# **NEPA Coordination Meeting Agenda**



Our Core Values – Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility and Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

**Meeting Information:** 

Date: January 4, 2021 Location: Webex meeting

Start Time: 9:00 a.m. Finish Time: 10:00 a.m.

**Purpose:** Ongoing EIR/EIS Coordination

**Meeting Participants:** 

Ryan Davis, Reclamation Linda Fisher, Sites Integration Laurie Warner Herson, Sites

Melissa Dekar, Reclamation Integration

Agenda: **Time Allotted Discussion Topic Topic Leader** 1. Roll Call Laurie 2 min Federal Cooperating Agency Update Melissa 10 min 3. Review of Batch 1A and Chapter 2 Melissa/Laurie a. Status of Reclamation review 15 min b. Chapter 2 data gaps and Alt 3 description 4. Climate Change – Coordination with Jacobs Ryan/Linda 10 min 5. Schedule Updates Linda 10 min 6. Priorities/Action Items ΑII 5 min



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: January 26, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

Start Time: 3:00 p.m. Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

### **Meeting Participants:**

Jerry Brown, Sites Richard Welsh, Bureau Don Bader, Bureau Natalie Wolder, Bureau Mark Morberg, Bureau Luke Davis, Bureau Henry Luu, Sites Ryan Davis, Bureau Vince Barbara, Bureau Gregory Mongano, Bureau Dan Cordova, Bureau Erin Heydinger, Sites Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Sandra Williams, Bureau Stacey Leigh, Bureau Mark Carper, Bureau Michael Mosley, Bureau Darryl Good, Bureau Melissa Dekar, Bureau Susanne Manugian, Bureau

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1. Introductions	All	

### 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting

5 min

- a. Reclamation to discuss internally and respond to Sites team on what constitutes a L3 cost estimate for Sites local led project by Feb 9<sup>th.</sup>
- b. Henry/Ryan to have by Feb 9<sup>th</sup> the scope and division of labor for the FY20-21 \$13.7M Appropriation and schedule for FAA development.
- c. Henry to provide Ryan an estimate of FY22 and FY23 Federal funding needs broken down by Federal FY and considering spending plans for FY20-21 Appropriation.
- d. Henry to provide table of contents for State Feasibility Report being prepared by Sites team.

### 3. WIIN Appropriations

- a. Geotech work field work schedule, ESA coordination, land access
- b. FY20-21 Appropriations Work Plan
  - i. Any questions or issues while scoping progresses?

### 4. EIS Coordination 10 min

- a. Batch 1B Reviews Timing/Progress
- b. Chapter 2 Did Natalie's concerns get addressed?
- c. New Administration revisit of ROC on LTO

### 2. Feasibility Post Processing

5. Next Steps

- a. Alt 3 Operations Modeling
- b. Coordinating with Prop 1 feasibility report
- c. Addendum criteria and req'ts as of last meeting Denver was working on it, any decisions made?

		10 min
3. Techni	cal Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment	10 min
a. Sta	tus Update	
i.	Progress/next steps on Ops	
ii.	Next issue is Power, meeting dates/attendees being set	
iii.	Can Reclamation clarify timing and process for investment decision?	
	y of Action Items	5 min

## Sites EJ and Socioeconomic Analysis - Meeting Agenda



Our Core Values – Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility and Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

### **Meeting Information:**

Date: January 26, 2021 Location: Webex

Start Time: 10:00 a.m. Finish Time: 11:00 a.m.

Purpose: Clarification of Reclamation's Comments on Chapter 30 of the Admin RDEIR/SDEIS

### **Meeting Participants:**

Ryan Davis, Reclamation Monique Briard, ICF Linda Fisher, Sites Integration

Melissa Dekar, Reclamation Nicole Williams, ICF Erin Heydinger, Sites Integration

Austin Ohla, Reclamation

Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration

Agend	Agenda:				
Discussion Topic Topic Lea			Time Allotted		
1.	Introductions	Laurie	2 mins		
2.	Additional Modeling	Laurie	25 mins		
	a. Need – clarify intent of additional modeling				
	b. Models -which models should be run?				
	c. Schedule – prior to public draft ?				
3.	Clarification of Reclamation Comments	Nicole	25 mins		
	a. Census Data				
	b. Tax Revenue				
	c. Comparative Approach				
	d. Other				
4.	Action Items	Team	5 mins		



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: February 9, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start Time:** 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting	Partic	ipants:

Jerry Brown, Sites Richard Welsh, Bureau Don Bader, Bureau Natalie Wolder, Bureau Mark Morberg, Bureau Luke Davis, Bureau Henry Luu, Sites Ryan Davis, Bureau Vince Barbara, Bureau Gregory Mongano, Bureau Dan Cordova, Bureau Erin Heydinger, Sites Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Sandra Williams, Bureau Stacey Leigh, Bureau Mark Carper, Bureau Michael Mosley, Bureau Darryl Good, Bureau Melissa Dekar, Bureau Susanne Manugian, Bureau

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1. Introductions	All	

2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting

5 min

- a. Reclamation to discuss internally and respond to Sites team on what constitutes a L3 cost estimate for Sites local led project by Feb 9<sup>th.</sup>
- b. Henry/Ryan to have by Feb 9<sup>th</sup> the scope and division of labor for the FY20-21 \$13.7M Appropriation and schedule for FAA development.
- c. Henry to provide Ryan an estimate of FY22 and FY23 Federal funding needs broken down by Federal FY and considering spending plans for FY20-21 Appropriation.
- d. Laurie to provide spreadsheet of prior comments and how addressed for Ch2
- e. Jerry to share Sites staff report describing self-defined 75% local cost share commitment to CWC for P1. (complete).
- f. Conduct meeting to finalize approach to model operations of Alt 3.

### 3. WIIN Appropriations

10 min

a. Current Geotech work - Progress, schedule to complete

- b. FY20-21 Appropriations Work Plan, division of labor
- c. Amended FAA/New FAA
- d. Revised MOU Status?
- 4. EIS Coordination
  - a. Feb 4 Interagency meeting Follow-up Lead Agency and Section 7 Confirmation
  - b. Chapter 2 Project Description Status
  - c. Batch 1B Progress
  - d. Overall RDEIR/SDEIS Schedule Change
  - e. Sites Town Hall Issues Raised (WQ, Div Criteria, PLAs)
  - f. New Administration revisit of ROC on LTO
- 5. Feasibility Post Processing
  - a. Alt 3 modeling and other analysis
  - b. Work plan and Schedule for OMB addendum
- 6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment

10 min

- a. Redline/strikeouts on Ops term sheet
- b. Follow-up on Power discussion
- c. Joint meeting with DWR on Ops
- 7. Review of Action Items

5 min

8. Next Steps

# **NEPA Coordination Meeting Agenda**



Our Core Values – Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility and Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

### **Meeting Information:**

**Date:** February 19, 2021 **Location:** Webex

**Start Time:** 2:30 p.m. **Finish Time:** 3:30 p.m.

**Purpose:** RDEIR/SDEIS Status and NEPA Coordination

### **Meeting Participants:**

Ryan Davis, Reclamation Monique Briard, ICF Linda Fisher, Sites Integration

Melissa Dekar, Reclamation Nicole Williams, ICF Erin Heydinger, Sites Integration

Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration

Agend	a:			
Discus	Discussion Topic Topic Leader			
1.	Roll Call	Laurie	2 mins	
2.	Chapter 2	Laurie	10 mins	
	a. Status and Follow Up Meeting			
	b. Appendices			
3.	Revised Chapters 1, 3 and 4	Laurie	5 mins	
4.	Chapter 30 Approach	Nicole	10 mins	
5.	Chapter 15 - Farmland Protection Policy Act	Ryan	10 mins	
6.	Modeling Appendices	Erin/Melissa	10 mins	
	a. Status			
	b. Schedule for Cooperating Agency Review			
7.	RDEIR/SDEIS Revised Schedule	Linda/Monique	10 mins	
	a. Public Release – August 2021			
	b. Admin Draft and other Interim Deliverables			
8.	Action Items	Team	3 mins	



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: February 23, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

Start Time: 3:00 p.m. Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting	<b>Participants:</b>

Jerry Brown, Sites Richard Welsh, Bureau Don Bader, Bureau Natalie Wolder, Bureau Mark Morberg, Bureau Luke Davis, Bureau Henry Luu, Sites Ryan Davis, Bureau Vince Barbara, Bureau Gregory Mongano, Bureau Dan Cordova, Bureau Erin Heydinger, Sites Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Sandra Williams, Bureau Stacey Leigh, Bureau Mark Carper, Bureau Michael Mosley, Bureau Darryl Good, Bureau Melissa Dekar, Bureau Susanne Manugian, Bureau

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1. Introductions	All	

2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting:

- a. Sites to provide updated cost estimate to its Board next month giving Reclamation a basis to determine whether this meets their requirements for a L3 cost estimate.
- b. Erin/Henry to provide Reclamation a detailed package of scope, schedule and division of labor for \$13.7M FY20-21 Appropriation.
- c. Vince to provide update from Reclamation economist review of WSIP 75% Local Cost Share commitment for all P1 projects and i) provide any needs from Reclamation to incorporate within these documents ii) what can Reclamation provide to signify its commitment to the project commensurate with this local commitment.
- d. Vince to provide update on whether updated MOU can incorporate confirmation of i) Sites is qualified for WIIN, ii) construction has begun, iii) Section 7 consultation to be undertaken.
- e. Jerry to work with Admin on scheduling changes for Ops term sheet March meetings.

3.	<ul> <li>WIIN Appropriations:</li> <li>a. Current Geotech work - Progress, schedule to complete.</li> <li>b. FY20-21 Appropriations Work Plan, division of labor.</li> <li>c. Amended FAA/New FAA.</li> <li>d. Revised MOU Status?</li> </ul>	10 min
4.	<ul> <li>EIS Coordination:</li> <li>a. Coordinating with the Services, need to get going.</li> <li>b. Chapter 2 Project Description is posted to Sites website.</li> <li>c. Batch 1B progress.</li> <li>d. NGO workgroups underway – Water Rights this week.</li> </ul>	
5.	Feasibility Addendum: a. Comparison of OMB vs CWC process.	
6.	Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:  a. Redline/strikeouts on Ops term sheet.  b. Follow-up on Power discussion.  c. Joint meeting with DWR on Ops.	10 min
7.	Review of Action Items	5 min
8.	Next Steps	5 min



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: March 9, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

Start Time: 3:00 p.m. Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

ivieeting Participants:
-------------------------

Jerry Brown, Sites Richard Welsh, Bureau Don Bader, Bureau Natalie Wolder, Bureau Mark Morberg, Bureau Luke Davis, Bureau Henry Luu, Sites Ryan Davis, Bureau Vince Barbara, Bureau Gregory Mongano, Bureau Dan Cordova, Bureau Erin Heydinger, Sites Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Sandra Williams, Bureau Stacey Leigh, Bureau Mark Carper, Bureau Michael Mosley, Bureau Darryl Good, Bureau Melissa Dekar, Bureau Susanne Manugian, Bureau Austin Olah, Bureau

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1. Introductions	All	

2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting:

- Vince to provide update on updated MOU
  - 1. Status?
  - 2. Confirm still incorporates
    - i) Sites is qualified for WIIN
    - ii) Construction has begun
    - iii) Section 7 consultation to be undertaken.
- b. Sites to provide updated cost estimate when available giving Reclamation a basis to determine whether the basis meets their requirements for a L3 cost estimate.
- c. Erin/Henry to provide Reclamation a detailed package of scope, schedule and division of labor for \$13.7M FY20-21 Appropriation.
- d. Jerry to provide suggestions to Reclamation of how it might support the WSIP 75% "Non-Public Benefit" Cost Share commitment for Sites which is needed by Sept Authority Board approval of Successor Agreement which will represent the Authority's cost share commitment to the CWC

### 3. WIIN Appropriations: 10 min a. Current Geotech work - Progress, schedule to complete b. FY20-21 Appropriations Work Plan, division of labor c. Amended FAA/New FAA. d. Revised MOU e. FY22-23 Appropriations Discussions 4. EIS Coordination: a. Coordinating with the Services, status of ICF modeling terrestrial habitat b. Chapter 2 Project Description on the website, any input? c. Batch 1B - status on key issues ag land, growth issues d. Next Batch timing e. NGO workgroups - next two scheduled 5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum: a. CWC feasibility b. 75% Non-public benefit cost share commitment, Level of Reclamation investment c. OMB addendum 6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment: 10 min a. Redline/strikeouts on Ops term sheet b. Power discussion successfully concluded (for now) c. Water Rights discussion getting underway d. Joint meeting with DWR on Ops 7. Review of Action Items 5 min

8. Next Steps



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: March 23, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start Time:** 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Mooti	ng Participants:				
Jerry E Richard Don Ba Natalie Mark M	Brown, Sites d Welsh, Bureau ader, Bureau e Wolder, Bureau Morberg, Bureau Davis, Bureau	Henry Luu, Sites Ryan Davis, Bureau Vince Barbara, Bureau Gregory Mongano, Bureau Dan Cordova, Bureau	Erin Heydinger, Sites Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Sandra Williams, Bureau Stacey Leigh, Bureau Mark Carper, Bureau	Michael Mosley, Bures S Darryl Good, Bureau Melissa Dekar, Burea Susanne Manugian, Bureau Austin Olah, Bureau	
Discus	sion Topic			Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1.	Introductions a. Ryan's Tran	sition		All	
2.	Follow-up on ac	ction items from last meetin	g		5 min
3.	<ul><li>a. Geotech – t</li><li>b. Amended F</li><li>c. Revised MC</li></ul>	imeliness for boring logs, sa AA/New FAA	mple results and lab data		10 min
4.	a. Upcoming F b. RDEIR/SDEI	Reservoir Committee/Autho S Progress	rity Board Process onday, Terrestrials Wednesda	ау	10 min

5.	Feasibility and OMB Addendum:  a. CWC feasibility	10 min
	<ul> <li>75% Non-public benefit cost share commitment, Level of Reclamation investment</li> </ul>	
	c. OMB addendum	
6.	Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:  a. Meeting with RD earlier today	10 min
	b. Water Rights Discussion	
	c. Joint Meeting with DWR	
7.	Review of Action Items	5 min
8.	Next Steps	5 min

## Sites-USBR Path Forward Agenda



Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: March 23, 2021 Location: Click here to join the meeting

Start Time: 2:30 p.m. Finish Time: 3:00 p.m.

Purpose: Review High Level Reclamation and Sites Authority coordination activities.

Meeting Participants:						
Ernest Conant, Bureau Jeff Sutton, Sites						
Discussion Topic			Topic Leader	Time Allotted		
1. Introductions			All			
	ge Project Legislation Understandings and Discu	ssions	Jerry/All	15 min		
3. CWC Feasibility a. Timing b. Decision on	r/OMB Addendum		Jerry/Richard	10 min		
4. Progress on Re a. With Reclar b. Jointly w/D		Discussions	Jerry/Richard	5 min		
5. Next Steps						

1 of 1



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: April 6, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

Start Time: 3:00 p.m. Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Meeting Participants:				
Jerry Brown, Sites Richard Welsh, Bureau Don Bader, Bureau Natalie Wolder, Bureau Mark Morberg, Bureau Luke Davis, Bureau	Henry Luu, Sites Vanessa King, Bureau Vince Barbara, Bureau Gregory Mongano, Bureau Dan Cordova, Bureau Darryl Good, Bureau  Erin Heydinger, Sites Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Sandra Williams, Bureau Stacey Leigh, Bureau Mark Carper, Bureau Levi Johnson, Bureau		John Spranza, Site Michael Mosley, Bu Melissa Dekar, Bur Susanne Manugian Bureau Austin Olah, Burea	
Discussion Topic			Topic Leader	Time Allotte
1. Introductions			All	
<ul> <li>a. Laurie to p Reclamation</li> <li>b. Henry to p review concluded</li> <li>c. Reclamation</li> <li>feasibility for</li> </ul>	on rovide Reclamation with scho ducted by Sites to inform pro on and Sites team to develop	EIR/EIS production and provide edule reflecting constructabili eparation of OMB addendum. approach to be taken in the Continues in investment in terms of publications.	ty	5 min
b. Amended c. Revised M	April 30 data package deliver FAA/New FAA	γ?		10 mir
4. EIS Coordination	on:			10 mir

	a. RDEIR/SDEIS Progress	
	b. NGO Workgroup Mtgs – Debrief and upcoming mtgs	
5.	Feasibility and OMB Addendum: a. CWC Feasibility Schedule	10 min
	b. OMB feedback on Addendum Requests	
6.	Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:  a. Water Rights Discussion	10 min
	b. Joint Meeting with DWR	
	c. Sites Legislative Visits Ongoing	
7.	Review of Action Items	5 min
8.	Next Steps	5 min



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

### **Meeting Participants:**

Mostina Partisinanta

Date: April 20, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start Time:** 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Partic	cipants:					
Jerry Brown, S Richard Welsh Don Bader, Bu Natalie Wolder Mark Morberg, Luke Davis, Bu	, Bureau reau , Bureau Bureau	Henry Luu, Sites Vanessa King, Bureau Vince Barbara, Bureau Gregory Mongano, Bureau Dan Cordova, Bureau Darryl Good, Bureau	Erin Heydinger, Sites (meeting facilitator) Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Sandra Williams, Bureau Stacey Leigh, Bureau Mark Carper, Bureau Levi Johnson, Bureau	John Spranza Michael Mosle Melissa Dekar Susanne Man Bureau Austin Olah, B	ley, Bureau ar, Bureau nugian,	
Discussion Top	oic			Topic Leader	Time Allotted	
1. Introd	luctions			All		
a. Je ha ( <i>Ti</i> b. He re c. Re	rry mispla live any ac these are the enry to proview cond eclamation asibility fo	tion items from last meeting it in the price of the price ovide Reclamation with school of the price of the p	nor system this time – anybodying to report out on?  or meeting)  nedule reflecting constructability  reparation of OMB addendum.  o approach to be taken in the On investment in terms of public	ty CWC	5 min	
a. Ar		ntions: AA/New FAA DU – Sites Comments			10 min	
4. EIS Co	ordinatio	n:			10 min	

	a. RDEIR/SDEIS Progress	
	b. NGO Workgroup Mtgs – Debrief and upcoming mtgs	
5.	Feasibility and OMB Addendum:	10 min
	a. CWC Feasibility Status Update	
	b. OMB Addendum Schedule	
6.	Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:  a. Small Tech Group Sites/DWR re: Carriage Water, 4/22	10 min
	b. Sites/DWR Tech Discussion #3, 4/26	
	c. Sites/Reclamation Water Rights Discussion #2, 4/29	
7.	Review of Action Items	5 min
8.	Next Steps	5 min

## Sites EJ and Socioeconomic Analysis - Meeting Agenda



Our Core Values – Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility and Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

	Our Comi	mitment – To live up to these values i	in everything we do	
Meeting Inform	mation:			
Date:	April 22, 2021	Locatio	n: Webex	
Start Time:	12:00 p.m.	Finish Tim	e: 1:00 p.m.	
Purpose:	Follow Up on Re	clamation's Comments on Ch	apter 30 of the Ad	dmin RDEIR/SDEIS
Meeting Partic	ipants:			
Vanessa King, Re	clamation	Nicole Williams, ICF	Linda Fisher, Site	s Integration
Melissa Dekar, R	eclamation	Rob Leaf. Jacobs	Laurie Warner He	erson, Sites Integration
Austin Ohla, Rec	lamation	Steve Micko. Jacobs	Erin Heydinger, S	Sites Integration (optional)
Agenda:				
Discussion Top	ic		Topic Lead	der Time Allotted
1. Introdu	uctions		Laurie	2 mins
2. Prior N	1eeting (January 26	5, 2021) Recap	Nicole/Lau	urie 10 mins
a. Dra	aft chapter heavily	leans on 2017 analysis		
b. Is t	here a need for ad	ditional modeling?		
c. If s	o, which models w	vould be run?		
d. Tin	ning - how can this	fit in current schedule		
	ed Approach		Nicole	20 mins
•		sh (saa handaut)	Micole	20 1111113
a. Co	mparative Approad	th (see handout)		
4. Hydrol	ogic Modeling		Nicole/Jac	obs 20 mins
a. Co	mparison Tables –	2017 Alts vs. Current Alts		
5. Action	Items		Team	5 mins

### 1. Project Details:

- a. The Project is not designed to reduce water from other water users
  - i. None of the alternatives in the RDEIR/SDEIS would reduce M&I or agricultural water supply
- b. Water supply in the 2017 DEIR/S ranged between 135 TAF and 218 TAF for the long term annual average; current alternatives are smaller, ranging between 119 TAF and 130 TAF, but still positive (Table 1)

### 2. Calsim Hydrologic Model:

- a. The current reservoir sizes are smaller than those evaluated in the 2017 DEIR/S resulting in reduced release rates and reduced total volumes
- b. The hydrologic model represents water supply deliveries to the same regions as previously analyzed in the 2017 DEIR/S and shows some relative reductions in areas (e.g., in the Sacramento Valley and Tulare/San Joaquin) due to smaller alternatives
- c. Apportioning between regions has changed somewhat due to deliveries now based on project participation
- d. The timing and spatial distribution of releases identified in the current hydrologic model are within the range of what was evaluated in 2017

### 3. SWAP Model for 2017 DEIR/S

- a. Output from Calsim is allocated to SWAP districts
- b. Inputs to SWAP in 2017 RDEIR/S were at the regional level for long term and dry/critically dry averages
- c. Water deliveries to agriculture remain positive, although smaller as a result of storage participant changes between 2017 DEIR/S alternatives and current alternatives (Table 2)

#### 4. M&I Models for 2017 DEIR/S

- a. The regions outside of the Sacramento Valley represented by storage participants in the current alternatives are almost completely urban
- b. Water deliveries to areas with M&I uses remain positive and have similar proportions of the total deliveries when compared to the 2017 DEIR/S results (Table 3)
- c. Least Cost Planning Simulation Model (LCPSIM): an annual time-step urban water service system reliability management model; estimates least-cost water supply management strategy for SWP and CVP M&I supplies to the South Bay and the South Coast regions
- d. Other Municipal Water Economics Model (OMWEM; predecessor to CWEST): spreadsheet model estimates economic benefits of changes in supplies based on estimated water supply and demand SWP and CVP M&I regions not included in LCPSIM

### 5. IMPLAN

- a. Economic activity in the modeled area hasn't substantially changed since 2017
- b. Any changes in economic activity associated with construction and operation of the alternatives would be positive
- c. IMPLAN measures the change in the economy, and the project is not changing the basic relationships in the economy.
- 6. Approach: provide evidence that new hydrologic modeling would not substantively alter the previous positive economic results produced other models; document the unimportance of new economic model runs with results from the new Calsim output for Alts 1A and 1B, 2, and 3
  - a. Post processed current Calsim output to align with the previous output used for 2017 models and provided comparison between 2017 Calsim output in 2017 DEIR/S as input to other models and current Calsim output

- Tables 2 and 3 indicate the benefits may not be as great under current alternatives as compared to 2017 alternatives, but nonetheless they are beneficial
- ii. The distribution of results between north and south is different from 2017 vs. current alternatives as the 2017 DEIR/S was not informed by the participation of the storage participants.
- b. Reduced references to Alt A and Alt D in Chapter to focus more on the size of the reservoir and the water supply deliveries
- c. Include new appendix that shows comparisons of previous output and current output and previous 2017 economic appendices

Table 1 Regional Calsim Simulated Deliveries Comparison

				Project Simulate	ed Regional Deli			
		2017	EIR/S			2021	DEIR/S	
					I Regions			
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	164	135	165	218	131	128	119	130
Dry and Critically Dry Years	328	267	339	415	316	317	287	295
Wet Years	84	76	84	98	-2	-7	0	2
Above Normal Years	35	81	39	67	37	34	34	70
Below Normal Years	63	2	40 306	138	54 345	47 343	48	58
Ory Years	310	242		387	274		315	317
Critically Dry Years	355	306	388	457		278	245	262
-		All D		Sacramer		41.45	411.0	411.0
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
ong-term Average								
Proportion of Total	13%	8% 13	12% 23	44%	23%	23%	24%	24%
Dry and Critically Dry Years	28			171	67	65	64	70
Proportion of Total	9%	5%	7%	41%	21%	21%	22%	24%
Wet Years	9	9	10	23	4	4	4	4
Above Normal Years	19	11 7	29	49	4	4	4	4
Below Normal Years	34		24	107	21	21	18	22
Ory Years	25	17	26	146 209	61	64	60	61
Critically Dry Years	33	8	18		75	67	70	83
	North Bay/South Bay							
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
ong-term Average	11	10	12	9	11	11	10	10
Proportion of Total	7%	7%	7%	4%	8%	8%	9%	8%
Dry and Critically Dry Years	21	18	23	17	25	24	23	22
Proportion of Total	6%	7%	7%	4%	8%	8%	8%	7%
Wet Years	6 3	5 8	5	6	2	3	0	-1 5
Above Normal Years	5	2	5	5	7	8	2	9
Below Normal Years		15	18	15			8	
Ory Years Critically Dry Years	17 27	22	30	21	28 22	26 22	25 19	24 19
critically Dry Years	21	22		∠1 Joaquin/Tulare			19	19
ŀ	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
Long-term Average	56	35	51	41	7 7	11	6	28
Proportion of Total	34%	26%	31%	19%	5%	9%	5%	22%
	107	77	104	19%	15	29	14	47
Ory and Critically Dry Years Proportion of Total	33%	29%	31%	20%	5%	9%	5%	16%
Wet Years	28	29% 15	21	20%	-5	-5	-3	3
Net Years Above Normal Years	18	38	25	25 15	-5 25	-5 24	-3 24	49
Below Normal Years	27	-23	11	6	-4	-7	-6	17
Dry Years	115	71	104	87	27	46	-6 26	64
Critically Dry Years	95	87	104	72	-3	5	-6	21
critically bry rears	93	87		South Coast - Ea			-0	21
-	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D		Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3
ong torm Average	76	80 80	83	71	Alt 1A 83	76	74	60
ong-term Average Proportion of Total	46%	59%	50%	33%	64%	60%	62%	46%
Proportion of Total  Ory and Critically Dry Years	172	159	188	33% 145	210	198	187	156
Proportion of Total	53%	60%	56%	35%	66%	63%	65%	53%
		47	48	35% 44				_
Net Years	41				-1	-6	-1	-4
Above Normal Years	-5	25	-19	-1	5	3	5	13
Below Normal Years	-3	15	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	21	30	25	28	10
Ory Years	153	140	158	138	229	207	204	168
Critically Dry Years	201	189	235	155	181	184	161	139

#### Notes:

<sup>1.</sup> The 2017 EIR/S analyzed a 1.81 MAF reservoir with three intakes while the 2021 DEIR/S analyzed a 1.5 MAF reservoir with two intakes. Additionally the 2021 DEIR/S includes refined diversion criteria. As a result of this, overall deliveries are lower in the 2021 DEIR/S alternatives.

<sup>2.</sup> There is a significant decrease in Wet and Above Normal Year deliveries since there are many water year-type constraints on Authority deliveries in the 2021 DEIR/S alternatives.

<sup>3.</sup> Deliveries to the Sacramento Valley in 2017 EIR/S Alternative D are much higher that the other 2017 EIR/S alternatives due to a 320 TAF dedicated account for Sacramento Valley participants. The other 2017 EIR/S alternatives do not include this account.

<sup>4.</sup> The large decrease in San Joaquin/Tulare Lake/Central Coast deliveries from the 2017 EIR/S to the 2021 DEIR/S is due to the fact that there was a dedicated SWP Sites account and a large CVP Sites account in the 2017 EIR/S alternatives that delivered water throughout the CVP and SWP systems. In the 2021 DEIR/S, there is no SWP account and two alternatives have no CVP account, so Sites deliveries are based on participation levels. Participation levels in the San Joaquin and Tulare Lake regions are relatively small.

Table 2 SWAP Calsim Output Comparison

			Sites Pr	oject Simulated	Regional Ag D	eliveries			
		2017	EIR/S			2021	DEIR/S		
				Total - A	ll Regions				
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3	
Long-term Average	69	37	61	130	37	41	35	58	
Dry and Critically Dry Years	120	76	110	241	82	96	79	116	
				Sacramei	nto Valley				
ſ	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3	
Long-term Average	19	9	16	94	30	29	28	29	
Proportion of Total	27%	23%	26%	72%	81%	70%	80%	50%	
Dry and Critically Dry Years	25	11	19	169	66	64	64	66	
Proportion of Total	20%	14%	17%	70%	80%	67%	80%	57%	
	North Bay/South Bay								
ľ	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3	
Long-term Average	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Proportion of Total	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	
Dry and Critically Dry Years	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	
Proportion of Total	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	
	San Joaquin/Tulare Lake/Central Coast								
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3	
Long-term Average	49	28	44	36	7	12	6	28	
Proportion of Total	71%	76%	72%	27%	18%	28%	18%	48%	
Dry and Critically Dry Years	93	65	89	70	15	30	14	48	
Proportion of Total	78%	85%	81%	29%	18%	31%	18%	41%	
				South Coast - Ea	ast/West Branc	h			
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3	
Long-term Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Proportion of Total	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
Dry and Critically Dry Years	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Proportion of Total	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	

#### Notes

<sup>1.</sup> The 2017 EIR/S analyzed a 1.81 MAF reservoir with three intakes while the 2021 DEIR/S analyzed a 1.5 MAF reservoir with two intakes. Additionally the 2021 DEIR/S includes refined diversion criteria. As a result of this, overall deliveries are lower in the 2021 DEIR/S alternatives.

<sup>2.</sup> Deliveries to the Sacramento Valley in 2017 EIR/S Alternative D are much higher that the other 2017 EIR/S alternatives due to a 320 TAF dedicated account for Sacramento Valley participants. The other 2017 EIR/S alternatives do not include this account.

<sup>3.</sup> The large decrease in San Joaquin/Tulare Lake/Central Coast deliveries from the 2017 EIR/S to the 2021 DEIR/S is due to the fact that there was a dedicated SWP Sites account and a large CVP Sites account in the 2017 EIR/S alternatives that delivered water throughout the CVP and SWP systems. In the 2021 DEIR/S, there is no SWP account and two alternatives have no CVP account, so Sites deliveries are based on participation levels. Participation levels in the San Joaquin and Tulare Lake regions are relatively small.

Table 3 M&I Calsim Output Comparisons

			Sites Pro	ject Simulated	Regional M&I D	eliveries			
		2017	EIR/S			2021	DEIR/S		
				Total - Al	II Regions				
Γ	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3	
Long-term Average	95	97	104	88	94	86	84	71	
Dry and Critically Dry Years	207	191	229	174	234	221	208	179	
				Sacramer	nto Valley				
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3	
Long-term Average	3	2	4	2	0	0	0	2	
Proportion of Total	3%	2%	3%	2%	0%	0%	0%	3%	
Dry and Critically Dry Years	3	3	4	2	0	1	0	4	
Proportion of Total	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	
	North Bay/South Bay								
Γ	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3	
Long-term Average	10	10	11	9	11	11	10	10	
Proportion of Total	11%	10%	11%	10%	12%	12%	12%	13%	
Dry and Critically Dry Years	19	17	22	16	25	23	22	20	
Proportion of Total	9%	9%	10%	9%	11%	11%	11%	11%	
	San Joaquin/Tulare Lake/Central Coast								
	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3	
Long-term Average	6	6	7	6	0	0	0	0	
Proportion of Total	7%	7%	7%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Dry and Critically Dry Years	13	12	15	11	0	0	-1	-1	
Proportion of Total	6%	7%	7%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
				South Coast - Ea	ast/West Brancl	1			
Γ	Alt A	Alt B	Alt C	Alt D	Alt 1A	Alt 1B	Alt 2	Alt 3	
Long-term Average	75	79	82	71	83	76	74	60	
Proportion of Total	80%	82%	79%	81%	88%	88%	88%	84%	
Dry and Critically Dry Years	171	159	188	144	209	197	186	155	
Proportion of Total	83%	83%	82%	83%	89%	89%	89%	87%	

#### Notes:

<sup>1.</sup> The 2017 EIR/S analyzed a 1.81 MAF reservoir with three intakes while the 2021 DEIR/S analyzed a 1.5 MAF reservoir with two intakes. Additionally the 2021 DEIR/S includes refined diversion criteria. As a result of this, overall deliveries are lower in the 2021 DEIR/S alternatives.

<sup>2.</sup> Deliveries to the Sacramento Valley in 2017 EIR/S Alternative D are much higher that the other 2017 EIR/S alternatives due to a 320 TAF dedicated accout for Sacramento Valley participants. The other 2017 EIR/S alternatives do not include this account. However those deliveries were all Ag, so this is not reflected when looking solely at M&I deliveries.

<sup>3.</sup> The large decrease in San Joaquin/Tulare Lake/Central Coast deliveries from the 2017 EIR/S to the 2021 DEIR/S is due to the fact that there was a dedicated SWP Sites account and a large CVP Sites account in the 2017 EIR/S alternatives that delivered water throughout the CVP and SWP systems. In the 2021 DEIR/S, there is no SWP account and two alternatives have no CVP account, so Sites deliveries are based on participation levels. Participation levels in the San Joaquin and Tulare Lake regions are relatively small.

# Indian Trust Assets Analysis - Meeting Agenda



Our Core Values – Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility and Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

		To live up to these values in		
Meeting Info	ormation:			
Date:	April 22, 2021	Location	: WebEx	
Start Time:	9:00 a.m.	Finish Time	: 10:00 a.m.	
Purpose:	Follow Up on C	Chapter 29 ITA Analysis Based on	Modeling Results	
Meeting Par	ticipants:			
Vanessa King,	Reclamation	Nicole Williams, ICF	Laurie Warner Herson	, Sites Integration
Melissa Dekar	r, Reclamation	Linda Fisher, Sites Integration	Erin Heydinger, Sites I	ntegration (optional)
Kevin Clancy,	Reclamation			
Agenda:				
Discussion T	opic		Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1. Intro	oductions		Laurie	2 mins
2. Draf	t Chapter 29 Comm	ents	Laurie/Nicole	10 mins
b. T	"encompasses area affect ITAs that are: proposed facilities, would be affected be Central Valley Project in and the Project in undated downstream from the appropriate study at this correlate to the The analysis covers tribes (see Table 29 effects since existing change – can we elicted to the discussion similar to	a very broad geography and list -2) but dismisses the potential for g SWP and CVP contracts won't minate table and minimize of the SJRRP sample section	of or	
a. (	•	Finalize Chapter 29 e confirmed, determine if there a so "coordinate more closely with		30 mins

the Trinity River field office and others to get better

info on fishery trust assets b/c those are not mapped in our gis layer"

b. Modeling results and approach to complete ITA analysis

4. Action Items Team 5 mins



John Spranza, Sites

Michael Mosley, Bureau

Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Erin Heydinger, Sites

(meeting facilitator)

### **Meeting Participants:**

**Meeting Participants:** 

Richard Welsh, Bureau

Jerry Brown, Sites

Date: May 4, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

Start Time: 3:00 p.m. Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Henry Luu, Sites

Vanessa King, Bureau

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Don Bader, Bureau Natalie Wolder, Bureau Mark Morberg, Bureau Luke Davis, Bureau  Vallessa Klilg, Bureau Vince Barbara, Bureau Gregory Mongano, Bureau Dan Cordova, Bureau Darryl Good, Bureau		Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Sandra Williams, Bureau Stacey Leigh, Bureau Mark Carper, Bureau Levi Johnson, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau Susanne Manugian, Bureau Austin Olah, Bureau Shane Hunt, Bureau		
Discussi	on Topic			Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1.	Introductions			All	
	a. Henry to pr		ng edule reflecting constructabili eparation of OMB addendum.	•	5 min
		ations: AA/New FAA DA – Reclamation to Respon	d to Sites Comments		10 min
	EIS Coordinatio a. RDEIR/SDEI b. NGO Workę		nd Fish Effects		10 min
	<ul><li>a. Feasibility S</li><li>b. Sites Discus</li></ul>	OMB Addendum: status Update – Feasibility C sion with CWC re: federal b ndum Schedule			10 min

6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:

- a. Reclamation Scenario Planning Vince action item from 4/29 water rights tech disc #2
- Sites/Reclamation Operations/ESA Coordination 11a-12p May 4 (report out)
- c. Joint Group Meeting 8a-10a May 6, COA Accounting focus
- d. Sites/DWR Water Rights Meeting, 3p-5p May 13, review Sites water application materials (already completed w/Reclamation)
- e. Sites/Reclamation Water Rights Meeting TBD
- f. Joint Small Group Tech Meeting TBD, Carriage Water

7. Review of Action Items	5 min
8. Next Steps	5 min



John Spranza, Sites

Michael Mosley, Bureau

Melissa Dekar, Bureau

Susanne Manugian,

Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Erin Heydinger, Sites

Stacey Leigh, Bureau

Laurie Warner Herson, Sites

Sandra Williams, Bureau

### **Meeting Participants:**

**Meeting Participants:** 

Richard Welsh, Bureau

Natalie Wolder, Bureau

Jerry Brown, Sites

Don Bader, Bureau

Date: May 18, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

Start Time: 3:00 p.m. Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Henry Luu, Sites

Vanessa King, Bureau

Vince Barbara, Bureau

Gregory Mongano, Bureau

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Mark M	ark Morberg, Bureau Dan Cordova, Bureau Mark Carper, Bureau Levi Johnson, Bureau		Bureau Austin Olah, B	Bureau Austin Olah, Bureau Shane Hunt, Bureau	
Discuss	sion Topic			Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1.	Introductions			All	
2.	<ul> <li>2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting</li> <li>a. Vanessa to confirm total \$ and scope of FAA amendments so that Sites includes correct information in item being taken to its board on 5/20</li> <li>b. Reclamation and Sites would like to finalize MOA language and working to determine final language around ESA Section 7 consultation.</li> <li>c. Vince to review what Reclamation could provide in additional assurances (beyond what already exists) that its involvement in Sites would not affect obligations on the Trinity River.</li> <li>d. Henry to provide Sites updated cost estimate to confirm it meets cost estimating guidelines for Reclamation's use in the OMB addendum.</li> </ul>			5 min	
3.	WIIN Appropria a. Amended Fa b. Revised MO c. STREAM Act	AA/New FAA IA – Reclamation to Respor	nd to Sites Comments		10 min
4.	EIS Coordinatio	n:			10 min

	a. Schedule for Chapter reviews	
5.	Feasibility and OMB Addendum: a. Item 3.3 on RC/AB Mtg Agenda	10 min
	<ul> <li>b. OMB Addendum Aug '21 submission – Any support needed from Sites team?</li> </ul>	
6.	Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment: a. Outcome of Sites/DWR Water rights Discussion	20 min
	b. Joint Technical Discussion #3 – May 27, 3p-5p, COA Accounting Focus	
	<ul> <li>Joint Small Group Tech Meeting – TBD, Carriage Water (Sites team preparing a proposal)</li> </ul>	
	d. Sites/Reclamation Water Rights Meeting #3 - TBD	
7.	Review of Action Items	5 min
8.	Next Steps	5 min



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: June 1, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

Start Time: 3:00 p.m. Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

### **Meeting Participants:**

Jerry Brown, Sites Richard Welsh, Bureau Don Bader, Bureau Natalie Wolder, Bureau Mark Morberg, Bureau Luke Davis, Bureau

**Discussion Topic** 

Henry Luu, Sites Vanessa King, Bureau Vince Barbara, Bureau Gregory Mongano, Bureau Dan Cordova, Bureau Darryl Good, Bureau Erin Heydinger, Sites Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Sandra Williams, Bureau Stacey Leigh, Bureau Mark Carper, Bureau Levi Johnson, Bureau John Spranza, Sites Michael Mosley, Bureau Melissa Dekar, Bureau Susanne Manugian, Bureau Austin Olah, Bureau

Topic Time

Leader

ΑII

Shane Hunt, Bureau

1. Introductions

Follow-up on action items from last meeting

5 min

**Allotted** 

- a. Reclamation and Sites would like to finalize MOA language and working to determine final language around ESA Section 7 consultation. Richard and Jerry have been discussing possible solutions.
- b. Laurie/Don B/Ali meet to discuss more specifics about concerns being raised by Trinity River interests about Reclamation operations.
- c. Henry to provide feasibility cost estimate information upon release of materials to RC participants which is expected first week of June.
- 3. WIIN Appropriations:

- a. Geotech data and reports, date for expected results from Denver
- Revised MOA only remaining issue is wrt ESA consultation, need to wrap this up
- c. President Budget Proposal (Reclamation's piece)

4. EIS Coordination: 10 min a. Additional Chapters Coming b. Process to address comments c. Overview of EIR to RC/AB - #3 of 4 in June and approval to release in July with release occurring August 26 d. AB52 outreach e. Next Scheduled Interagency Meeting 5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum: 10 min a. Feasibility Cost Estimate Messaging b. CWC Presentation 6/16 c. SWC Board Presentation 6/16 d. DWR's Cumulative Analysis of P1 Storage Projects 6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment: 20 min a. Outcome of COA discussion/Updated Ops Term Sheet b. Joint Small Group Tech Meeting - Being Scheduled next two weeks, Carriage Water (Review Sites proposal) c. Sites/Reclamation Water Rights Meeting #3 – Being Scheduled before end of June, Review CVP water rights d. Joint Technical Discussion – Being Scheduled for end of June, Wrap up Revised Ops Term Sheet (for now) 7. Review of Action Items 5 min 5 min 8. Next Steps



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: June 29, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

Start Time: 3:00 p.m. Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

### **Meeting Participants:**

Jerry Brown, Sites
Richard Welsh, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau
Luke Davis, Bureau

Henry Luu, Sites Vanessa King, Bureau Vince Barbara, Bureau Gregory Mongano, Bureau Dan Cordova, Bureau Darryl Good, Bureau Erin Heydinger, Sites
Laurie Warner Herson, Sites
Sandra Williams, Bureau
Stacey Leigh, Bureau
Mark Carper, Bureau
Levi Johnson, Bureau

John Spranza, Sites Michael Mosley, Bureau Melissa Dekar, Bureau Susanne Manugian, Bureau Austin Olah, Bureau

Shane Hunt, Bureau

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1. Introductions	All	

2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting:

5 min

a.

- b. Reclamation and Sites would like to finalize Cost Sharing MOA language and are working to determine final language around ESA Section 7 consultation for operations. Richard and Jerry have been discussing possible solutions and meeting set for June 22 @ 9:00 am.
- Laurie/Don B/Ali meet to discuss more specifics about concerns being raised by Trinity River interests about Reclamation operations.
- d. Henry to provide feasibility cost estimate information upon release of materials to Reservoir Committee participants which is expected first week of June.
- 3. WIIN Appropriations:

10 min

a.

- b. Status of Amended FAA
- c. Status of New FAA

	d. Geotech, Denver Batch 1 in June and Batch 2 in July	
4.	EIS Coordination:	10 mi
	a.	
	b. Cooperating Agency comments	
	c. Live edits sessions	
	d. Last Overview to Sites Boards June 23	
	e. Extended Tribe outreach letter (not AB52)	
5.	Feasibility and OMB Addendum:	10 mi
	a.	
	b. Feasibility Cost Estimate -any questions or concerns?	
	c. CWC Presentation 6/16	
	d. SWC Board Presentation 6/16	
	e. DWR's Cumulative Analysis of P1 Storage Projects – coming in June	
6.	Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:	20 mi
	<ul> <li>Joint Technical Discussion – Scheduled for July 9 @11, Wrap up Revised</li> <li>Ops Term Sheet (for now)</li> </ul>	
	b.	
	c. Received Reclamation edits to Ops Term Sheet	
	d. Joint Small Group Tech Meeting (Carriage Water) – June 14 @ 3:00 pm	
	e. Sites/Reclamation Water Rights Meeting #3 —Review CVP water rights — June 24 @ 3:00 pm	
	f. Joint Technical Discussion – Being Scheduled for end of June, Wrap up Revised Ops Term Sheet (for now)	
7.	Review of Action Items	5 min
8.	Next Steps	5 min

# **NEPA Coordination Meeting Agenda**



Our Core Values – Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility and Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

Meeting Information:				
Date:	June 28, 2021	Location	: WebEx	
Start Time:	3:00 p.m.	Finish Time	e: 4:00 p.m.	
Purpose:	RDEIR/SDEIS R	eclamation Review and Process	for Release	
Meeting Parti	icipants:			
Melissa Dekar,	Reclamation	Vanessa King, Reclamation	Linda Fisher, Sites Integr	ation
Shane Hunt, Re	eclamation	Ali Forsythe, Sites Authority	Laurie Warner Herson, S	ites Integration
Agenda:				
Discussion To	pic		Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1. Introd	ductions		Laurie	2 mins
2. RDEIR	R/SDEIS Status		Laurie	15 mins
a. Cı	urrent Review Pro	cess		
	utstanding Chapte elivery/review	ers and Appendices- schedule fo	or	
3. Appro	oach to Live Edit M	leetings	Laurie	10 mins
a. N	ot all chapters			
	ocus will be on un arifications/words	resolved items requiring smithing		
4. Reclai	4. Reclamation Review		Melissa/Vanessa	25 mins
	hapter 2 and Appe hen?	endix 2C – are comments pendi	ng,	
b. Ro	eclamation backch	neck and internal clearance:		
•		amation need to start process ults (i.e., more revisions/timing	)	
	age limit waiver			
d. Su	upport from Autho	ority		
5. Action	n Items		Team	5 mins

### **Reclamation Discretionary Actions:**

- 1) Issuance of a Warren Act Contract to the Project.
- 2) Entering into an agreement for the cooperative operations of the Sites Project with the CVP operations.
- Issuance of a Land Lease to allow for modifying a federal facility and placing non-federal property on federal lands for the Project (modifications to Red Bluff Pumping Plant [this is likely minor and wouldn't require a lease], Funks Reservoir, and placing Sites Project facilities on Federal Property).
- 4) Investing in the Project
  - a. Funding a portion of the construction with federal appropriations
  - Managing Reclamation's Storage Allocation in Sites, providing direction to the Authority on how to operate Reclamation's portion of the reservoir and received the resulting water supply benefits derived from being an investor
  - c. Funding Reclamation's operations costs through federal appropriations



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: June 29, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

Start Time: 3:00 p.m. Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

**Purpose:** Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Jerry Brown, Sites
Richard Welsh, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau
Luke Davis, Bureau

Henry Luu, Sites Vanessa King, Bureau Vince Barbara, Bureau Gregory Mongano, Bureau Dan Cordova, Bureau Darryl Good, Bureau Erin Heydinger, Sites
Laurie Warner Herson, Sites
Sandra Williams, Bureau
Stacey Leigh, Bureau
Mark Carper, Bureau
Levi Johnson, Bureau

John Spranza, Sites Michael Mosley, Bureau Melissa Dekar, Bureau Susanne Manugian, Bureau Austin Olah, Bureau

Shane Hunt, Bureau

Discussion Topic Topic Leader Allotted

1. Introductions All

2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting:

5 min

- a. Reclamation and Sites to finalize Cost Sharing MOA language. See June 24 5:19p email JDB to RWelsh.
- b. ESA Section 7 consultation for operations and maintenance group met on June 22 9:00a. Small group meeting to take place on ??. Followed by entire group meeting again on July 13 9:00a. The goal is to have issue resolved by end of month.
- Laurie/Don B/Ali meeting on June 29 to discuss more specifics about concerns being raised by Trinity River interests about Reclamation operations.
- d. Richard to confirm Feasibility Cost Estimate is sufficient for OMB addendum process.
- e. Jerry to provide Vince Dave Okita's contact info. COMPLETE

#### 3. WIIN Appropriations:

10 min

a. Status of Amended FAA

b. Status of New FAA – now the scope/schedule of Amendment 3 is set this can proceed. c. Geotech, Denver Batch 1 in June and Batch 2 in July (on track?) 4. EIS Coordination: 10 min a. Cooperating Agency comments b. Live edits sessions c. Asking for Sites to authorize release of public draft @ July Board mtgs d. Federal Process and Timing for Release of SDEIS e. Extended Tribe outreach letter (not AB52) – any response? 5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum: 10 min a. Feasibility Cost Estimate Finalized – When is OMB Adendum? b. CWC Feasibility Analysis Update c. DWR's Draft Flow Analysis of P1 Storage Projects (part of cumulative analysis) - preliminary finding is minimal changes to the flow regime in the Delta and Sacramento River from all projects. 6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment: 20 min a. Sites Will be Issuing "Up to Date Technical Discussion Documents" This Week - Revised Ops Term Sheet along with Updates for Water Rights Annex, Power Annex, Carriage Water Proposal – (collectively these documents represent the current status of the ongoing joint technical discussions) b. Reclamation/DWR follow-up to Joint Small Group Tech Meeting (Carriage Water) – Status? c. Sites/Reclamation Water Rights Meeting #3 –Review CVP water rights – June 24 @ 3:00 pm - COMPLETE d. Joint Technical Discussion – July 9 @ 11:00am – i) Review Carriage Water Proposal, ii) Confirm "Up to Date Technical Discussion Documents" 7. Review of Action Items 5 min

8. Next Steps

5 min



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

#### **Meeting Participants:**

**Meeting Participants:** 

Date: July 13, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

Start Time: 3:00 p.m. Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Jerry Brown, Sites Richard Welsh, Bureau Don Bader, Bureau Natalie Wolder, Bureau Mark Morberg, Bureau Luke Davis, Bureau	Henry Luu, Sites Vanessa King, Bureau Vince Barbara, Bureau Gregory Mongano, Bureau Dan Cordova, Bureau Darryl Good, Bureau	Erin Heydinger, Sites Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Sandra Williams, Bureau Stacey Leigh, Bureau Mark Carper, Bureau Levi Johnson, Bureau	John Spranza, Michael Mosle Melissa Dekar Susanne Mand Bureau Austin Olah, B Shane Hunt, B	y, Bureau , Bureau ugian, ureau
Discussion Topic			Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1. Introductions			All	
<ul><li>a. Vanessa to Willows tea as needed.</li><li>b. Ali to confirent</li></ul>	- ,	-		5 min
Appropriation \$100 million of Section 9002 Construction authorized be construction legislation Cost sharing the WIIN Act	Infrastructure Bill Text Release, \$1.15 billion for water storage, carve out for small surface and groundwate funding: funding can only be by Congress for construction or funding for the project before a cost sharing follows existing of	ter storage, and conveyance proused for projects that have been if Congress has approved WIIN at the date of enactment of this cost share requirements laid out izing a specific project. Funds sh	jects Act	10 min

- b. Timing of Reclamation Letter for 2022 WIIN Storage Account???
- c. Any other Drought related Activities that be of interest? (David Polumbo comment to House ENR Committee)

4.	EIS Coordination:  a. Sites Board being asked to authorized release of public draft – to occur  ~Aug 26	10 min
	b. Reclamation process for release of SDEIS – Is everything moving along as needed?	
5.	Feasibility and OMB Addendum:  a. What wait until Fall for Final submittal? Thought it was to be done in August	10 min
6.	Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:  a. Adjustment of Sites Board Item to exclude Term Sheet and Annexes  b. Does Reclamation plan to address the Sites Board on this item?  c. Carriage Water Discussions with Reclamation – Next Steps?  d. There are no other technical discussions scheduled at this time.  e. Reclamation Investment and Involvement – Path Forward	20 min
7.	Review of Action Items	5 min
8.	Next Steps	5 min



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity. Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: July 27, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

Start Time: 3:00 p.m. Finish Time: 4:00 p.m.

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian,
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Dan Cordova, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Bureau
Luke Davis, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
·	•	•	Shane Hunt, Bureau

cuss	ion Topic	Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1.	Introductions	All	
2.	Follow-up on action items from last meeting:		5 min
	a. Vanessa to schedule a follow-up discussion between Reclamation Willows team reviewing feasibility cost estimate and Henry with others as needed. – done. met last week, all questions answered		
	<ul> <li>Ali to follow-up with Levi, Dave, Richard on risk issues identified related to ESA Section 7 consultation. Meeting held – got through some topics but not all, scheduling follow-up</li> </ul>		
	c. Reclamation to provide any feedback on the discussion of Reclamation's participation in the pertinent sections of the State Feasibility Report. – Henry sent description, no comments from Reclamation on what was sent. Reclamation wants more info on benefit and cost allocation. Henry to send the full admin draft to Vince.		
3.	WIIN Appropriations: a. Batch 2 Geotech Lab Results from Denver Complete? Nearly ready to do		10 min
	data transmittal. Working on reports. Suggest dividing into rock testing		

- report and soil testing report. Few weeks for external review process of reports.
- Amended FAA need to start billing against this agreement for cash flow Delayed due to staffing issues with grants office.
- c. New FAA needs to be approved by September to be accounted in A3 budget Sites waiting to submit until draft TOs will not be approved by September (hasn't been submitted yet) but may be submitted by September. Jerry let's talk on this item because I think we can still count these funds in our A3 budget, just need to be conservative on timing.
- d. MOA status? One more person before Richard/Ernest review, then to be routed through Sites
- e. Infrastructure Bill FY22 Approps Letter Any updates? Richard reached out to Jerry earlier this week, \$80M request for appropriation

4. EIS Coordination:

- Update on Sites Board action to release public draft Laurie provided update. Reclamation staff to review latest version of Chapter 11 and appendices, by Friday draft Ch. 11 should be complete and go through Reclamation review.
- b. Reclamation sign off process One-week delay from what they were originally anticipating, will know more at the end of the week. Will let us know when things will be shipped out to DC.

10 min

Commented [jb2]: Would like to hear from Reclamation what this process entails. Mainly interested to hear the date at which the process goes out of the MP region hands and into DC hands so that our Sites East team is aware of timing.

Commented [jb1]: The current FAA is out of money as of

- 5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum:
  - a. Status updated on State Feasibility provided update. In a holding
    pattern until hearing back from Commission staff. Henry sent Vanessa
    and Vince a link with admin draft, asked for the 3 week review by
    Reclamation.

10 min

20 min

Commented [jb3]: Henry to provide

- 6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:
  - a. Sites Board feedback on Technical Discussions
  - b. Carriage Water Modeling Discussion Reclamation/Jacobs Small Group Aug 3
  - Sites will be scheduling a joint meeting to finalize carriage water sometime in Aug – Vince unsure if they can commit or agree to anything. Need to walk through the process with them and let them know what decision is needed when.
  - d. Water Rights coordination with Reclamation Water Rights Team Vanessa to start tracking. Ali provided summary of meetings we've had

Commented [jb4]: You can let them know any feedback we get from our boards.

**Commented [jb5]:** Ali wants to get commitment on how we're going to address their issues as they come so we don't get surprised at the last minute.

	and objective of no-protest or friendly protest. Ali to draft process for water rights.	
	e. Sites team meetings with SWRCB Board members (D'adamo/Macquire done, Firestone/Morgan, Esquival not scheduled) Ali provided update.	
7.	Review of Action Items – Ali to put together water rights process/coordinating with Reclamation Henry to send admin draft feasibility - DONE Vanessa to send latest MOA Vanessa to provide update on FAA amendment Erin to work with Steve on an agenda and objectives for Tuesday carriage water meeting	5 min
8.	Next Steps	5 min

### **Reclamation Review Process Meeting Agenda**



Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility and Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

#### **Meeting Information:**

July 28, 2021 **Location:** Teams Date: Start Time: 10:00 a.m. Finish Time: 11:00 a.m. RDEIR/SDEIS Reclamation Review and Process for Release **Purpose:** 

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Monique Briard, ICF Linda Fisher, Sites Integration Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration

Melissa Dekar, Reclamation Vanessa King, Reclamation Nicole Williams, ICF

Agenda:		
Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1. Purpose of the Meeting	Laurie	2 mins
2. Current Review Process	Laurie/	5 mins
a. Chapter 11 and appendices – any feedback	Reclamation	
3. Next Deliverable (8/6 in schedule, TBD)	Nicole/	20 mins
a. Confirm: no 508 compliance	Reclamation	

- a. Confirm: no 508 compliance
- b. Confirm organization of files, options include:
  - single pdf of fully stacked chapters with single pdf of fully stacked appendices;
  - individual multiple pdfs of chapters and individual multiple pdfs of appendices; or
  - 1 completely fully stacked pdf?
- c. Confirm: no file size limitations
- d. ICF proposes the following for any pdf generated:
  - Book marks will be provided to the 3rd heading level
  - Figures will be provided at end of chapters/appendices if they are stand alone and not embedded
  - PDF will be electronically view (not print ready)

4.	Post 8/6 or TBD	Nicole/	20 mins

a. ICF would like to start 508 compliance around 8/9 assuming posting of federal register on 9/3.

Reclamation

- b. Will need Reclamation's approval of the meta data that is included with each file. Draft meta data below:
  - Adobe Document Properties Meta Data
  - Title: Chapter/Appendix Title To Be Added e.g. Executive Summary, Sites Reservoir Project Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report/Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement
  - Author: Sites Project Authority and Bureau of Reclamation,
     California-Great Basin Region
  - Subject: Sites Reservoir Project Revised Draft Environmental Impact Report/Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement
  - Key Words: NEPA, CEQA, National Environmental Policy Act, California Environmental Quality Act, Bureau of Reclamation, Sites Project Authority, Environmental Impact Report, Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement, EIR/SEIS, Water Supply Reliability, Surface Water Storage, Endangered Species Act, ESA
- c. Accessibility Insertion of fly sheet with contact information

5.	Action	Items/	/Fol	low	Uр

Αll

5 mins

# RDEIR/SDEIS Ch. 11 Aquatic Resources Remaining Concerns - Agenda



Our Core Values – Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility and Shared
Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity
Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

#### **Meeting Information:**

Date: July 30, 2021 Location: MS Teams

Start Time: 9:00 a.m. Finish Time: 10:00 a.m.

**Purpose:** The purpose of this meeting is to resolve remaining comments and conflicts within Chapter

11 of the Revised Draft EIR/Supplemental Draft EIS.

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Monique Briard, ICF Mike Hendrick, ICF Steve Micko, Jacobs Melissa Dekar, Reclamation Erin Heydinger, Sites John Spranza, ICF Linda Fisher, Sites Integration Sophie Unger, ICF

Ali Forsythe, Sites Authority

Vanessa King, Reclamation

Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration

Marin Greenwood, ICF

Jim Lecky, ICF

Rick Wilder, ICF

Nicole Williams, ICF

#### Agenda:

Discussion Topic		Topic Leader	Time Allotted
1. Brief Introduction/Purpose of	he Meeting	Linda/Ali	5 mins
Outcome of Reclamation Revieus    included:	w – original comments	Reclamation	45 min
<ul> <li>Methods and analysis of te including inconsistencies v BiOp</li> </ul>	•		
Flow Survival and use of N	ichel et al model		
<ul> <li>Characterization of system Melones</li> </ul>	-wide effects with New		
General methods and narr	ative approach		
3. Next Steps		Ali/ Reclamation	10 min
a. Schedule for starting Richa	rd/Solicitor review		



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment - To live up to these values in everything we do

#### **Meeting Participants:**

**Date:** August 10, 2021 **Location:** Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start** 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Time:

**Purpose:** Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Natalie Wolder, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian,
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Bureau
Luke Davis, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time
1. Introductions	All	

2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting:

5 min

- a. Ali to put together water rights process/coordinating with Reclamation
- b. Henry to send admin draft feasibility DONE
- c. Vanessa to send latest MOA DONE
- d. Vanessa to provide update on FAA amendment

#### 3. WIIN Appropriations:

10 min

- a. FAA Status
  - i. Amended FAA
  - ii. New FAA
  - iii. Relative to Authority's A3 Work Planning
- b. MOA Status

4.	EIS Coordination:	10 min
	a. Status of Final Edits and Reclamation Review	
	b. CDFW Discussions	
	c. Updated Schedule	
5.	Feasibility and OMB Addendum:	10 mir
	<ul> <li>State Feasibility and Answers to 3 Big Questions Going to Authority</li> <li>Board in September</li> </ul>	
	b. OMB Addendum – Determining Reclamation Benefits, Timing	
6.	Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:	20 mir
	a. Carriage Water – Next Steps	
	ECAC     70	
	b. ESA Section 7 Consultation for Operations – Legal Meeting	
	c. Water Rights Coordination – Review Coordination Schedule	
7.	<ul> <li>c. Water Rights Coordination – Review Coordination Schedule</li> <li>d. Next Steps on Technical Discussions – Ops Term Sheet and Annexes,</li> </ul>	5 min
7.	<ul> <li>c. Water Rights Coordination – Review Coordination Schedule</li> <li>d. Next Steps on Technical Discussions – Ops Term Sheet and Annexes, Sites Operations Plan</li> </ul>	5 min



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

#### **Meeting Participants:**

**Date:** August 24, 2021 **Location:** Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start** 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Time:

**Purpose:** Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Jorny Brown Sitos	Hannyluu Citas	Frin Houdinger Sites	John Chranza Citas
Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Ali Forsythe, Sites	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian,
Natalie Taylor, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Luke Davis, Bureau			

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time
1. Introductions	All	

- 2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting:
  - Ali to put together water rights process/coordinating with Reclamation. Levi to provide any additional Reclamation comments on Ops Term Sheet (incl Water Rights Annex) to inform the schedule Ali is preparing.
  - b. Erin/Henry/Vanessa to review scope of work for New FAA (\$13.7M)
  - c. Richard to consider sending email confirming project is in construction in accordance with WIIN requirements. If not an email, some other form of documentation is needed.

d.

3. WIIN Appropriations:

5 min

5 min

a. FAA Status i. Amended FAA New FAA ii. iii. Relative to Authority's A3 Work Planning b. MOA Status 4. EIS Coordination: 20 min a. Status of Final Edits and Reclamation Review b. CDFW Discussions c. Updated Schedule 5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum: 10 min a. Comments from State review of admin draft state feasibility b. OMB Addendum i. Scope, a different alternative? ii. Schedule, Draft due ~Sept 15 c. State Feasibility and Answers to 3 Big Questions Going to Authority Board in September d. 6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment: 20 min a. Carriage Water - DWR/Reclamation modified annex received and under review, Sites will set up a 3 party technical meeting b. ESA Section 7 Consultation for Operations – Legal Meeting did not resolve item, next step? c. Water Rights Coordination – Review Coordination Schedule

d. Next Steps on Technical Discussions – carriage water, water rights,

e. Sites Operations Plan development and coordination

7. Review of Action Items

defining close excess

As time allows

### RDEIR/SDEIS Ch. 11 Aquatic Resources -Agenda



Our Core Values – Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility and Shared
Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity
Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

#### **Meeting Information:**

Date: August 27, 2021 Location: MS Teams

Start Time: 8:30 a.m. Finish Time: 10:00 a.m.

**Purpose:** The purpose of this meeting is to provide an overview of current updates to Revised Draft

EIR/Supplemental Draft EIS Chapter 11 and associated appendices and steps forward.

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Monique Briard, ICF Jason Hassrick, ICF Steve Micko, Jacobs

Melissa Dekar, Reclamation Mike Hendrick, ICF John Spranza, ICF

Linda Fisher, Sites Integration Erin Heydinger, Sites Integration Sophie Unger, ICF

Ali Forsythe, Sites Authority Vanessa King, Reclamation Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Integration

Diek Wilder ICE

Marin Greenwood, ICF Jim Lecky, ICF Rick Wilder, ICF

Agenda:		
Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time Allotted
Brief Introductions/Purpose of the Meeting	Linda/Laurie	5 mins
2. August 27 submittal includes:	ICF	25 min

- a. Chapter 11 and Appendices 11B, 11D, 11H, 11I, 11K, 11M, 11N, and 11P include tracked changes where possible
- b. Comment tracking spreadsheet provides responses to key comments submitted by Reclamation in July
- c. Responses to key comments identified previously:
  - Methods and analysis of temperature management, including inconsistencies with NMFS and LTO BA and BiOp
  - Flow Survival and use of Michel et al model
  - Characterization of system-wide effects with New Melones
  - General methods and narrative approach

3. Anadromous fis	h benefits -ongoing discussion	John/Erin/Ali	10 min
4. Additional chan coordination	ges resulting from CDFW review and	Ali	25 min
5. Next Steps		All	5 min



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: September 21, 2021 Location: <u>Join Microsoft Teams Meeting</u>

**Start** 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Time:

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Ali Forsythe, Sites	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian,
Natalie Taylor, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Luke Davis, Bureau			

Discussion Topic	Topic Leader	Time
1. Introductions	All	

2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting:

5 min

a.

- Ali to put together water rights process/coordinating with Reclamation. Levi to provide any additional Reclamation comments on Ops Term Sheet (incl Water Rights Annex) to inform the schedule Ali is preparing.
- Richard to send email confirming project is in construction in accordance with WIIN requirements. If not an email, some other form of documentation is needed. (last report – working with solicitor and coordinating with 3 other projects)
- d. Vanessa to provide write-up describing proposed Alt 3BR

3. WIIN Appropriations: 5 min b. FAA Status i. Amended FAA New FAA – seeking Authority Board signature authority Sept 22 c. MOA Status – awaiting written confirmation of WIIN "in construction" has been met 4. EIS Coordination: 20 min a. b. CDFW Discussion Update – New RDEIR/SDEIS Release Schedule c. Status of Reclamation Edits - Ch 2 (Project Description), Ch 11 (Aquatics) d. Path Forward on ESA Section 7 Consultation for Operations by Reclamation i. Schedule ii. Sites Authority Sept 22 presentation plan e. BA Review/Schedule i. Terrestrial ii. Aquatics 10 min 5. Feasibility and OMB Addendum: b. OMB Addendum – timing relative to EIR and BA preparation 6. Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment: 20 min a. b. Carriage Water – Sites is setting up a 3 way meeting early Sept with DWR/Reclamation on the modified annex c. ESA Section 7 Consultation for Operations – path forward established, this item will be tracked through BA preparation d. Water Rights Coordination – Review Coordination Schedule e. Next Steps on Technical Discussions will be scheduled later in September – carriage water, water rights, defining close excess 7. Review of Action Items As time allows



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment - To live up to these values in everything we do

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: September 21, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start** 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Time:

Purpose: Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

#### **Meeting Participants:**

•			
Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites
Ali Forsythe, Sites	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Vince Barbara, Bureau	Sandra Williams, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau
Don Bader, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Susanne Manugian,
Natalie Taylor, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Bureau
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau
Luke Davis, Bureau			

Discus	ssion Topic	Topic Leader	Time
1.	Introductions	All	
2.	Follow-up on action items from last meeting:		5 min
	a. Ali to put together water rights process/coordinating with Reclamation. Levi to provide any additional Reclamation comments on Ops Term Sheet (incl Water Rights Annex) to inform the schedule Ali is preparing.		
	<ul> <li>Richard to send email confirming project is in construction in accordance with WIIN requirements. If not an email, some other form of documentation is needed.</li> </ul>		
	c. Vanessa to provide write-up describing proposed Alt 3BR		
3.	WIIN Appropriations:  a. FAA Status  i. Amended FAA		5 min

	ii. New FAA – seeking Authority Board signature authority Sept 22 MOA Status – awaiting written confirmation of i) WIIN "in construction" has been met and ii) add Section 7 language reflecting Reclamation consultation on construction and operations	
	S Coordination:	20 min
	CDFW Discussion Update	
b.	EIR/EIS Schedule Update	
	<ul> <li>i. Status of Reclamation Edits – Ch 2 (Project Description), Ch</li> <li>11 (Aquatics)</li> </ul>	
c.	Path Forward on ESA Section 7 Consultation for Operations by Reclamation	
	i. Schedule	
	ii. Sites Authority Sept 22 presentation plan	
	<ul><li>iii. Timing of modeling updates relative to RDEIR/SDEIS public draft release</li></ul>	
d.	BA Review/Schedule	
	i. Terrestrial	
	ii. Aquatics	
Fe	asibility and OMB Addendum:	10 min
a.	OMB Addendum – timing relative to EIR and BA preparation	
b.	CWC State Feasibility Process Update	
	chnical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:	20 min
a.	Carriage Water – Sites is setting up a 3_way Sept meeting early Sept	
	with DWR/Reclamation on the modified annex	
<u>a.</u>	COA – Reclamation and DWR to provide Sites with proposal similar to	
L	Carriage water	
	Water Rights Coordination – Review Coordination Schedule	
C.	Next Steps on Technical Discussions - will be scheduled later in September—carriage water, water rights, defining close excess.	
	Awaiting Reclamation schedule availability Scheduled for October 14 <sup>th</sup> .	Formatted: Superscript
	<u> </u>	
Re	eview of Action Items	As time
	VICW OF ACTION ICCINS	allows



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment - To live up to these values in everything we do

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: October 5, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start** 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Time:

**Purpose:** Coordination on near-term priorities. This is a standing bi-weekly meeting.

Meeting Participants:					
Jerry Brown, Sites Ali Forsythe, Sites Richard Welsh, Bureau Don Bader, Bureau Natalie Taylor, Bureau Mark Morberg, Bureau	Henry Luu, Sites Vanessa King, Bureau Vince Barbara, Bureau Gregory Mongano, Bureau Darryl Good, Bureau Levi Johnson, Bureau	Erin Heydinger, Sites Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Stacey Leigh, Bureau Mark Carper, Bureau Shane Hunt, Bureau Luke Davis, Bureau	John Sprar Michael M Melissa De Susanne M Austin Ola	losley, I ekar, Bu Ianugia	Bureau Ireau n, Burea
Discussion Topic	, 	<u>,                                      </u>		pic ader	Time
1. Introductions			Al	I	
<ul> <li>a. Ali to put to Levi to provisive Sheet (incl.)</li> <li>b. Urgent Meet to path for Co. Reclamation incorporate</li> </ul>	vide any additional Reclama Water Rights Annex) to info eting to occur 9/22 to resolv ward on ESA Section 7 Cons n (Stacey) modifying Cost S	s/coordinating with Reclama ition comments on Ops Terrorm the schedule Ali is prepare we Reclamation concerns relultation and RDEIR/SDEIS	n aring. lated		5 min
3. WIIN Appropria a. FAA Status i. Amende	etions: ed FAA – done and signed T	HANK VOHI			10 min

10.05.2021 Bi-Weekly Sites-Usbr Coordination Call Agenda

	iii. FY22 Funding CR Status	
	b. Cost Sharing MOA Status – next steps	
4.	EIS Coordination:	20 min
	a. Status of Section 106 PA	
	b. EIR/EIS Revisions	
	i. Nov 12 public release	
	ii. modeling scenarios next steps	
	c. Who will fill Dan Cordova's role?	
5.	CDFW Discussion Update	
	a. BA Review/Schedule	
	i. Terrestrial	
	ii. Aquatics	
6.	Feasibility and OMB Addendum:	5 min
	a. CWC State Feasibility Process Update	
7.	Technical Discussions on Coordination and Potential Investment:	10 min
	a. Water Rights	
	<ul> <li>i. next meeting of Sites/Reclamation water rights teams to review and coordinate on upcoming application</li> </ul>	
	ii. Discussions with other water right holder	
	<ul> <li>Ongoing DWR/Reclamation Technical Discussions - carriage water, water rights, defining close excess. Scheduled for October 14th.</li> </ul>	
	c. Sites Operations Plan development update	
8.	Review of Action Items	As time allows

New FAA – Authority Board authorized on Sept 22, schedule for

ii.

completing document?



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment - To live up to these values in everything we do

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: October 19, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start** 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Time:

Meeting Participar	ts:				
Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sit	es	
Ali Forsythe, Sites	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley,	Bureau	
Richard Welsh, Bure	u Vince Barbara, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, B	Bureau	
Don Bader, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Susanne Manugia	an, Burea	
Natalie Taylor, Burea	u Darryl Good, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bure	eau	
Mark Morberg, Bure	nu Levi Johnson, Bureau	Luke Davis, Bureau			
Discussion Topic			Topic Leader	Time	
1. Introductio	ns		All		
2. Follow-up o	n action items from last meeti	ng:		5 min	
Levi to p	t together water rights proces rovide any additional Reclama ncl Water Rights Annex) to info	ation comments on Ops Terr	n		
incorpo	tion (Stacey) modifying Cost S rate that Reclamation will be t truction and operations.		n lead		
	nd Henry to discuss analysis ir d by Sites.	CWC Feasibility Report beir	ng		
3. WIIN Appro a. FAA Sta				10 mir	
i. Ame	nded FAA – executed? Any iss	ues with executing in new F	Y?		

- ii. New FAA drafting in progress, expect draft by end of Oct, any issues or concerns so far?
- iii. FY22 Funding Sites taking A3 TOs to Board for info this month and approval next month, proposed scope for FAA will follow in ~Dec
- b. Cost Sharing MOA Status Sites would like to attach this to our 75% commitment letter to CWC being submitted this month, can we get this signed by then?

#### 4. EIR/EIS Coordination:

20 min

- a. EIR/EIS Revisions
  - i. Nov 12 public release still on track?
  - ii. Public meeting coordination PIOs involved?
  - iii. modeling for optimizing anadromous fish benefits do we have a schedule?
- 5. Aquatics/Terrestrial Permitting Updates:
  - a. CDFW ITP
    - i. Permitting Discussions Update.
    - ii. Schedule for Submitting Applications.
  - b. BA Review
    - i. Terrestrial Sites Programmatic Revisions complete?
    - ii. Aquatics analysis dependent on modeling progress, can we go ahead and process the narrative parts now?
    - iii. Schedule for Submittal.

#### 6. Feasibility and OMB Addendum:

5 min

a. CWC State Feasibility Process Update

#### 7. Coordination Agreements:

10 min

- a. Water Rights
  - i. Sites to discuss water availability analysis with the Board this month
  - ii. Nov/Dec meetings of Sites/Reclamation water rights teams to review and coordinate on upcoming application
- iii. Discussions with DWR
- b. Operations Coordination

- i. DWR/Reclamation Technical Discussion Oct 14 completed next meeting in ~3-5 months after BA/ITP/WR applications filed, will start on agreements with term sheet as basis
- ii. Modeling for anadromous fish benefit -

#### 8. Review of Action Items

As time allows



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment - To live up to these values in everything we do

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: November 30, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start** 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Time:

Meeting Participants:					
Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites		
Ali Forsythe, Sites	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau		
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Jobaid Kabir, Bureau Gregory Mongano, Bureau Darryl Good, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau Mark Carper, Bureau Shane Hunt, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau Susanne Manugian, Bure Austin Olah, Bureau		
Don Bader, Bureau					
Natalie Taylor, Bureau					
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Luke Davis, Bureau			
Discussion Topic			Topic Leader	Time	
1. Introductions			All		
2. Follow-up on a	ction items from last meeti	ng:		5 min	
	•	ided by Stacey. What is the ESA Section 7 consultation?			
b. Erin/Henry through cor		y-year federal funding estim	ates		
<ul> <li>c. Erin/Henry to confirm timing to provide Reclamation with proposed scope for New FAA (now that A3 TOs are approved by the Sites Board).</li> </ul>					
3. WIIN Appropria	ations:			10 min	
a. FAA Status					
i. New FA. Reclama	A — next steps after proposition?	ed scope makes it over to			
b. EPA WIFIA S	Status – planned press relea	ase this week			

4.	Planning and Permitting	
	a. EIR/EIS Coordination:	
	i. EIR/EIS Public Meetings	
	b. Permitting	
	i. Biological Assessment	
	ii. Section 106	
	c. CDFW ITP	
	i. Permitting Discussions Update – finalizing diversion criteria.	
	<ul><li>ii. Schedule for Submitting Applications (construction/operations).</li></ul>	
5.	Determining Federal Participation	20 mi
	a. Supplemental Modeling and Operations Coordination	
	i. Optimizing anadromous fish benefits	
	ii. CVPIA Actions and O&M Cost (raised by Vince at last meeting)	
	b. Path Forward with an Operations Agreement	
	c. OMB Addendum Timing and Content Relative to development of Operations Agreement	
6.	Other Coordination:	5 min
	a. Water Rights	
	b. Technical Discussions – no comments received on current updated term sheet, working to compile comments on water rights annex	
7.	Review of Action Items	As tim



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values - Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility for Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity.

Our Commitment - To live up to these values in everything we do

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: December 14, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start** 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Time:

<b>Meeting Participants:</b>				
Jerry Brown, Sites	Henry Luu, Sites	Erin Heydinger, Sites	John Spranza, Sites	
Ali Forsythe, Sites	Vanessa King, Bureau	Laurie Warner Herson, Sites	Michael Mosley, Bureau	
Richard Welsh, Bureau	Jobaid Kabir, Bureau	Stacey Leigh, Bureau	Melissa Dekar, Bureau	
Don Bader, Bureau	Gregory Mongano, Bureau	Mark Carper, Bureau	Susanne Manugian, Burea	
Natalie Taylor, Bureau	Darryl Good, Bureau	Shane Hunt, Bureau	Austin Olah, Bureau	
Mark Morberg, Bureau	Levi Johnson, Bureau	Luke Davis, Bureau		
Discussion Topic			Topic Leader	Time
1. Introductions			All	
2. Follow-up on ac	tion items from last meeti	ng:		5 min
a. Erin/Henry t through cor		y-year federal funding estim	ates	
b. Michael to r appropriation		AA process for latest \$80M		
	eport out on what she foun mation for an Operations a	d regarding contracting aut Agreement	hority	
3. WIIN Appropria			2	5 min
a. FAA Status -	- Does the \$80M need to b	e contracted by end of Sept	: <b>?</b>	
b. EPA WIFIA S	itatus			
4. Planning and Pe	ermitting			20 min

- a. EIR/EIS Coordination:
  - i. EIR/EIS Public Meetings
  - ii. FOR et al Request for time extension
  - iii. FOR et al CWC uncertainty comments
  - iv. Ca Native Plant Society Dec 13 comment letter
- b. Permitting
  - i. Biological Assessment Update
  - ii. Section 106 Update
- c. CDFW ITP
  - i. Permitting Discussions Update diversion criteria and next steps
  - ii. Schedule for Submitting Applications (construction/operations).
- 5. Determining Federal Participation

20 min

- a. Supplemental Modeling and Operations Coordination
  - i. Optimizing anadromous fish benefits
- b. CVPIA Actions and O&M Cost (raised by Vince at prior meeting)
- c. Path Forward with an Operations Agreement
- d. OMB Addendum Timing and Content Relative to development of Operations Agreement
- 6. Other Coordination:

10 min

- a. Water Rights
- b. Technical Discussions no comments received on current updated term sheet, working to compile comments on water rights annex
- c. Joint Project Management Plan
- 7. Review of Action Items

As time allows



Affordable Water, Sustainably Managed

Our Core Values – Safety, Trust and Integrity, Respect for Local Communities, Environmental Stewardship, Shared Responsibility and Shared Benefits, Accountability and Transparency, Proactive Innovation, Diversity and Inclusivity

Our Commitment – To live up to these values in everything we do

#### **Meeting Participants:**

Date: December 28, 2021 Location: Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start Time:** 3:00 p.m. **Finish Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Meeting Participants:					
Jerry Brown, Sites Ali Forsythe, Sites Richard Welsh, Bureau Don Bader, Bureau Natalie Taylor, Bureau Mark Morberg, Bureau	Henry Luu, Sites Vanessa King, Bureau Jobaid Kabir, Bureau Gregory Mongano, Bureau Darryl Good, Bureau Levi Johnson, Bureau	Erin Heydinger, Sites Laurie Warner Herson, Sites Stacey Leigh, Bureau Mark Carper, Bureau Shane Hunt, Bureau Luke Davis, Bureau	John Spranza, Sites Michael Mosley, Bureau Melissa Dekar, Bureau Susanne Manugian, Bureau Austin Olah, Bureau		
Discussion Topic	<del>.</del>	-	Topic Leader	Time	
1. Introductions			All		
<ul> <li>2. Follow-up on action items from last meeting: <ul> <li>a.</li> <li>b. Erin/Henry to provide updated year-by-year federal funding estimates through construction.</li> <li>c. Michael to report on any changes to FAA process for latest \$80M appropriation.</li> <li>d. Natalie to report out on what she found regarding contracting authority within Reclamation for an Operations Agreement</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>WIIN Appropriations:         <ul> <li>a.</li> <li>b. FAA Status – Does the \$80M need to be contracted by end of Sept?</li> </ul> </li> <li>c. EPA WIFIA Status</li> </ul>				5 min	
4. Planning and P	_			20 min	

ii. EIR/EIS Public Meetings iii. FOR et al Request for time extension iv. FOR et al CWC uncertainty comments v. Ca Native Plant Society Dec 13 comment letter b. Permitting ii. Biological Assessment Update iii. Section 106 Update c. CDFW ITP ii. Permitting Discussions Update - diversion criteria and next steps iii. Schedule for Submitting Applications (construction/operations). 20 min 5. Determining Federal Participation a. b. Supplemental Modeling and Operations Coordination i. Optimizing anadromous fish benefits c. CVPIA Actions and O&M Cost (raised by Vince at prior meeting) d. Path Forward with an Operations Agreement e. OMB Addendum Timing and Content Relative to development of **Operations Agreement** 10 min 6. Other Coordination: a. b. Water Rights c. Technical Discussions - no comments received on current updated term sheet, working to compile comments on water rights annex d. Joint Project Management Plan 7. Review of Action Items As time allows