

NEPA Process/Requirements Agenda



Date: April 23, 2020

Location:

Call In: 1-510-338-9438; Access code: 627 506 141
WebEx Link included in Outlook Invitation

Time: 9:00 AM to 10:00 AM

Leader: Sites Integration/ICF

Recorder: Sites Integration

Purpose: Discuss the Authority's Value Planning Process and CEQA/NEPA Path Forward

Attendees:

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Monique Briard, ICF | Russ Grimes, Reclamation | Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration |
| Ryan Davis, Reclamation | Erin Heydinger, Sites Integration | Nicole Williams, ICF |
| Linda Fisher, Sites Integration | Nate Martin, Reclamation | Natalie Wolder, Reclamation |

Agenda:

| Discussion Topic | Topic Leader | Time Allotted |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| 1. Introductions – Roles/Responsibilities; Purpose of Meeting | Laurie | 8 min |
| 2. Sites Authority Value Planning (VP) Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Purpose/Process – right-size project to meet Participant needs; screening-level review of range of alternatives b. Report and Recommendations – Alternative VP7, options c. Federal Action <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Investment (Assumed for all alternatives) ii. Modification of Federal Facilities iii. COA | Laurie/Erin | 12 min |
| 3. Reclamation Feasibility Report <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Status/Schedule b. Any Changes to Alternatives | Ryan | 10 min |
| 4. EIR/EIS Approach - Continued Joint EIR/EIS Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. CEQA Requirements – revise and recirculate <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Schedule – Meet CWC Prop 1 Funding Requirements b. NEPA Considerations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Secretarial Order and page / time limits ii. New NEPA regulations and scope of the recirculated document iii. Post-Feasibility Reconciliation iv. Reclamation Review Process c. EIR/EIS Work Plan | Nicole | 25 min |
| 5. Next Steps <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Points of Contact | All | 5 min |

NEPA Process/Requirements Meeting Minutes



Date: April 23, 2020

Location:

Call In: 1-510-338-9438; Access code: 627 506 141
WebEx Link included in Outlook Invitation

Time: 9:00 AM to 10:00 AM

Leader: Sites Integration/ICF

Recorder: Sites Integration

Purpose: Discuss the Authority's Value Planning Process and CEQA/NEPA Path Forward

Attendees:

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Monique Briard, ICF | Russ Grimes, Reclamation | Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration |
| Ryan Davis, Reclamation | Erin Heydinger, Sites Integration | Nicole Williams, ICF |
| Linda Fisher, Sites Integration | Nate Martin, Reclamation | Natalie Wolder, Reclamation |
| | | Ray Sahlberg, Reclamation |

Agenda:

| Discussion Topic | Topic Leader | Time Allotted |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| 1. Introductions – Roles/Responsibilities; Purpose of Meeting | Laurie | 8 min |
| 2. Sites Authority Value Planning (VP) Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Purpose/Process – right-size project to meet Participant needs; screening-level review of range of alternatives b. Report and Recommendations – Alternative VP7, options c. Federal Action <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Investment (Assumed for all alternatives) ii. Modification of Federal Facilities iii. COA | Laurie/Erin | 12 min |
| 3. Reclamation Feasibility Report <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Status/Schedule b. Any Changes to Alternatives | Ryan | 10 min |
| 4. EIR/EIS Approach - Continued Joint EIR/EIS Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. CEQA Requirements – revise and recirculate <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Schedule – Meet CWC Prop 1 Funding Requirements b. NEPA Considerations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Secretarial Order and page / time limits ii. New NEPA regulations and scope of the recirculated document iii. Post-Feasibility Reconciliation iv. Reclamation Review Process c. EIR/EIS Work Plan | Nicole | 25 min |
| 5. Next Steps <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Points of Contact | All | 5 min |

Meeting Minutes:

Agenda Item 1

- Provided an overview of the Sites Authority team – Authority Agents (Environmental Planning and Permit Manager), Integration, and ICF roles and responsibilities
- Each attendee provided an overview of their specific roles.

Agenda Item 2

- Provided an overview of the current project purpose, value planning process, and recommended value planning alternative.
- Provided an overview of the current operations plan
- Reviewed Authority and Reclamation Feasibility and Environmental Review Graphic
 - Revised draft EIR – recirculated in 2021
- Reclamation asked about Reclamation investment options under the value planning scenarios. Reclamation is concerned that the VP presentation appears to preclude Reclamation investment needs and is just focused on Authority needs.
- Sites team responded that the Authority went through the value planning process to identify a project that would serve the needs of the Participants and that could be undertaken without Reclamation investment since there is no confirmed investment at this time; this does not preclude federal investment if funding becomes available.

Agenda Item 3

- Reclamation update: updated cost estimates have added approximately \$1 billion to each alternative. Alt D is now \$7.5B
 - Feasibility Report in technical review right now
 - Alternatives and operations have changed; generally the same location for the facilities.
 - Holthouse removed – replaced with Fletcher

Agenda Item 4

- Recirculation of the EIR and EIS was discussed.
 - Recirculated EIR would include a form of VP7 as the proposed project, the changes in ops and facilities, and those pieces of the EIR that have changed and would be released July 2021
- Reclamation suggested that the federal and local project will need to line up to receive federal funding
- Reclamation emphasized the need to define the federal action so the federal govt knows what they are paying for and long-term commitment

Agenda Item 5

- Team discussed another meeting when the range of alternatives have been refined, we have a better understanding of changes to the project and approach for the environmental document

Action Items/Takeaways

- Authority to more fully describe federal role
- Authority Team will prepare a matrix to identify: the differences between the 2017 EIR/EIS, what will be proposed in the revised EIR/EIS, what is proposed in Reclamation's feasibility report, and what will be proposed in the Authority's feasibility report; and, the potential differences in the analysis/results between the 2017 EIR/EIS and the Revised EIR/EIS
- Authority to consider inclusion of an alternative in the EIR/EIS that is in Reclamation's feasibility report once we have a draft of the revised feasibility report.



Reclamation Meeting Agenda

Teleconference: +1 213-379-5743 Conference ID: 566 809 11#

[Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Date/Time: May 7, 2020, 8:30 am

Meeting Objective: Get in alignment on Reclamation's participation in the Sites Reservoir Project

- I. Introductions
- II. Review the "rightsized" Project (Sites Team - 10 min)
- III. Review the current direction of the Federal Feasibility Report (Reclamation - 20 min)
 - What are the preliminary recommendations?
 - Can Sites assist in developing the recommendations?
- IV. Schedule and Funding (All - 15 min)
 - Schedule for finalizing the report
 - WIIN Act deadline
 - Possible Stimulus Funds

Next Steps (5 min)

NEPA Process/Requirements Agenda



Date: May 21, 2020

Location:

Call In: 1-510-338-9438; Access code: 625 931 038
WebEx Link included in Outlook Invitation

Time: 10:00 AM to 11:00 AM

Leader: Sites Integration/ICF

Recorder: Sites Integration

Purpose: Assuming Federal Investment - Discuss Logistics of Preparing a Joint CEQA/NEPA Document

Attendees:

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Monique Briard, ICF | Russ Grimes, Reclamation | Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration |
| Ryan Davis, Reclamation | Erin Heydinger, Sites Integration | Nicole Williams, ICF |
| Linda Fisher, Sites Integration | Nate Martin, Reclamation | Natalie Wolder, Reclamation |
| | Ray Sahlberg, Reclamation | |

Agenda:

| Discussion Topic | Topic Leader | Time Allotted |
|--|-----------------|---------------|
| 1. Introductions – Roll Call/Purpose of Meeting | Laurie | 5 min |
| 2. Prior Action Items | Laurie | 10 |
| a. Authority to more fully describe federal role. | | |
| b. Authority Team will prepare a matrix to identify: the differences between the 2017 EIR/EIS, what will be proposed in the revised EIR/EIS, what is proposed in Reclamation’s feasibility report, and what will be proposed in the Authority’s feasibility report; and, the potential differences in the analysis/results between the 2017 EIR/EIS and the Revised EIR/EIS. | | |
| c. Authority to consider inclusion of an alternative in the EIR/EIS that is in Reclamation’s feasibility report once we have a draft of the revised feasibility report. | | |
| 3. Status of Reclamation Feasibility Report | Ryan | 10 |
| 4. Assume Federal Investment, Need for EIS | Nicole/ Russ | 30 min |
| a. Joint Document - Recirculated <u>Revised Draft</u> EIR/ <u>Supplemental Draft</u> EIS | | |
| b. Document Format | | |
| i. Secretarial Order and page / time limits | | |
| ii. Scope of NEPA document | | |
| iii. 508 compliance | | |
| c. Reclamation review cycles (e.g., number/duration) for a <u>Revised supplemental</u> Draft EIS | | |
| 5. Next Steps | All | 5 min |
| a. Confirm Points of Contact for Technical Questions | | |
| b. Biweekly or Monthly Meetings? | | |

NEPA Process/Requirements Minutes



Date: May 21, 2020

Location:

Call In: 1-510-338-9438; Access code: 625 931 038
WebEx Link included in Outlook Invitation

Time: 10:00 AM to 11:00 AM

Leader: Sites Integration/ICF

Recorder: Sites Integration

Purpose: Assuming Federal Investment - Discuss Logistics of Preparing a Joint CEQA/NEPA Document

Attendees:

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Monique Briard, ICF | Russ Grimes, Reclamation | Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration |
| Ryan Davis, Reclamation | Erin Heydinger, Sites Integration | Nicole Williams, ICF |
| Linda Fisher, Sites Integration | Nate Martin, Reclamation | Natalie Wolder, Reclamation |
| | Ray Sahlberg, Reclamation | |

Agenda:

| Discussion Topic | Topic Leader | Time Allotted |
|--|-----------------|---------------|
| 1. Introductions – Roll Call/Purpose of Meeting | Laurie | 5 min |
| 2. Prior Action Items | Laurie | 10 |
| a. Authority to more fully describe federal role. | | |
| b. Authority Team will prepare a matrix to identify: the differences between the 2017 EIR/EIS, what will be proposed in the revised EIR/EIS, what is proposed in Reclamation’s feasibility report, and what will be proposed in the Authority’s feasibility report; and, the potential differences in the analysis/results between the 2017 EIR/EIS and the Revised EIR/EIS. | | |
| c. Authority to consider inclusion of an alternative in the EIR/EIS that is in Reclamation’s feasibility report once we have a draft of the revised feasibility report. | | |
| 3. Status of Reclamation Feasibility Report | Ryan | 10 |
| 4. Assume Federal Investment, Need for EIS | Nicole/ Russ | 30 min |
| a. Joint Document - Recirculated <u>Revised Draft</u> EIR/ <u>Supplemental Draft</u> EIS | | |
| b. Document Format | | |
| i. Secretarial Order and page / time limits | | |
| ii. Scope of NEPA document | | |
| iii. 508 compliance | | |
| c. Reclamation review cycles (e.g., number/duration) for a <u>Revised supplemental</u> Draft EIS | | |
| 5. Next Steps | All | 5 min |
| a. Confirm Points of Contact for Technical Questions | | |
| b. Biweekly or Monthly Meetings? | | |

Meeting Minutes:

Agenda Item 1

- Authority provided introduction and purpose of meeting – assuming federal investment and joint EIR/EIS, need to discuss the logistics of preparing the joint document

Agenda Item 2

- Discussed the ongoing status of action items from April 23, 2020 meeting:
 - Item a. is under review at the Authority Board level
 - ICF has initiated Item b. – completion pending refinement of value planning alternatives and review of Reclamation revised Feasibility Report
 - Item c. also pending final decision; however, the Authority may not choose to conduct analysis of Delevan pipeline/intake included in Feasibility Report

Agenda Item 3

- Reclamation provided an update on the status of the Feasibility Report
 - Reclamation to receive complete report for review week of 5/25
 - Will be sent to Policy June 8 for 1 month review
 - After commissioner and assistant Secretary review will go to OMB for 2-3 months
 - November/December 2020 determination

Agenda Item 4

- Discussed the ‘type’ of document – given new alternatives and because original alternatives will not be carried forward, Reclamation indicated that appropriate NEPA document would be a Revised EIS
 - New public review period (minimum 45-days)
 - No new NOI, just NOA when released
- Reclamation indicated that NODOS/Sites has been paused within Reclamation due to stalled process and when the project is taken off paused status will determine timing of the ROD
- Discussed page limitations – Reclamation will research existing waivers (see action item #4, below); however, even with waiver there will be a need to show a reasonable effort to reduce size and improve accessibility
- Discussed review periods – Reclamation indicated that with a July 2021 release, there should be sufficient time for their review process
 - Planning is typically in charge of the review process; Environmental supports the review process
 - Planning typically has a month of review time, but environmental generally has two weeks.
 - Reclamation will want to review the document at least twice.
 - technical staff from Planning and Environmental look at the document and make comments
 - after the comments from technical folks have been incorporated the document gets sent to the Front Office and solicitor
 - After the two types of review described above, there may be additional review; normally Regional Director/solicitor and comments should be addressed before going through the next level of review.
 - Reclamation may schedule review team briefing for Deputy Secretary
 - The document sent to the review team put together by the Deputy Secretary should be the document expected to post for the public Reclamation Environmental indicated at least 2 rounds of review
- ICF and Authority to revisit project schedule and work with Reclamation to develop revised schedule that includes Reclamation process; also coordinate with Nate on other topics via email

- Reclamation confirmed 508 compliance; recommended keep figures simple so as to be able to describe figures; no formal criteria/guidance provided; ICF to review recent Reclamation document(s) to develop 508 compliant template.

Agenda Item 5

- Authority suggested setting regular meetings and discussed communication protocols with Reclamation

Action items

| Action Item | Owner | Deadline | Notes |
|---|---------------|----------|---|
| 1 Authority to more fully describe federal role | Authority | ongoing | |
| 2 Authority Team will prepare a matrix to identify: the differences between the 2017 EIR/EIS, what will be proposed in the revised EIR/EIS, what is proposed in Reclamation's feasibility report, and what will be proposed in the Authority's feasibility report; and, the potential differences in the analysis/results between the 2017 EIR/EIS and the Revised EIR/EIS. | Authority/ICF | ongoing | |
| 3 Authority to consider inclusion of an alternative in the EIR/EIS that is in Reclamation's feasibility report once we have a draft of the revised feasibility report. | Authority | ongoing | |
| 4 Reclamation Environmental to look at existing waivers and provide summary to ICF/Authority as to the scope/content of waivers (e.g., schedule, pages/length, other) | Reclamation | 6/8 | ICF and Authority will follow up with Reclamation but need to confirm soon to develop annotated outline for the EIR/EIS |
| 5 Authority and ICF to develop a project schedule that includes Reclamation review process, including checking with other recent projects and sources and will coordinate with Reclamation to confirm assumptions | Authority/ICF | 4 weeks | Follow up meeting with Reclamation management on 5/26 also included discussion of schedule for EIS and the Authority committed to have schedule for discussion at 6/23 meeting |
| 6 ICF to follow up with additional questions regarding EIS format/requirements via email | ICF | 5/22 | Email sent 5/22 to confirm: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ All standard topics related to NEPA will be included ○ NEPA guidance/modifications to climate change assessments and cumulative assessments not applicable since guidance hasn't been approved yet ○ Reclamation will review the Indian Trust Asset database and provide the Authority the results. |
| 7 ICF to review recent Reclamation document(s) to develop 508 compliant template. | ICF | ongoing | |



Reclamation Meeting Draft Agenda

Teleconference: + 1-888-578-9236 Participant: 4507694# Leader: 3956961#

[Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Date/Time: May 26, 2020, 3:00 pm

Meeting Objective: Coordination on near-term priorities.

- I. Introductions.
- II. Feasibility Report:
 - Recommendation Chapter - Are they ready?
 - Confirm 2 each 2-hour meetings are scheduled.
 - Review Schedule.
- III. EIS Coordination:
 - EIS schedule relative to Feasibility Report.
 - Coordination with EIR.
- IV. FY 2019/2020 WIIN Act Appropriation:
 - Status of Statement of Work.
 - Geotech Scope by Reclamation.
 - Reclamation Remaining Scope.
- V. FY 2020/2021 WIIN Act Appropriation.
- VI. COA Term Sheet Development.
- VII. Next Steps.



Reclamation Meeting Draft Agenda

Teleconference: + 1-888-578-9236 Participant: 4507694# Leader: 3956961#

Date/Time: June 16, 2020, 3:00 pm

Meeting Objective: Coordination on near-term priorities.

I. Introductions.

II. Feasibility Report:

- Status Update USBR
- Post Processing – Schedule Coordination

III. EIS Coordination:

- EIR objectives and alternatives

IV. FY 2019/2020 WIIN Act Appropriation:

- Status Update on Acquisition Process.
- Geotech Scope proposal review.

V. FY 2020/2021 WIIN Act Appropriation.

VI. COA Term Sheet Development.

- Reclamation Decision on Investment – Side by side schedule

VII. WAPA Coordination

VIII. Next Steps.

NEPA Process/Requirements Agenda



Date: June 25, 2020 **Location:** WebEx Link included in Outlook Invitation
 Call In by Phone: 1-510-338-9438;
 Access code: 126 943 6823; Password: 76872769

Time: 10:00 AM to 11:00 AM

Leader: Sites Integration/ICF **Recorder:** Sites Integration

Purpose: Assuming Federal Investment - Discuss Logistics of Preparing a Joint CEQA/NEPA Document

Attendees:

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Monique Briard, ICF | Russ Grimes, Reclamation | Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration |
| Ryan Davis, Reclamation | Erin Heydinger, Sites Integration | Nicole Williams, ICF |
| Linda Fisher, Sites Integration | Nate Martin, Reclamation | Natalie Wolder, Reclamation |

Agenda:

| Discussion Topic | Topic Leader | Time Allotted |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| 1. Introductions – Roll Call/Purpose of Meeting | Laurie | 2 min |
| 2. Prior Action Items | Laurie | 5 min |
| a. Authority to more fully describe federal role | | |
| b. Authority Team will prepare a comparison matrix of alternatives | | |
| c. Reclamation Environmental to look at existing waivers and provide summary to ICF/Authority as to the scope/content of waivers (e.g., schedule, pages/length, other) | | |
| d. Authority and ICF to develop a project schedule that includes Reclamation review process, including checking with other recent projects and sources and will coordinate with Reclamation to confirm assumptions | | |
| e. ICF to follow up with additional questions regarding EIS format/requirements via email | | |
| f. ICF to review recent Reclamation document(s) to develop 508 compliant template | | |
| 3. Status of Reclamation Feasibility Report | Ryan | 10 min |
| 4. Alternatives – Cross Walk Table | Laurie | 10 min |
| 5. Objectives/ Purpose and Need | Nicole | 10 min |
| a. Revised CEQA Objectives | | |
| b. NEPA Purpose and Need – update ? | | |
| 6. EIS Preparation | Nicole | 10 min |
| a. Waivers - scope/content | | |
| b. 508 compliance – schedule meeting with our technical specialists and the Reclamation contact | | |

| | | |
|--|-------|--------|
| 7. Schedule | Linda | 10 min |
| c. Reclamation Review Process/Steps | | |
| d. Sites Constraints due to California Water Commission Deadline | | |

| | | |
|---------------|-----|-------|
| 8. Next Steps | All | 3 min |
|---------------|-----|-------|

NEPA Process/Requirements Minutes



Date: June 25, 2020 **Location:** WebEx Link included in Outlook Invitation
 Call In by Phone: 1-510-338-9438;
 Access code: 126 943 6823; Password: 76872769

Time: 10:00 AM to 11:00 AM

Leader: Sites Integration/ICF **Recorder:** Sites Integration

Purpose: Assuming Federal Investment - Discuss Logistics of Preparing a Joint CEQA/NEPA Document

Attendees:

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Monique Briard, ICF | Russ Grimes, Reclamation | Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration |
| Ryan Davis, Reclamation | Erin Heydinger, Sites Integration | Nicole Williams, ICF |
| Linda Fisher, Sites Integration | Nate Martin, Reclamation | Natalie Wolder, Reclamation |

Agenda:

| Discussion Topic | Topic Leader | Time Allotted |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| 1. Introductions – Roll Call/Purpose of Meeting | Laurie | 2 min |
| 2. Prior Action Items | Laurie | 5 min |
| a. Authority to more fully describe federal role | | |
| b. Authority Team will prepare a comparison matrix of alternatives | | |
| c. Reclamation Environmental to look at existing waivers and provide summary to ICF/Authority as to the scope/content of waivers (e.g., schedule, pages/length, other) | | |
| d. Authority and ICF to develop a project schedule that includes Reclamation review process, including checking with other recent projects and sources and will coordinate with Reclamation to confirm assumptions | | |
| e. ICF to follow up with additional questions regarding EIS format/requirements via email | | |
| f. ICF to review recent Reclamation document(s) to develop 508 compliant template | | |
| 3. Status of Reclamation Feasibility Report | Ryan | 10 min |
| 4. Alternatives – Cross Walk Table | Laurie | 10 min |
| 5. Objectives/ Purpose and Need | Nicole | 10 min |
| a. Revised CEQA Objectives | | |
| b. NEPA Purpose and Need – update ? | | |
| 6. EIS Preparation | Nicole | 10 min |
| a. Waivers - scope/content | | |
| b. 508 compliance – schedule meeting with our technical specialists and the Reclamation contact | | |

| | | |
|--|-------|--------|
| 7. Schedule | Linda | 10 min |
| a. Reclamation Review Process/Steps | | |
| b. Sites Constraints due to California Water Commission Deadline | | |
| 8. Next Steps | All | 3 min |

Meeting Minutes:

Agenda Item 1

- Authority provided introduction and purpose of meeting – continued discussion of joint EIR/EIS process under assumption that there is federal investment and joint EIR/EIS

Agenda Item 2

- Authority provided brief recap of action items with more detail to follow under other agenda items

Agenda Item 3

- Reclamation provided an update on the status of the Feasibility Report (FR)
 - FR in policy for review, primary concerns include:
 - Waiver required for environmental feasibility
 - Lack of detailed operations and water rights

Agenda Item 4

- Authority presented comparative cross walk table of alternatives, developed by ICF, illustrating differences between Authority's Alternatives 1 and 2 and Alternatives A and D from the 2017 EIR/EIS. Discussed the primary differences including size of reservoirs, limited hydropower (no pump back storage), no Delevan Intake and release to Colusa Basin Drain and/or Sacramento River via new pipeline from the Tehema Colusa Canal near Dunnigan.

Agenda Item 5

- ICF provided an overview of proposed revisions to the CEQA project objectives
- Discussion of NEPA purpose and need and changes in the 2020 FR objectives to replace the water quality objective with:
 - CVP Operational Flexibility (OpFlex)
 - Delta Ecosystem Enhancement
- Follow up focused discussion of NEPA purpose and need to be included in next meeting

Agenda Item 6

- Discussion of the existing waivers
 - Reclamation clarified that there is a page limitation waiver from the required limit of 150 pages for an EIS to 300 pages for this project; these page limits do not apply to appendices and Reclamation suggested liberal use of appendices in presenting information
 - Time limit waiver – project is currently paused in Reclamation's data base and time limits are suspended; current deadline for ROD is August 2021 but would be based on when project is reinitiated in Reclamation data base; suggested this be addressed closer to when the draft EIS is proposed to be released; however the project will need to be reinitiated in the database once Reclamation begins working in earnest on the document

Agenda Item 7

- Authority presented Sites EIR milestone schedule with Reclamation review process added
 - Need to meet California Water Commission deadlines requires release of Revised Draft EIR in July 2021; current schedule confirms ability to meet that w/ concurrent reviews
 - Preliminary comments from Reclamation indicate review timeframes are ok; removal of in-house briefing process – not an Authority task
 - Authority to update milestone schedule based on Reclamation preliminary comments and send out for more review and any further comments

Action Items

1. ICF (Nicole) to coordinate with Reclamation (Nate) to set up meeting regarding 508 compliance
2. Authority to forward schedule to Reclamation for comments



Reclamation Meeting Draft Agenda

Teleconference: + 1-888-578-9236 Participant: 4507694# Leader: 3956961#

Date/Time: June 30, 2020, 3:00 pm

Meeting Objective: Coordination on near-term priorities.

- I. Introductions.
- II. Follow-up on action items from last meeting
- III. Feasibility Report:
 - Status Update USBR
 - Sites outreach in DC
- IV. EIS Coordination:
 - EIR objectives and alternatives
 - Modeling baseline
- V. FY 2019/2020 WIIN Act Appropriation:
 - Status Update on Acquisition Process.
 - Geotech Scope review.
- VI. FY 2020/2021 WIIN Act Appropriation.
 - June 22 Letter
 - COA Term Sheet Development.
- VII. Reclamation Decision on Investment – Side by side schedule
- VIII. Power Coordination
 - Did WAPA contact you?
 - Outreach to PGE
- IX. Next Steps

Reclamation Meeting Draft Agenda

Teleconference: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Date/Time: July 14, 2020, 3:00 pm

Meeting Objective: Coordination on near-term priorities.

I. Introductions.

II. Follow-up on action items from last meeting.

III. Feasibility Report:

- Is Policy debrief getting rescheduled?
- What were final changes coming out of Policy review?
- Sites meeting with Commissioner
- Post Processing Questions
 - Modeling, can this get started? What's being included in baseline (Shasta raise, LVE)?
 - Will a level 3 cost estimate be required (e.g. Dunnigan pipeline)?
 - Will alternative in post processing correspond to CWC feasibility?
 - Sites will want review of economic/financial of post processing, is that going to work for Reclamation?

IV. EIS Coordination

- What are we calling the EIS update?

V. FY19/20 WIIN Appropriation

- Status Update on Acquisition Process.
- Geotech Technical Meeting/Scheduling.
- LOMU.

VI. Reclamation Decision on Investment:

- Side by Side schedule

VII. Review of Action Items.

VIII. Next Steps.

Reclamation Meeting Draft Agenda

Teleconference: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Date/Time: July 28, 2020, 3:00 pm

Meeting Objective: Coordination on near-term priorities.

I. Introductions.

II. Follow-up on action items from last meeting.

- Post processing tasks/schedule (Ryan/Henry/Erin)
- Reclamation prior climate change analysis (Michael)
- EIS page limits (Richard) provide page # est (Laurie)
- Side by side schedule comments (Reclamation)

III. FY19/20 WIIN Appropriations

- Geotech Exploration – estimate of field days/costs??, reaching out to real estate, ESA coordination
- Financial Assistance Agreements status
- Single Audit for 2020?

IV. Feasibility Report:

- Where is it now?
- What were final changes coming out of Policy review?
- Sites meeting with Commissioner/Secretary
- Post Processing Tasks/Schedule

V. EIS Coordination

VI. Reclamation Decision on Investment:

- Side by Side schedule
- Operating Agreement Principles - WSIP and VP7 starter info

VII. Review of Action Items.

VIII. Next Steps.

Reclamation Meeting Draft Agenda

Teleconference: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Date/Time: August 11, 2020, 3:00 pm

Meeting Objective: Coordination on near-term priorities.

I. Introductions.

II. Follow-up on action items from last meeting.

- Geotech Field days, cost estimate, roles/responsibilities by Reclamation (followed by a LOMU)
- Dave and Richard provide drop dead for FFR edits on principles for operations agreement (done)
- Side by side schedule review by Michael with comments to Erin
- Post processing tasks/schedule (Ryan/Henry/Erin)

III. FY19/20 WIIN Appropriations

- Geotech Exploration – estimate, ESA coordination
- Financial Assistance Agreements status

IV. Feasibility Report:

- Where is it now?
- Principles of Operation Agreement in FFR
- Confirmation of Understanding

PL114-322 Section 4013 “This subtitle shall expire on the date that is 5 years after the date of its enactment, with the exception of--

(1) section 4004, which shall expire 10 years after the date of its enactment; and

(2) **projects under construction in sections 4007, 4009(a), and 4009(c).**

V. EIS Coordination

VI. Reclamation Decision on Investment:

- “Revised and Final” Side by Side schedule, baseline
- Update on Discussions with CVO/modeling

VII. Review of Action Items.

VIII. Next Steps.

Reclamation Meeting Draft Agenda

Teleconference: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Date/Time: August 25, 2020, 3:00 pm

Meeting Objective: Coordination on near-term priorities.

I. Introductions.

II. Follow-up on action items from last meeting.

- Discussion of EIS page limit issue (Richard/Stacey) circle back with Laurie
- Send Dave/Richard final side by side schedule for review (Erin), they will pass on to Ernest
- Dave speak with other storage projects about how meeting P1 75% local share commit with uncertainty of federal funding
- Write-up of 2 options being evaluated for Reclamation participation (Erin), provide to Dave/Richard

III. FY19/20 WIIN Appropriations

- Geotech Exploration – estimate, ESA coordination, schedule

IV. Feasibility Report:

- Where is it now?
- Principles of Operation Agreement in FFR
- Sites meeting with OMB

V. EIS Coordination

VI. Reclamation Decision on Investment:

- “Revised and Final” Side by Side schedule, baseline
- Update on Discussions with CVO/modeling
- 2 Options write-up
- Strategic Planning

VII. Review of Action Items.

VIII. Next Steps.

Reclamation Meeting Draft Agenda

Teleconference: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Date/Time: December 1, 2020, 3:00 pm

Meeting Objective: Coordination on near-term priorities

I. Introductions

II. Follow-up on action items from last meeting

- Henry to gather team and resolve permitting impacts of new ingress/egress for geotech work at Holthouse property
- Suzanne to follow-up with Reclamation staff to respond to rationale for diversion criteria and report out to John/Laurie
- Michael to respond to Sites team – does an alt 3 of Reclamation as a 25% investor address concerns?
- Ryan to share OMB materials re:FFR if allowable
- Laurie to provide Ryan tracking table for EIR/EIS review docs
- Melissa/Ryan/Laurie to decide on communication approach with cooperating agencies through EIR/EIS internal review period.
- Stacey/Richard to discuss schedule issues with delay to initiating consultation. Sites team thought this had been previously rectified to avoid delays.

III. FY19/20 WIIN Appropriations

- Geotech Exploration – field work schedule, ESA coordination, land access, any new issues
- Revised overall schedule

IV. Future Appropriations

- Sites team preliminary 2030 Master Project Schedule
- FY21 - \$4M, when can this be added to the FAA?
- FY22 - \$15M, FY23 - \$22M, etc

V. Feasibility Report

- Where is it now? Do we still expect to have secretarial determination by end of month?
- Coordinating key messages

VI. EIS Coordination

- Upcoming Document Review
- Issues with delaying the initiation of consultation

VII. Reclamation's Decision on Investment

- Technical Discussions Kicked-off
- Next Meeting Dec 15, 9am-11am

VIII. Review of Action Items

IX. Next Steps

Sites Reservoir Project – NEPA Coordination Agenda



Date: September 11, 2020

Location: WebEx Link included in Outlook Invitation

Time: 1:30 PM to 2:30 pm

Leader: Sites Integration/ICF

Recorder: Sites Integration

Purpose: Project Status, Approach to Joint Document and 508 Compliance

Attendees:

| | | |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Monique Briard, ICF | Melissa Dekar, Reclamation | Shay Humphrey, ICF |
| Mark Carper, Reclamation | Linda Fisher, Sites Integration | Dennis Johnson, ICF |
| Dan Cordova, Reclamation | Erin Heydinger, Sites Integration | Nicole Williams, ICF |
| Ryan Davis, Reclamation | Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration | Natalie Wolder, Reclamation |

Agenda:

| Discussion Topic | Topic Leader | Time Allotted |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| 1. Introductions – Roll Call and Introductions | Laurie | 2 min |
| 2. Prior Action Items | Laurie | 3 min |
| a. Authority to coordinate with Reclamation to set up 508 compliance meeting | | |
| b. Authority to consider page limits and joint document approach | | |
| c. Authority to update schedule based on Reclamation’s prior input | | |
| 3. Project Update | Ryan/Laurie | 10 min |
| a. Reclamation | | |
| i. Status of the Feasibility Report | | |
| b. Authority | | |
| i. Joint Document Approach – based on A. Leigh email | | |
| ii. Designation of the preferred project –September 17 | | |
| 4. 508 Compliance | Shay | 25 min |
| a. Confirm passing Adobe Accessibility Checker is sufficient for meeting compliance | | |
| b. Color themes | | |
| c. Including blank pages | | |
| d. Alt text for tables | | |
| e. Color Contrast Requirements for text overlaid on images | | |
| 5. EIR/EIS Deliverables | Nicole/Laurie | 10 min |
| a. Recent deliverables | | |
| i. Annotated Outline | | |
| ii. Preliminary Draft Chapter 2, Alternatives | | |
| b. Process for review | | |

| | | |
|--|-------|-------|
| 6. Schedule a. Confirm whether milestone schedule accommodates Reclamation reviews and still meets Authority's July release of the Revised Draft EIR/Supplemental EIS | Linda | 5 min |
| 7. Next Steps a. Revised CEQA Objectives – NEPA purpose and need b. Other? | All | 3 min |

Sites Reservoir Project – NEPA Coordination Meeting Minutes



Date: September 11, 2020

Location: WebEx Link included in Outlook Invitation

Time: 1:30 PM to 2:30 pm

Leader: Sites Integration/ICF

Recorder: Sites Integration

Purpose: Project Status, Approach to Joint Document and 508 Compliance

Attendees:

| | | |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Monique Briard, ICF | Melissa Dekar, Reclamation | Shay Humphrey, ICF |
| Mark Carper, Reclamation | Linda Fisher, Sites Integration | Dennis Johnson, ICF |
| Dan Cordova, Reclamation | Erin Heydinger, Sites Integration | Nicole Williams, ICF |
| Ryan Davis, Reclamation | Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration | Natalie Wolder, Reclamation |

Agenda:

| Discussion Topic | Topic Leader | Time Allotted |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| 1. Introductions – Roll Call and Introductions | Laurie | 2 min |
| 2. Prior Action Items | Laurie | 3 min |
| a. Authority to coordinate with Reclamation to set up 508 compliance meeting | | |
| b. Authority to consider page limits and joint document approach | | |
| c. Authority to update schedule based on Reclamation’s prior input | | |
| 3. Project Update | Ryan/Laurie | 10 min |
| a. Reclamation | | |
| i. Status of the Feasibility Report | | |
| b. Authority | | |
| i. Joint Document Approach – based on A. Leigh email | | |
| ii. Designation of the preferred project –September 17 | | |
| 4. 508 Compliance | Shay | 25 min |
| a. Confirm passing Adobe Accessibility Checker is sufficient for meeting compliance | | |
| b. Color themes | | |
| c. Including blank pages | | |
| d. Alt text for tables | | |
| e. Color Contrast Requirements for text overlaid on images | | |
| 5. EIR/EIS Deliverables | Nicole/Laurie | 10 min |
| a. Recent deliverables | | |
| i. Annotated Outline | | |
| ii. Preliminary Draft Chapter 2, Alternatives | | |
| b. Process for review | | |

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| 6. Schedule | Linda | 5 min |
| a. Confirm whether milestone schedule accommodates Reclamation reviews and still meets Authority's July release of the Revised Draft EIR/Supplemental EIS | | |
| 7. Next Steps | All | 3 min |
| a. Revised CEQA Objectives – NEPA purpose and need | | |
| b. Other? | | |

Meeting Minutes:

Agenda Item 1

- Authority provided introduction and purpose of meeting: provide an overview of project status, including the Authority's upcoming designation of the local preferred project; approach to joint EIR/EIS and 508 Compliance; Reclamation review of the EIR/EIS annotated outline and working draft EIR/EIS.

Agenda Item 2

- Authority provided brief recap of action items with more detail to follow under other agenda items.

Agenda Item 3

- Reclamation provided an update on the status of the Feasibility Report and review process.
- Authority team provided an update on the approach to the joint EIR/EIS, based on an email received from A. Leigh regarding page limits and waivers, specifically:
 - Since we are supplementing the draft EIS, we are now subject to the page limit requirements
 - Reclamation is generally supportive of requesting a page limit waiver (and additional time waiver if needed), but it would be requested later in the process, since the project is on paused status in the Department database.
 - Prepare the document as a joint CEQA/NEPA document and keep the page count as low as possible, making note of anything that is done to reduce length and keep the document as concise as possible.
 - If a page limit waiver is denied, Sites could certify the CEQA document; this should provide the analysis necessary to reference and create a concise NEPA document. Reclamation would continue to provide technical review during the document preparation.

Agenda Item 4

- There was a discussion, lead by the Authority team, on the 508 compliance process. Topics included color codes and color palate options, format of pages and tables, and tools for checking compliance.

Agenda Item 5

- The Authority team discussed the intent of the recent deliverables and clarified that these are working documents. The annotated outline was drafted primarily to provide the team with an approach to the layout and general content of the EIR/EIS and to provide guidance to technical staff writing sections. The preliminary draft project description – Chapter 2, Alternatives – has been developed in rough form as a first step in preparing the more complete description due in December. It will be used as a resource in the next weeks to fill data gaps.

Agenda Item 6

- The Authority gave a brief update on schedule refinement and process to update.

Reclamation Meeting Draft Agenda

Teleconference: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Date/Time: September 22, 2020, 3:00 pm

Meeting Objective: Coordination on near-term priorities

I. Introductions

II. Follow-up on action items from last meeting

- Richard to provide Feasibility Report briefings updates as they occur (complete)
- Richard to provide LOMU comments (complete)
- Richard to consider approach to Reclamation investment decision.

III. FY19/20 WIIN Appropriations

- Geotech Exploration – estimate, ESA coordination, land access
- LOMU Approval

IV. Feasibility Report

- Where is it now?
- Coordinating on OMB briefings
- Sites meeting with OMB

V. EIS Coordination

- Consideration of 1.8MAF Alternative
 - Evaluations before deciding

VI. Reclamation's Decision on Investment

- Path forward
- Reclamations review of storage projects

VII. Review of Action Items

VIII. Next Steps

Reclamation Meeting Draft Agenda

Teleconference: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Date/Time: October 8, 2020, 3:00 pm

Meeting Objective: Coordination on near-term priorities

I. Introductions

II. Follow-up on action items from last meeting

- Richard to “share notes” on OMB briefing materials
- Richard to sign LOMU upon staff concurrence
- Jerry to review pros/cons with Sites team regarding 1.8MAF alternative
- Erin to provide a Prop 1 flow chart to illustrate the process/timing for required 1/1/22 feasibility analysis
- Sites/Reclamation environmental teams to get together and prepare a joint schedule of activities leading to 7/2021 release of revised draft EIR/supplemental EIS

III. FY19/20 WIIN Appropriations

- Geotech Exploration – ESA coordination and land access
- Real Estate – coordinating access agreements
- LOMU Approval

IV. Feasibility Report

- Where is it now?
- Coordinating on OMB briefings
- Sites meeting with OMB
- Feasibility draft to OMB

V. EIS Coordination

- Consideration of 1.8MAF Alternative
 - Sites Approach
 - Reclamation Needs
- Other Considerations

VI. Reclamation’s Decision on Investment

- Meeting with Reclamation and Sites Leadership 10/9
- Reclamation Priorities for Storage Projects

VII. Review of Action Items

VIII. Next Steps

Sites Reservoir Project – NEPA Coordination Agenda



Date: October 13, 2020

Location: WebEx Link included in Outlook Invitation

Time: 11:30 AM to 12:30 pm

Leader: Reclamation/Sites

Recorder: Sites Integration

Purpose: Follow-up to 10/08/2020 USBR Coordination Meeting and Direction to Analyze More Storage

Attendees:

| | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Ryan Davis, Reclamation | Monique Briard, ICF | Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration |
| Dan Cordova, Reclamation | Linda Fisher, Sites Integration | Nicole Williams, ICF |
| Melissa Dekar, Reclamation | Erin Heydinger, Sites Integration | |
| Daniel Deeds, Reclamation | | |

Agenda:

| Discussion Topic | Topic Leader | Time Allotted |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| 1. Introductions – Roll Call and Introductions if new to NEPA call | Laurie | 2 min |
| 2. Project Update <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Reclamation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Status of the Feasibility Report b. Authority <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Designation of the preferred project –September 17 | Ryan/Laurie | 10 min |
| 3. Confirm Alternative to be analyzed - Our understanding is that Reclamation’s desire is to carry forward either a 1.8 MAF reservoir or be provided a greater share of the storage under the 1.5 MAF alternative. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. How much storage is required to meet Reclamation’s need (“The need is to provide surface water storage north of the Delta that is consistent with WIIN Act requirements and Reclamation Law”)? b. Would Reclamation be able to move forward with the 1.5 MAF reservoir if there is adequate storage available? c. Under the 1.8MAF scenario, can Delevan be eliminated and existing canals be used – has there been any effort to model this? | Ryan | 20 min |
| 4. EIR/EIS Approach - Discuss options for moving forward with the EIS. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The Authority’s preference is to move forward with the joint EIR/EIS as discussed with Stacey and Richard and we have set up our outline and templates accordingly. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Assuming we continue with a joint EIR/EIS and Reclamation provides modeling for a larger reservoir and/or greater share of storage, what would the schedule be for modeling? | Laurie | 20 min |

-
- ii. Can we meet the summer 2021 release date for a joint document?
 - b. As discussed on Friday, Reclamation is considering preparation of a separate EIS rather than the joint EIR/EIS.
 - i. Would the EIS incorporate by reference the EIR?
 - ii. Would Reclamation still participate in review of the EIR and appendices to insure consistency in the analysis?
 - iii. What would need to be done by the Authority to support Reclamation's EIS process?
 - iv. What would the modification to the Financial Assistance Agreement look like as the Authority would need additional funding to prepare a separate EIS?
 - c. Whether joint or separate, the Authority is available to support Reclamation in the Cooperating Agency process.
 - d. As the CEQA lead agency, the Authority will need to continue communicate with cooperating agencies outside of the NEPA process.

5. Next Steps

All

3 min

- a. Schedule Update
 - b. Revised CEQA Objectives compare to NEPA purpose and need
-

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Call

Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Safety, Trust & Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Meeting Participants:

Date: October 20, 2020 **Location:** [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

| | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Jerry Brown, Sites | Ali Forsythe, Sites | Erin Heydinger, Sites |
| Richard Welsh, Bureau | Henry Luu, Sites | Laurie Warner Herson, Sites |
| Don Bader, Bureau | Ryan Davis, Bureau | Sandra Williams, Bureau |
| Natalie Wolder, Bureau | John Spranza, Sites | Michael Mosley, Bureau |
| Mark Morberg, Bureau | Gregory Mongano, Bureau | Darryl Good, Bureau |
| Daniel Deeds, Bureau | | |

Agenda:

| Discussion Topic | Topic Leader | Time Allotted |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| 1. Introductions | All | |
| 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting | | 5 min |
| a. Laurie, Dan and Stacey to finalize “melded schedule” for environmental efforts | | |
| b. Richard to make OMB scheduling suggestions | | |
| c. Laurie to provide update Sites project objectives | | |
| 3. FY19/20 WIIN Appropriations | | 15 min |
| a. Geotech Exploration – ESA and Section 106 | | |
| b. Real Estate – getting access agreements signed | | |
| c. Adjustments for landowner concerns | | |
| d. Scheduling the work | | |
| 4. Feasibility Report | | 15 min |
| a. Where is it now? | | |
| b. Coordinating on OMB briefings | | |
| c. Reclamation/Sites meeting with OMB | | |

| | |
|---|--------|
| 5. EIS Coordination | 5 min |
| 6. Reclamation's Decision on Investment | 20 min |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Any questions about Prop 1 process and timing? b. Next steps on 1.8MAF alternative c. Modeling Progress d. Term sheet development | |
| 7. Review of Action Items | 5 min |

Sites Reservoir Project – NEPA Coordination Agenda



Date: October 22, 2020

Location: WebEx Link included in Outlook Invitation

Time: 9:00 AM to 10:00 am

Leader: Reclamation/Sites

Recorder: Sites Integration

Purpose: Follow-up to 10/20/2020 USBR Coordination Meeting and NEPA Coordination

Attendees:

| | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Ryan Davis, Reclamation | Monique Briard, ICF | Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration |
| Dan Cordova, Reclamation | Linda Fisher, Sites Integration | Nicole Williams, ICF |
| Melissa Dekar, Reclamation | Erin Heydinger, Sites Integration | |
| Daniel Deeds, Reclamation | | |

Agenda:

| Discussion Topic | Topic Leader | Time Allotted |
|---|----------------------|---------------|
| 1. Introductions – Roll Call and Introductions (if new to NEPA call) | Laurie | 2 min |
| 2. Follow-Up to USBR Coordination Meeting a. Recap of Meeting Re: Federal Alternative b. Reclamation – Direction and Path Forward c. Authority - Understanding of Approach | Ryan/Erin/ Laurie | 25 min |
| 3. Schedule a. Updated Schedule b. Schedule Focused Meeting | Melissa/Linda | 15 min |
| 4. ITA Query a. Scope b. Data Needs- GIS c. Timing – How soon is this needed? | Melissa/Nicole | 10 min |
| 5. CEQA Objectives/NEPA Purpose and Need (Comparison Table Attached) a. Review for Consistency | Laurie/Nicole | 5 min |
| 6. Next Steps | All | 3 min |

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Safety, Trust & Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Meeting Participants:

Date: November 3, 2020

Location: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m.

Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites
Richard Welsh, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau
Daniel Deeds, Bureau

Ali Forsythe, Sites
Henry Luu, Sites
Ryan Davis, Bureau
John Spranza, Sites
Gregory Mongano, Bureau

Erin Heydinger, Sites
Laurie Warner Herson, Sites
Sandra Williams, Bureau
Michael Mosley, Bureau
Darryl Good, Bureau

Agenda:

| Discussion Topic | Topic Leader | Time Allotted |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| 1. Introductions | All | |
| 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting a. NONE this time. | | 1 min |
| 3. FY19/20 WIIN Appropriations a. Geotech Work – Status of Permits, Landowner Agreements, Other Considerations b. 1 st FAA Invoice c. Amended MOU for 2021 and beyond | | 15 min |
| 4. Feasibility Report a. Reclamation Briefing with OMB b. Sites OMB Briefing | | 10 min |
| 5. EIS Coordination a. Aquatics Workshop Feedback b. Early Modeling Results | | 10 min |

| | |
|--|--------|
| 6. Reclamation's Decision on Investment | 20 min |
| a. Setting up a Series of Negotiation Meetings | |
| b. Timing for Determination | |

| | |
|---------------------------|-------|
| 7. Review of Action Items | 5 min |
|---------------------------|-------|

Sites-Reclamation Staff Meeting Agenda



Our Core Values – Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility and Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity
Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Information:

Date: November 10, 2020 **Location:** Webex
Start Time: 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.
Purpose: Coordinate on staff-level items

Meeting Participants:

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Ryan Davis, Reclamation | John Spranza, Sites Integration |
| Daniel Deeds, Reclamation | Derya Sumer, Reclamation |
| Erin Heydinger, Sites Integration | Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration |
| Henry Luu, Sites Integration | |

Agenda:

Discussion Topic

1. Modeling and diversion criteria
 2. Schedule
 3. MOU
 4. Operating term sheet
 5. NGO meetings
 6. BA kickoff
-

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Safety, Trust & Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Meeting Participants:

Date: November 17, 2020

Location: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m.

Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites
Richard Welsh, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau
Daniel Deeds, Bureau

Ali Forsythe, Sites
Henry Luu, Sites
Ryan Davis, Bureau
John Spranza, Sites
Gregory Mongano, Bureau

Erin Heydinger, Sites
Laurie Warner Herson, Sites
Sandra Williams, Bureau
Michael Mosley, Bureau
Darryl Good, Bureau

Agenda:

| Discussion Topic | Topic Leader | Time Allotted |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| 1. Introductions | All | |
| 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Jerry to provide Richard debrief of OMB mtg b. Erin to provide response to questions on diversion criteria c. Erin/Ryan – what got decided about regular meetings involving modelers? | | 5 min |
| 3. FY19/20 WIIN Appropriations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Geotech Work – Status of Permits, Landowner Agreements, Other Considerations b. 1st FAA Invoice | | 15 min |
| 4. Feasibility Report <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Debrief of Sites OMB mtg b. Reclamation response to questions c. Next Steps d. Timing of Secretarial Determination | | 10 min |

| | |
|--|--------|
| 5. EIS Coordination | 10 min |
| a. Next Aquatics Workshop – Diversion Criteria | |

| | |
|--|--------|
| 6. Reclamation’s Decision on Investment | 20 min |
| a. Negotiation Kick-off (5 topics in 5 months) | |
| b. Consideration of Reclamation Investment – timing for decision | |

| | |
|---------------------------|-------|
| 7. Review of Action Items | 5 min |
|---------------------------|-------|

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Safety, Trust & Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Meeting Participants:

Date: December 1, 2020 **Location:** [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)
Start Time: 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.
Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Jerry Brown, Sites | Ali Forsythe, Sites | Erin Heydinger, Sites | Michael Mosley, Bureau |
| Richard Welsh, Bureau | Henry Luu, Sites | Laurie Warner Herson, Sites | Darryl Good, Bureau |
| Don Bader, Bureau | Ryan Davis, Bureau | Sandra Williams, Bureau | Daniel Deeds, Bureau |
| Natalie Wolder, Bureau | John Spranza, Sites | Gregory Mongano, Bureau | Melissa Dekar, Bureau |
| Mark Morberg, Bureau | Susanne Manugian, Bureau | | |

| Discussion Topic | Topic Leader | Time Allotted |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| 1. Introductions | All | |
| 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting | | 5 min |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Henry to gather team and resolve permitting impacts of new ingress/egress for geotech work at Holthouse property b. Suzanne to follow-up with Reclamation staff to respond to rationale for diversion criteria and report out to John/Laurie c. Michael to respond to Sites team – does an alt 3 of Reclamation as a 25% investor address concerns? d. Ryan to share OMB materials re: FFR if allowable e. Laurie to provide Ryan tracking table for EIR/EIS review docs f. Melissa/Ryan/Laurie to decide on communication approach with cooperating agencies through EIR/EIS internal review period. g. Stacey/Richard to discuss schedule issues with delay to initiating consultation. Sites team thought this had been previously rectified to avoid delays. | | |
| 3. FY19/20 WIIN Appropriations | | 15 min |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Geotech Exploration – field work schedule, ESA coordination, land access, any new issues b. Revised overall schedule | | |

| | |
|--|---------------|
| 4. Future Appropriations | 5 min |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Sites team preliminary 2030 Master Project Schedule b. FY21 - \$4M, when can this be added to the FAA? c. FY22 - \$15M, FY23 - \$22M, etc | |
| 5. Feasibility Report | 10 min |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Where is it now? Do we still expect to have secretarial determination by end of month? b. Coordinating key messages | |
| 6. EIS Coordination | 10 min |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Upcoming Document Review b. Issues with delaying the initiation of consultation | |
| 7. Reclamation's Decision on Investment | 10 min |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Technical Discussions Kicked-off b. Next Meeting Dec 15, 9am-11am | |
| 8. Review of Action Items | 5 min |
| 9. Next Steps | 5 min |

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Safety, Trust & Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Meeting Participants:

Date: December 15, 2020

Location: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m.

Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

| | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Jerry Brown, Sites | Ali Forsythe, Sites | Erin Heydinger, Sites | Michael Mosley, Bureau |
| Richard Welsh, Bureau | Henry Luu, Sites | Laurie Warner Herson, Sites | Darryl Good, Bureau |
| Don Bader, Bureau | Ryan Davis, Bureau | Sandra Williams, Bureau | Melissa Dekar, Bureau |
| Natalie Wolder, Bureau | John Spranza, Sites | Gregory Mongano, Bureau | Susanne Manugian, Bureau |
| Mark Morberg, Bureau | | | |

Discussion Topic

Topic Leader **Time Allotted**

- | | | |
|--|-----|--------|
| 1. Introductions | All | |
| 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting | | 5 min |
| a. Meet to answer Reclamation Staff question on diversion criteria Melissa, Josh, Ryan | | |
| b. Michael/Richard to let Jerry know Reclamation's view on Alt 3 – 25% cost share (done) | | |
| c. Michael to let Jerry know if any new information required as a result of review of the 2030 master project schedule | | |
| d. Regarding EIS Coordination and initiating consultation, Reclamation to evaluate actions and roles for Reclamation | | |
| 3. FY19/20 WIIN Appropriations | | 15 min |
| a. Geotech Exploration – field work schedule, ESA coordination, land access, any new issues | | |
| b. Revised overall schedule | | |
| c. 1 st payment in the mail – THANK YOU! | | |
| 4. Future Appropriations | | 5 min |

-
- a. FY20 - \$4M, FY21 - \$9.7M
 - b. Extend or New MOU, timing
-

- 5. Feasibility Report 10 min
 - a. Running out of time!
 - b. State's Prop 1 Feasibility - Status Update
-

- 6. EIS Coordination 10 min
 - a. Document Review underway
 - b. Timing for project description
-

- 7. Reclamation's Decision on Investment 10 min
 - a. Results of this morning's meeting
 - b. Status of review of all the WIIN authorized project?
-

- 8. Review of Action Items 5 min
-

- 9. Next Steps 5 min
-

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Safety, Trust & Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Meeting Participants:

Date: December 29, 2020

Location: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m.

Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

| | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Jerry Brown, Sites | Ali Forsythe, Sites | Erin Heydinger, Sites | Michael Mosley, Bureau |
| Richard Welsh, Bureau | Henry Luu, Sites | Laurie Warner Herson, Sites | Darryl Good, Bureau |
| Don Bader, Bureau | Ryan Davis, Bureau | Sandra Williams, Bureau | Melissa Dekar, Bureau |
| Natalie Wolder, Bureau | John Spranza, Sites | Gregory Mongano, Bureau | Susanne Manugian, Bureau |
| Mark Morberg, Bureau | | | |

Discussion Topic

Topic Leader **Time Allotted**

- | | | |
|---|-----|--------|
| 1. Introductions | All | |
| 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting | | 5 min |
| a. None – Happy Holidays! | | |
| 3. WIIN Appropriations | | 15 min |
| a. January Geotech work – field work schedule, ESA Coor, Land Access | | |
| b. New Appropriations - \$13.7M, discuss uses of funds | | |
| 4. Future Appropriations | | 5 min |
| a. FY22 and FY23 – Anything needed? | | |
| 5. Feasibility Report | | 10 min |
| a. Thank you to entire team for making this happen. It was a big effort requiring everyone’s hard work. Great job! | | |
| b. Working on the Construction Cost Estimate Update | | |
| c. Post Processing Plan and Schedule | | |

| | |
|--|--------|
| 6. EIS Coordination | 10 min |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Chapter Reviews going well? b. Final Alternatives and Project Description to Board in January c. Permitting Discussions – What is coming up? d. Conducting NGO Workshops - FYI | |
| 7. Reclamation’s Decision on Investment | 10 min |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. “necessity for the project to <u>compete</u> with other proposed investments for funding in future budgets” statement in determination letter – What are the rules for the competition? b. New Administration – What changes expected? c. Technical Discussions – received the MBK memo and met with Kristin this morning d. P1 Storage Projects Roundtable e. CWC Review of Benefits | |
| 8. Review of Action Items | 5 min |
| 9. Next Steps | 5 min |