability in California*.
2 Updated 2018. Department of Conservation: California Geological Survey. Available:
3 https://www.calcima.org/files/MS52_Ca_Ag_Report_201807.pdf. Accessed: June 7, 2020.
- 4 County of Sacramento. 2017. Conservation Element. In *Sacramento County General Plan*. Amended
5 September 26, 2017. Available: [https://planning.saccounty.net/LandUseRegulationDocuments/
6 Documents/General-Plan/Conservation%20Element%20-%20Amended%2009-26-17.pdf](https://planning.saccounty.net/LandUseRegulationDocuments/Documents/General-Plan/Conservation%20Element%20-%20Amended%2009-26-17.pdf).
7 Accessed: June 7, 2020.
- 8 County of San Joaquin. 2016. 3.4: Natural and Cultural Resources Element. In *San Joaquin County*
9 *General Plan Policy Document*. December 2016. Available: [https://www.sjgov.org/commdev/
10 cgi-bin/cdyn.exe/file/Planning/General%20Plan%202035/GENERAL%20PLAN%202035.pdf](https://www.sjgov.org/commdev/cgi-bin/cdyn.exe/file/Planning/General%20Plan%202035/GENERAL%20PLAN%202035.pdf).
11 Accessed: June 7, 2020.
- 12 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022. Unpublished Aggregate Quantities Data.
13 Data received February 8, 2022, via Chapter 27, Minerals, Request for Data and Information
14 from DWR for Responding to Comments, January 31.
- 15 Marquis, G. 2021. *California Non-Fuel Mineral Production 2018*. Available:
16 [https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Minerals/california-non-fuel-mineral-
17 production-2018.pdf](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Minerals/california-non-fuel-mineral-production-2018.pdf). Accessed: April 2, 2021.
- 18 O’Neal, M. D., and F. W. Gius. 2018. *Mineral Land Classification: Concrete Aggregate in the Greater*
19 *Sacramento Area Production-Consumption Region*. California Geological Survey Special Report
20 245. Available: [https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Special-
21 Reports/SR_245-MLC-SacramentoPCR-2018-Report-a11y.pdf](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Special-Reports/SR_245-MLC-SacramentoPCR-2018-Report-a11y.pdf). Accessed: June 7, 2020.
- 22 Ritzius, D. E. 1993. *California Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources—An Introduction*. California
23 Department of Conservation Publication No. TR03, 5th edition. Available:
24 https://www.conservation.ca.gov/dog/pubs_stats/Pages/general_info.aspx. Accessed: June 7,
25 2020.
- 26 Smith, J. D., and J. P. Clinkenbeard. 2012. *Update of Mineral Land Classification for Portland Cement*
27 *Concrete-Grade Aggregate in the Stockton-Lodi Production-Consumption Region, San Joaquin and*
28 *Stanislaus Counties*. California Geological Survey Special Report 199. Available:
29 [https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Special-Reports/SR_199-MLC-
30 Report.pdf](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Special-Reports/SR_199-MLC-Report.pdf). Accessed: June 7, 2020.
- 31 U.S. Geological Survey. 2020. *Mineral Commodity Summaries 2020*. Available:
32 <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2020/mcs2020.pdf>. Accessed: April 2, 2021.

33 Chapter 28, Paleontological Resources

- 34 Atwater, B. F. 1982. Geologic Maps of the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta, California: U.S. Geological
35 Survey. Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1401, scale 1:24,000. Reston, VA.
- 36 California Department of Transportation. 2014. Chapter 8, Paleontology. In *Standard Environmental*
37 *Reference (SER)*. Volume 1. Available: [https://dot.ca.gov/programs/environmental-analysis/
38 standard-environmental-reference-ser/volume-1-guidance-for-compliance/ch-8-paleontology](https://dot.ca.gov/programs/environmental-analysis/standard-environmental-reference-ser/volume-1-guidance-for-compliance/ch-8-paleontology).
39 Accessed: August 9, 2021.

- 1 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. Soil Balance (Final Draft). Technical
2 Memorandum. In Attachment H: Other Sitewide Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance*
3 *Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 4 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. Soil Balance and Reusable Tunnel
5 Material Supplement—Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In
6 Attachment: Technical Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project*
7 *Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 8 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022c. Conceptual Design Phase Seismic Site
9 Response Analysis (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment H: Other Sitewide
10 Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and*
11 *Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 12 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022d. Liquefaction and Ground
13 Improvement Analysis (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. In Attachment H: Other Sitewide
14 Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering Project Report—Central and*
15 *Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 16 Dundas, R. G., R. B. Smith, and K. L. Verosub. 1996. The Fairmead Landfill Locality (Pleistocene,
17 Irvingtonian), Madera County, California: Preliminary Report and Significance. *PaleoBios* 17(2-
18 4):50–58.
- 19 Florsheim, J. L., and J. F. Mount. 2003. Changes in Lowland Floodplain Sedimentation Processes:
20 Pre-Disturbance to Post-Rehabilitation, Cosumnes River, California. *Geomorphology* 56:305–323.
- 21 Helley, E. J., and D. S. Harwood. 1985. *Geologic Map of the Late Cenozoic Deposits of the Sacramento*
22 *Valley and Northern Sierran Foothills, California*: U.S. Geological Survey. Miscellaneous Field
23 Studies Map MF-1790, scale 1:62,500, 5 sheets. Last revised: October 22, 2007.
24 Available: https://pubs.usgs.gov/mf/1985/1790/mf1790_pamphlet.pdf. Accessed: February 16,
25 2021.
- 26 Maier, K. L., E. Gatti, E. Wan, D. J. Ponti, J. C. Tinsley, S. W. Starratt, J. Hillhouse, M. Pagenkopp, H. A.
27 Olson, D. Burt, C. M. Rosa, and T. L. Holzer. 2013. Significance of Widespread Quaternary
28 Volcanic Ash (tephra) in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California. American Geophysical
29 Union, Fall Meeting 2013, abstract id. V13D-2637. December.
- 30 National Park Service. 2021. *National Natural Landmarks Directory*. Last revised: January 19, 2021.
31 Available: <https://www.nps.gov/subjects/nlandmarks/nation.htm>. Accessed: May 16, 2021.
- 32 Scott, E., and K. Springer. 2003. *CEQA and Fossil Preservation in California*. *Environmental Monitor*.
33 Fall 2003:1–10. AEP 2004 Conference, AEP Spring 2004 CEQA Workshop Series.
- 34 Society of Vertebrate Paleontology. 1996. *Conditions of Receivership for Paleontologic Salvage*
35 *Collections*. Society of Vertebrate Paleontology Conformable Impact Mitigation Guidelines
36 Committee. Robert E. Reynolds, Chairman. Society of Vertebrate Paleontology News Bulletin
37 Number 166.
- 38 Society of Vertebrate Paleontology. 2010. *Standard Procedures for the Assessment and Mitigation of*
39 *Adverse Impacts to Paleontological Resources*. Last revised: unknown. Available:
40 https://vertpaleo.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/SVP_Impact_Mitigation_Guidelines-1.pdf.
41 Accessed: February 15, 2021.

- 1 University of California Museum of Paleontology. 2021. Advanced Specimen Search. Search by
2 county and geologic units in study area and Holocene vertebrates in California. Available:
3 <https://ucmpdb.berkeley.edu/advanced.html>. Accessed: February and March 2021.
- 4 Wagner, D. L., E. J. Bortugno, and R. D. McJunkin. 1991. *Geologic Map of the San Francisco-San Jose*
5 *Quadrangle*. Division of Mines and Geology Regional Geologic Map Series, Map No. 5A, California
6 1:250,000. Available: [https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Regional-](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Regional-Geologic-Maps/RGM_005A/RGM_005A_SanFrancisco-SanJose_1991_Sheet1of5.pdf)
7 [Geologic-Maps/RGM_005A/RGM_005A_SanFrancisco-SanJose_1991_Sheet1of5.pdf](https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Regional-Geologic-Maps/RGM_005A/RGM_005A_SanFrancisco-SanJose_1991_Sheet1of5.pdf). Accessed:
8 February 15, 2021.

9 Chapter 29, Environmental Justice

- 10 Aguirre International. 2005. *The California Farm Labor Force Overview and Trends from the National*
11 *Agricultural Workers Survey*. Burlingame, CA.
- 12 California Department of Water Resources. 2021. *Delta Conveyance Project: Introduction to*
13 *Community Benefits Program Development*. Last revised: January 6, 2021. Available:
14 [https://water.ca.gov/News/Blog/2021/January/Introduction-to-Community-Benefits-](https://water.ca.gov/News/Blog/2021/January/Introduction-to-Community-Benefits-Program-Development)
15 [Program-Development](https://water.ca.gov/News/Blog/2021/January/Introduction-to-Community-Benefits-Program-Development). Accessed: April 20, 2021.
- 16 California Employment Development Department. 2022. *Summary Guide for Farmworkers and*
17 *Laborers, Crop, Nursery, and Greenhouse*. Available:
18 [https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/OccGuides/SummaryPrint.aspx?Soccode=452092&Ge](https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/OccGuides/SummaryPrint.aspx?Soccode=452092&Geography=0601000037)
19 [ography=0601000037](https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/OccGuides/SummaryPrint.aspx?Soccode=452092&Geography=0601000037). Accessed: April 4, 2022.
- 20 California Environmental Protection Agency. 2004. *Intra-Agency Environmental Justice Strategy*.
21 August. Sacramento, CA. Available: [https://calepa.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/](https://calepa.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/6/2017/01/EnvJustice-Documents-2004yr-EnglishStrategy.pdf)
22 [6/2017/01/EnvJustice-Documents-2004yr-EnglishStrategy.pdf](https://calepa.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/6/2017/01/EnvJustice-Documents-2004yr-EnglishStrategy.pdf). Accessed: May 11, 2021.
- 23 California Natural Resources Agency. 2003. *Resources Agency Environmental Justice Policy*.
24 October 9.
- 25 California Research Bureau. 2013. *Farmworkers in California: A Brief Introduction*. Available:
26 [https://latinocaucus.legislature.ca.gov/sites/latinocaucus.legislature.ca.gov/files/CRB%20Repo](https://latinocaucus.legislature.ca.gov/sites/latinocaucus.legislature.ca.gov/files/CRB%20Report%20on%20Farmworkers%20in%20CA%20S-13-017.pdf)
27 [rt%20on%20Farmworkers%20in%20CA%20S-13-017.pdf](https://latinocaucus.legislature.ca.gov/sites/latinocaucus.legislature.ca.gov/files/CRB%20Report%20on%20Farmworkers%20in%20CA%20S-13-017.pdf). Accessed: June 18, 2021.
- 28 Columbia Climate School. 2020. *Why Climate Change Is an Environmental Justice Issue*. Available:
29 <https://news.climate.columbia.edu/2020/09/22/climate-change-environmental-justice/>.
30 Accessed: June 18, 2021.
- 31 Council on Environmental Quality. 1997. *Environmental Justice: Guidance under the National*
32 *Environmental Policy Act*. Washington, DC. Available: Available: [https://www.epa.gov/](https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/ceq-environmental-justice-guidance-under-national-environmental-policy-act)
33 [environmentaljustice/ceq-environmental-justice-guidance-under-national-environmental-](https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/ceq-environmental-justice-guidance-under-national-environmental-policy-act)
34 [policy-act](https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/ceq-environmental-justice-guidance-under-national-environmental-policy-act). Accessed: April 29, 2020.
- 35 Greene, C. 2018. Broadening Understandings of Drought – The Climate Vulnerability of
36 Farmworkers and Rural Communities in California (USA). *Environmental Science and Policy* 89:
37 283–291. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.08.002>. Accessed: June 20, 2021.

- 1 Interagency Working Group on Environmental Justice & NEPA Committee. 2016. *Promising Practices*
2 *for EJ Methodologies in NEPA Reviews*. March. Available: [https://www.epa.gov/](https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/ej-iwg-promising-practices-ej-methodologies-nepa-reviews)
3 [environmentaljustice/ej-iwg-promising-practices-ej-methodologies-nepa-reviews](https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/ej-iwg-promising-practices-ej-methodologies-nepa-reviews). Accessed:
4 May 1, 2020.
- 5 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2012. Summary for Policymakers. In Field, C. B., V.
6 Barros, T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, D. J. Dokken, K. L. Ebi, M. D. Mastrandrea, K. J. Mach, G.-K. Plattner, S.
7 K. Allen, M. Tignor, and P. M. Midgley (eds.), *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters*
8 *to Advance Climate Change Adaptation*. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the
9 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, and
10 New York, NY, USA.
- 11 Martin, P. L., B. Hooker, and M. Stockton. 2017. Employment and Earnings of California Farmworkers
12 in 2015. *California Agriculture* 72(2):107–113. Available:
13 <https://calag.ucanr.edu/Archive/?article=ca.2017a0043>. Accessed: April 14, 2022.
- 14 Public Policy Institute of California. 2019. *Poverty in California*. Available:
15 <https://www.ppic.org/publication/poverty-in-california/>. Accessed: May 3, 2021.
- 16 Swain, D. L. 2015. A Tale of Two California Droughts: Lessons Amidst Record Warmth and Dryness
17 in a Region of Complex Physical and Human Geography. *Geophysical Research Letters* 42:9,999–
18 10,003.
- 19 U.S. Census Bureau. 2019. *American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, 2015–2019*. Block Group
20 dataset. Available: [https://www.census.gov/geographies/mapping-files/time-series/geo/tiger-](https://www.census.gov/geographies/mapping-files/time-series/geo/tiger-data.html)
21 [data.html](https://www.census.gov/geographies/mapping-files/time-series/geo/tiger-data.html). Accessed: August 2, 2021.
- 22 U.S. Census Bureau. 2020. *About Race*. Available:
23 <https://www.census.gov/topics/population/race/about.html>. Accessed: June 11, 2021.
- 24 U.S. Census Bureau. 2021a. *About the American Community Survey*. Last revised: January 4, 2021.
25 Available: <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/about.html>. Accessed: April 18,
26 2021.
- 27 U.S. Census Bureau. 2021b. *Census Bureau Statement on 2020 American Community Survey 5-Year*
28 *Data*. Press release. December 20, 2021. Available: [https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-](https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2021/acs-5-year-estimates.html)
29 [releases/2021/acs-5-year-estimates.html](https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2021/acs-5-year-estimates.html). Accessed: February 4, 2022.
- 30 U.S. Department of Energy. 2012. *Executive Order 12898 Federal Actions to Address Environmental*
31 *Justice in Minority and Low-Income Populations*. Sustainability Support Information Brief. Office
32 of Environmental Protection, Sustainability Support & Corporate Safety Analysis. HS-21-IB-
33 2012-28. November. Washington, DC. Available: [https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/](https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2016/05/f31/Env%20Justice-Minority-Lowincome-Pop-508.pdf)
34 [2016/05/f31/Env%20Justice-Minority-Lowincome-Pop-508.pdf](https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2016/05/f31/Env%20Justice-Minority-Lowincome-Pop-508.pdf). Accessed: April 28, 2020.

35 Chapter 30, Climate Change

- 36 Bureau of Reclamation. 2014. *Technical Appendix: Sacramento and San Joaquin Basins Climate*
37 *Impact Assessment*. Reclamation: *Managing Water in the West*. Prepared by Tansey, M. K., A.
38 Nickel, B. Van Lienden, A. Munevar, and T. Das. Available: [https://www.usbr.gov/watersmart/](https://www.usbr.gov/watersmart/baseline/docs/ssjbia/sac-sjb-cia-tech-appendix.pdf)
39 [baseline/docs/ssjbia/sac-sjb-cia-tech-appendix.pdf](https://www.usbr.gov/watersmart/baseline/docs/ssjbia/sac-sjb-cia-tech-appendix.pdf). Accessed: May 4, 2021.

- 1 Bureau of Reclamation. 2021. *West-Wide Climate and Hydrology Assessment*. No. ENV-2021-001.
2 Prepared by Gangopadhyay, S. and M. McGuire. Available: <https://www.usbr.gov/climate/secure/docs/2021secure/westwideseurereport.pdf>. Accessed: August 6, 2021.
- 4 Bureau of Reclamation U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric
5 Administration, California Department of Fish and Game, and Water Forum. 2006. *Lower
6 American River Flow Management Standard*. Available: <https://www.waterforum.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/FMS-Technical-Report-2006.pdf>. Accessed: April 14, 2022.
- 8 California Department of Water Resources. 2017. *Central Valley Flood Protection Plan: 2017 Update*.
9 Available: [water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Flood-
10 Management/Flood-Planning-and-Studies/Central-Valley-Flood-Protection-Plan/Files/2017-
11 CVFP-Update-FINAL_a_y19.pdf](http://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Flood-Management/Flood-Planning-and-Studies/Central-Valley-Flood-Protection-Plan/Files/2017-CVFP-Update-FINAL_a_y19.pdf). Accessed: October 13, 2021.
- 12 California Department of Water Resources. 2018a. *Mean and Extreme Climate Change Impacts on the
13 State Water Project*. California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment. Publication number:
14 CCCA4-EXT-2018-004. Prepared by Wang, J., H. Yin, J. Anderson, E. Reyes, T. Smith, and F. Chung.
15 Available: [https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-12/Water_CCCA4-EXT-2018-
16 004_ada.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-12/Water_CCCA4-EXT-2018-004_ada.pdf). Accessed: May 5, 2021.
- 17 California Department of Water Resources. 2018b. *Climate Change Risk Faced by the California
18 Central Valley Water Resource System*. California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment.
19 Publication number: CCCA4-EXT-2018-001. Prepared by Schwarz, A., P. Ray, S. Wi, C. Brown, M.
20 He, and M. Correa.
- 21 California Department of Water Resources. 2018c. *Climate Action Plan Phase 2: Climate Change
22 Analysis Guidance*. Prepared by Andrew, J., A. Schwarz, and W. Arnold. Available:
23 [https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/All-Programs/Climate-
24 Change-Program/Climate-Action-Plan/Files/CAPII-Climate-Change-Analysis-Guidance.pdf](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/All-Programs/Climate-Change-Program/Climate-Action-Plan/Files/CAPII-Climate-Change-Analysis-Guidance.pdf).
25 Accessed: August 5, 2021.
- 26 California Department of Water Resources. 2020a. *Climate Action Plan Phase III: Climate Change
27 Adaptation Plan*. July 28. Available: [https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-
28 Pages/Programs/All-Programs/Climate-Change-Program/Climate-Action-
29 Plan/Files/Adaptation_Plan.pdf](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/All-Programs/Climate-Change-Program/Climate-Action-Plan/Files/Adaptation_Plan.pdf). Accessed: August 25, 2021.
- 30 California Department of Water Resources. 2020b. Memorandum: *Preliminary Flood Water Surface
31 Elevations (Not for Construction)*. Prepared by Delta Conveyance Office. September 30.
- 32 California Department of Water Resources, California Natural Resources Agency, California
33 Environmental Protection Agency, and California Department of Food and Agriculture. 2020.
34 *Water Resilience Portfolio*. Final. CA. Available: [https://waterresilience.ca.gov/wp-content/
35 uploads/2020/07/Final_California-Water-Resilience-Portfolio-2020_ADA3_v2_ay11-opt.pdf](https://waterresilience.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Final_California-Water-Resilience-Portfolio-2020_ADA3_v2_ay11-opt.pdf).
36 Accessed: May 5, 2021.
- 37 California Energy Commission. 2018a. *Feather Fiver Hydrologic Observatory: Improving Snowpack
38 Forecasting for Hydropower Generation Using Intelligent Information Systems*. California's Fourth
39 Climate Change Assessment. Publication number: CCCA4-CEC-2018-001. Prepared by Avanzi, F.,
40 T. P. Maurer, S. A. Malek, S. D. Glaser, R. C. Bales, M. H. Conklin. Available:
41 <https://cawaterlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/Avanzi-et-al.pdf>. Accessed: October
42 13, 2021.

- 1 California Energy Commission. 2018b. *Wildfire Simulations for California's Fourth Climate Change*
2 *Assessment: Projecting Changes in Extreme Wildfire Events with a Warming Climate*. California's
3 Fourth Climate Change Assessment. Publication number: CCCA4-CEC-2018-014. Prepared by
4 Westerling, A. L. Available: [https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Projections_CCCA4-CEC-2018-014_ADA.pdf)
5 [Projections_CCCA4-CEC-2018-014_ADA.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Projections_CCCA4-CEC-2018-014_ADA.pdf). Accessed: October 13, 2021.
- 6 California Governor's Office of Planning and Research, California Energy Commission, and California
7 Natural Resources Agency. 2018a. *Statewide Summary Report*. California's Fourth Climate
8 Change Assessment. Publication number: SUM-CCA4-2018-013. Prepared by Bedsworth, L., D.
9 Cayan, G. Franco, L. Fisher, and S. Ziaja. Available: [https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Statewide_Reports-SUM-CCA4-2018-013_Statewide_Summary_Report_ADA.pdf)
10 [files/2019-11/Statewide_Reports-SUM-CCA4-2018-013_Statewide_Summary_Report_ADA.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Statewide_Reports-SUM-CCA4-2018-013_Statewide_Summary_Report_ADA.pdf).
11 Accessed: May 5, 2021.
- 12 California Governor's Office of Planning and Research, California Energy Commission, and California
13 Natural Resources Agency. 2018b. *Sacramento Valley Region Report*. California's Fourth Climate
14 Change Assessment. Publication number: SUM-CCA4-2018-002. Prepared by Houlton, B. Z. and
15 J. Lund. Available: [https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCA4-2018-002_SacramentoValley_ADA.pdf)
16 [CCA4-2018-002_SacramentoValley_ADA.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCA4-2018-002_SacramentoValley_ADA.pdf). Accessed: October 13, 2021.
- 17 California Governor's Office of Planning and Research, California Energy Commission, and California
18 Natural Resources Agency. 2018c. *San Francisco Bay Area Region Report*. California's Fourth
19 Climate Change Assessment. Publication number: CCCA4-SUM-2018-005. Prepared by Ackerly,
20 D., A. Jones, M. Stacey, and B. Riordan. Available: [https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCA4-2018-005_SanFranciscoBayArea_ADA.pdf)
21 [files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCA4-2018-005_SanFranciscoBayArea_ADA.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCA4-2018-005_SanFranciscoBayArea_ADA.pdf). Accessed:
22 October 13, 2021.
- 23 California Governor's Office of Planning and Research, California Energy Commission, and California
24 Natural Resources Agency. 2018d. *San Joaquin Valley Region Report*. California's Fourth Climate
25 Change Assessment. Publication number: SUM-CCA4-2018-003. Prepared by Westerling, L., J.
26 Medellin-Azuara, and J. Viers. Available: [https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCA4-2018-003_SanJoaquinValley_Preview_ADA.pdf)
27 [11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCA4-2018-003_SanJoaquinValley_Preview_ADA.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCA4-2018-003_SanJoaquinValley_Preview_ADA.pdf). Accessed:
28 October 13, 2021.
- 29 California Governor's Office of Planning and Research, California Energy Commission, and California
30 Natural Resources Agency. 2018e. *Central Coast Region Report*. California's Fourth Climate
31 Change Assessment. Publication number: SUM-CCA4-2018-006. Prepared by Langridge, R.
32 Available: [https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCA4-](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCA4-2018-006_CentralCoast_ADA.pdf)
33 [2018-006_CentralCoast_ADA.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCA4-2018-006_CentralCoast_ADA.pdf). Accessed: October 13, 2021.
- 34 California Governor's Office of Planning and Research, California Energy Commission, and California
35 Natural Resources Agency. 2018f. *Los Angeles Region Report*. California's Fourth Climate Change
36 Assessment. Publication number: SUM-CCA4-2018-007. Prepared by Hall, A., N. Berg, and K.
37 Reich. Available: [https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg%20Report-](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg%20Report-%20SUM-CCA4-2018-007%20LosAngeles_ADA.pdf)
38 [%20SUM-CCA4-2018-007%20LosAngeles_ADA.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg%20Report-%20SUM-CCA4-2018-007%20LosAngeles_ADA.pdf). Accessed: October 13, 2021.

- 1 California Governor's Office of Planning and Research, California Energy Commission, and California
2 Natural Resources Agency. 2018g. *San Diego Region Report*. California's Fourth Climate Change
3 Assessment. Publication number: SUM-CCCA4-2018-009. Prepared by Kalansky, J., D. Cayan, K.
4 Barba, L. Walsh, K. Brouwer, and D. Boudreau. Available: [https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/
5 default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCCA4-2018-009_SanDiego_ADA.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCCA4-2018-009_SanDiego_ADA.pdf). Accessed:
6 October 13, 2021.
- 7 California Governor's Office of Planning and Research, California Energy Commission, and California
8 Natural Resources Agency. 2018h. *Sierra Nevada Region Report*. California's Fourth Climate
9 Change Assessment. Publication number: SUM-CCCA4-2018-004. Prepared by Dettinger, M., H.
10 Alpert, J. Battles, J. Kusel, H. Safford, D. Fougères, C. Knight, L. Miller, and S. Sawyer. Available:
11 [https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCCA4-2018-
12 004_SierraNevada_ADA.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCCA4-2018-004_SierraNevada_ADA.pdf). Accessed: October 13, 2021.
- 13 California Governor's Office of Planning and Research, California Energy Commission, and California
14 Natural Resources Agency. 2018i. *Inland Deserts Region Report*. California's Fourth Climate
15 Change Assessment. Publication number: SUM-CCCA4-2018-008. Prepared by Hopkins, F. M., V.
16 Carranza, H. Ajami, J. E. Allison, R.G., Anderson, C. W., Barrows, M. Barth, D. R. Jenerette, W. C.
17 Porter, T. Rolinski, K. Schwabe, C. Yanez, and N. Yu. Available: [https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/
18 default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCCA4-2018-008_InlandDeserts_ADA.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Reg_Report-SUM-CCCA4-2018-008_InlandDeserts_ADA.pdf). Accessed:
19 October 13, 2021.
- 20 California Governor's Office. 2021. *Governor Newsom Expands Drought Emergency to Klamath River,
21 Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta and Tulare Lake Watershed Counties*. Published May 10, 2021.
22 Available: [https://www.gov.ca.gov/2021/05/10/governor-newsom-expands-drought-
23 emergency-to-klamath-river-sacramento-san-joaquin-delta-and-tulare-lake-watershed-
24 counties/](https://www.gov.ca.gov/2021/05/10/governor-newsom-expands-drought-emergency-to-klamath-river-sacramento-san-joaquin-delta-and-tulare-lake-watershed-counties/). Accessed: May 13, 2021.
- 25 California Natural Resources Agency and California Ocean Protection Council. 2018. *State of
26 California Sea-Level Rise Guidance: 2018 Update*. Available: [https://opc.ca.gov/webmaster/ftp/
27 pdf/agenda_items/20180314/Item3_Exhibit-A_OPC_SLR_Guidance-rd3.pdf](https://opc.ca.gov/webmaster/ftp/pdf/agenda_items/20180314/Item3_Exhibit-A_OPC_SLR_Guidance-rd3.pdf). Accessed: April 13,
28 2021.
- 29 California Ocean Protection Council. 2017. *Rising Seas in California: An Update on Sea-Level Rise
30 Science*. Prepared by Griggs, G., D. Cayan, C. Tebaldi, H.A. Fricker, J. Árvai, R. DeConto, R.E. Kopp,
31 E.A. Whiteman, S. Moser, and J. Fox. California Ocean Protection Council Science Advisory Team
32 Working Group. California Ocean Science Trust. Available: [https://www.opc.ca.gov/webmaster/
33 ftp/pdf/docs/rising-seas-in-california-an-update-on-sea-level-rise-science.pdf](https://www.opc.ca.gov/webmaster/ftp/pdf/docs/rising-seas-in-california-an-update-on-sea-level-rise-science.pdf). Accessed:
34 October 13, 2021.
- 35 Council on Environmental Quality. 2016. *Final Guidance for Federal Departments and Agencies on
36 Consideration of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and the Effects of Climate Change in National
37 Environmental Policy Act Reviews*. Document number: 2016-18620. Available:
38 [https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/sites/whitehouse.gov/files/documents/
39 nepa_final_ghg_guidance.pdf](https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/sites/whitehouse.gov/files/documents/nepa_final_ghg_guidance.pdf). Accessed: April 28, 2021.

- 1 Delta Stewardship Council. 2021. *Delta Adapts: Creating a Climate Resilient Future – Sacramento-San*
2 *Joaquin Delta Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment*. Prepared by Henderson, J., H.L. Ross, A.
3 Schwarz, A. Livengood, A. Keeley, D. Chapple, C. Copeland, K. Griffith, D. Constable, E. Mullin, A.
4 Merritt, and M. Williams. Available: [https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/council-meeting/meeting-](https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/council-meeting/meeting-materials/2021-6-26-June-2021-Delta-Adapts-Vulnerability-Assessment.pdf)
5 [materials/2021-6-26-June-2021-Delta-Adapts-Vulnerability-Assessment.pdf](https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/council-meeting/meeting-materials/2021-6-26-June-2021-Delta-Adapts-Vulnerability-Assessment.pdf). Accessed: August
6 12, 2021.
- 7 Dettinger, Michael. 2011. Climate Change, Atmospheric Rivers, and Floods in California—A
8 Multimodel Analysis of Storm Frequency and Magnitude Changes. *Journal of the American Water*
9 *Resources Association (JAWRA)* 47(3):514-523. DOI: 10.1111/j.1752-1688.2011.00546.x.
10 Available: [https://ca.water.usgs.gov/pubs/2011/climate-change-atmospheric-rivers-floods-](https://ca.water.usgs.gov/pubs/2011/climate-change-atmospheric-rivers-floods-california-dettinger.pdf)
11 [california-dettinger.pdf](https://ca.water.usgs.gov/pubs/2011/climate-change-atmospheric-rivers-floods-california-dettinger.pdf). Accessed: May 5, 2021.
- 12 Garcia, F. C., E. Bestion, R. Warfield, and G. Yvon-Durocher. 2018. *Changes in Temperature Alter the*
13 *Relationship between Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning*. *PNAS* 115 (43): 10989-10994.
- 14 He, M., and M. Gautam. 2016. Variability and Trends in Precipitation, Temperature and Drought
15 Indices in the State of California. *Hydrology* 3(2):14.
- 16 He, M., M. Anderson, A. Schwarz, T. Das, E. Lynn, J. Anderson, A. Munévar, J. Vasquez and W. Arnold.
17 2019. Potential Changes in Runoff of California’s Major Water Supply Watersheds in the 21st
18 Century. *Water* 11:1651. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/11/8/1651/htm>.
19 Accessed: May 4, 2021.
- 20 Interagency Ecological Program, Management, Analysis, and Synthesis Team (IEP MAST). 2015. *An*
21 *Updated Conceptual Model of Delta Smelt Biology: Our Evolving Understanding of an Estuarine*
22 *Fish*. Available: [https://www.fws.gov/sfbaydelta/documents/](https://www.fws.gov/sfbaydelta/documents/Delta_Smelt_MAST_Synthesis_Report_January_2015.pdf)
23 [Delta_Smelt_MAST_Synthesis_Report_January_2015.pdf](https://www.fws.gov/sfbaydelta/documents/Delta_Smelt_MAST_Synthesis_Report_January_2015.pdf). Accessed: October 13, 2021.
- 24 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2001. *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis*.
25 Prepared by Houghton, J. T., Y. Ding, D. J. Griggs, M. Noguer, P. J. van der Linden, X. Dai, K. Maskell
26 and C. A. Johnson (eds.). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press. Available:
27 https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/03/WGI_TAR_full_report.pdf. Accessed:
28 October 13, 2021.
- 29 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2012. *Renewable Energy Sources and Climate Change*
30 *Mitigation: Special Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Prepared by
31 Edenhofer, O., R. P. Madruga and Y. Sokona (eds.). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
32 Available: https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/03/SRREN_Full_Report-1.pdf.
33 Accessed: October 13, 2021.
- 34 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2021. *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis.*
35 *Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on*
36 *Climate Change*. Prepared by Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S. L. Connors, C. Péan, S.
37 Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M. I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J. B. R.
38 Matthews, T. K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu and B. Zhou (eds.). Cambridge
39 University Press. In Press.

- 1 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2018. *Impacts of Climate Change on Salmon of the*
2 *Pacific Northwest: A Review of the Scientific Literature Published in 2017*. Prepared by Crozier, L.
3 and J. Siegel. Available: [https://webapps.nwfsc.noaa.gov/assets/11/9603_02272019_153600_](https://webapps.nwfsc.noaa.gov/assets/11/9603_02272019_153600_Crozier.and.Siegel.2018-Climate-Lit-Rev-2017.pdf)
4 [Crozier.and.Siegel.2018-Climate-Lit-Rev-2017.pdf](https://webapps.nwfsc.noaa.gov/assets/11/9603_02272019_153600_Crozier.and.Siegel.2018-Climate-Lit-Rev-2017.pdf). Accessed: October 13, 2021.
- 5 National Research Council. 2012. *Sustainable Water and Environmental Management in the California*
6 *Bay-Delta*. Prepared by the Committee on Sustainable Water and Environmental Management in
7 the California Bay-Delta. The National Academies Press, Washington, D.C.
- 8 National Weather Service. 2021. *Discussion for the Seasonal Drought Outlook*. Climate Prediction
9 Center. Available: [https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/expert_assessment/](https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/expert_assessment/sdo_discussion.php)
10 [sdo_discussion.php](https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/expert_assessment/sdo_discussion.php). Accessed: May 13, 2021.
- 11 Ralph, M., and Dettinger, M. 2011. Storms, Floods, and the Science of Atmospheric Rivers. August 9.
12 *Eos* 92(32).
- 13 Scripps Institution of Oceanography. 2018. *Climate, Drought, and Sea Level Rise Scenarios for*
14 *California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment*. California's Fourth Climate Change Assessment.
15 Publication number: CCCA4-CEC-2018-006. Prepared by Pierce, D. W., J. F. Kalansky and D. R.
16 Cayan. Available: [https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Projections_CCCA4-](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Projections_CCCA4-CEC-2018-006_ADA.pdf)
17 [CEC-2018-006_ADA.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-11/Projections_CCCA4-CEC-2018-006_ADA.pdf). Accessed: October 13, 2021.
- 18 State Water Resources Control Board. 1995. Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco
19 Bay/Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Estuary. 95-1WR. May 1995. Available:
20 [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/wq_control_plans/1995wqcp/docs/1995wqcpb.pdf)
21 [bay_delta/wq_control_plans/1995wqcp/docs/1995wqcpb.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/wq_control_plans/1995wqcp/docs/1995wqcpb.pdf). Accessed: June 24, 2021.
- 22 Stockholm Environment Institute. 2003. *Water, Climate, Food, and Environment in the Sacramento*
23 *Basin*. Contribution to ADAPT: Adaptation Strategies to Changing Environments. Prepared by
24 Huber-Lee, A., D. Yates, D. Purkey, W. Yu and B. Runkle. Available: [https://www.weap21.org/](https://www.weap21.org/downloads/adapt_sacramento.pdf)
25 [downloads/adapt_sacramento.pdf](https://www.weap21.org/downloads/adapt_sacramento.pdf). Accessed: October 13, 2021.
- 26 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2018. *Brazilian Waterweed (Egeria densa): Ecological Risk Screening*
27 *Summary*. Available: [https://www.fws.gov/fisheries/ans/erss/highrisk/ERSS-Egeria-densa-](https://www.fws.gov/fisheries/ans/erss/highrisk/ERSS-Egeria-densa-FINAL.pdf)
28 [FINAL.pdf](https://www.fws.gov/fisheries/ans/erss/highrisk/ERSS-Egeria-densa-FINAL.pdf). Accessed: August 6, 2021.
- 29 U.S. Geological Survey. 2013. *USGS Science at Work in the San Francisco Bay and Sacramento–San*
30 *Joaquin Delta Estuary*. Prepared by Shouse, M. K., and D. A. Cox. Available:
31 <https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2013/3037/pdf/fs2013-3037.pdf>. Accessed: May 14, 2021.
- 32 U.S. Geological Survey. 2021a. *ArkStorm Scenario*. Available: [https://www.usgs.gov/natural-](https://www.usgs.gov/natural-hazards/science-application-risk-reduction/science/arkstorm-scenario?qt-science_center_objects=0#qt-science_center_objects)
33 [hazards/science-application-risk-reduction/science/arkstorm-scenario?qt-](https://www.usgs.gov/natural-hazards/science-application-risk-reduction/science/arkstorm-scenario?qt-science_center_objects=0#qt-science_center_objects)
34 [science_center_objects=0#qt-science_center_objects](https://www.usgs.gov/natural-hazards/science-application-risk-reduction/science/arkstorm-scenario?qt-science_center_objects=0#qt-science_center_objects). Accessed: June 28, 2021.
- 35 U.S. Geological Survey. 2021b. *California Drought: Comparisons*. California Water Science Center.
36 Available: <https://ca.water.usgs.gov/california-drought/california-drought-comparisons.html>.
37 Accessed: May 4, 2021.
- 38 U.S. Global Change Research Program. No date. *Fifth National Climate Assessment*.
39 <https://www.globalchange.gov/nca5>. Accessed: April 28, 2021.

- 1 Western Regional Climate Center. 2021. *Sacramento: Period of Record Monthly Climate Summary*.
2 Available: <https://wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?ca7633>. Accessed: August 6, 2021.
- 3 Whipple, A., R. Grossinger, D. Rankin, B. Stanford, and R. Askevold. 2012. *Sacramento–San Joaquin*
4 *Delta Historical Ecology Investigation: Exploring Pattern and Process*. Available:
5 [https://www.sfei.org/documents/sacramento-san-joaquin-delta-historical-ecology-](https://www.sfei.org/documents/sacramento-san-joaquin-delta-historical-ecology-investigation-exploring-pattern-and-proces)
6 [investigation-exploring-pattern-and-proces](https://www.sfei.org/documents/sacramento-san-joaquin-delta-historical-ecology-investigation-exploring-pattern-and-proces). Accessed: October 13, 2021.

7 Chapter 31, Growth Inducement

- 8 California Department of Finance. 2021. *Projections*. Last revised: March 5, 2021. Available:
9 <https://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/Projections/>. Accessed: May 3, 2021.
- 10 California Department of Water Resources. 2020. *The Final State Water Project Delivery Capability*
11 *Report 2019. August 26*. Available: [https://data.cnra.ca.gov/dataset/state-water-project-](https://data.cnra.ca.gov/dataset/state-water-project-delivery-capability-report-dcr-2019/resource/119da5c5-1c47-4142-8896-334628ca61cd)
12 [delivery-capability-report-dcr-2019/resource/119da5c5-1c47-4142-8896-334628ca61cd](https://data.cnra.ca.gov/dataset/state-water-project-delivery-capability-report-dcr-2019/resource/119da5c5-1c47-4142-8896-334628ca61cd).
13 Accessed: August 8, 2021.
- 14 California Employment Development Department. 2021. *Employment by Industry Data*. Last
15 revised: March 26, 2021. Available: [https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/data/](https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/data/employment-by-industry.html)
16 [employment-by-industry.html](https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/data/employment-by-industry.html). Accessed: May 12, 2021.
- 17 California State Association of Counties. 2018. *Data and Research*. Available:
18 <https://www.counties.org/data-and-research>. Accessed: October 25, 2021.
- 19 Metropolitan Water District of Southern California. 2021. *The Annual Report to the California State*
20 *Legislature, Achievements in Conservation Recycling and Groundwater Recharge, Covering Fiscal*
21 *Year July 2019–June 2020*. February 2021.
- 22 Public Health Notes. 2021. *Population Growth and Components of Population Growth*. June 13, 2021.
23 Available: [https://www.publichealthnotes.com/population-growth-and-components-of-](https://www.publichealthnotes.com/population-growth-and-components-of-population-growth/)
24 [population-growth/](https://www.publichealthnotes.com/population-growth-and-components-of-population-growth/). Accessed: November 17, 2021.
- 25 State Water Contractors. 2019. *State Water Project Resources*. Available:
26 <https://swc.org/resources/>. Accessed: October 27, 2021.
- 27 Stren, C. V., and P. A. Sheikl. 2021. *Central Valley Project: Issues and Legislation*. Congressional
28 Research Service. R45342. Updated June 3, 2021. Available:
29 <https://fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/R45342.pdf>. Accessed: June 21, 2021.

30 Chapter 32, Tribal Cultural Resources

- 31 Ball, D., R. Clayburn, R. Cordero, B. Edwards, V. Grussing, J. Ledford, R. McConnell, R. Monette, R.
32 Steelquist, E. Thorsgard, and J. Townsend. 2015. *A Guidance Document for Characterizing Tribal*
33 *Cultural Landscapes*. Available: [https://www.boem.gov/sites/default/files/environmental-](https://www.boem.gov/sites/default/files/environmental-stewardship/Environmental-Studies/Pacific-Region/Studies/BOEM-2015-047.pdf)
34 [stewardship/Environmental-Studies/Pacific-Region/Studies/BOEM-2015-047.pdf](https://www.boem.gov/sites/default/files/environmental-stewardship/Environmental-Studies/Pacific-Region/Studies/BOEM-2015-047.pdf). Accessed:
35 August 22, 2021.

- 1 Birnbaum, C., and C. Peters. 1996. *The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of*
2 *Historic Properties: With Guidelines for the Treatment of Cultural Landscapes*. U.S. Department
3 *of the Interior, National Park Service Cultural Resources; Washington, D.C.*
- 4 California Air Resources Board. 2022. *Visibility-Reducing Particles & Health*. Available:
5 <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/visibility-reducing-particles-and-health>. Accessed: June 17,
6 2022.
- 7 California Department of Water Resources. 2016. *California Department of Water Resources Tribal*
8 *Engagement Policy*. March 08, 2016. Available: [https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Delta-Conveyance/Tribal-Engagement/DWR_Tribal_Engagement_Policy_508.pdf)
9 [Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Delta-Conveyance/Tribal-](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Delta-Conveyance/Tribal-Engagement/DWR_Tribal_Engagement_Policy_508.pdf)
10 [Engagement/DWR_Tribal_Engagement_Policy_508.pdf](https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Delta-Conveyance/Tribal-Engagement/DWR_Tribal_Engagement_Policy_508.pdf). Accessed: May 9, 2022.
- 11 California Natural Resources Agency. 2012. *California Natural Resources Agency Final Tribal*
12 *Consultation Policy*. November 20, 2021. Available: [https://resources.ca.gov/-/media/CNRA-](https://resources.ca.gov/-/media/CNRA-Website/Files/Tribal-Policy/Final_Tribal_Policy.pdf?la=en&hash=72DFE3AE1D0F80E57F8BAD43211EAA1E256F8299)
13 [Website/Files/Tribal-](https://resources.ca.gov/-/media/CNRA-Website/Files/Tribal-Policy/Final_Tribal_Policy.pdf?la=en&hash=72DFE3AE1D0F80E57F8BAD43211EAA1E256F8299)
14 [Policy/Final_Tribal_Policy.pdf?la=en&hash=72DFE3AE1D0F80E57F8BAD43211EAA1E256F829](https://resources.ca.gov/-/media/CNRA-Website/Files/Tribal-Policy/Final_Tribal_Policy.pdf?la=en&hash=72DFE3AE1D0F80E57F8BAD43211EAA1E256F8299)
15 [9](https://resources.ca.gov/-/media/CNRA-Website/Files/Tribal-Policy/Final_Tribal_Policy.pdf?la=en&hash=72DFE3AE1D0F80E57F8BAD43211EAA1E256F8299). Accessed: May 9, 2022.
- 16 ICF. 2021. *Delta Conveyance Project Geoarchaeology Technical Memorandum*. Final. Prepared for
17 Department of Water Resources, Sacramento, CA.
- 18 San Francisco Estuary Institute. 2012. *Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Historical Ecology*
19 *Investigation: Exploring Pattern and Process*. Prepared for the California Department of Fish and
20 Game and Ecosystem Restoration Program.

21 Chapter 33, Other CEQA-Required Analyses

- 22 California Department of Water Resources. 2009. *California Water Plan Update 2009: Integrated*
23 *Water Management*. Available: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/board_reference/2009spring/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/board_reference/2009spring/presentations/kguivetchi_waterplan_pm_panel.pdf)
24 [presentations/kguivetchi_waterplan_pm_panel.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/board_reference/2009spring/presentations/kguivetchi_waterplan_pm_panel.pdf). Accessed: March 13, 2022.
- 25 Wilson, C. M. 2011. *The Reasonable Use Doctrine & Agricultural Water Use Efficiency*, by Craig M.
26 Wilson, Delta Watermaster, pg. 3. Available: [https://cawaterlibrary.net/document/the-](https://cawaterlibrary.net/document/the-reasonable-use-doctrine-and-water-use-efficiency-report/)
27 [reasonable-use-doctrine-and-water-use-efficiency-report/](https://cawaterlibrary.net/document/the-reasonable-use-doctrine-and-water-use-efficiency-report/). Accessed: March 13, 2022.

28 Chapter 34, Community Benefits Program Analysis

- 29 Department of Parks and Recreation, Division of Boating and Waterways. 2018. *Aquatic Invasive*
30 *Plan Control Program Programmatic Environmental Impact Report*. Final. Sacramento, CA.

31 Chapter 35, Public Involvement

- 32 California Department of Water Resources. 2020. *Notice of Preparation of Environmental Impact*
33 *Report for the Delta Conveyance Project*. January 15.

- 1 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022a. Efforts to Minimize Delta Community
2 Effects—Central and Eastern Corridor Options (Final Draft). Technical Memorandum. May 2022.
3 In Attachment H: Other Sitewide Considerations, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft*
4 *Engineering Project Report—Central and Eastern Options*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.
- 5 Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority. 2022b. Efforts to Minimize Delta Community
6 Effects Supplement—Bethany Reservoir Alternative (Draft). Technical Memorandum. May 2022.
7 In Attachment: Technical Memoranda, *Volume 1: Delta Conveyance Final Draft Engineering*
8 *Project Report—Bethany Reservoir Alternative*. May 2022. Sacramento, CA.