

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity. Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: January 26, 2021

Location: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m.

Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Ryan Davis, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Darryl Good, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Dan Cordova, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	
Luke Davis, Bureau			

Discussion Topic

Topic Leader **Time Allotted**

- | | | |
|---|-----|--------|
| 1. Introductions | All | |
| 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting | | 5 min |
| a. Reclamation to discuss internally and respond to Sites team on what constitutes a L3 cost estimate for Sites local led project by Feb 9 th . | | |
| b. Henry/Ryan to have by Feb 9 th the scope and division of labor for the FY20-21 \$13.7M Appropriation and schedule for FAA development. | | |
| c. Henry to provide Ryan an estimate of FY22 and FY23 Federal funding needs broken down by Federal FY and considering spending plans for FY20-21 Appropriation. | | |
| d. Henry to provide table of contents for State Feasibility Report being prepared by Sites team. | | |
| 3. WIIN Appropriations | | 15 min |
| a. Geotech work - field work schedule, ESA coordination, land access | | |
| b. FY20-21 Appropriations Work Plan | | |
| i. Any questions or issues while scoping progresses? | | |

4. EIS Coordination	10 min
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Batch 1B Reviews – Timing/Progress b. Chapter 2 – Did Natalie’s concerns get addressed? c. New Administration revisit of ROC on LTO 	
2. Feasibility Post Processing	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Alt 3 Operations Modeling b. Coordinating with Prop 1 feasibility report c. Addendum criteria and req’ts – as of last meeting Denver was working on it, any decisions made? 	
	10 min
3. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment	10 min
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Status Update <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Progress/next steps on Ops ii. Next issue is Power, meeting dates/attendees being set iii. Can Reclamation clarify timing and process for investment decision? 	
4. Review of Action Items	5 min
5. Next Steps	5 min

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity. Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: February 9, 2021

Location: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m.

Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Ryan Davis, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Darryl Good, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Dan Cordova, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	
Luke Davis, Bureau			

Discussion Topic

Topic Leader **Time Allotted**

- | | | |
|---|-----|--------|
| 1. Introductions | All | |
| 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting | | 5 min |
| a. Reclamation to discuss internally and respond to Sites team on what constitutes a L3 cost estimate for Sites local led project by Feb 9 th . | | |
| b. Henry/Ryan to have by Feb 9 th the scope and division of labor for the FY20-21 \$13.7M Appropriation and schedule for FAA development. | | |
| c. Henry to provide Ryan an estimate of FY22 and FY23 Federal funding needs broken down by Federal FY and considering spending plans for FY20-21 Appropriation. | | |
| d. Laurie to provide spreadsheet of prior comments and how addressed for Ch2 | | |
| e. Jerry to share Sites staff report describing self-defined 75% local cost share commitment to CWC for P1. (complete). | | |
| f. Conduct meeting to finalize approach to model operations of Alt 3. | | |
| 3. WIIN Appropriations | | 10 min |
| a. Current Geotech work - Progress, schedule to complete | | |

-
- b. FY20-21 Appropriations Work Plan, division of labor
 - c. Amended FAA/New FAA
 - d. Revised MOU Status?
-

- 4. EIS Coordination
 - a. Feb 4 Interagency meeting Follow-up - Lead Agency and Section 7 Confirmation
 - b. Chapter 2 Project Description Status
 - c. Batch 1B Progress
 - d. Overall RDEIR/SDEIS Schedule Change
 - e. Sites Town Hall Issues Raised (WQ, Div Criteria, PLAs)
 - f. New Administration revisit of ROC on LTO
-

- 5. Feasibility Post Processing
 - a. Alt 3 modeling and other analysis
 - b. Work plan and Schedule for OMB addendum
-

- 6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment 10 min
 - a. Redline/strikeouts on Ops term sheet
 - b. Follow-up on Power discussion
 - c. Joint meeting with DWR on Ops
-

- 7. Review of Action Items 5 min
-

- 8. Next Steps 5 min
-

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity. Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: February 23, 2021

Location: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m.

Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Ryan Davis, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Darryl Good, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Dan Cordova, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	
Luke Davis, Bureau			

Discussion Topic

Topic Leader **Time Allotted**

- | | | |
|---|-----|-------|
| 1. Introductions | All | |
| 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting: | | 5 min |
| a. Sites to provide updated cost estimate to its Board next month giving Reclamation a basis to determine whether this meets their requirements for a L3 cost estimate. | | |
| b. Erin/Henry to provide Reclamation a detailed package of scope, schedule and division of labor for \$13.7M FY20-21 Appropriation. | | |
| c. Vince to provide update from Reclamation economist review of WSIP 75% Local Cost Share commitment for all P1 projects and i) provide any needs from Reclamation to incorporate within these documents ii) what can Reclamation provide to signify its commitment to the project commensurate with this local commitment. | | |
| d. Vince to provide update on whether updated MOU can incorporate confirmation of i) Sites is qualified for WIIN, ii) construction has begun, iii) Section 7 consultation to be undertaken. | | |
| e. Jerry to work with Admin on scheduling changes for Ops term sheet March meetings. | | |

3. WIIN Appropriations:	10 min
a. Current Geotech work - Progress, schedule to complete.	
b. FY20-21 Appropriations Work Plan, division of labor.	
c. Amended FAA/New FAA.	
d. Revised MOU Status?	
4. EIS Coordination:	
a. Coordinating with the Services, need to get going .	
b. Chapter 2 Project Description is posted to Sites website.	
c. Batch 1B progress.	
d. NGO workgroups underway – Water Rights this week.	
5. Feasibility Addendum:	
a. Comparison of OMB vs CWC process.	
6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:	10 min
a. Redline/strikeouts on Ops term sheet.	
b. Follow-up on Power discussion.	
c. Joint meeting with DWR on Ops.	
7. Review of Action Items	5 min
8. Next Steps	5 min

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity. Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: March 9, 2021

Location: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m.

Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Ryan Davis, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Darryl Good, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Dan Cordova, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Luke Davis, Bureau			

Discussion Topic

Topic Leader **Time Allotted**

- | | | |
|--|-----|-------|
| 1. Introductions | All | |
| 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting: | | 5 min |
| a. Vince to provide update on updated MOU | | |
| 1. Status? | | |
| 2. Confirm still incorporates | | |
| i) Sites is qualified for WIIN | | |
| ii) Construction has begun | | |
| iii) Section 7 consultation to be undertaken. | | |
| b. Sites to provide updated cost estimate when available giving Reclamation a basis to determine whether the basis meets their requirements for a L3 cost estimate. | | |
| c. Erin/Henry to provide Reclamation a detailed package of scope, schedule and division of labor for \$13.7M FY20-21 Appropriation. | | |
| d. Jerry to provide suggestions to Reclamation of how it might support the WSIP 75% "Non-Public Benefit" Cost Share commitment for Sites which is needed by Sept Authority Board approval of Successor Agreement which will represent the Authority's cost share commitment to the CWC | | |

<p>3. WIIN Appropriations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Current Geotech work - Progress, schedule to complete b. FY20-21 Appropriations Work Plan, division of labor c. Amended FAA/New FAA. d. Revised MOU e. FY22-23 Appropriations Discussions 	10 min
<p>4. EIS Coordination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Coordinating with the Services, status of ICF modeling terrestrial habitat b. Chapter 2 Project Description on the website, any input? c. Batch 1B - status on key issues ag land, growth issues d. Next Batch timing e. NGO workgroups – next two scheduled 	
<p>5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. CWC feasibility b. 75% Non-public benefit cost share commitment, Level of Reclamation investment c. OMB addendum 	
<p>6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Redline/strikeouts on Ops term sheet b. Power discussion successfully concluded (for now) c. Water Rights discussion getting underway d. Joint meeting with DWR on Ops 	10 min
<p>7. Review of Action Items</p>	5 min
<p>8. Next Steps</p>	5 min

5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum:	10 min
a. CWC feasibility	
b. 75% Non-public benefit cost share commitment, Level of Reclamation investment	
c. OMB addendum	
6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:	10 min
a. Meeting with RD earlier today	
b. Water Rights Discussion	
c. Joint Meeting with DWR	
7. Review of Action Items	5 min
8. Next Steps	5 min

Sites-USBR Path Forward Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity. Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: March 23, 2021

Location: [Click here to join the meeting](#)

Start Time: 2:30 p.m.

Finish Time: 3:00 p.m.

Purpose: Review High Level Reclamation and Sites Authority coordination activities.

Meeting Participants:

Ernest Conant, Bureau
Jeff Sutton, Sites

Richard Welsh, Bureau
Jerry Brown, Sites

Fritz Durst, Sites

Thad Bettner, Sites

Discussion Topic

Topic Leader

Time Allotted

1. Introductions

All

2. Potential Storage Project Legislation

Jerry/All

15 min

a. Sites Team Understandings and Discussions

3. CWC Feasibility/OMB Addendum

Jerry/Richard

10 min

a. Timing

b. Decision on Federal Investment

4. Progress on Reclamation/Sites Technical Discussions

Jerry/Richard

5 min

a. With Reclamation

b. Jointly w/DWR

5. Next Steps

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. RDEIR/SDEIS Progress b. NGO Workgroup Mtgs – Debrief and upcoming mtgs 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. CWC Feasibility Schedule b. OMB feedback on Addendum Requests 	10 min
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Water Rights Discussion b. Joint Meeting with DWR c. Sites Legislative Visits Ongoing 	10 min
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7. Review of Action Items 	5 min
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8. Next Steps 	5 min

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity. Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: April 20, 2021

Location: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m.

Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vanessa King, Bureau	(meeting facilitator)	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Dan Cordova, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Luke Davis, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	
		Levi Johnson, Bureau	

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1. Introductions	All	
2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting		5 min
a. Jerry misplaced his notes so it's an honor system this time – anybody have any action items from last meeting to report out on? <i>(These are holdover items from the prior meeting)</i>		
b. Henry to provide Reclamation with schedule reflecting constructability review conducted by Sites to inform preparation of OMB addendum.		
c. Reclamation and Sites team to develop approach to be taken in the CWC feasibility for representing Reclamation investment in terms of public vs non-public benefits. Needs to be complete by end of May.		
3. WIIN Appropriations:		10 min
a. Amended FAA/New FAA		
b. Revised MOU – Sites Comments		
4. EIS Coordination:		10 min

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. RDEIR/SDEIS Progress b. NGO Workgroup Mtgs – Debrief and upcoming mtgs 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. CWC Feasibility Status Update b. OMB Addendum Schedule 	10 min
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Small Tech Group Sites/DWR re: Carriage Water, 4/22 b. Sites/DWR Tech Discussion #3, 4/26 c. Sites/Reclamation Water Rights Discussion #2, 4/29 	10 min
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7. Review of Action Items 	5 min
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8. Next Steps 	5 min

1. Project Details:
 - a. The Project is not designed to reduce water from other water users
 - i. None of the alternatives in the RDEIR/SDEIS would reduce M&I or agricultural water supply
 - b. Water supply in the 2017 DEIR/S ranged between 135 TAF and 218 TAF for the long term annual average; current alternatives are smaller, ranging between 119 TAF and 130 TAF, but still positive (Table 1)
2. Calsim Hydrologic Model:
 - a. The current reservoir sizes are smaller than those evaluated in the 2017 DEIR/S resulting in reduced release rates and reduced total volumes
 - b. The hydrologic model represents water supply deliveries to the same regions as previously analyzed in the 2017 DEIR/S and shows some relative reductions in areas (e.g., in the Sacramento Valley and Tulare/San Joaquin) due to smaller alternatives
 - c. Apportioning between regions has changed somewhat due to deliveries now based on project participation
 - d. The timing and spatial distribution of releases identified in the current hydrologic model are within the range of what was evaluated in 2017
3. SWAP Model for 2017 DEIR/S
 - a. Output from Calsim is allocated to SWAP districts
 - b. Inputs to SWAP in 2017 RDEIR/S were at the regional level for long term and dry/critically dry averages
 - c. Water deliveries to agriculture remain positive, although smaller as a result of storage participant changes between 2017 DEIR/S alternatives and current alternatives (Table 2)
4. M&I Models for 2017 DEIR/S
 - a. The regions outside of the Sacramento Valley represented by storage participants in the current alternatives are almost completely urban
 - b. Water deliveries to areas with M&I uses remain positive and have similar proportions of the total deliveries when compared to the 2017 DEIR/S results (Table 3)
 - c. Least Cost Planning Simulation Model (LCPSIM): an annual time-step urban water service system reliability management model; estimates least-cost water supply management strategy for SWP and CVP M&I supplies to the South Bay and the South Coast regions
 - d. Other Municipal Water Economics Model (OMWEM; predecessor to CWEST): spreadsheet model estimates economic benefits of changes in supplies based on estimated water supply and demand SWP and CVP M&I regions not included in LCPSIM
5. IMPLAN
 - a. Economic activity in the modeled area hasn't substantially changed since 2017
 - b. Any changes in economic activity associated with construction and operation of the alternatives would be positive
 - c. IMPLAN measures the change in the economy, and the project is not changing the basic relationships in the economy.
6. Approach: provide evidence that new hydrologic modeling would not substantively alter the previous positive economic results produced other models; document the unimportance of new economic model runs with results from the new Calsim output for Alts 1A and 1B, 2, and 3
 - a. Post processed current Calsim output to align with the previous output used for 2017 models and provided comparison between 2017 Calsim output in 2017 DEIR/S as input to other models and current Calsim output

4/22/2021

- i. Tables 2 and 3 indicate the benefits may not be as great under current alternatives as compared to 2017 alternatives, but nonetheless they are beneficial
 - ii. The distribution of results between north and south is different from 2017 vs. current alternatives as the 2017 DEIR/S was not informed by the participation of the storage participants.
- b. Reduced references to Alt A and Alt D in Chapter to focus more on the size of the reservoir and the water supply deliveries
- c. Include new appendix that shows comparisons of previous output and current output and previous 2017 economic appendices

Table 1 Regional Calsim Simulated Deliveries Comparison

	Sites Project Simulated Regional Deliveries							
	2017 EIR/S				2021 DEIR/S			
	Total - All Regions							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	164	135	165	218	131	128	119	130
Dry and Critically Dry Years	328	267	339	415	316	317	287	295
Wet Years	84	76	84	98	-2	-7	0	2
Above Normal Years	35	81	39	67	37	34	34	70
Below Normal Years	63	2	40	138	54	47	48	58
Dry Years	310	242	306	387	345	343	315	317
Critically Dry Years	355	306	388	457	274	278	245	262
	Sacramento Valley							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	22	11	20	96	30	29	29	31
Proportion of Total	13%	8%	12%	44%	23%	23%	24%	24%
Dry and Critically Dry Years	28	13	23	171	67	65	64	70
Proportion of Total	9%	5%	7%	41%	21%	21%	22%	24%
Wet Years	9	9	10	23	4	4	4	4
Above Normal Years	19	11	29	49	4	4	4	4
Below Normal Years	34	7	24	107	21	21	18	22
Dry Years	25	17	26	146	61	64	60	61
Critically Dry Years	33	8	18	209	75	67	70	83
	North Bay/South Bay							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	11	10	12	9	11	11	10	10
Proportion of Total	7%	7%	7%	4%	8%	8%	9%	8%
Dry and Critically Dry Years	21	18	23	17	25	24	23	22
Proportion of Total	6%	7%	7%	4%	8%	8%	8%	7%
Wet Years	6	5	5	6	0	0	0	-1
Above Normal Years	3	8	4	4	2	3	2	5
Below Normal Years	5	2	5	5	7	8	8	9
Dry Years	17	15	18	15	28	26	25	24
Critically Dry Years	27	22	30	21	22	22	19	19
	San Joaquin/Tulare Lake/Central Coast							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	56	35	51	41	7	11	6	28
Proportion of Total	34%	26%	31%	19%	5%	9%	5%	22%
Dry and Critically Dry Years	107	77	104	81	15	29	14	47
Proportion of Total	33%	29%	31%	20%	5%	9%	5%	16%
Wet Years	28	15	21	25	-5	-5	-3	3
Above Normal Years	18	38	25	15	25	24	24	49
Below Normal Years	27	-23	11	6	-4	-7	-6	17
Dry Years	115	71	104	87	27	46	26	64
Critically Dry Years	95	87	104	72	-3	5	-6	21
	South Coast - East/West Branch							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	76	80	83	71	83	76	74	60
Proportion of Total	46%	59%	50%	33%	64%	60%	62%	46%
Dry and Critically Dry Years	172	159	188	145	210	198	187	156
Proportion of Total	53%	60%	56%	35%	66%	63%	65%	53%
Wet Years	41	47	48	44	-1	-6	-1	-4
Above Normal Years	-5	25	-19	-1	5	3	5	13
Below Normal Years	-3	15	1	21	30	25	28	10
Dry Years	153	140	158	138	229	207	204	168
Critically Dry Years	201	189	235	155	181	184	161	139

Notes:

1. The 2017 EIR/S analyzed a 1.81 MAF reservoir with three intakes while the 2021 DEIR/S analyzed a 1.5 MAF reservoir with two intakes. Additionally the 2021 DEIR/S includes refined diversion criteria. As a result of this, overall deliveries are lower in the 2021 DEIR/S alternatives.
2. There is a significant decrease in Wet and Above Normal Year deliveries since there are many water year-type constraints on Authority deliveries in the 2021 DEIR/S alternatives.
3. Deliveries to the Sacramento Valley in 2017 EIR/S Alternative D are much higher than the other 2017 EIR/S alternatives due to a 320 TAF dedicated account for Sacramento Valley participants. The other 2017 EIR/S alternatives do not include this account.
4. The large decrease in San Joaquin/Tulare Lake/Central Coast deliveries from the 2017 EIR/S to the 2021 DEIR/S is due to the fact that there was a dedicated SWP Sites account and a large CVP Sites account in the 2017 EIR/S alternatives that delivered water throughout the CVP and SWP systems. In the 2021 DEIR/S, there is no SWP account and two alternatives have no CVP account, so Sites deliveries are based on participation levels. Participation levels in the San Joaquin and Tulare Lake regions are relatively small.

Table 2 SWAP Calsim Output Comparison

	Sites Project Simulated Regional Ag Deliveries							
	2017 EIR/S				2021 DEIR/S			
	Total - All Regions							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	69	37	61	130	37	41	35	58
Dry and Critically Dry Years	120	76	110	241	82	96	79	116
	Sacramento Valley							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	19	9	16	94	30	29	28	29
Proportion of Total	27%	23%	26%	72%	81%	70%	80%	50%
Dry and Critically Dry Years	25	11	19	169	66	64	64	66
Proportion of Total	20%	14%	17%	70%	80%	67%	80%	57%
	North Bay/South Bay							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Proportion of Total	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Dry and Critically Dry Years	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
Proportion of Total	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%
	San Joaquin/Tulare Lake/Central Coast							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	49	28	44	36	7	12	6	28
Proportion of Total	71%	76%	72%	27%	18%	28%	18%	48%
Dry and Critically Dry Years	93	65	89	70	15	30	14	48
Proportion of Total	78%	85%	81%	29%	18%	31%	18%	41%
	South Coast - East/West Branch							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Proportion of Total	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Dry and Critically Dry Years	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Proportion of Total	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%

Notes:

1. The 2017 EIR/S analyzed a 1.81 MAF reservoir with three intakes while the 2021 DEIR/S analyzed a 1.5 MAF reservoir with two intakes. Additionally the 2021 DEIR/S includes refined diversion criteria. As a result of this, overall deliveries are lower in the 2021 DEIR/S alternatives.
2. Deliveries to the Sacramento Valley in 2017 EIR/S Alternative D are much higher than the other 2017 EIR/S alternatives due to a 320 TAF dedicated account for Sacramento Valley participants. The other 2017 EIR/S alternatives do not include this account.
3. The large decrease in San Joaquin/Tulare Lake/Central Coast deliveries from the 2017 EIR/S to the 2021 DEIR/S is due to the fact that there was a dedicated SWP Sites account and a large CVP Sites account in the 2017 EIR/S alternatives that delivered water throughout the CVP and SWP systems. In the 2021 DEIR/S, there is no SWP account and two alternatives have no CVP account, so Sites deliveries are based on participation levels. Participation levels in the San Joaquin and Tulare Lake regions are relatively small.

Table 3 M&I Calsim Output Comparisons

	Sites Project Simulated Regional M&I Deliveries							
	2017 EIR/S				2021 DEIR/S			
	Total - All Regions							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	95	97	104	88	94	86	84	71
Dry and Critically Dry Years	207	191	229	174	234	221	208	179
	Sacramento Valley							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	3	2	4	2	0	0	0	2
Proportion of Total	3%	2%	3%	2%	0%	0%	0%	3%
Dry and Critically Dry Years	3	3	4	2	0	1	0	4
Proportion of Total	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%
	North Bay/South Bay							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	10	10	11	9	11	11	10	10
Proportion of Total	11%	10%	11%	10%	12%	12%	12%	13%
Dry and Critically Dry Years	19	17	22	16	25	23	22	20
Proportion of Total	9%	9%	10%	9%	11%	11%	11%	11%
	San Joaquin/Tulare Lake/Central Coast							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	6	6	7	6	0	0	0	0
Proportion of Total	7%	7%	7%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Dry and Critically Dry Years	13	12	15	11	0	0	-1	-1
Proportion of Total	6%	7%	7%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	South Coast - East/West Branch							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	75	79	82	71	83	76	74	60
Proportion of Total	80%	82%	79%	81%	88%	88%	88%	84%
Dry and Critically Dry Years	171	159	188	144	209	197	186	155
Proportion of Total	83%	83%	82%	83%	89%	89%	89%	87%

Notes:

1. The 2017 EIR/S analyzed a 1.81 MAF reservoir with three intakes while the 2021 DEIR/S analyzed a 1.5 MAF reservoir with two intakes. Additionally the 2021 DEIR/S includes refined diversion criteria. As a result of this, overall deliveries are lower in the 2021 DEIR/S alternatives.
2. Deliveries to the Sacramento Valley in 2017 EIR/S Alternative D are much higher than the other 2017 EIR/S alternatives due to a 320 TAF dedicated account for Sacramento Valley participants. The other 2017 EIR/S alternatives do not include this account. **However those deliveries were all Ag, so this is not reflected when looking solely at M&I deliveries.**
3. The large decrease in San Joaquin/Tulare Lake/Central Coast deliveries from the 2017 EIR/S to the 2021 DEIR/S is due to the fact that there was a dedicated SWP Sites account and a large CVP Sites account in the 2017 EIR/S alternatives that delivered water throughout the CVP and SWP systems. In the 2021 DEIR/S, there is no SWP account and two alternatives have no CVP account, so Sites deliveries are based on participation levels. Participation levels in the San Joaquin and Tulare Lake regions are relatively small.

info on fishery trust assets b/c those are not mapped
in our gis layer”

- b. Modeling results and approach to complete ITA
analysis

4. Action Items	Team	5 mins
-----------------	------	--------

6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:	20 min
a. Reclamation Scenario Planning – Vince action item from 4/29 water rights tech disc #2	
b. Sites/Reclamation Operations/ESA Coordination – 11a-12p May 4 (report out)	
c. Joint Group Meeting - 8a-10a May 6, COA Accounting focus	
d. Sites/DWR Water Rights Meeting, 3p-5p May 13, review Sites water application materials (already completed w/Reclamation)	
e. Sites/Reclamation Water Rights Meeting – TBD	
f. Joint Small Group Tech Meeting – TBD, Carriage Water	
7. Review of Action Items	5 min
8. Next Steps	5 min

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity. Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: May 18, 2021

Location: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m.

Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Dan Cordova, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Luke Davis, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1. Introductions	All	
2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting		5 min
a. Vanessa to confirm total \$ and scope of FAA amendments so that Sites includes correct information in item being taken to its board on 5/20		
b. Reclamation and Sites would like to finalize MOA language and working to determine final language around ESA Section 7 consultation.		
c. Vince to review what Reclamation could provide in additional assurances (beyond what already exists) that its involvement in Sites would not affect obligations on the Trinity River.		
d. Henry to provide Sites updated cost estimate to confirm it meets cost estimating guidelines for Reclamation's use in the OMB addendum.		
3. WIIN Appropriations:		10 min
a. Amended FAA/New FAA		
b. Revised MOA – Reclamation to Respond to Sites Comments		
c. STREAM Act/HR2		
4. EIS Coordination:		10 min

a. Schedule for Chapter reviews	
5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum:	10 min
a. Item 3.3 on RC/AB Mtg Agenda	
b. OMB Addendum Aug '21 submission – Any support needed from Sites team?	
6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:	20 min
a. Outcome of Sites/DWR Water rights Discussion	
b. Joint Technical Discussion #3 – May 27, 3p-5p, COA Accounting Focus	
c. Joint Small Group Tech Meeting – TBD, Carriage Water (Sites team preparing a proposal)	
d. Sites/Reclamation Water Rights Meeting #3 - TBD	
7. Review of Action Items	5 min
8. Next Steps	5 min

4. EIS Coordination:	10 min
a. Additional Chapters Coming	
b. Process to address comments	
c. Overview of EIR to RC/AB - #3 of 4 in June and approval to release in July with release occurring August 26	
d. AB52 outreach	
e. Next Scheduled Interagency Meeting	
5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum:	10 min
a. Feasibility Cost Estimate Messaging	
b. CWC Presentation 6/16	
c. SWC Board Presentation 6/16	
d. DWR's Cumulative Analysis of P1 Storage Projects	
6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:	20 min
a. Outcome of COA discussion/Updated Ops Term Sheet	
b. Joint Small Group Tech Meeting – Being Scheduled next two weeks, Carriage Water (Review Sites proposal)	
c. Sites/Reclamation Water Rights Meeting #3 – Being Scheduled before end of June, Review CVP water rights	
d. Joint Technical Discussion – Being Scheduled for end of June, Wrap up Revised Ops Term Sheet (for now)	
7. Review of Action Items	5 min
8. Next Steps	5 min

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity. Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: June 29, 2021

Location: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m.

Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Dan Cordova, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Luke Davis, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau

Discussion Topic

Topic Leader **Time Allotted**

- | | | |
|---|-----|--------|
| 1. Introductions | All | |
| 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting: | | 5 min |
| a. | | |
| b. Reclamation and Sites would like to finalize Cost Sharing MOA language and are working to determine final language around ESA Section 7 consultation for operations. Richard and Jerry have been discussing possible solutions and meeting set for June 22 @ 9:00 am. | | |
| c. Laurie/Don B/Ali meet to discuss more specifics about concerns being raised by Trinity River interests about Reclamation operations. | | |
| d. Henry to provide feasibility cost estimate information upon release of materials to Reservoir Committee participants which is expected first week of June. | | |
| 3. WIIN Appropriations: | | 10 min |
| a. | | |
| b. Status of Amended FAA | | |
| c. Status of New FAA | | |

~~d. Geotech, Denver Batch 1 in June and Batch 2 in July~~

4. EIS Coordination: 10 min
- a.
 - ~~b. Cooperating Agency comments~~
 - ~~c. Live edits sessions~~
 - ~~d. Last Overview to Sites Boards June 23~~
 - ~~e. Extended Tribe outreach letter (not AB52)~~
-

5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum: 10 min
- a.
 - ~~b. Feasibility Cost Estimate – any questions or concerns?~~
 - ~~c. CWC Presentation 6/16~~
 - ~~d. SWC Board Presentation 6/16~~
 - ~~e. DWR’s Cumulative Analysis of P1 Storage Projects – coming in June~~
-

6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment: 20 min
- a. Joint Technical Discussion – Scheduled for July 9 @11, Wrap up Revised Ops Term Sheet (for now)
 - b.
 - ~~c. Received Reclamation edits to Ops Term Sheet~~
 - ~~d. Joint Small Group Tech Meeting (Carriage Water) – June 14 @ 3:00 pm~~
 - ~~e. Sites/Reclamation Water Rights Meeting #3 – Review CVP water rights – June 24 @ 3:00 pm~~
 - ~~f. Joint Technical Discussion – Being Scheduled for end of June, Wrap up Revised Ops Term Sheet (for now)~~
-

7. Review of Action Items 5 min

8. Next Steps 5 min

Reclamation Discretionary Actions:

- 1) Issuance of a Warren Act Contract to the Project.
- 2) Entering into an agreement for the cooperative operations of the Sites Project with the CVP operations.
- 3) Issuance of a Land Lease to allow for modifying a federal facility and placing non-federal property on federal lands for the Project (modifications to Red Bluff Pumping Plant [this is likely minor and wouldn't require a lease], Funks Reservoir, and placing Sites Project facilities on Federal Property).
- 4) Investing in the Project
 - a. Funding a portion of the construction with federal appropriations
 - b. Managing Reclamation's Storage Allocation in Sites, providing direction to the Authority on how to operate Reclamation's portion of the reservoir and received the resulting water supply benefits derived from being an investor
 - c. Funding Reclamation's operations costs through federal appropriations

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity. Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: June 29, 2021

Location: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m.

Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Dan Cordova, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Luke Davis, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1. Introductions	All	
2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting:		5 min
a. Reclamation and Sites to finalize Cost Sharing MOA language. See June 24 5:19p email JDB to RWelsh.		
b. ESA Section 7 consultation for operations and maintenance – group met on June 22 9:00a. Small group meeting to take place on ???. Followed by entire group meeting again on July 13 9:00a. The goal is to have issue resolved by end of month.		
c. Laurie/Don B/Ali meeting on June 29 to discuss more specifics about concerns being raised by Trinity River interests about Reclamation operations.		
d. Richard to confirm Feasibility Cost Estimate is sufficient for OMB addendum process.		
e. Jerry to provide Vince Dave Okita’s contact info. COMPLETE		
3. WIIN Appropriations:		10 min
a. Status of Amended FAA		

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> b. Status of New FAA – now the scope/schedule of Amendment 3 is set this can proceed. c. Geotech, Denver Batch 1 in June and Batch 2 in July (on track?) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. EIS Coordination: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Cooperating Agency comments b. Live edits sessions c. Asking for Sites to authorize release of public draft @ July Board mtgs d. Federal Process and Timing for Release of SDEIS e. Extended Tribe outreach letter (not AB52) – any response? 	10 min
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Feasibility Cost Estimate Finalized – When is OMB Adendum? b. CWC Feasibility Analysis Update c. DWR’s Draft Flow Analysis of P1 Storage Projects (part of cumulative analysis)– preliminary finding is minimal changes to the flow regime in the Delta and Sacramento River from all projects. 	10 min
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Sites Will be Issuing “Up to Date Technical Discussion Documents” This Week - Revised Ops Term Sheet along with Updates for Water Rights Annex, Power Annex, Carriage Water Proposal – (collectively these documents represent the current status of the ongoing joint technical discussions) b. Reclamation/DWR follow-up to Joint Small Group Tech Meeting (Carriage Water) – Status? c. Sites/Reclamation Water Rights Meeting #3 –Review CVP water rights – June 24 @ 3:00 pm – COMPLETE d. Joint Technical Discussion – July 9 @ 11:00am – i) Review Carriage Water Proposal, ii) Confirm “Up to Date Technical Discussion Documents” 	20 min
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7. Review of Action Items 	5 min
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8. Next Steps 	5 min

-
- b. Timing of Reclamation Letter for 2022 WIIN Storage Account???
 - c. Any other Drought related Activities that be of interest? (David Polumbo comment to House ENR Committee)
-

- | | |
|---|--------|
| 4. EIS Coordination: | 10 min |
| a. Sites Board being asked to authorized release of public draft – to occur ~Aug 26 | |
| b. Reclamation process for release of SDEIS – Is everything moving along as needed? | |
-

- | | |
|--|--------|
| 5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum: | 10 min |
| a. What wait until Fall for Final submittal? Thought it was to be done in August | |
-

- | | |
|---|--------|
| 6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment: | 20 min |
| a. Adjustment of Sites Board Item to exclude Term Sheet and Annexes | |
| b. Does Reclamation plan to address the Sites Board on this item? | |
| c. Carriage Water Discussions with Reclamation – Next Steps? | |
| d. There are no other technical discussions scheduled at this time. | |
| e. Reclamation Investment and Involvement – Path Forward | |
-

- | | |
|---------------------------|-------|
| 7. Review of Action Items | 5 min |
|---------------------------|-------|
-

- | | |
|---------------|-------|
| 8. Next Steps | 5 min |
|---------------|-------|
-

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity. Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: July 27, 2021 **Location:** [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)
Start Time: 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.
Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Dan Cordova, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Luke Davis, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1. Introductions	All	
2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Vanessa to schedule a follow-up discussion between Reclamation Willows team reviewing feasibility cost estimate and Henry with others as needed. – done. met last week, all questions answered b. Ali to follow-up with Levi, Dave, Richard on risk issues identified related to ESA Section 7 consultation. Meeting held – got through some topics but not all, scheduling follow-up c. Reclamation to provide any feedback on the discussion of Reclamation’s participation in the pertinent sections of the State Feasibility Report. – Henry sent description, no comments from Reclamation on what was sent. Reclamation wants more info on benefit and cost allocation. Henry to send the full admin draft to Vince. 	5 min	
3. WIIN Appropriations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Batch 2 Geotech Lab Results from Denver Complete? Nearly ready to do data transmittal. Working on reports. Suggest dividing into rock testing 		10 min

report and soil testing report. Few weeks for external review process of reports.

- b. Amended FAA – need to start billing against this agreement for cash flow
Delayed due to staffing issues with grants office.
- c. New FAA – needs to be approved by September to be accounted in A3 budget Sites waiting to submit until draft TOs – will not be approved by September (hasn't been submitted yet) but may be submitted by September. Jerry – let's talk on this item because I think we can still count these funds in our A3 budget, just need to be conservative on timing.
- d. MOA status? One more person before Richard/Ernest review, then to be routed through Sites
- e. Infrastructure Bill FY22 Approps Letter – Any updates? Richard reached out to Jerry earlier this week, \$80M request for appropriation

Commented [jb1]: The current FAA is out of money as of this month.

4. EIS Coordination:

10 min

- a. Update on Sites Board action to release public draft – Laurie provided update. Reclamation staff to review latest version of Chapter 11 and appendices, by Friday draft Ch. 11 should be complete and go through Reclamation review.
- b. Reclamation sign off process One-week delay from what they were originally anticipating, will know more at the end of the week. Will let us know when things will be shipped out to DC.

Commented [jb2]: Would like to hear from Reclamation what this process entails. Mainly interested to hear the date at which the process goes out of the MP region hands and into DC hands so that our Sites East team is aware of timing.

5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum:

10 min

- a. Status updated on State Feasibility – provided update. In a holding pattern until hearing back from Commission staff. Henry sent Vanessa and Vince a link with admin draft, asked for the 3 week review by Reclamation.

Commented [jb3]: Henry to provide

6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:

20 min

- a. Sites Board feedback on Technical Discussions
- b. Carriage Water Modeling Discussion Reclamation/Jacobs Small Group – Aug 3
- c. Sites will be scheduling a joint meeting to finalize carriage water sometime in Aug – Vince unsure if they can commit or agree to anything. Need to walk through the process with them and let them know what decision is needed when.
- d. Water Rights coordination with Reclamation Water Rights Team – Vanessa to start tracking. Ali provided summary of meetings we've had

Commented [jb4]: You can let them know any feedback we get from our boards.

Commented [jb5]: Ali wants to get commitment on how we're going to address their issues as they come so we don't get surprised at the last minute.

<p>and objective of no-protest or friendly protest. Ali to draft process for water rights.</p> <p>e. Sites team meetings with SWRCB Board members (D'adamo/Macquire done, Firestone/Morgan, Esquivel not scheduled) Ali provided update.</p>	
<p>7. Review of Action Items – Ali to put together water rights process/coordinating with Reclamation Henry to send admin draft feasibility - DONE Vanessa to send latest MOA Vanessa to provide update on FAA amendment Erin to work with Steve on an agenda and objectives for Tuesday carriage water meeting</p>	5 min
<p>8. Next Steps</p>	5 min

<p>a. ICF would like to start 508 compliance around 8/9 assuming posting of federal register on 9/3.</p> <p>b. Will need Reclamation’s approval of the meta data that is included with each file. Draft meta data below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adobe Document Properties – Meta Data • Title: Chapter/Appendix Title To Be Added e.g. Executive Summary, Sites Reservoir Project Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report/Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement • Author: Sites Project Authority and Bureau of Reclamation, California-Great Basin Region • Subject: Sites Reservoir Project Revised Draft Environmental Impact Report/Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement • Key Words: NEPA, CEQA, National Environmental Policy Act, California Environmental Quality Act, Bureau of Reclamation, Sites Project Authority, Environmental Impact Report, Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement, EIR/SEIS, Water Supply Reliability, Surface Water Storage, Endangered Species Act, ESA <p>c. Accessibility - Insertion of fly sheet with contact information</p>	<p>Reclamation</p>
<p>5. Action Items/Follow Up</p>	<p>All 5 mins</p>

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: August 10, 2021 **Location:** [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)
Start Time: 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Luke Davis, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau	

Discussion Topic

Topic Leader **Time**

- | | | |
|---|-----|--------|
| 1. Introductions | All | |
| 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting: | | 5 min |
| a. Ali to put together water rights process/coordinating with Reclamation | | |
| b. Henry to send admin draft feasibility - DONE | | |
| c. Vanessa to send latest MOA - DONE | | |
| d. Vanessa to provide update on FAA amendment | | |
| 3. WIIN Appropriations: | | 10 min |
| a. FAA Status | | |
| i. Amended FAA | | |
| ii. New FAA | | |
| iii. Relative to Authority's A3 Work Planning | | |
| b. MOA Status | | |

4. EIS Coordination: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Status of Final Edits and Reclamation Review b. CDFW Discussions c. Updated Schedule 	10 min
5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. State Feasibility and Answers to 3 Big Questions Going to Authority Board in September b. OMB Addendum – Determining Reclamation Benefits, Timing 	10 min
6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Carriage Water – Next Steps b. ESA Section 7 Consultation for Operations – Legal Meeting c. Water Rights Coordination – Review Coordination Schedule d. Next Steps on Technical Discussions – Ops Term Sheet and Annexes, Sites Operations Plan 	20 min
7. Review of Action Items <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. 	5 min
8. Next Steps	5 min

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: August 24, 2021

Location: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m.

Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Ali Forsythe, Sites	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Natalie Taylor, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau	
Luke Davis, Bureau			

Discussion Topic

Topic Leader

Time

1. Introductions

All

2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting:

5 min

- a. Ali to put together water rights process/coordinating with Reclamation. Levi to provide any additional Reclamation comments on Ops Term Sheet (incl Water Rights Annex) to inform the schedule Ali is preparing.
- b. Erin/Henry/Vanessa to review scope of work for New FAA (\$13.7M)
- c. Richard to consider sending email confirming project is in construction in accordance with WIIN requirements. If not an email, some other form of documentation is needed.
- d.

3. WIIN Appropriations:

5 min

-
- a. FAA Status
 - i. Amended FAA
 - ii. New FAA
 - iii. Relative to Authority's A3 Work Planning
 - b. MOA Status
-

- 4. EIS Coordination: 20 min
 - a. Status of Final Edits and Reclamation Review
 - b. CDFW Discussions
 - c. Updated Schedule
-

- 5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum: 10 min
 - a. Comments from State review of admin draft state feasibility
 - b. OMB Addendum –
 - i. Scope, a different alternative?
 - ii. Schedule, Draft due ~Sept 15
 - c. State Feasibility and Answers to 3 Big Questions Going to Authority Board in September
 - d.
-

- 6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment: 20 min
 - a. Carriage Water – DWR/Reclamation modified annex received and under review, Sites will set up a 3 party technical meeting
 - b. ESA Section 7 Consultation for Operations – Legal Meeting did not resolve item, next step?
 - c. Water Rights Coordination – Review Coordination Schedule
 - d. Next Steps on Technical Discussions – carriage water, water rights, defining close excess
 - e. Sites Operations Plan development and coordination
-

- 7. Review of Action Items As time allows
-
-

3. Anadromous fish benefits -ongoing discussion	John/Erin/Ali	10 min
4. Additional changes resulting from CDFW review and coordination	Ali	25 min
5. Next Steps	All	5 min

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: September 21, 2021

Location: [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m.

Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Ali Forsythe, Sites	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Natalie Taylor, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau	
Luke Davis, Bureau			

Discussion Topic

Topic Leader

Time

1. Introductions

All

2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting:

5 min

a.

b. Ali to put together water rights process/coordinating with Reclamation. Levi to provide any additional Reclamation comments on Ops Term Sheet (incl Water Rights Annex) to inform the schedule Ali is preparing.

c. Richard to send email confirming project is in construction in accordance with WIIN requirements. If not an email, some other form of documentation is needed. (last report – working with solicitor and coordinating with 3 other projects)

d. Vanessa to provide write-up describing proposed Alt 3BR

<p>3. WIIN Appropriations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. b. FAA Status <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Amended FAA ii. New FAA – seeking Authority Board signature authority Sept 22 c. MOA Status – awaiting written confirmation of WIIN “in construction” has been met 	5 min
<p>4. EIS Coordination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. b. CDFW Discussion Update – New RDEIR/SDEIS Release Schedule c. Status of Reclamation Edits – Ch 2 (Project Description), Ch 11 (Aquatics) d. Path Forward on ESA Section 7 Consultation for Operations by Reclamation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Schedule ii. Sites Authority Sept 22 presentation plan e. BA Review/Schedule <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Terrestrial ii. Aquatics 	20 min
<p>5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. b. OMB Addendum – timing relative to EIR and BA preparation 	10 min
<p>6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. b. Carriage Water – Sites is setting up a 3 way meeting early Sept with DWR/Reclamation on the modified annex c. ESA Section 7 Consultation for Operations – path forward established, this item will be tracked through BA preparation d. Water Rights Coordination – Review Coordination Schedule e. Next Steps on Technical Discussions will be scheduled later in September– carriage water, water rights, defining close excess 	20 min
<p>7. Review of Action Items</p>	As time allows

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: September 21, 2021 **Location:** [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Ali Forsythe, Sites	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Natalie Taylor, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Luke Davis, Bureau			

Discussion Topic

Topic Leader

Time

- | | | |
|--|-------|-------|
| 1. Introductions | All | |
| 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Ali to put together water rights process/coordinating with Reclamation. Levi to provide any additional Reclamation comments on Ops Term Sheet (incl Water Rights Annex) to inform the schedule Ali is preparing. b. Richard to send email confirming project is in construction in accordance with WIIN requirements. If not an email, some other form of documentation is needed. c. Vanessa to provide write-up describing proposed Alt 3BR | 5 min | |
| 3. WIIN Appropriations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. FAA Status <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Amended FAA | | 5 min |

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ii. New FAA – seeking Authority Board signature authority Sept 22 b. MOA Status – awaiting written confirmation of i) WIIN “in construction” has been met and ii) add Section 7 language reflecting Reclamation consultation on construction and operations 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. EIS Coordination: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. CDFW Discussion Update b. EIR/EIS Schedule Update <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Status of Reclamation Edits – Ch 2 (Project Description), Ch 11 (Aquatics) c. Path Forward on ESA Section 7 Consultation for Operations by Reclamation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Schedule ii. Sites Authority Sept 22 presentation plan iii. Timing of modeling updates relative to RDEIR/SDEIS public draft release d. BA Review/Schedule <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Terrestrial ii. Aquatics 	20 min
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. OMB Addendum – timing relative to EIR and BA preparation b. CWC State Feasibility Process Update 	10 min
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Carriage Water – Sites is setting up a 3-way Sept meeting with DWR/Reclamation on the modified annex a. COA – Reclamation and DWR to provide Sites with proposal similar to carriage water b. Water Rights Coordination – Review Coordination Schedule c. Next Steps on Technical Discussions - will be scheduled later in September carriage water, water rights, defining close excess. Awaiting Reclamation schedule availability <u>Scheduled for October 14th.</u> 	20 min
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7. Review of Action Items 	As time allows

Formatted: Superscript

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: October 5, 2021 **Location:** [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)
Start Time: 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Ali Forsythe, Sites	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Natalie Taylor, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Luke Davis, Bureau	

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time
1. Introductions	All	
2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting:		5 min
a. Ali to put together water rights process/coordinating with Reclamation. Levi to provide any additional Reclamation comments on Ops Term Sheet (incl Water Rights Annex) to inform the schedule Ali is preparing.		
b. Urgent Meeting to occur 9/22 to resolve Reclamation concerns related to path forward on ESA Section 7 Consultation and RDEIR/SDEIS		
c. Reclamation (Stacey) modifying Cost Sharing MOA language to incorporate that Reclamation will be the ESA Section 7 consultation lead for construction and operations.		
3. WIIN Appropriations:		10 min
a. FAA Status		
i. Amended FAA – done and signed THANK YOU!		

-
- ii. New FAA – Authority Board authorized on Sept 22, schedule for completing document?
 - iii. FY22 Funding CR Status
- b. Cost Sharing MOA Status – next steps
-

4. EIS Coordination: 20 min
- a. Status of Section 106 PA
 - b. EIR/EIS Revisions
 - i. Nov 12 public release
 - ii. modeling scenarios next steps
 - c. Who will fill Dan Cordova’s role?
5. CDFW Discussion Update
- a. BA Review/Schedule
 - i. Terrestrial
 - ii. Aquatics
-

6. Feasibility and OMB Addendum: 5 min
- a. CWC State Feasibility Process Update
-

7. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment: 10 min
- a. Water Rights
 - i. next meeting of Sites/Reclamation water rights teams to review and coordinate on upcoming application
 - ii. Discussions with other water right holder
 - b. Ongoing DWR/Reclamation Technical Discussions - carriage water, water rights, defining close excess. Scheduled for October 14th.
 - c. Sites Operations Plan development update
-

8. Review of Action Items As time allows
-

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: October 19, 2021 **Location:** [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)
Start Time: 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Ali Forsythe, Sites	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Natalie Taylor, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Luke Davis, Bureau	

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time
1. Introductions	All	
2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting:		5 min
a. Ali to put together water rights process/coordinating with Reclamation. Levi to provide any additional Reclamation comments on Ops Term Sheet (incl Water Rights Annex) to inform the schedule Ali is preparing.		
b. Reclamation (Stacey) modifying Cost Sharing MOA language to incorporate that Reclamation will be the ESA Section 7 consultation lead for construction and operations.		
c. Austin and Henry to discuss analysis in CWC Feasibility Report being prepared by Sites.		
3. WIIN Appropriations:		10 min
a. FAA Status		
i. Amended FAA – executed? Any issues with executing in new FY?		

-
- ii. New FAA – drafting in progress, expect draft by end of Oct, any issues or concerns so far?
 - iii. FY22 Funding – Sites taking A3 TOs to Board for info this month and approval next month, proposed scope for FAA will follow in ~Dec
- b. Cost Sharing MOA Status – Sites would like to attach this to our 75% commitment letter to CWC being submitted this month, can we get this signed by then?
-

4. EIR/EIS Coordination:

20 min

a. EIR/EIS Revisions

- i. Nov 12 public release – still on track?
 - ii. Public meeting coordination - PIOs involved?
 - iii. modeling for optimizing anadromous fish benefits – do we have a schedule?
-

5. Aquatics/Terrestrial Permitting Updates:

a. CDFW ITP

- i. Permitting Discussions Update.
- ii. Schedule for Submitting Applications.

b. BA Review

- i. Terrestrial - Sites Programmatic Revisions complete?
 - ii. Aquatics – analysis dependent on modeling progress, can we go ahead and process the narrative parts now?
 - iii. Schedule for Submittal.
-

6. Feasibility and OMB Addendum:

5 min

a. CWC State Feasibility Process Update

7. Coordination Agreements:

10 min

a. Water Rights

- i. Sites to discuss water availability analysis with the Board this month
- ii. Nov/Dec meetings of Sites/Reclamation water rights teams to review and coordinate on upcoming application
- iii. Discussions with DWR

b. Operations Coordination

i. DWR/Reclamation Technical Discussion Oct 14 completed – next meeting in ~3-5 months after BA/ITP/WR applications filed, will start on agreements with term sheet as basis

ii. Modeling for anadromous fish benefit -

8. Review of Action Items

As time
allows

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: November 30, 2021 **Location:** [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Ali Forsythe, Sites	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Jobaid Kabir, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Natalie Taylor, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Luke Davis, Bureau	

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time
1. Introductions	All	
2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting:		5 min
a. Finalized Cost Sharing MOA to be provided by Stacey. What is the status of documenting Reclamation’s lead on ESA Section 7 consultation?		
b. Erin/Henry to provide updated year-by-year federal funding estimates through construction.		
c. Erin/Henry to confirm timing to provide Reclamation with proposed scope for New FAA (now that A3 TOs are approved by the Sites Board).		
3. WIIN Appropriations:		10 min
a. FAA Status		
i. New FAA – next steps after proposed scope makes it over to Reclamation?		
b. EPA WIFIA Status – planned press release this week		

4. Planning and Permitting	10 min
a. EIR/EIS Coordination:	
i. EIR/EIS Public Meetings	
b. Permitting	
i. Biological Assessment	
ii. Section 106	
c. CDFW ITP	
i. Permitting Discussions Update – finalizing diversion criteria.	
ii. Schedule for Submitting Applications (construction/operations).	
5. Determining Federal Participation	20 min
a. Supplemental Modeling and Operations Coordination	
i. Optimizing anadromous fish benefits	
ii. CVPIA Actions and O&M Cost (raised by Vince at last meeting)	
b. Path Forward with an Operations Agreement	
c. OMB Addendum Timing and Content Relative to development of Operations Agreement	
6. Other Coordination:	5 min
a. Water Rights	
b. Technical Discussions – no comments received on current updated term sheet, working to compile comments on water rights annex	
7. Review of Action Items	As time allows

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Participants:

Date: December 14, 2021 **Location:** [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)
Start Time: 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Ali Forsythe, Sites	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Jobaid Kabir, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Natalie Taylor, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Luke Davis, Bureau	

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time
1. Introductions	All	
2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting:		5 min
a. Erin/Henry to provide updated year-by-year federal funding estimates through construction.		
b. Michael to report on any changes to FAA process for latest \$80M appropriation.		
c. Natalie to report out on what she found regarding contracting authority within Reclamation for an Operations Agreement		
3. WIIN Appropriations:		5 min
a. FAA Status – Does the \$80M need to be contracted by end of Sept?		
b. EPA WIFIA Status		
4. Planning and Permitting		20 min

-
- a. EIR/EIS Coordination:
 - i. EIR/EIS Public Meetings
 - ii. FOR et al Request for time extension
 - iii. FOR et al CWC uncertainty comments
 - iv. Ca Native Plant Society Dec 13 comment letter
 - b. Permitting
 - i. Biological Assessment Update
 - ii. Section 106 Update
 - c. CDFW ITP
 - i. Permitting Discussions Update – diversion criteria and next steps
 - ii. Schedule for Submitting Applications (construction/operations).
-

- | | |
|--|--------|
| 5. Determining Federal Participation | 20 min |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Supplemental Modeling and Operations Coordination <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Optimizing anadromous fish benefits b. CVPIA Actions and O&M Cost (raised by Vince at prior meeting) c. Path Forward with an Operations Agreement d. OMB Addendum Timing and Content Relative to development of Operations Agreement | |
-

- | | |
|---|--------|
| 6. Other Coordination: | 10 min |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Water Rights b. Technical Discussions – no comments received on current updated term sheet, working to compile comments on water rights annex c. Joint Project Management Plan | |
-

- | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|
| 7. Review of Action Items | As time allows |
|---------------------------|----------------|
-

Bi-Weekly Sites-USBR Coordination Draft Agenda



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

*Our Core Values – Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility and Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity
Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do*

Meeting Participants:

Date: December 28, 2021 **Location:** [Join Microsoft Teams Meeting](#)

Start Time: 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Ali Forsythe, Sites	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Jobaid Kabir, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Bureau
Natalie Taylor, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Luke Davis, Bureau	

Discussion Topic

Topic Leader **Time**

- | | | |
|--|-----|--------|
| 1. Introductions | All | |
| 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting: | | 5 min |
| a. | | |
| b. Erin/Henry to provide updated year-by-year federal funding estimates through construction. | | |
| c. Michael to report on any changes to FAA process for latest \$80M appropriation. | | |
| d. Natalie to report out on what she found regarding contracting authority within Reclamation for an Operations Agreement | | |
| 3. WIIN Appropriations: | | 5 min |
| a. | | |
| b. FAA Status – Does the \$80M need to be contracted by end of Sept? | | |
| c. EPA WIFIA Status | | |
| 4. Planning and Permitting | | 20 min |
| a. EIR/EIS Coordination: | | |

- i.
- ~~ii. EIR/EIS Public Meetings~~
- ~~iii. FOR et al Request for time extension~~
- ~~iv. FOR et al CWC uncertainty comments~~
- ~~v. Ca Native Plant Society Dec 13 comment letter~~
- b. Permitting
 - i.
 - ~~ii. Biological Assessment Update~~
 - ~~iii. Section 106 Update~~
- c. CDFW ITP
 - i.
 - ~~ii. Permitting Discussions Update — diversion criteria and next steps~~
 - iii. ~~Schedule for Submitting Applications (construction/operations).~~

- | | |
|--|--------|
| 5. Determining Federal Participation | 20 min |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. b. Supplemental Modeling and Operations Coordination <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Optimizing anadromous fish benefits c. CVPIA Actions and O&M Cost (raised by Vince at prior meeting) d. Path Forward with an Operations Agreement e. OMB Addendum Timing and Content Relative to development of Operations Agreement | |

- | | |
|---|--------|
| 6. Other Coordination: | 10 min |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. b. Water Rights c. Technical Discussions — no comments received on current updated term sheet, working to compile comments on water rights annex d. Joint Project Management Plan | |

- | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|
| 7. Review of Action Items | As time allows |
|---------------------------|----------------|

